

Netflix, Inc. NasdaqGS:NFLX

FQ2 2025 Earnings Call Transcripts

Thursday, July 17, 2025 8:45 PM GMT

S&P Global Market Intelligence Estimates

	-FQ2 2025-			-FQ3 2025-		-FY 2025-	-FY 2026-
	CONSENSUS	ACTUAL	SURPRISE	CONSENSUS	GUIDANCE	CONSENSUS	CONSENSUS
EPS Normalized	7.09	7.19	<div><div></div>1.41</div>	6.82	6.87	25.88	NA
Revenue (mm)	11056.34	11079.17	<div><div></div>0.21</div>	11422.42	11526.00	44868.39	NA

Currency: USD  
Consensus as of Jul-18-2025 12:48 AM GMT

Unable to generate Chart: Unable to get data to chart

- EPS NORMALIZED -			
	CONSENSUS	ACTUAL	SURPRISE
FQ3 2024	5.13	5.40	<div><div>1</div>5.26 %</div>
FQ4 2024	4.21	4.27	<div><div>2</div>1.43 %</div>
FQ1 2025	5.68	6.61	<div><div>3</div>16.37 %</div>
FQ2 2025	7.09	7.19	<div><div>4</div>1.41 %</div>

# Table of Contents

Call Participants	.....	3
Presentation	.....	4
Question and Answer	.....	5

# Call Participants

## EXECUTIVES

**Gregory K. Peters**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate  
Development & Investor Relations*

**Spencer Adam Neumann**

*Chief Financial Officer*

**Theodore A. Sarandos**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

# Presentation

## **Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Good afternoon, and welcome to the Netflix Q2 2025 Earnings Interview. I'm Spencer Wang, VP of Finance, IR and Corporate Development. Joining me today are Co-CEOs, Ted Sarandos and Greg Peters; and CFO, Spence Neumann.

As a reminder, we'll be making forward-looking statements, and actual results may vary. We'll take questions submitted by the analyst community, and we will begin with our results and our forecast.

# Question and Answer

**Spencer Wang***Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

The first question comes from Steve Cahall of Wells Fargo. The question is, since the revenue increase in your forecast is primarily FX-driven, we're curious about the components of the constant currency increase. Is this due to a better underlying revenue growth? Or are there specific expenses that are coming in better like content amortization?

**Spencer Adam Neumann***Chief Financial Officer*

I will take that one. Thanks, Steve. So as you saw on the letter, we increased our full year revenue guidance to \$44.8 billion to \$45.2 billion. That's up from the prior guide of \$43.5 billion to \$44.5 billion, so up about \$1 billion at the midpoint of the range, and a tighter range. As you know, it primarily reflects the FX impact from the weakening dollar relative to most other currencies. But the good news is we're also seeing strength in our underlying business. We've got healthy member growth, and that even picked up nicely at the end of Q2, a bit more than we expected. And we think that will carry through with our strong back half slate. So we're reflecting that in our latest forecast.

And we're also seeing nice momentum in ad sales. Still off a pretty small base, but good growth, and it's on pace to roughly double our revenue in the year, and it's a bit ahead of beginning of year expectations. So when we carry all that through to operating margin, our operating expenses are essentially unchanged, which is part of your question. So they're basically unchanged forecast to forecast. So we're largely flowing through the expected higher revenues to profit margins. So that's why our updated target full year reported margin is up 1 point from 29% to 30% and that 50 basis point increase in FX neutral margin is really just that revenue lift from stronger membership growth in ads relative to prior forecast flowing through the margin.

**Spencer Wang***Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thank you, Spence. We'll take our next question from Barton Crockett of Rosenblatt Securities. Why is operating margin guidance for the full year only 30% after the upside in 2Q and a forecast of 31.5% for the third quarter? Is there a timing issue, FX issue? Or is there a new level of spending that will continue beyond the fourth quarter of 2025?

**Spencer Adam Neumann***Chief Financial Officer*

Well, this is really mostly timing. So thanks, Barton. As a reminder, we primarily manage the full year margins, and we expect our content expenses will ramp in Q3 and Q4. We've got many of our biggest new and returning titles and live events in the back half of the year. We've also -- Q4 is typically and generally almost always is a heavier film slate. I'm sure we'll talk about -- I expect we'll talk about more of this on the call. We'll also be marketing to support that heavier slate, and we're continuing to aggressively build out our ad sales infrastructure and capabilities through the year.

So all of that is to be expected. We can manage to, will we manage to those margins. And even with that back half ramp in expenses, we expect operating margins to be up year-over-year in each quarter, including Q4, and as just noted, we expect to deliver a strong full year margins as we just took up our guide to 29.5% FX neutral, 30% reported.

**Spencer Wang***Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Great. Thanks, Spence. The next question comes from Tom Champion of Piper Sandler. How has your view of the consumer and the macro economy changed over the last 90 days?

**Gregory K. Peters***Co-CEO, President & Director*

Similar to last quarter, we're carefully watching consumer sentiment in the broader economy. But at this point, really nothing significant to note in the metrics and the indicators that we get directly through the business. Those are retention that remains stable and industry-leading. There have been no significant shifts in plan mix or planned take rate and the price changes we've done since the last quarter have been in line with expectations. Engagement also remains healthy.

So things all look stable from those indicators and big picture, entertainment in general, and Netflix specific have been historically pretty resilient and tougher economic times. We also think that we are an incredible entertainment value, not only compared to traditional entertainment, but if you think about other streaming competitors, when we start at \$7.99 in the United States and you think about all of the entertainment you get, we have a belief and expectation that the demand for not only entertainment, but for us, specifically will remain strong.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks, Greg. I think it's a nice follow-up to this question will be on advertising. So from Ben Swinburne of Morgan Stanley, can you share any data points around your upfront negotiations?

**Gregory K. Peters**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes. As we noted in the letter, our U.S. upfront, it's nearly complete. We've closed a large majority of deals with the major agencies. Those results have generally been in line or slightly better than our targets and consistent with our goal to roughly double the ads business this year. And what are advertisers excited about, growing scale is something we definitely hear. Also, a highly attentive and engaged audience. So bigger audience, but also an audience that's more engaged relative to our peers. The rollout of our own ad tech stack, which helps deliver a bunch of features and then our slate, which is generally amazing and includes a growing number of live events that advertisers are excited about.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Great. Follow-up question on advertising from Vikram of Baird. How have advertisers in the U.S. responded to the Netflix Ad Suite rollout since the April launch? What features and capabilities are attracting the most interest? And how is the initial feedback in other regions outside of the U.S.?

**Gregory K. Peters**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

We've completed the rollout of our own ads tech stack, the Netflix ad suite to all of our ad markets now. So we're fully on our own stack around the world at this point. That rollout was generally smooth across all countries. We see good performance metrics across all countries and the early results are in line with our expectations. Now we're in this phase of learning and improving quickly based on the fact that being live everywhere means that you get a bunch of feedback about what we can do better, which is great.

As we mentioned before, the most immediate benefit from this rollout is just making it easier for advertisers to buy on Netflix. We hear that benefit, that ease from direct feedback talking to advertisers. They tell us that it's easier. We see it in our overall sales performance. We've seen an increased programmatic buying. So all of these are consistent with what we are expecting both qualitatively and from a metrics perspective.

We're also, I guess, worth noting that we're going to roll out additional demand sources like Yahoo!, that will further open up the market for us. Long term, being on our own stack that improves the speed of our execution to deliver this pretty significant road map of features that we have in front of us. It's things like improved targeting and measurement. There's also leveraging advertiser and third-party data sources, which we definitely hear demand for as well. And it will ultimately allow us to improve the ad experience for our members, which is critically important. So that means better adds personalization. So the ads that I see are increasingly different from the ads that say, Ted would see, and they're more relevant for each of us, which is good for us as users and it's good for the brands.

We're also going to be introducing interactivity in the second half of the year. So that's exciting. So that's all to say this is a pretty significant milestone for us, one we're super excited to get behind us because now we can shift into the steady release cycle where we're dropping new features all the time, both for advertisers and for members. And that's the development and release model that we have in other parts of the business. So it's fun to be able to get to that point.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks, Greg. I'll move this along now to a set of questions around content as well as engagement. This one comes from Ben Swinburne of Morgan Stanley. 1% engagement growth year-over-year suggests engagement is down year-over-year on an average per member basis. How do we reconcile that with engagement growing on a per member household basis, if that's still accurate?

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

So total view hours did grow a bit in the first half '25, and that's despite a particularly back half-weighted slate. But to your point on engagement on a per member basis, we've mostly been focused for the last few years on measuring engagement on what we call an owner household basis. So this takes out the borrower effect, and we obviously think this is the best way to assess our engagement per member because it removes the tricky comparison impacts from paid sharing.

So that metric, per owner household engagement has been relatively steady over the past 2.5 years. Throughout the rollout of paid sharing and amidst increasing competition for TV time is more viewing moves to streaming and gets this on-demand benefit. So we're glad to have held that normalized engagement level, but we clearly also want to increase it. And to that end, we're optimistic and expect that our engagement growth in the second half of this year will be better than in the first half given our strong second half slate.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks, Greg. Great segue to Doug Anmuth's question from JPMorgan. The content in the back half of the year looks strong with Squid Game 3 already the third most popular non-English series ever, and Wednesday and Stranger Things releasing in the coming months. You often say that no single title drives more than 1% of total viewing. So how do you think about the business currently as being "head boosted or head driven"? And are you confident that both original and licensed content momentum can continue in 2026?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes, I'll take that. And thank you, Doug. On the first part of your question, we're definitely riding this long-term trend of linear to streaming and that has a natural adoption curve, but we can accelerate our growth with big hits. But as you said, each one of them, even in success, is going to drive about 1% of total viewing. So you need a lot more than just a big hit every once in a while. So to your point, it's not about the single hit. So what it is, is about a steady drumbeat of shows and films and soon enough, games, that our members really love and continue to expect from us. So like by way of example, we had 44 individual shows nominated for Emmys this year. So that's what quality at scale looks like.

We ended the quarter with a huge return of Squid Game, thanks for acknowledging. I'll go into the second half with the return of Wednesday and Stranger Things. And a really strong slate of supporting titles and favorites like -- and new shows like next week, or this week, we had Eric Bana's Untamed. Next week, we have Leanne Morgan's new comedy show line, Leanne. Both look really great. And that's just to name a few. And the back half of the year also has perhaps the most anticipated slate of new movies that we've ever had. That starts on the 25th with Happy Gilmore 2, followed by -- we have a new Knives Out film. We have new films from Noah Baumbach, from Guillermo del Toro, from Kathryn Bigelow, and it does not stop there.

It does roll right into 2026. And that's the second part of your question, and we're looking forward to movies like The Rip from Ben Affleck and Matt Damon, Charlize Theron's new movie called Apex, which is a phenomenal action movie, Millie Bobby Brown is back in an Enola Holmes 3. Recall that in 2023, Enola Holmes was our biggest -- 2 was our biggest movie. So we're looking forward to that new sequel. And Greta Gerwig's Narnia is going to be phenomenal.

And then on top of that, we're talking about return of Bridgerton, One Piece, Avatar: The Last Airbender, all 3 huge successes around the world. The Gentleman, The Four Seasons, Point Break -- I'm sorry, Running Point, sorry; Beef, which as you recall in 2023, won just about every award imaginable and was a gigantic success for us, it's back for a new season in '26. 3 body problem, Love is Blind, Outer Banks. And not just from the U.S., from France, we have Lupin; from Spain, we have Berlin. We have a new season of One Hundred Years of Solitude from Colombia.

So big hit returning shows and new series from each of our regions around the world. And the new stuff we've got coming up like Man on Fire, Reimagining, Little House on the Prairie, the Duffer Brothers from Stranger Things have a brand-new show, The Boroughs. We've got The Human Vapor from Japan, Operation Safed Sagar from India, Can This Love Be Translated? from Korea.

So again, popular programming, new and returning from all over the world in 2026. Unscripted shows like the reboot of Star Search. We've got into The Doll Universe, with Wonka's Golden Ticket, which we're really excited about. And in our live, we've got a few

surprises for you next year. But of course, we have our NFL Christmas stay double header than we're really thrilled about too. So we're really incredibly excited about the back half of this year and confident that it keeps rolling in '26.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thank you, Ted. We'll take the next question from Rich Greenfield of LightShed Partners who asks, are you concerned by the stagnation in your viewing share domestically? I think Rich is probably referring to the Nielsen Gauge data. Do you need to spend more on programming or spend differently to materially move your viewing share higher?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Thanks, Rich. Look, our goal continues to be to continue to grow our share over the long term. And over the past few years, you're right, we've been able to maintain our share even as we work through a growing number of TV-based streaming services, some free, some paid and the impact of paid sharing that Greg mentioned earlier, as well as this 2025 slate that was more back-half weighted than we typically have in previous years.

But over the long term, we tend to keep growing as the other 50% of TV viewing migrates from linear to streaming. And we'll do that by doing what we've always done continuously improve the service. So keep in mind, since 2020, our content amort has grown more than 50%, from under \$11 billion to more than \$16 billion that we expect to do this year. And for that same time period, we definitely had -- we saw increased spending, but also increased engagement, increased revenue, increased profit and increased profit margin.

So that's our model in action. It is our objective to sustain healthy revenue growth, reinvest in the business to improve on all aspects of the service. And that includes growing content spend, strengthening and expanding the entertainment offering and to drive that positive flywheel of growth by adding value to our members and all the while growing engagement revenue and profit around the world.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Great. Thank you, Ted. I'll move to Alan Gould from Loop Capital next. Can you provide more information on the TF1 partnership? Why did you choose to add TF1 in France as opposed to other broadcasters as your first partner? Why is now the right time to create such a partnership? Should we anticipate similar partnerships in other countries?

**Gregory K. Peters**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes. Perhaps to start with the rationale for the partnership. You would think with that long list of amazing titles that Ted just rattled off, we would have enough to satisfy every person on the planet. But it turns out, we actually consistently hear from our members that they want more. They want more variety, more breadth of content. So the fundamental purpose for this TF1 partnership is all about that goal of expanding our entertainment offering. How do we enhance the value we deliver to members? We want to provide more content, more variety, more quality.

So just as you've seen us do with licensing and production, this is just another mechanism to expand that offering. And in this case, it's specifically about highly relevant, local-for-local content in a country that has strong demand for that local content. This is an accelerated way to satisfy that need.

Why now? Why was this time the right time? Well, we've invested a lot in a bunch of enabling capabilities that are either required or highly leveraged by this deal. You can think live, ads, the new UI, among other things. And then why TF1 versus some other partner? Well, we know each other really well. We wanted our first partner to be in a big territory. We wanted to pick the leading local programmer. We wanted to be highly aligned in terms of the deal and the shape of the partnership and the values that we thought we could generate mutually by working together for our customers.

And we both look at this as an opportunity to learn, to figure out how do we scale the local content that TF1 is producing to more customers in France. So we're looking forward to seeing what consumers think. You never really know until you get out there and get the real reactions. And then obviously, we'll factor that into our plans going forward.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*



Thanks, Greg. From Robert Fishman of MoffettNathanson. With reports suggesting Apple is now in the driver seat for F1 rights, haha -- pun intended, I guess -- plus UFC and MLB still looking for new deals and the NFL may be looking to come to market a year earlier, can you share updated thoughts on how you are approaching sports rights for Netflix and where you draw the line on something that can move the needle?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Well, thanks for that, Robert. Remember, sports are a subcomponent of our live strategy but our live strategy goes beyond sports alone. Our live strategy and our sports strategy are unchanged. We remain focused on ownable big breakthrough events that -- because our audiences really love them. Anything we chase in the event space or in the sports space has got to make economic sense as well. We bring a lot to the table and the deals that we make ought to reflect that. So live is a relatively small part of the total content spend, and we've got about 200 billion view hours. So it's a pretty small part of view ours as well right now.

But that being said, not all view hours are equal. And what we've seen with live is it has its outsized positive impacts around conversation around acquisition, and we suspect around retention. And so right now, we're very excited where we sit. We're very excited with the existing strategy. We're excited about the Canelo vs. Crawford fight in September and the SAG Awards and our weekly WWE matches, and the NFL, of course, which is a great property, and we're happy to have Christmas Day double header, which includes Dallas versus Washington and Detroit versus Minnesota.

So today, our live events have all primarily been in the U.S., keep in mind. So over time, we're going to continue to invest and grow our live capabilities for events around the world in the years ahead. So we're excited, but the strategy is unchanged.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks, Ted. A good follow-up question on that one from Steve Cahall of Wells Fargo. What investments have you made to increase your capabilities in producing live events? What have you been able to do in-house in 2025 that you couldn't do last year? And how long will it take before you have the capability to produce large-scale events like NFL games?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes. Thanks, Steve. I'd say, remember, when we started original scripted programming, we had 0 production capability. House of Cards was, in fact, thinking about our first 3 years of original programming, all of those shows were produced by others. You have to go -- 3 years later, we produced Stranger Things in-house. Today, we still have shows that are produced by others: Universal, 20th Television, which is Disney, Paramount, Lionsgate, Warner Television. There's lots of available infrastructure to produce TV, and that is true of live events and sports as well.

When we do more and more, we may choose to bring some of that in-house. We've already produced a few, and we're just as likely to continue to use partners with existing production infrastructure and work to make sure that those productions are bespoke and do they feel like they could only be on Netflix. So you shouldn't think about the mix partnerships and self-producing as a -- we think about it as a scaling tool, not backfilling some lack of ability in some area of the company. And I should note, by example, CBS is a phenomenal partner producing NFL games with us, and we're thrilled to work with them again this year on Christmas Day.

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Maybe take this opportunity just to some commentary on the general capability we've been dealing with live. When we start something new, we pretty much expect that we're not going to be brilliant at the beginning. But we...

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

What?

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes. That's true, unfortunately. We don't have any really reason to believe that. But we don't let that stop us from kicking off initiatives that we believe have a strong strategic rationale, even though now we need to develop that capability. And of course, our job is to get out there and learn by doing and get world class as quickly as we possibly can.

And if you look at our current capabilities around live, we are in just a completely different place today compared to when we first started. As a good example that just happened last Friday, we had our first concurrent pair of live events. We had Taylor vs. Serrano, globally delivered alongside WWE Smack Down, which was delivered ex U.S., Both events at scale and delivered with extremely high quality. So it's great progress we've seen and we've got a great road map of features ahead of us to continue to enhance those experiences for folks.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thank you both. Last question on the content side or the topic of content comes from Ben Swinburne of Morgan Stanley. What are the learnings from the success of KPop Demon Hunters? More animated musicals with fictional bands, perhaps?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Ben, that is a question from a man who probably has that movie playing on repeat in their home, if I'm guessing correctly. KPop Demon Hunters is a phenomenal success out of the gate. One of the things that I'm excited, really proud of the team over is original animation, not SQL, not live-action remake original animation feature is very tough and has been struggling for years. And I think the fact that our biggest hits now, Leo, Sea Beast and now KPop Demon Hunters, are original animation. So we're super thrilled about that.

The mix of music and pop culture, getting it right matters, get the storytelling matters, the innovation and animation itself matters and the fact that people are in love with this film and in love with the music from this film that will keep it going for a long time. So we're really thrilled. And now the next beat is where does it go from here? So we put in the letter how just how successful the music has been and continues to be, and we think that will drive fandom for this fictional KPop bands that we have.

But more importantly, for the on Golden and for the song Soda Pop, these are enormous hits and they all came from a film that's available only on Netflix. So we're really excited that we can pierce the culture with original animated features considering that folks have been poking us on it.

**Gregory K. Peters**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Let's do it again later in the year with In Your Dreams. Right, Ted?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Absolutely. In Your Dreams is another very funny one and also completely original.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Great. I'll move us on now to a few questions on plans as well as product. So from Michael Morris of Guggenheim. He asks, Netflix continues to broaden content genres, notably with live sports and the recently announced TF1 partnership. Is there a path to additional tiers of service based on types of content available? Or will Netflix always make all content available at the ad-free/ad-supported price points?

**Gregory K. Peters**

*Co-CEO, President & Director*

I've learned to never say never. So I would say we remain open to evolving our consumer-facing model. I think we've got a few principles, important principles that we're carrying with us that I don't see changing significantly. One is we want to provide members choice, right? So how do we have a different set of plans at different price points with different features. It allows folks to opt into what the -- is the right Netflix for them.

Also, how do we provide good accessibility to new members around the world we want to grow, and that means making sure that we've got accessible price points. And then finally, the plans we offer, they have to ensure that we're having reasonable returns to the business based on the entertainment value that we delivered, and we're hoping to grow those. And so those returns would grow as well. And obviously, the reason to do that is we can continue to reinvest in adding more entertainment and building a better experience.

And maybe one other thought, too, is there is a component of complexity and choice stack that we have to consider and how we think about our offering is structured. So having said all that though, I think we believe that the bundle is a great value for members. It allows members around the world to access a wide range of entertainment in a very easy way, at a very reasonable price. So I would expect that, that will remain an important feature of our offering for the foreseeable future.

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

A lot of value and simplicity, yes.

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Yes. From Rich Greenfield, the Lightshed partners, help us understand why your new UI/UX is so important as you expand live content. Beyond live, can you provide some color on what metrics have improved since the launch of the new UI such as speed of users finding a title and change in failed sessions?

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Yes. As we said previously, it's really hard for a new UI to immediately compete, be better than the UI that we've had for the past 10 years has been iteratively evolved and improved. But now that we've actually rolled out this new UI to the first large wave of TV devices, we're actually seeing performance that's better than what we saw in our prelaunch testing. And to some degree, that's expected because we made some improvements based on the results of that testing phase. So it's exciting to see that those delivered actually better results. But the rate of that change actually gives us increased confidence that this new experience will drive better performance by the variety of metrics we look at, some of which include the ones that Rich is mentioning in relatively short order.

And then maybe just a point on why do we build this and launch this new experience in the first place? Why was it so important? Bluntly, the previous experience was designed for the Netflix of 10 years ago, and the business has evolved considerably since then. We got a wider breadth of entertainment options. We got TV and film, more of those, of course, from around the world, but now also games and live events. And if you think about the discovery experience that's best suited for these new content types, it's inherently different. Helping our members understand that there's a really good reason for them to launch Netflix and tune in at 7:00 p.m. on a Friday night versus just showing up whenever they were free and wanted to be entertained. That's a totally different job, and we really need a different user interface to do that job well.

Add to that, we saw the opportunity to leverage newer technologies like real-time recommendations that respond dynamically to what you need from us in that specific moment. So the Netflix you get on a Tuesday night is different from the Netflix you get on a Sunday afternoon. But all of those rationales together and what we're seeing in terms of the performance of far, we're very confident that we've got a much better platform in this new user experience to build from to continue to improve, and that will help us meet the needs of the business over the years to come.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks, Greg. The next question comes from Steve Cahall of Wells Fargo. YouTube is the only streamer that exceeds Netflix in terms of U.S. share of TV time. Do you see an opportunity to bring notable YouTube creators and their content exclusively to Netflix? How big could this opportunity be?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Thanks, Steve. Look, we want to be in business with the best creatives on the planet, regardless of where they come from. Some of them are here in Hollywood, others are in Korea, some are in India and some are creators distribute only on social media platforms and most of them have not yet been discovered. So for those creators doing great work, we have phenomenal distribution, desirable monetization, brilliant discovery in our UI and a hungry audience waiting to be entertained.

So Steve, you recently -- I think I listened to you on a broadcast where you talked about our business model, I believe, on this very topic. And we largely agree with you and believe that working with a wide set of content creators makes a lot of sense for us. And as you said, if I'm remembering it right, not everything on YouTube will fit on Netflix, and we couldn't agree with that more. But there are some creators on YouTube like Ms. Rachel that are a great fit. If you saw on the engagement report, she's had 53 million views in the first half of 2025 on Netflix. So she clearly works on Netflix. And we're really excited about the Sidemen and Pop the Balloon, and a wide variety of creators and video podcasters that might be a good fit for us, and particularly if they're doing great work and looking for different ways to connect with audiences.

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

And maybe broadening this out for a second and taking that question to look at sort of all of the competitors that we face for share of TV time. We've always said that the market for entertainment is very large, and we face competition from all kinds of directions. So whether it's linear or streamers or video games or social media, it's also a very dynamic competitive market, as we and all of our competitors seek to provide better and better options for consumers. And one of those changes, one of those vectors of dynamicism has been that sort of steady inevitable shift to streaming and on-demand as more services move to deliver their content in a way that we all know consumers want. That creates increasing competitive pressure for us that we've got to respond to.

We also see free services as a form of strong competition. Free is very powerful from a consumer perspective so it's not surprising that some free services are growing in engagement. But I think Ted said it well earlier in the call, not all hours are created equal. And we have a different profit model from other services, a strong profit model. So we're going to compete to win more moments of truth for sure, but especially compete to win those most profitable moments. And back to your specific question, it's worth remembering there's about 80% of total TV view share that neither Netflix or YouTube are winning right now. We think that represents a huge opportunity for which we are competing aggressively and we aim to grow our share.

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

The vast majority of our money and attention is focused on that 80%.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thank you. Next question from Justin Patterson of KeyBanc. Could you please talk about your generative AI initiatives? Where do you think GenAI will be most impactful over time, revenue or expense efficiency?

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Well, let me start with GenAI. We remain convinced that AI represents an incredible opportunity to help creators make films and series better, not just cheaper. They're AI-powered creator tools. So this is real people doing real work with better tools. Our creators are already seeing the benefits in production through pre-visualization and shot planning work and certainly, visual effects. It used to be that only big budget projects would have access to advanced visual effects like de-aging.

Remember, last quarter, we talked about Pedro Paramo. That's just no longer the case. And this year, we had El Eternaut. It's a very big hit show for us from Argentina. And in that production, we leveraged virtual production and AI-powered VFX. And there was a shot in the show that the creators wanted to show building collapsing of Buenos Aires. So our Eyeline team partnered with their creative team. Using AI powered tools, they were able to achieve an amazing result with remarkable speed and in fact, that VFX sequence was completed 10x faster than it could have been completed with visual -- traditional VFX tools and workflows.

And also, the cost of it just wouldn't have been feasible for a show on that budget. So that sequence actually is the very first GenAI final footage to appear on screen in a Netflix original series or film. So the creators were thrilled with the result. We were thrilled with the result. And more importantly, the audience was thrilled with the result. So I think these tools are helping creators expand the possibilities of storytelling on screen, and that is endlessly exciting.

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

And maybe to cover a few of the other areas. The member experience is a place where we feel like there's tons of opportunity to leverage these new generative technologies to improve the experience. We've been in the personalization and recommendation business for 2 decades, but yet we see a tremendous room and opportunity to make it even better by leveraging some of the more newer generative techniques.

We're also rolling out, have piloted right now a conversational experience that uses, allows our members to basically have a sort of natural language discussion with our user interface thing. I want to watch a film from the '80s that's a dark psychological thriller, get some results back, maybe iterate through those in a way that you just couldn't have done in our previous experiences. So that's super exciting and we see that all of the work that we do there essentially is a force multiplier to that large content investment that we're making. If we do a better job there, that means every dollar that we spend means more value back to our members by connecting them with the titles that they're truly going to love.

Advertising is another really great area. We've seen -- it's a high hurdle to create a brand forward spot in a creative universe of one of the titles that we're currently carrying. But it's very compelling for both watchers and for those brands, and we think these generative techniques can decrease that hurdle iteratively over time and enable us to do that in more and more spots. So there's a bunch of places where we think we've got an advantage in terms of data and scale where we can leverage these new generative techniques to deliver just more benefits for our members and for our creative community.

**Theodore A. Sarandos**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

If you don't mind me coming back for 1 second, I just rolled off Eyeline as if everyone knows what Eyeline is. I probably should clarify, that Eyeline is our production innovation group inside of our VFX house at Scanline, and they're doing a lot of this work with our creators. So I just realized that just through that out there as if everyone knew.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks for clarifying, Ted. Let's see. Our next question comes from Brian Pitz of BMO Capital Markets. With your evolving gaming ambitions, including partnerships with Grand Theft Auto and the recently announced Roblox agreement, can you talk to near-term monetization opportunities within gaming?

**Gregory K. Peters**  
*Co-CEO, President & Director*

Sure. We look at the near-term monetization opportunity with games very similar to how we've looked at other new content categories. You can think in scripted or film or on and on. And that's essentially, if we deliver more value to our offering, we get increased user acquisition, we get increased retention, we get increased willingness to pay. So it drives all of the sort of core fundamentals of our business. We've seen those positive effects, albeit in a small way relative to the size of our overall business when it comes to members playing games on the service. We already have those positive proof points.

And we're going to ramp our investment in this area, which is currently quite small compared to our overall content investment as we ramp the size of those positive effects. So we want to remain disciplined in not investing too far ahead of demonstrating that we know how to translate that investment into value for our members. We've seen good progress, as you know, with licensed games like GTA. We've seen good progress with the games we developed like Squid Game: Unleashed. So you'll see more from us in both of those categories, as well as a whole new set of interactive experiences that we think that we're either in a unique or differential position to deliver. So we're super excited to roll those out over the next year.

And then we remain open -- to the core question, remain open evolving our monetization model, but we have got to get to a lot more scale before that becomes a really materially relevant question. So we're going to do that work first. And it's probably worth restating the TAM for this market is very, very large. We remain convicted about our strategic opportunity and excited to make more progress.

**Spencer Wang**  
*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Thanks, Greg. We'll take our last question from Jessica Reif Ehrlich of Bank of America Securities. Given your healthy balance sheet and what appears to be a coming wave of M&A in media globally, are there certain types of assets that would strengthen your moat, i.e., what is your view of owning successful IP or studio assets as they come to market?

**Spencer Adam Neumann**

*Chief Financial Officer*

I'll take that one, Spencer. Thanks, Jessica. Well, look, we agree. Continued consolidation of studio and network assets is likely but at least with respect to consolidation within legacy media, we don't think it materially changes the competitive landscape. As you also know, we've historically been more builders than buyers, and we continue to see big runway for growth without fundamentally changing that playbook. You heard a lot of that today. So we look at a lot of things. We apply a framework or lens to those opportunities when we look at, is it a big opportunity? Does it strengthen our entertainment offering? Does it strengthen our capabilities? Does it accelerate our strategy?

And we look at all of that relative to the opportunity cost of distraction or other alternatives. We've been pretty clear in the past that we also have no interest in owning legacy media network. So that also kind of reduces the funnel for us. But in general, we believe we can and will be choosy. We've got a great business. We're predominantly focused on growing that organically, investing aggressively and responsibly into that growth and returning excess cash to shareholders through share repurchase. And I think you'll see us continue on that path.

**Spencer Wang**

*Vice President of Finance, Corporate Development & Investor Relations*

Great. Thanks, Spence. And that will wrap up our Q2 earnings call. So we thank you all for taking the time to join us, and we look forward to seeing you all next quarter. Thank you.

Copyright © 2025 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

These materials have been prepared solely for information purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Global Market Intelligence or its affiliates (collectively, S&P Global). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Global and any third-party providers, (collectively S&P Global Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Global Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON "AS IS" BASIS. S&P GLOBAL PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Global Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages. S&P Global Market Intelligence's opinions, quotes and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P Global Market Intelligence may provide index data. Direct investment in an index is not possible. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Global Market Intelligence assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P Global Market Intelligence does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. S&P Global keeps certain activities of its divisions separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other S&P Global divisions. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P Global may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P Global reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P Global's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

© 2025 S&P Global Market Intelligence.