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PART I

This Annual Report filed on Form 10-K and the information incorporated herein by reference includes statements that are, or may be deemed, “forward-looking statements.” In some cases, these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, including the terms “believes,” “estimates,” “anticipates,” “expects,” “plans,” “intends,” “may,” “could,” “might,” “will,” “should,” “approximately” or, in each case, their negative or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements contain these words. They appear in a number of places throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K and include statements regarding our intentions, beliefs, projections, outlook, analyses or current expectations concerning, among other things, our ongoing and planned non-clinical studies and clinical trials, the timing of and our ability to make regulatory filings and obtain and maintain regulatory approvals for our product candidates, the degree of clinical utility of our products, particularly in specific patient populations, expectations regarding clinical trial data, our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, prospects, growth and strategies, the industry in which we operate and the trends that may affect the industry or us.

By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events, competitive dynamics and industry change and depend on the economic circumstances that may or may not occur in the future or may occur on longer or shorter timelines than anticipated. We caution you that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and that our actual results of operations, financial condition and liquidity and the development of the industry in which we operate may differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained herein.

Any forward-looking statements that we make in this Annual Report on Form 10-K speak only as of the date of such statement, and we undertake no obligation to update such statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

You should also read carefully the factors described in the “Risk Factors” section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to better understand the risks and uncertainties inherent in our business and underlying any forward-looking statements. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and our website.

Clovis Oncology® and the Clovis logo are trademarks of Clovis Oncology, Inc. in the United States and in other selected countries. All other brand names or trademarks appearing in this report are the property of their respective holders. Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to “Clovis,” the “Company,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer to Clovis Oncology, Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

I  T  E  M  1.    BUSINESS

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on acquiring, developing and commercializing innovative anti-cancer agents in the United States, Europe and other international markets. We generally target our development programs for the treatment of specific subsets of cancer populations and seek to simultaneously develop, with partners, companion diagnostics that direct our product candidates to the patients that are most likely to benefit from their use. We are currently developing three product candidates:

- **Rociletinib**, an oral epidermal growth factor receptor (“EGFR”), mutant-selective covalent inhibitor that is currently under review with the U.S. and E.U. regulatory authorities for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (“NSCLC”) in patients with activating EGFR mutations, as well as the dominant resistance mutation, T790M;

- **Rucaparib**, an oral inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (“PARP”) that is currently in advanced clinical development for the treatment of ovarian cancer and for which the first U.S. regulatory application is expected to be submitted for approval during the second quarter of 2016 and the first E.U. regulatory application is expected to be submitted in the second half of 2016; and

- **Lucitanib**, an oral inhibitor of the tyrosine kinase activity of vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1-3 (“VEGFR1-3”), platelet-derived growth factor receptors alpha and beta (“PDGFR α/β”) and fibroblast growth factor receptors 1-3 (“FGFR1-3”) that is currently in Phase II development for the treatment of breast cancer.

We hold global development and commercialization rights for rociletinib and rucaparib. For lucitanib, we hold development and commercialization rights in the U.S. and Japan and have sublicensed rights to Europe and rest of world markets, excluding China, to Les Laboratoires Servier (“Servier”).
We have built our organization to support innovative oncology drug development for the treatment of specific subsets of cancer populations. To implement our strategy, we have assembled an experienced team with core competencies in global clinical development and regulatory operations in oncology, as well as conducting collaborative relationships with companies specializing in companion diagnostic development. We have fully established our U.S. commercial and medical affairs organizations in preparation for potential approvals for our New Drug Applications (“NDAs”) for rociletinib, which was filed in July 2015, and for rucaparib, which we expect to file in the second quarter of 2016.

**Product Candidates**

We are developing our product candidates for selected patient subsets and collaborating with partners for companion diagnostic development. The following table summarizes the ongoing studies for our product candidates:

---

**Rociletinib - an Oral EGFR Mutant-Selective Inhibitor**

**Overview**

Rociletinib is a novel, oral, targeted covalent (irreversible) mutant-selective inhibitor of EGFR in development for the treatment of NSCLC, and is the subject of a global clinical development program.

In May 2010, we in-licensed rociletinib from Avila Therapeutics, Inc. (now Celgene Avilomics Research Inc., part of Celgene Corporation). In May 2014, rociletinib received “Breakthrough Therapy” designation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) for the treatment of patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC, whose disease has progressed on prior EGFR-directed therapy due to T790M-mediated acquired drug resistance.

In July 2015, we submitted an NDA to the FDA and a Marketing Authorization Application (“MAA”) to the European Medicines Agency (“EMA”) for rociletinib as treatment for patients with mutant EGFR NSCLC who have been previously treated with an EGFR-targeted therapy and have the EGFR T790M mutation. During a regularly scheduled Mid-Cycle Communication meeting in early November 2015, the FDA requested additional clinical data for use in the efficacy analysis for both the 500mg and 625mg BID dose patient groups for rociletinib. We submitted these data in a Major Amendment on November 16, 2015 after which the FDA extended the Prescription Drug User Fee Act goal date for the rociletinib NDA by three months to June 28, 2016 to allow additional time for review of the new information requested by the agency. The FDA has scheduled the NDA for rociletinib for discussion by the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (“ODAC”) on April 12, 2016. The ODAC reviews and evaluates data concerning the safety and effectiveness of marketed and investigational human drug products used in the treatment of cancer and makes recommendations to the FDA.
Market Overview - Resistance to EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (“TKIs”) Represents an Unmet Medical Need

**Lung Cancer and EGFR TKIs.** According to the American Cancer Society, in 2016, there will be an estimated 224,000 new cases of lung cancer in the United States, making it one of the most common types of cancer. In addition, according to GLOBOCAN, in 2012, there were an estimated 313,000 new cases of lung cancer in the European Union and an estimated 95,000 new cases in Japan. Lung cancer typically presents relatively late in its clinical course, when locally-directed therapy (surgery and radiation) is not curative.

Lung cancer is typically divided into two groups based upon the histologic appearance of the tumor cells (small cell and non-small cell lung cancer), each of which is treated with distinct chemotherapeutic approaches. According to the American Cancer Society, NSCLC accounts for approximately 85% of lung cancer cases. The standard of care for treatment of advanced or metastatic NSCLC has historically been a cytotoxic chemotherapy doublet of platinum plus paclitaxel. In the last few years, in a subset of NSCLC patients, Avastin® (bevacizumab) has been shown to prolong survival when added to the chemotherapy doublet, and Alimta® (pemetrexed) has replaced paclitaxel on the basis of improved tolerability and ease of administration. Despite these additions, patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC have five-year survival rates of just 27% and 4%, respectively, according to the Survival Epidemiology and End Results program of the National Cancer Institute.

Small molecule inhibitors of the tyrosine kinase activity of EGFR were introduced into the treatment of lung cancer just over 10 years ago. The growth-promoting EGFR was known to be frequently expressed on lung cancer cells, often at high levels, and non-clinical work had suggested that EGFR TKIs, such as gefitinib and erlotinib, could provide effective cancer therapy in certain patient subsets. Iressa® (gefitinib) and Tarceva® (erlotinib) were approved by the FDA in 2003 and 2004, respectively, for patients who had failed to respond to conventional chemotherapy.

In 2004, it was discovered that the subset of NSCLC patients who experienced dramatic clinical responses to EGFR TKIs had activating mutations in the EGFR gene in their lung cancer tissue, typically either a point mutation in exon 21 (L858R) or a deletion mutation in exon 19 (del(19)). It became clear that the EGFR TKIs potently inhibited the mutant EGFR proteins, switching off their activity and causing dramatic tumor shrinkage in patients. This is an example of “oncogene addiction,” whereby a single gene mutation (EGFR in this case) is absolutely necessary for the proliferation and/or survival of a tumor cell. A corollary of this situation is that inhibition of that single gene product (in this case with TKIs) is therapeutic and drives tumor shrinkage. It was subsequently shown in a study conducted by Jeffrey A. Engelman, et al. published in Clinical Cancer Research in 2008 that EGFR mutations generate tumors with adenocarcinoma histology and are found in approximately 10% to 15% of Caucasian NSCLC patients and 30% to 35% of East Asian NSCLC patients.

The original approvals of the TKIs made no reference to patient selection, but these more recent data suggest that the majority, if not all, of their therapeutic benefit can be attributed to the subset of patients with activating EGFR mutations. During 2013, the FDA approved Gilotrif® (afatinib) and expanded the label for Tarceva® (erlotinib) for the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer whose tumors have activating EGFR mutations, as detected by FDA-approved tests. During 2015, the FDA also approved Iressa® (gefitinib) for the same indication.

**Resistance to EGFR TKIs.** Despite the success of TKIs in patients with mutant EGFR-related NSCLC, most patients’ disease will progress, typically after approximately one year of therapy. Molecular studies, including a study conducted by Helena A. Yu, et al., published in Clinical Cancer Research in 2013, have shown that approximately 60% of the resistant tumors carry a second, acquired resistance mutation in the EGFR gene. This resistance mutation is a specific change in the type of amino acid located at position 790 in the EGFR protein, called a “T790M” mutation. As a consequence of this switch, the three-dimensional structure of the TKI binding site changes and the EGFR becomes resistant to TKI therapy. This T790M mutation is also called the “gatekeeper” mutation because of its strategically important position in the EGFR protein. Until recently, there were no approved therapies for patients whose disease progressed due to the emergence of the T790M mutation. Patients who developed TKI resistance received standard cytotoxic chemotherapy that carried toxicity and only modest palliative efficacy. In November 2015, the FDA approved Tagrisso™ (osimertinib) for the treatment of patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC as detected by an FDA-approved test, who have progressed on or after EGFR TKI therapy, representing the first approved therapy for the treatment of EGFR mutant NSCLC patients who test positive for the T790M mutation. In February 2016, the European Commission granted conditional marketing approval to Tagrisso™ for the treatment of advanced NSCLC patients who test positive for the T790M mutation.
Design of Rociletinib - a Targeted Covalent Drug

Most human diseases are rooted in the abnormal activity of certain proteins. Traditional small molecule drugs, while able to inhibit disease-causing proteins, are generally only able to form transient binding interactions with the disease targets and are thus considered reversible. A covalent drug, however, forms a strong and durable bond with its protein target, known as a covalent bond. A targeted covalent drug is designed to form its covalent bond in a highly directed and controlled manner with a specific site on the disease target. This directed bond formation is key to achieving a distinct selectivity profile that is difficult to achieve with traditional reversible small molecules. Rociletinib was developed using a proprietary platform to purposefully and systematically design and develop targeted covalent inhibitors.

Rociletinib was designed by identifying a site on the EGFR protein where a covalent bond could be formed and, using proprietary drug design techniques, modeling chemical structures that could selectively form a bond with this site. These molecules were then synthesized and tested in assays to verify their ability to form targeted covalent bonds and to potently inhibit the mutant forms of EGFR and also to demonstrate that covalent bonds were not formed indiscriminately with other targets.

Clinical Development

We are pursuing the development of rociletinib as both a second-line or later treatment for EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients who become resistant to TKIs due to the emergence of the T790M mutation, and potentially, as a first-line treatment for EGFR-mutated NSCLC when given in combination with other agents. We are also exploring rociletinib’s utility for progressing EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients who do not express the T790M mutation (T790M-negative patients).

In the first quarter of 2012, we initiated our first Phase I/II study of rociletinib in patients with metastatic or unresectable recurrent NSCLC and a documented EGFR mutation. Patients were not required to be T790M positive for the Phase I portion of the study but had to have progressed on prior EGFR-directed TKI therapy (prior chemotherapy was also allowed). The Phase I portion of the study was conducted in the U.S., France and Australia. Data from this study were used to determine the tolerability and pharmacokinetics of rociletinib, as well as provide initial evidence of efficacy.

We recently completed enrollment of the Phase II expansion cohorts of that study, designated as TIGER-X under the TIGER (T hird-generation I nhibitor of Mutant E G FR in Lung Canc ER) program, conducted in the U.S., Europe and Australia. These cohorts are testing the safety and efficacy of rociletinib in patients with T790M-positive NSCLC, either immediately after progression on their first and only TKI therapy or after progression on their second or later TKI therapy of subsequent chemotherapy.

In addition to TIGER-X, three global studies are currently ongoing as part of the TIGER program:

- TIGER-1, a randomized Phase II study of rociletinib vs. erlotinib in EGFR mutation-positive patients who have not had TKI therapy, but who may have received one type of chemotherapy. Enrollment for this study was recently discontinued, as we intend to focus our evaluation of rociletinib as a first-line therapy only in combination with other agents.
- TIGER-2, a single-arm study in second-line patients immediately after progression on their first and only TKI therapy, which includes both T790M-positive and T790M-negative cohorts. Enrollment in this study was recently completed.
- TIGER-3, a randomized study of rociletinib vs. chemotherapy in later-line patients progressing on second or later TKI or subsequent chemotherapy, which includes both T790M-positive and T790M-negative cohorts. TIGER-3 continues to enroll patients and is intended to serve as the confirmatory study following accelerated approval of rociletinib, which is currently under review by the FDA.

Data from the interim data cuts of the TIGER-X expansion cohorts, combined with an interim data cut of TIGER-2, serve as the basis of the NDA in the U.S. and the MAA in the E.U. filed in July 2015 for the initial approvals for rociletinib for the treatment of patients with mutant EGFR NSCLC who have been previously treated with an EGFR-targeted therapy and have the EGFR T790M mutation. The primary efficacy analysis for these submissions is based on pooled data from patients enrolled in the TIGER-X and TIGER-2 studies who were given rociletinib at doses of 500mg and 625mg BID, or twice daily. The data in the final MAA dataset will be based on a larger number of patients than in the NDA dataset.
In the intent to treat analysis of the 79 patients in the 500mg BID dose group, the confirmed response rate by investigator was 28% and by independent review was 23%, and the duration of response by both investigator and independent review was nine months. For the 170 patients in the 625mg BID dose group, the confirmed response rate by investigator was 34% and by independent review was 32%, and the duration of response by investigator was seven months and by independent review was nine months. The only grade 3 or higher treatment emergent adverse reaction observed in greater than 15% of patients is hyperglycemia (27% for the 500mg BID dose and 28% for the 625mg BID dose, both based on lab values), with QTc prolongation also notable, though with lower frequency of grade 3 or higher events. Based on this data set, we have requested that the FDA consider 625mg BID as the dose for rociletinib approval, although this matter is still under review by the FDA. The data in the final MAA dataset, including a pre-agreed update that includes a larger number of patients, is planned to be submitted to the EMA in the second quarter of 2016. Based on our planned second quarter submission, we anticipate the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (“CHMP”) to issue an opinion on the rociletinib MAA by the end of 2016.

We expect that should rociletinib be approved, the primary information on response rates and duration of response included in the prescribing information will be based on confirmed response rate by independent review.

As noted above, we are also exploring rociletinib’s utility for progressing T790M-negative, EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients. In T790M-negative patients treated in the TIGER-X study at the 625mg BID dose, a confirmed response rate of 29% was achieved (N=24), with a median duration of response of approximately seven months. T790M-negative patient cohorts are being further evaluated in both the TIGER-2 and TIGER-3 studies.

These studies are ongoing, so data may change over time.

In January 2016, we announced our intention to explore rociletinib in Phase Ib/II combination studies with other agents in first- and later-line NSCLC patients who possess the EGFR mutation. These include combinations with immuno-oncology agents, anti-VEGF inhibitors (e.g. bevacizumab) and EGFR monoclonal antibodies (e.g. cetuximab). These studies will include both T790M-positive and T790M-negative patients. The first of these studies, a trial evaluating the combination of rociletinib with Genentech’s investigational cancer immunotherapy atezolizumab (MPDL3280A; anti-PDL-1) for the treatment of advanced EGFR-mutant NSCLC, was initiated in January 2016.

Concurrent with our drug development program, we are collaborating with QIAGEN for the development of a companion diagnostic to enable identification of the T790M mutation in patients with mutant EGFR driven NSCLC. The PCR-based diagnostic test will build on QIAGEN’s therascreen® EGFR RGQ PCR Kit, which was approved by the FDA in July 2013 as a companion diagnostic used in the treatment of metastatic NSCLC patients whose tumors have certain EGFR mutations. Analytical performance of the therascreen EGFR test has been established for 21 EGFR mutations, including T790M. The diagnostic is being developed in parallel with the clinical development of rociletinib, and QIAGEN submitted a Premarket Approval Application (“PMA”) with the FDA during the third quarter of 2015, in a time frame intended to allow for regulatory approval of the companion diagnostic at substantially the same time that rociletinib may be approved.

**Rucaparib - a PARP Inhibitor**

**Overview**

Rucaparib is a novel, oral, small molecule inhibitor of PARP-1 and PARP-2, which is currently being explored in Phase II and III clinical trials as both treatment and maintenance therapy for advanced ovarian cancer patients with tumor-BRCA mutations (germline and somatic mutations in genes that are linked to breast and ovarian cancers) and with other DNA repair deficiencies, commonly referred to as “BRCA-like” mutations. We in-licensed rucaparib from Pfizer Inc. in June 2011. In April 2015, rucaparib received “Breakthrough Therapy” designation from the FDA as monotherapy treatment of advanced ovarian cancer in patients who have received at least two lines of prior platinum-containing therapy, with BRCA-mutated tumors, inclusive of both germline BRCA (gBRCA) and somatic BRCA (sBRCA) mutations.

We intend to submit our initial NDA for rucaparib to the FDA as treatment for advanced ovarian cancer patients with a germline or somatic BRCA mutation in the second quarter of 2016. We completed enrollment of the mutant BRCA population that will serve as the basis of the submission during the fourth quarter of 2015. In addition, a planned MAA filing in the E.U. for the same indication is expected by the end of 2016. We also intend to study rucaparib as a treatment for BRCA mutant prostate cancer patients and plan to initiate a registration study in this patient population in the second half of 2016.
Cells in the human body are under constant attack from agents that can cause damage to DNA, including sunlight and other forms of radiation, as well as DNA-binding chemicals that can cause changes in the composition of DNA. Since DNA is the vehicle by which fundamental information is passed on when a cell divides, it is critical to the integrity of cells and human health that DNA damage can be repaired. Cells have evolved multiple mechanisms to enable such DNA repair, and these mechanisms are complementary to each other, each driving repair of specific types of DNA damage. If a cell’s DNA damage repair system is overwhelmed, then the cell will undergo a form of suicide called apoptosis that appears to operate as a fail-safe system to limit the ability of a mutated cell to proliferate and potentially form a cancer. A fundamental principle of cancer therapy is to damage cells profoundly with radiation or DNA-binding drugs, such as alkylating agents or platinum, and induce apoptosis in those cells, thus killing the cancer cells. DNA repair mechanisms may reduce the activity of these anti-cancer therapies but, conversely, inhibition of DNA repair processes may enhance the effects of DNA-damaging anti-cancer therapy.

Poly (ADP-ribose) is a part of the early warning system for DNA damage and is synthesized by PARP enzymes on regions of damaged DNA, where it signals to the cell that DNA repair needs to take place. In the absence of PARP, as is seen in gene-knockout mice, cells are unusually sensitive to DNA damage when exposed to radiation or DNA-alkylating agents. There are two major forms of PARP that signal DNA damage in this way, PARP-1 and PARP-2. Knockout of either PARP gene leads to enhanced DNA damage in both instances, although the mice may survive; however, the double knockout in which both the PARP-1 and PARP-2 genes are deleted is fatal to the mice at an embryonic stage. We believe that a drug that inhibits both PARP-1 and PARP-2, which rucaparib does, may have enhanced activity in preventing DNA repair.

**Synthetic Lethality**

An important advance in the field of cancer treatment occurred when it was recognized that germline mutations in the BRCA genes (BRCA1 and BRCA2, two tumor suppressor genes) were associated both with high rates of breast and ovarian cancer in female mutant gene carriers and also impaired the ability of cells to repair DNA damage. BRCA gene products were shown to be key mediators of DNA repair. The notion was that advanced treatment of BRCA-defective cells with PARP inhibitors could lead to a disabling blow against a tumor cell’s ability to repair DNA and could induce apoptosis. This phenomenon was termed “synthetic lethality” and was demonstrated in humans in a study conducted by Peter C. Fong, M.D. et al., published in the *New England Journal of Medicine* in 2009, as evidenced by women with advanced breast and ovarian cancer and germline BRCA mutations experiencing objective tumor responses when treated with monotherapy PARP inhibitors. Germine and somatic BRCA mutations are a minority subset of all breast and ovarian cancers, representing approximately 20% to 25% of those cancers.

**BRCA-like Tumors**

The hypothesis that some tumors might have defective DNA repair function for reasons other than germline (hereditary) or somatic (acquired) gene mutation has also been explored. This notion has been called “BRCAness” or “BRCA-like.” Subsequent work has shown that BRCA-like alterations exist, and that these cancer patients with normal BRCA genes, but BRCA-like mutations can respond to monotherapy with PARP inhibitors. Work is underway to identify a molecular signature for patients with tumors that are BRCA-like that could enable patient selection for therapy. If successful, this work has the potential to increase the percentage of high-grade serous ovarian cancer patients eligible for rucaparib therapy from the approximately 20% to 25% typically found to have germline or somatic BRCA mutations to an estimated 50%, which includes those patients whose tumors have certain DNA repair deficiencies, and thus may be considered a BRCA-like population.

**Clinical Development**

Rucaparib is currently the subject of several clinical studies, including the ARIEL (A ssessment of R ucaparib I n Ovarian Canc E r Tria L ) program, which includes the Phase II ARIEL2 treatment study and the Phase III ARIEL3 maintenance study, both in advanced ovarian cancer patients.

ARIEL2 is a single-arm, registration study of rucaparib treatment in relapsed patients, designed to identify tumor characteristics that predict sensitivity to rucaparib using DNA sequencing to evaluate each patient’s tumor. Both archived tumor and recent biopsy samples are collected from patients and DNA sequenced. The patients’ response to rucaparib is being assessed and those clinical responses are correlated to pre-defined molecularly defined patient sub-groups, including germline BRCA mutant, somatic BRCA mutant and the BRCA-like signature identified through the genetic diagnostic testing. The first part of the global ARIEL2 study completed enrollment of 206 ovarian cancer patients with relapsed, platinum-sensitive disease in 2014. In early 2015, ARIEL2 was expanded (ARIEL2 extension or ARIEL2 Part 2) to include an additional 300 patients with recurrent disease after at least three prior lines of chemotherapy. Enrollment in the ARIEL2 extension study is not limited to platinum-sensitive disease, but also includes patients with platinum-resistant or platinum-refractory disease.
ARIEL3 is a randomized, double-blind, registration study comparing the effects of rucaparib against placebo to evaluate whether rucaparib given as a maintenance therapy to platinum-sensitive patients can extend the period of time for which the disease is controlled after a positive outcome with platinum-based chemotherapy. Patients are randomized to receive either placebo or rucaparib and the primary endpoint of the study is progression-free survival ("PFS"). The primary efficacy analysis will evaluate mutant BRCA patients, all HRD patients (including mutant BRCA and BRCA-like) and all patients.

In addition to ARIEL2 and ARIEL3, the Phase II portion of rucaparib’s initial dose finding study (Study 010) continues to assess efficacy of rucaparib in advanced ovarian cancer patients with tumors harboring a germline or somatic BRCA mutation.

Data from a blended population of patients from ARIEL2, the ARIEL2 extension and the Phase II portion of Study 010 are expected to form the basis of a planned NDA filing for the treatment of patients with tumor-BRCA-mutant advanced ovarian cancer, which includes both germline and somatic BRCA patients, expected to be submitted during the second quarter of 2016, as well as a planned MAA filing in the E.U. for the same indication, which is expected to be submitted by the end of 2016. During 2016, we currently plan to initiate the ARIEL4 study, a Phase III study of rucaparib versus chemotherapy as a treatment for patients with tumor-BRCA-mutant and, potentially, BRCA-like relapsed ovarian cancer. We expect that ARIEL4 will serve as the confirmatory study required by the FDA for the potential accelerated approval of rucaparib using data from ARIEL2 and Study 010.

Data from ongoing rucaparib studies presented at medical conferences during 2015 demonstrated meaningful clinical activity and safety in ovarian cancer patients with tumors with BRCA mutations, as well as in those with the BRCA-like signature. Data from 204 evaluable patients in the first part of the ongoing ARIEL2 study presented in September 2015 and updated in January 2016 demonstrated encouraging clinical activity and safety in two pre-specified subgroups of these patients. The most robust clinical activity was observed in platinum-sensitive patients with tumor BRCA mutations. Seventy-five percent (30/40) of BRCA-mutant patients achieved a confirmed response. The response rate was similar in both germline and somatic BRCA-mutant tumors. Six of these patients achieved a complete response by RECIST. The median duration of response was 9.5 months in this patient population, in which patients had received a median of two prior therapies. In addition, in platinum-sensitive patients with normal BRCA genes, rucaparib activity was different between those with the prospectively-defined BRCA-like signature versus biomarker negative (normal BRCA and no BRCA-like mutations) patients. Thirty percent (23/77) of patients with normal BRCA, but with the BRCA-like signature, achieved a confirmed response. In biomarker negative patients, few responses were observed. Ten percent (7/68) achieved a confirmed response. Median duration of response in both the tumor BRCA mutant and the BRCA-like populations was 9.5 months compared to 5.5 months in the biomarker negative patients.

In early 2016, we also presented interim data from a blended population of tumor BRCA-mutant ovarian cancer patients who had received at least three prior lines of therapy from Study 010 and ARIEL2. Sixty-one percent (14/23) of the platinum-sensitive patients achieved a confirmed response, including 13% who achieved a complete response. The median duration of response for these patients was 12.9 months. As we expand enrollment to a larger number of patients, the response rate and duration of response may be different than that reported to date for the smaller set of patients. Data presented to date include only platinum-sensitive patients, while the NDA submission will include a blended population of platinum-sensitive, -resistant and –refractory patients from the ARIEL2 extension study, in addition to the platinum-sensitive patients in ARIEL2 and Study 010.

Data from ARIEL2 and Study 010 have also demonstrated that rucaparib is generally well-tolerated with a manageable safety profile. The only grade 3/4 treatment-related adverse reactions observed in greater than 15% of patients are anemia/decreased hemoglobin (19%) in the first part of ARIEL2 and fatigue/asthenia (21%) and anemia (26%) in the Phase II portion of Study 010. The safety profile of rucaparib is an important attribute for a drug that is also intended to be used in a maintenance setting. These studies are ongoing, so data may change over time.

We intend to explore rucaparib as a treatment for BRCA-mutant and BRCA-like patients with other solid tumors through a combination of Company-sponsored and investigator initiated studies. In particular, we plan to initiate a registration study of rucaparib in castrate-resistant BRCA mutant prostate cancer patients in the second half of 2016.

We are collaborating with Foundation Medicine, Inc. for the development of a companion diagnostic to identify our BRCA-like signature, as well as both germline and somatic BRCA mutations. The diagnostic is being developed in parallel with the clinical development of rucaparib, with a goal of filing a PMA with the FDA in a time frame that would allow for regulatory approval of the companion diagnostic at substantially the same time that rucaparib would be approved.
Lucitanib – a VEGFR, PDGFR and FGFR Inhibitor

Overview

Lucitanib is a potent, oral angiogenesis inhibitor that selectively inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1-3 (VEGFR1-3), platelet-derived growth factor receptors alpha and beta (PDGFR α/β) and fibroblast growth factor receptors 1-3 (FGFR1-3). In a Phase I/IIa clinical study, lucitanib demonstrated multiple tumor responses in FGFR1 gene-amplified breast cancer patients, as well as in patients with tumors often sensitive to VEGFR inhibitors, such as renal cell and thyroid cancer. In collaboration with Servier, we initiated a broad Phase II development program in advanced breast and lung cancer, where FGFR amplification is common.

We obtained rights to lucitanib through our acquisition of Ethical Oncology Science S.p.A. (“EOS”) (now known as Clovis Oncology Italy S.r.L.) in November 2013, which had in-licensed exclusive development and commercial rights to lucitanib on a global basis, excluding China, from Advencehens Laboratories LLC in 2008. EOS, in turn, sublicensed lucitanib rights to markets outside of the U.S. and Japan to Servier in 2012. We hold exclusive rights for lucitanib in the U.S. and Japan, and we are collaborating with Servier on the global clinical development of lucitanib outside of China.

VEGF, PDGF and FGF

The VEGFs are a family of related extracellular proteins that normally regulate blood and lymphatic vessel development in humans. They act by binding to and activating VEGF receptors, which are cell surface proteins that transmit growth signals to specific cells that are involved in the development of new blood vessels. Certain VEGFs promote growth of multiple solid tumors by stimulating the formation of new blood vessels to feed the tumor and allow it to grow and metastasize. Tumors produce an excessive amount of VEGF. This results in excess VEGFR signaling and the formation of new blood vessels within the tumor. The VEGF ligands that induce angiogenesis are often present in a wide range of cancer indications, including a type of kidney cancer called renal cell carcinoma, a type of liver cancer called hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, head and neck cancers and other solid tumors.

The PDGF family consists of five different isoforms of PDGF ligand that bind to and activate cellular responses through two different receptors (PDGFR α/β). In tumors, PDGF signaling plays a diverse role in many aspects of tumor development promoting cell proliferation, invasion, migration and angiogenesis. Amplification and/or mutation of the gene encoding the PDGFR α receptor is observed in a wide range of cancers, including lung cancer, an aggressive form of brain cancer called glioblastoma and a cancer of the gastrointestinal tract known as gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Amplification of the PDGFR α gene results in excess production, or the over-expression, of PDGFR α protein on the surface of the tumor cell. The over-expression of PDGFR α on the tumor cell surface leads to an increased receptor signaling, which stimulates uncontrolled proliferation of some types of tumor cells.

The FGFs are a family of related extracellular proteins that normally regulate cell proliferation and survival in humans. The FGF family consists of 22 ligands that exert their physiological effect on cells by binding to four FGFRs (FGFR1-4). As with the PDGF family, some cancers display FGF/FGFR gene amplification/mutation resulting in continual activation of the FGF signaling pathway leading to uncontrolled cell division. Tumors with a relatively high incidence of FGF aberrations, which include amplification of the FGFR1 gene and amplification of a region of chromosome 11q that contains several FGF ligands, include breast cancer (25%) and lung cancer (15%). In addition, FGFR gene amplification/mutation is also observed at a frequency of 3% to 19% in a wide range of cancer indications including sarcoma, ovarian cancer, adenocarcinoma of the lung, bladder cancer, colorectal cancer and endometrial cancer.

As an inhibitor of VEGFR1-3, PDGFR α/β and FGFR1-3 and given the role that each of these receptor kinases plays in tumor progression and metastasis formation, lucitanib has the potential benefit of targeting three relevant pro-angiogenic growth factors in targeted patient populations identified by molecular markers.

Clinical Development

The first-in-man clinical trial of lucitanib was initiated in Europe in July 2010. This initial trial was an open-label, dose-escalation, Phase I/IIa study to determine efficacy, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of oral lucitanib in adult patients with advanced solid tumors. A maximum tolerated dose (“MTD”) dose of 20mg QD was identified using a standard dose limiting toxicity window definition, but in the heavily pre-treated study population, toxicity-related dose reductions were frequent and, therefore, 15mg QD was adopted as a starting dose for the Phase II portion of the study. Overall, the toxicity profile observed to date is consistent with what was expected from non-clinical studies, with hypertension, proteinuria and subclinical hypothyroidism requiring supplementation being commonly observed. Other common treatment-related events include asthenia and gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea, abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting). Subsequent to MTD identification, a dose expansion phase was initiated in patients who were either FGFR or 11q amplified or angiogenesis inhibitor-sensitive. Six of 12 FGF-aberrant breast cancer patients achieved partial responses, some of which were confirmed, with additional responses seen across other tumor types. Median PFS for these heavily pre-treated breast cancer patients (median of six prior lines of therapy) was 9.4 months.
Development Strategy

Based on the initial signals of activity and safety described above, a Phase II program is underway exploring lucitanib in advanced breast cancer. A Clovis-sponsored study of lucitanib monotherapy in metastatic breast cancer initiated in 2014 and is expected to complete enrollment in early 2016. The goal of this study is to compare two doses of lucitanib, 10mg and 15mg, with PFS as the primary endpoint. In 2015, an interim analysis was completed, which indicated a difference in tolerability of the two doses. Based on this result, the go-forward dose for all lucitanib studies is 10mg. An ongoing Phase II study in advanced metastatic lung cancer is being discontinued due to low enrollment.

In parallel with our breast study underway in the U.S., Servier is conducting a Phase II study of lucitanib monotherapy in patients with advanced breast cancer. This ex-U.S. study, known as FINESSE, is expected to enroll approximately 100 patients into three cohorts: (1) FGFR-1 amplified, (2) 11q amplified and (3) neither FGFR-1 nor 11q amplified. This study seeks to determine whether the activity of lucitanib is limited to a biomarker-defined population of breast cancer tumors with FGF-aberrations or if a more broadly defined population may benefit.

As data emerge from ongoing studies, it appears that lucitanib’s VEGF inhibition may be the primary driver of its activity. Accordingly, we believe its future development will likely be focused in combination with other cancer therapies. In addition to evaluating lucitanib in breast cancer, we intend to explore it in combination with other agents and in other solid tumors, including ovarian and hepatocellular cancers.

Development costs for lucitanib incurred to date have been fully funded by Servier as part of its commitment to fund the first €80 million of development costs in accordance with the sub-license agreement (see License Agreements – Les Laboratoires Servier below). Based on current cost estimates, we expect that commitment will be fulfilled in late 2016 or early 2017, and thereafter, we will share equally in future development costs with Servier pursuant to a mutually agreed upon global development plan.

Competition

The development and commercialization of new drugs is competitive, and we face competition from major pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies worldwide. Our competitors may develop or market products or other novel technologies that are more effective, safer or less costly than any that have been or will be commercialized by us, or may obtain regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours.

The acquisition or licensing of pharmaceutical products is also very competitive, and a number of more established companies, which have acknowledged strategies to license or acquire products, may have competitive advantages over us, as may other emerging companies taking similar or different approaches to product acquisitions. Many of our competitors will have substantially greater financial, technical and human resources than we have. Additional mergers and acquisitions in the pharmaceutical industry may result in even more resources being concentrated in our competitors. Competition may increase further as a result of advances made in the commercial applicability of technologies and greater availability of capital for investment in these fields. Our success will be based in part on our ability to build and actively manage a portfolio of drugs that addresses unmet medical needs and creates value in patient therapy.

Rociletinib Competition

Tarceva®, Iressa® and Gilotrif® are currently approved drugs for the treatment of first-line EGFR-mutant NSCLC, and Tagrisso™ was approved in November 2015 for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC who have progressed on or after EGFR TKI therapy. Tagrisso™ is the first approved therapy for the treatment of EGFR mutant NSCLC who test positive for the T790M mutation. In February 2016, the European Commission granted conditional marketing approval to Tagrisso™ for the treatment of advanced NSCLC patients who test positive for the T790M mutation. In addition, we are aware of a number of other products in development targeting cancer-causing mutant forms of EGFR for the treatment of NSCLC patients. These products include Pfizer’s PF-06747775, currently in Phase I/II trials, Astellas Pharma’s ASP8273, currently in Phase I/II trials, Novartis’ EGF816, currently in Phase I/II trials, Hanmi Pharmaceutical’s and Boehringer Ingelheim’s BI-1482694 (HM61713), HM781-36B (Pozotinib), currently in Phase I/II trials, and Acea Bio (Hangzhou)’s avitinib and AC0010MA, currently in Phase I/II trials. Bristol Myers Squibb’s Opdivo® and Merck’s Keytruda®, both approved for second-line NSCLC, may also represent competition to rociletinib.
**Rucaparib Competition**

In late 2014, Lynparza™ (olaparib) was approved in the U.S. as monotherapy in patients with germline BRCA mutated advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy and in the EU for the maintenance treatment of BRCA mutated platinum-sensitive relapsed serous ovarian cancer. There are a number of other PARP inhibitors in clinical development including AbbVie’s ABT-888 (veliparib), currently in Phase III clinical trials, Tesaro, Inc.’s niraparib, currently in Phase III trials, Eisai’s E-7016, currently in Phase II trials and Medivation’s talazoparib (BMN-673), currently in Phase III trials.

**Lucitanib Competition**

There are currently no approved drugs that specifically inhibit each of VEGFR, PDGFR and FGFR; however, there are currently a number of oral antiangiogenic drugs that target one or a subset of those markers and are approved or in development for various solid tumors, including: nintedanib (Boehringer Ingelheim), lenvatinib (Eisai), sunitinib (Pfizer), sorafenib (Bayer), pazopanib (Novartis), axitinib (Pfizer) and cabozantinib (Exelixis).

**License Agreements**

**Celgene Corporation**

In May 2010, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with Avila Therapeutics, Inc. (now Celgene Avilomics Research Inc., part of Celgene Corporation (“Celgene”)) to discover, develop and commercialize a covalent inhibitor of mutant forms of the EGFR gene product. As a result of the collaboration contemplated by the agreement, rociletinib was identified as the lead inhibitor candidate, which we are developing under the terms of the license agreement. Under the agreement, we are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize rociletinib, and we are responsible for all non-clinical, clinical, regulatory and other activities necessary to develop and commercialize rociletinib.

We made an upfront payment of $2.0 million upon execution of the license agreement, a $4.0 million milestone payment in the first quarter of 2012 upon the acceptance by the FDA of our Investigational New Drug (“IND”) application for rociletinib and a $5.0 million milestone payment in the first quarter of 2014 upon the initiation of the Phase II study for rociletinib. In the third quarter of 2015, we made milestone payments totaling $12.0 million upon acceptance of the NDA and MAA for rociletinib by the FDA and EMA, respectively. We recognized all payments prior to commercial approval as acquired in-process research and development expense.

When and if commercial sales of rociletinib commence, we will pay Celgene tiered royalties at percentage rates ranging from mid-single digits to low teens based on annual net sales achieved. We are required to pay up to an additional aggregate of $98.0 million in development and regulatory milestone payments if certain clinical study objectives and regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved, including $15.0 million upon the first approval of an NDA by the FDA and $15.0 million upon the first approval of an MAA by the EMA. In addition, we are required to pay up to an aggregate of $120.0 million in sales milestone payments if certain annual sales targets are achieved, the majority of which relate to annual sales targets of $500.0 million and above.

We have full sublicensing rights under the license agreement, subject to our sharing equally with Celgene any upfront payments from any sub-licensing arrangements relating to Japan, or Japan and any one or more of China, South Korea and Taiwan, which we refer to herein as an Asian Partnership, and subject to our paying royalties on sales in Asia equal to the greater of the royalty rates contained in our license agreement or 50% of the royalties we receive from our Asian Partnership.

The license agreement will remain in effect until the expiration of all of our royalty and sublicense revenue obligations to Celgene, determined on a product-by-product and country-by-country basis, unless we elect to terminate the license agreement earlier. If we fail to meet our obligations under the agreement and are unable to cure such failure within specified time periods, Celgene can terminate the agreement, resulting in a loss of our rights to rociletinib and an obligation to assign or license to Celgene any intellectual property rights or other rights we may have in rociletinib, including our regulatory filings, regulatory approvals, patents and trademarks for rociletinib.

**Pfizer Inc.**

In June 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Pfizer Inc. to obtain the exclusive global rights to develop and commercialize rucaparib. The exclusive rights are exclusive even as to Pfizer and include the right to grant sublicenses. Under the terms of the license agreement, we made a $7.0 million upfront payment to Pfizer. In April 2014, the Company initiated the ARIEL3 pivotal registration study for rucaparib, which resulted in a $0.4 million milestone payment to Pfizer as required by the license agreement. This payment was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense.
We are obligated under the license agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize rucaparib, and we are responsible for all remaining development and commercialization costs for rucaparib. When and if commercial sales of rucaparib begin, we will pay Pfizer tiered royalties at a mid-teen percentage rate on our net sales, with standard provisions for royalty offsets to the extent we need to obtain any rights from third parties to commercialize rucaparib.

We are required to make regulatory milestone payments to Pfizer of up to an additional $88.5 million if specified clinical study objectives and regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved, including $20.75 million associated with the first approval of an NDA by the FDA. In addition, we are obligated to make sales milestone payments to Pfizer if specified annual sales targets for rucaparib are met, the majority of which relate to annual sales targets of $500.0 million and above, which, in the aggregate, could amount to total milestone payments of $170.0 million.

The license agreement with Pfizer will remain in effect until the expiration of all of our royalty and sublicense revenue obligations to Pfizer, determined on a product-by-product and country-by-country basis, unless we elect to terminate the agreement earlier. If we fail to meet our obligations under the agreement and are unable to cure such failure within specified time periods, Pfizer can terminate the agreement, resulting in a loss of our rights to rucaparib and an obligation to assign or license to Pfizer any intellectual property rights or other rights we may have in rucaparib, including our regulatory filings, regulatory approvals, patents and trademarks for rucaparib.

**Advenchen Laboratories LLC**

In October 2008, EOS entered into an exclusive license agreement with Advenchen Laboratories LLC (“Advenchen”) to develop and commercialize lucitanib on a global basis, excluding China. If and when commercial sales commence, we are obligated to pay Advenchen tiered royalties at percentage rates in the mid-single digits on net sales of lucitanib, based on the volume of annual net sales achieved. In addition, after giving effect to the first and second amendments to the license agreement, we are required to pay to Advenchen 25% of any consideration, excluding royalties, we receive from sublicensees, in lieu of the milestone obligations set forth in the agreement. We are obligated under the agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one product containing lucitanib, and we are also responsible for all remaining development and commercialization costs for lucitanib.

The license agreement with Advenchen will remain in effect until the expiration of all of our royalty obligations to Advenchen, determined on a product-by-product and country-by-country basis, unless we elect to terminate the agreement earlier. If we fail to meet our obligations under the agreement and are unable to cure such failure within specified time periods, Advenchen can terminate the agreement, resulting in a loss of our rights to lucitanib.

**Les Laboratoires Servier**

In September 2012, EOS entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Servier, whereby EOS sublicensed to Servier exclusive rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in all countries outside of the U.S., Japan and China. In exchange for these rights, EOS received an upfront payment of €45.0 million. We are entitled to receive additional payments on the achievement of specified development, regulatory and commercial milestones up to €100.0 million in the aggregate, €10.0 million of which was received in the first quarter of 2014. In addition, we are entitled to receive sales milestone payments if specified annual sales targets for lucitanib are met, each of which relates to annual sales targets of €250.0 million and above, which, in the aggregate, could amount to a total of €250.0 million. We are also entitled to receive royalties at percentage rates ranging from low to mid-teens on sales of lucitanib by Servier.

We, along with Servier, are obligated to use diligent efforts to develop a product containing lucitanib and to carry out the activities delegated to each party under a mutually-agreed global development plan. Servier is responsible for all of the development costs for lucitanib up to €80.0 million, as incurred by each party in connection with global development plan activities. Cumulative global development plan costs in excess of €80.0 million, if any, will be shared equally between the Company and Servier. Based on current estimates, we expect that Servier’s €80.0 million funding commitment will be fulfilled in late 2016 or early 2017, and thereafter, we and Servier will share in future development costs pursuant to a mutually agreed upon global development plan.

The collaboration and license agreement will remain in effect until the expiration of all of Servier’s royalty obligations to us, determined on a product-by-product and country-by-country basis, unless Servier elects to terminate the agreement earlier. If we fail to meet our obligations under the agreement and are unable to cure such failure within specified time periods, Servier can terminate the agreement, resulting in the granting of a perpetual license to Servier of rights to lucitanib.
Government Regulation

Government authorities in the United States (including federal, state and local authorities) and in other countries, extensively regulate, among other things, the manufacturing, research and clinical development, marketing, labeling and packaging, storage, distribution, post-approval monitoring and reporting, advertising and promotion, pricing and export and import of pharmaceutical products, such as those we are developing. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Moreover, failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements may result in, among other things, warning letters, clinical holds, civil or criminal penalties, recall or seizure of products, injunction, disbarment, partial or total suspension of production or withdrawal of the product from the market. Any agency or judicial enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us.

U.S. Government Regulation

In the United States, the FDA regulates drugs under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (“FDCA”) and its implementing regulations. Drugs are also subject to other federal, state and local statutes and regulations. The process required by the FDA before product candidates may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- submission to the FDA of an IND which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin and must be updated annually;
- completion of extensive non-clinical laboratory tests and non-clinical animal studies, all performed in accordance with the FDA’s Good Laboratory Practice regulations;
- performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for each proposed indication;
- submission to the FDA of an NDA after completion of all pivotal clinical trials;
- a determination by the FDA within 60 days of its receipt of an NDA to file the NDA for review;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facilities at which the active pharmaceutical ingredient (“API”) and finished drug product are produced and tested to assess compliance with Current Good Manufacturing Practices (“cGMP”); and
- FDA review and approval of an NDA prior to any commercial marketing or sale of the drug in the United States.

An IND is a request for authorization from the FDA to administer an investigational drug product to humans. The central focus of an IND submission is on the general investigational plan and the protocol(s) for human studies. The IND also includes results of animal studies or other human studies, as appropriate, as well as manufacturing information, analytical data and any available clinical data or literature to support the use of the investigational new drug. An IND must become effective before human clinical trials may begin. An IND will automatically become effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless before that time the FDA raises concerns or questions related to the proposed clinical trials. In such a case, the IND may be placed on clinical hold and the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns or questions before clinical trials can begin. Accordingly, submission of an IND may or may not result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to commence.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational drug to human subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with Good Clinical Practices (“GCPs”), which include the requirement that all research subjects provide their informed consent for their participation in any clinical trial. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the study, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety and the efficacy criteria to be evaluated. A protocol for each clinical trial and any subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Additionally, approval must also be obtained from each clinical trial site’s Institutional Review Board (“IRB”) before the trials may be initiated, and the IRB must monitor the study until completed. There are also requirements governing the reporting of ongoing clinical trials and clinical trial results to public registries.

The clinical investigation of a drug is generally divided into three phases. Although the phases are usually conducted sequentially, they may overlap or be combined. The three phases of an investigation are as follows:

- **Phase I.** Phase I includes the initial introduction of an investigational new drug into humans. Phase I clinical trials are typically closely monitored and may be conducted in patients with the target disease or condition or in healthy volunteers. These studies are designed to evaluate the safety, dosage tolerance, metabolism and pharmacologic actions of the investigational drug in humans, the side effects associated with increasing doses, and if possible, to gain early evidence on effectiveness. During Phase I clinical trials, sufficient information about the investigational drug’s pharmacokinetics and pharmacological effects may be obtained to permit the design of well-controlled and scientifically valid Phase 2 clinical trials. The total number of participants included in Phase I clinical trials varies, but is generally in the range of 20 to 80.
• **Phase II.** Phase II include s controlled clinical trials conducted to preliminarily or further evaluate the effectiveness of the investigational drug for a particular indication(s) in patients with the disease or condition under study, to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage, and to identify possible adverse side effects and safety risks associated with the drug. Phase II clinical trials are typically well-controlled, closely monitored, and conducted in a limited patient population, usually involving no more than several hundred participants.

• **Phase III.** Phase III clinical trials are generally controlled clinical trials conducted in an expanded patient population generally at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites. They are performed after preliminary evidence suggesting effectiveness of a use of a product, and are intended to further evaluate dosage, clinical effectiveness and safety, to establish the overall benefit-risk relationship of the investigational drug product and to provide an adequate basis for product approval. Phase III clinical trials usually involve several hundred to several thousand participants.

A pivotal study is a clinical study which adequately meets regulatory agency requirements for the evaluation of a drug candidate’s efficacy and safety such that it can be used to justify the approval of the product. Generally, pivotal studies are also Phase III studies but may be Phase II studies if the trial design provides a well-controlled and reliable assessment of clinical benefit, particularly in situations where there is an unmet medical need.

The FDA, the IRB or the clinical trial sponsor may suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Additionally, some clinical trials are overseen by an independent group of qualified experts organized by the clinical trial sponsor, known as a data safety monitoring board or committee. This group provides authorization for whether or not a trial may move forward at designated check points based on access to certain data from the study. We may also suspend or terminate a clinical trial based on evolving business objectives and/or competitive climate.

Assuming successful completion of all required testing in accordance with all applicable regulatory requirements, detailed investigational drug product information is submitted to the FDA in the form of an NDA requesting approval to market the product for one or more indications.

The application includes all relevant data available from pertinent non-clinical and clinical trials, including negative or ambiguous results, as well as positive findings, together with detailed information relating to the product’s chemistry, manufacturing, controls and proposed labeling, among other things. Data can come from company-sponsored clinical trials intended to test the safety and effectiveness of a use of a product, or from a number of alternative sources, including studies initiated by investigators. To support marketing approval, the data submitted must be sufficient in quality and quantity to establish the safety and effectiveness of the investigational drug product to the satisfaction of the FDA.

Once the NDA submission has been accepted for filing, the FDA’s goal is to review applications within 10 months of submission or, if the application relates to an unmet medical need in a serious or life-threatening indication, six months from submission. The review process is often significantly extended by FDA requests for additional information or clarification. The FDA may refer the application to an advisory committee for review, evaluation and recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee, but it typically follows such recommendations.

After the FDA evaluates the NDA and conducts inspections of manufacturing facilities where the drug product and/or its API will be produced, it may issue an approval letter or a Complete Response Letter. An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug with specific prescribing information for specific indications. A Complete Response Letter indicates that the review cycle of the application is complete, and the application is not ready for approval. A Complete Response Letter may require additional clinical data and/or an additional pivotal Phase III clinical trial(s), and/or other significant, expensive and time-consuming requirements related to clinical trials, non-clinical studies or manufacturing. Even if such additional information is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the NDA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. The FDA could also approve the NDA with a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies plan to mitigate risks, which could include medication guides, physician communication plans or elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries and other risk minimization tools. The FDA also may condition approval on, among other things, changes to proposed labeling, development of adequate controls and specifications or a commitment to conduct one or more post-market studies or clinical trials. Such post-market testing may include Phase IV clinical trials and surveillance to further assess and monitor the product’s safety and effectiveness after commercialization. Regulatory approval of oncology products often requires that patients in clinical trials be followed for long periods to determine the overall survival benefit of the drug.
After regulatory approval of a drug product is obtained, we are required to comply with a number of post-approval requirements. As a holder of an approved NDA, we would be required to report, among other things, certain adverse reactions and production problems to the FDA, to provide updated safety and efficacy information and to comply with requirements concerning advertising and promotional labeling for any of our products. Also, quality control and manufacturing procedures must continue to conform to cGMP after approval to ensure and preserve the long term stability of the drug product. The FDA periodically inspects manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with cGMP, which imposes extensive procedural, substantive and record keeping requirements. In addition, changes to the manufacturing process are strictly regulated, and, depending on the significance of the change, may require prior FDA approval before being implemented. FDA regulations also require investigation and correction of any deviations from cGMP and impose reporting and documentation requirements upon us and any third-party manufacturers that we may decide to use. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain compliance with cGMP and other aspects of regulatory compliance.

We rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of our product candidates. Future FDA and state inspections may identify compliance issues at our facilities or at the facilities of our contract manufacturers that may disrupt production or distribution or require substantial resources to correct. In addition, discovery of previously unknown problems with a product or the failure to comply with applicable requirements may result in restrictions on a product, manufacturer or holder of an approved NDA, including withdrawal or recall of the product from the market or other voluntary, FDA-initiated or judicial action that could delay or prohibit further marketing. Newly discovered or developed safety or effectiveness data may require changes to a product’s approved labeling, including the addition of new warnings and contraindications and also may require the implementation of other risk management measures. Also, new government requirements, including those resulting from new legislation, may be established or the FDA’s policies may change, which could delay or prevent regulatory approval of our products under development.

**Europe/Rest of World Government Regulation**

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of regulations in other jurisdictions governing, among other things, clinical trials and any commercial sales and distribution of our products.

Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain the requisite approvals from regulatory authorities in foreign countries prior to the commencement of clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. Certain countries outside of the United States have a similar process that requires the submission of a clinical trial application much like the IND prior to the commencement of human clinical trials. In Europe, for example, a clinical trial application, (“CTA”) must be submitted to each country’s national health authority and an independent ethics committee, much like the FDA and IRB, respectively. Once the CTA is approved in accordance with a country’s requirements, clinical trial development may proceed.

The requirements and process governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, the clinical trials are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

To obtain regulatory approval of an investigational drug under European Union regulatory systems, we must submit a marketing authorization application. The application used to file the NDA in the United States is similar to that required in Europe, with the exception of, among other things, country-specific document requirements.

For other countries outside of the European Union, such as countries in Eastern Europe, Latin America or Asia, the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, again, the clinical trials are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

If we fail to comply with applicable foreign regulatory requirements, we may be subject to, among other things, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution.

**Available Special Regulatory Procedures**

**Formal Meetings**

We are encouraged to engage and seek guidance from health authorities relating to the development and review of investigational drugs, as well as marketing applications. In the United States, there are different types of official meetings that may occur between us and the FDA. Each meeting type is subject to different procedures. Conclusions and agreements from each of these meetings are captured in the official final meeting minutes issued by the FDA.

The EMA also provides the opportunity for dialogue with us. This is usually done in the form of Scientific Advice, which is given by the Scientific Advice Working Party of the CHMP. A fee is incurred with each Scientific Advice meeting.
Advice from either the FDA or EMA is typically provided based on questions concerning, for example, quality (chemistry, manufacturing and controls testing), nonclinical testing and clinical studies and pharmacovigilance plans and risk-management programs. Such advice is not legally binding on the sponsor. To obtain binding commitments from health authorities in the United States and the European Union, SPA or Protocol Assistance procedures are available. A SPA is an evaluation by the FDA of a protocol with the goal of reaching an agreement with the sponsor that the protocol design, clinical endpoints and statistical analyses are acceptable to support regulatory approval of the product candidate with respect to effectiveness in the indication studied. The FDA’s agreement to a SPA is binding upon the FDA except in limited circumstances, such as if the FDA identifies a substantial scientific issue essential to determining the safety or effectiveness of the product after clinical studies begin, or if the study sponsor fails to follow the protocol that was agreed upon with the FDA. There is no guarantee that a study will ultimately be adequate to support an approval even if the study is subject to a SPA.

Orphan Drug Designation

The FDA may grant orphan drug designation to drugs intended to treat a rare disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, or if it affects more than 200,000 individuals in the United States, there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making the drug for this type of disease or condition will be recovered from sales in the United States. In the European Union, the EMA’s Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products grants orphan drug designation to promote the development of products that are intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a life-threatening or chronically debilitating conditions affecting not more than five in 10,000 persons in the European Union Community. Additionally, designation is granted for products intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a life-threatening, seriously debilitating or serious and chronic condition and when, without incentives, it is unlikely that sales of the drug in the European Union would be sufficient to justify the necessary investment in developing the drug or biological product.

In the United States, orphan drug designation entitles a party to financial incentives such as opportunities for grant funding towards clinical trial costs, tax advantages and user-fee waivers. In addition, if a product receives the first FDA approval for the indication for which it has orphan designation, the product is entitled to orphan drug exclusivity, which means the FDA may not approve any other application to market the same drug for the same indication for a period of seven years, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority over the product with orphan exclusivity.

In the European Union, orphan drug designation also entitles a party to financial incentives such as reduction of fees or fee waivers and 10 years of market exclusivity is granted following drug or biological product approval. This period may be reduced to six years if the orphan drug designation criteria are no longer met, including where it is shown that the product is sufficiently profitable not to justify maintenance of market exclusivity.

Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting an application for marketing approval. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process.

Pediatric Development

In the United States, the FDCA provides for an additional six months of marketing exclusivity for a drug if reports are filed of investigations studying the use of the drug product in a pediatric population in response to a written request from the FDA. Separate from this potential exclusivity benefit, NDAs must contain data (or a proposal for post-marketing activity) to assess the safety and effectiveness of an investigational drug product for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric populations in order to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the drug is safe and effective. The FDA may, on its own initiative or at the request of the applicant, grant deferrals for submission of some or all pediatric data until after approval of the product for use in adults or full or partial waivers if certain criteria are met. Discussions about pediatric development plans can be discussed with the FDA at any time, but usually occur any time between the end-of-Phase II meeting and submission of the NDA.

For the EMA, a Pediatric Investigation Plan, and/or a request for waiver or deferral, is required for submission prior to submitting a marketing authorization application.

Authorization Procedures in the European Union

Medicines can be authorized in the European Union by using either the centralized authorization procedure or national authorization procedures.

- Centralized procedure. The EMA implemented the centralized procedure for the approval of human medicines to facilitate marketing authorizations that are valid throughout the European Union. This procedure results in a single marketing authorization issued by the EMA that is valid across the European Union, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. The centralized procedure is compulsory for human medicines that are: derived from biotechnology processes, such as genetic engineering, contain a new active substance indicated for the treatment of certain diseases, such as HIV/AIDS, cancer, diabetes, neurodegenerative disorders or autoimmune diseases and other immune dysfunctions, and officially designated orphan medicines.
For medicines that do not fall within these categories, an applicant has the option of submitting an application for a centralized marketing authorization to the EMA, as long as the medicine concerned is a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation, or if its authorization would be in the interest of public health.

- **National authorization procedures.** There are also two other possible routes to authorize medicinal products in several countries, which are available for investigational drug products that fall outside the scope of the centralized procedure:

  - **Decentralized procedure.** Using the decentralized procedure, an applicant may apply for simultaneous authorization in more than one European Union country of medicinal products that have not yet been authorized in any European Union country and that do not fall within the mandatory scope of the centralized procedure.

  - **Mutual recognition procedure.** In the mutual recognition procedure, a medicine is first authorized in one European Union Member State, in accordance with the national procedures of that country. Following this, further marketing authorizations can be sought from other European Union countries in a procedure whereby the countries concerned agree to recognize the validity of the original, national marketing authorization.

**Breakthrough Therapy Designation in the United States**

The U.S. Congress created the Breakthrough Therapy designation program as a result of the passage of the Food and Drug Administration Safety Act of 2012. FDA may grant Breakthrough Therapy status to a drug intended for the treatment of a serious condition when preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints. The Breakthrough Therapy designation, which may be requested by a sponsor when filing or amending an IND, is intended to facilitate and expedite the development and FDA review of a product candidate. Specifically, the Breakthrough Therapy designation may entitle the sponsor to more frequent meetings with FDA during drug development, intensive guidance on clinical trial design and expedited FDA review by a cross-disciplinary team comprised of senior managers. The designation does not guarantee a faster development or review time as compared to other drugs, however, nor does it assure that the drug will obtain ultimate marketing approval by the FDA. Once granted, the FDA may withdraw this designation at any time.

We have received Breakthrough Therapy designation for rociletinib for the treatment of second-line EGFR mutant NSCLC in patients with the T790M mutation and for rucaparib as monotherapy treatment of advanced ovarian cancer in patients who have received at least two lines of prior platinum-containing therapy, with BRCA-mutated tumors, inclusive of both germline BRCA and somatic BRCA. Because the Breakthrough Therapy designation program is relatively new, it is difficult for us to predict the effect that this designation will have on the development and FDA review of rociletinib or rucaparib.

**Expedited Review and Approval in the United States**

The FDA has various programs, including Fast Track, priority review and accelerated approval, which are intended to expedite or simplify the process for reviewing drugs and biologics, and/or provide for the approval of a drug or biologic on the basis of a surrogate endpoint. Even if a drug qualifies for one or more of these programs, the FDA may later decide that the drug no longer meets the conditions for qualification or that the time period for FDA review or approval will be shortened. Generally, drugs that are eligible for these programs are those for serious or life-threatening conditions, those with the potential to address unmet medical needs and those that offer meaningful benefits over existing treatments. For example, based on results of the Phase III clinical trial(s) submitted in an NDA, upon the request of an applicant, the FDA may grant the NDA a priority review designation, which sets the target date for FDA action on the application at six months, rather than to the standard FDA review period of 10 months. Priority review is granted where preliminary estimates indicate that a product, if approved, has the potential to provide a safe and effective therapy where no satisfactory alternative therapy exists, or a significant improvement compared to marketed products is possible. Priority review designation does not change the scientific/medical standard for approval or the quality of evidence necessary to support approval.

Accelerated approval provides for an earlier approval for a new drug that is intended to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition upon a determination that the product has an effect on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit and is better than available therapy. A surrogate endpoint is a laboratory measurement or physical sign used as an indirect or substitute measurement representing a clinically meaningful outcome. The FDA will also take into account the severity, rarity or prevalence of the condition. As a condition of approval for drugs granted accelerated approval, one or more post-marketing confirmatory studies are required to confirm as predicted by the surrogate marker trial an effect on clinical benefit, which is defined as having a positive effect on how a patient feels, functions or survives.
Under the Centralized Procedure in the European Union, the maximum timeframe for the evaluation of a marketing authorization application is 210 days (excluding clock stops, when additional written or oral information is to be provided by the applicant in response to questions asked by the CHMP). Accelerated evaluation might be granted by the CHMP in exceptional cases, when a medicinal product is expected to be of a major public health interest, defined by three cumulative criteria: the seriousness of the disease (e.g. heavy disabling or life-threatening diseases) to be treated; the absence or insufficiency of an appropriate alternative therapeutic approach; and anticipation of high therapeutic benefit. In this circumstance, EMA ensures that the opinion of the CHMP is given within 150 days of submission of the MAA, excluding clock stops.

Pharmaceutical Coverage, Pricing and Reimbursement

Significant uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of any drug products for which we obtain regulatory approval. In the United States and markets in other countries, sales of any products for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale will depend in part on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors. Third-party payors include government health administrative authorities, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. The process for determining whether a payor will provide coverage for a drug product may be separate from the process for setting the price or reimbursement rate that the payor will pay for the drug product. Third-party payors may limit coverage to specific drug products on an approved list, or formulary, which might not include all of the FDA-approved drugs for a particular indication. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price and examining the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of medical products and services, in addition to their safety and efficacy. We may need to conduct expensive pharmacoeconomic studies in order to demonstrate the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of our products, in addition to the costs required to obtain FDA approvals. Our product candidates may not be considered medically necessary or cost-effective. A payor’s decision to provide coverage for a drug product does not imply that an adequate reimbursement rate will be established. Adequate third-party reimbursement may not be available to enable us to maintain price levels sufficient to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development.

There have been a number of federal and state proposals in recent years regarding the pricing of pharmaceutical products, government control and other changes to the healthcare system of the United States. The U.S. government enacted legislation providing a partial prescription drug benefit for Medicare beneficiaries. Government payment for some of the costs of prescription drugs may increase demand for any products for which we receive marketing approval; however, to obtain payments under this program, we would be required to sell products to Medicare recipients through prescription drug plans operating pursuant to this legislation. These plans will likely negotiate discounted prices for our products. Additionally, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (collectively, the “Affordable Care Act”) was enacted in 2010 with a goal of reducing the cost of healthcare and substantially changing the way healthcare is financed by both government and private insurers. Among other cost containment measures, the Affordable Care Act established:

- An annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports certain branded prescription drugs and biologic agents;
- A Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which pharmaceutical manufacturers who wish to have their drugs covered under Part D must offer discounts to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period (the “donut hole”); and
- A formula that increases the rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program.

We expect that federal, state and local governments in the United States will continue to consider legislation to limit the growth of healthcare costs, including the cost of prescription drugs. Future legislation could limit payments for pharmaceuticals such as the drug candidates that we are developing.

Different pricing and reimbursement schemes exist in other countries. In the European Community, governments influence the price of pharmaceutical products through their pricing and reimbursement rules and control of national health care systems that fund a large part of the cost of those products to consumers. Some jurisdictions operate positive and negative list systems under which products may only be marketed once a reimbursement price has been agreed. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval, some of these countries may require the completion of clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of a particular product candidate to currently available therapies. Other member states allow companies to fix their own prices for medicines, but monitor and control company profits. The downward pressure on health care costs in general, particularly prescription drugs, has become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products. In addition, in some countries, cross-border imports from low-priced markets exert a commercial pressure on pricing within a country.
The marketability of any products for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale may suffer if the government and third-party payors fail to provide adequate coverage and reimbursement. In addition, emphasis on reducing the rate of healthcare spending in the United States has increased, and we expect will continue to increase the pressure on pharmaceutical pricing. Coverage policies and third-party reimbursement rates may change at any time. Even if favorable coverage and reimbursement status is attained for one or more products for which we receive regulatory approval, less favorable coverage policies and reimbursement rates may be implemented in the future. The implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability or commercialize our products.

Advertising and Promotion

The FDA and other U.S. federal regulatory agencies closely regulate the marketing and promotion of drugs through, among other things, the FDCA and the FDA’s implementing regulations and standards. The FDA’s review of marketing and promotional activities encompasses, but is not limited to, direct-to-consumer advertising, healthcare provider-directed advertising and promotion, sales representative communications to healthcare professionals, communications regarding unapproved or “off-label” uses, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities and promotional activities involving the internet. A product cannot be commercially promoted before it is approved. After approval, product promotion can include only those claims relating to safety and effectiveness that are consistent with the labeling approved by the FDA. FDA regulations impose stringent restrictions on manufacturers’ communications regarding off-label uses. Failure to comply with applicable FDA requirements and restrictions regarding unapproved uses of a drug or for other violations of its advertising and labeling laws and regulations, may result in adverse publicity and enforcement action by the FDA, the Department of Justice or the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services, as well as state authorities. A range of penalties are possible that could have a significant commercial consequences, including product seizures, injunctions, civil and/or criminal fines and agreements that materially restrict the manner in which a company promotes or distributes its products or regulatory letters, which may require corrective advertising or other corrective communications to healthcare professionals.

Other Healthcare Laws and Compliance Requirements

If we obtain regulatory approval for any of our product candidates, we may be subject to various federal and state laws targeting fraud and abuse in the healthcare industry. For example, in the United States, there are federal and state anti-kickback laws that prohibit the payment or receipt of kickbacks, bribes or other remuneration intended to induce the purchase or recommendation of healthcare products and services or reward past purchases or recommendations. Violations of these laws can lead to civil and criminal penalties, including fines, imprisonment and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce either the referral of an individual, or the furnishing, recommending, or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The reach of the Anti-Kickback Statute was broadened by the Affordable Care Act, which, among other things, amended the intent requirement of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the applicable criminal healthcare fraud statutes contained within 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b. Pursuant to the statutory amendment, a person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. In addition, the Affordable Care Act provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the civil False Claims Act (discussed below) or the civil monetary penalties statute. Many states have adopted laws similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, some of which apply to the referral of patients for healthcare items or services reimbursed by any source, not only the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

The federal False Claims Act imposes liability on any person who, among other things, knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment by a federal program, including federal healthcare programs. The “qui tam” provisions of the False Claims Act allow a private individual to bring civil actions on behalf of the federal government alleging that the defendant has submitted a false claim to the federal government, and to share in any monetary recovery. In addition, various states have enacted false claims laws analogous to the False Claims Act. Many of these state laws apply where a claim is submitted to any third-party payer and not merely a federal healthcare program. When an entity is determined to have violated the False Claims Act, it may be required to pay up to three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus civil penalties.

In addition to the laws described above, the Affordable Care Act also imposed new reporting requirements on drug manufacturers for payments made to physicians and teaching hospitals, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. Failure to submit required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to an aggregate of $150,000 per year (or up to an aggregate of $1.0 million per year for “knowing failures”), for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests that are not timely, accurately and completely reported in an annual submission. Applicable drug manufacturers are required to collect data for each calendar year and submit reports to CMS by March 31st of each subsequent calendar year.
Also, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”) created several new federal crimes, including health care fraud and false statements relating to health care matters. The health care fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any health care benefit program, including private third-party payers. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for health care benefits, items or services. In addition, we may be subject to, or our marketing activities may be limited by, HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (“HITECH”) and its implementing regulations, which established uniform standards for certain “covered entities” (healthcare providers, health plans and healthcare clearinghouses) and their business associates governing the conduct of certain electronic healthcare transactions and protecting the security and privacy of protected health information.

Regulation of Diagnostic Tests

In the United States, the FDCA and its implementing regulations, and other federal and state statutes and regulations govern, among other things, medical device design and development, non-clinical and clinical testing, premarket clearance or approval, registration and listing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, advertising and promotion, sales and distribution, export and import, and post-market surveillance. Diagnostic tests are classified as medical devices under the FDCA. Unless an exemption applies, diagnostic tests require marketing clearance or approval from the FDA prior to commercial distribution. The two primary types of FDA marketing authorization applicable to a medical device are premarket notification, also called 510(k) clearance, and premarket approval, or PMA approval. Because the diagnostic tests being developed by our third-party collaborators are of substantial importance in preventing impairment of human health, they are subject to the PMA approval process.

PMA applications must be supported by valid scientific evidence, which typically requires extensive data, including technical, non-clinical, clinical and manufacturing data, to demonstrate to the FDA’s satisfaction the safety and effectiveness of the device. For diagnostic tests, a PMA application typically includes data regarding analytical and clinical validation studies. As part of its review of the PMA, the FDA will conduct a pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities to ensure compliance with the Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which requires manufacturers to follow design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures. FDA review of an initial PMA application is required by statute to take between six to ten months, although the process typically takes longer, and may require several years to complete. If the FDA evaluations of both the PMA application and the manufacturing facilities are favorable, the FDA will either issue an approval letter or an approvable letter, which usually contains a number of conditions that must be met in order to secure the final approval of the PMA. If the FDA’s evaluation of the PMA or manufacturing facilities is not favorable, the FDA will deny approval of the PMA or issue a not approvable letter. A not approvable letter will outline the deficiencies in the application and, where practical, will identify what is necessary to make the PMA approvable. The FDA may also determine that additional clinical trials are necessary, in which case the PMA approval may be delayed for several months or years while the trials are conducted and then the data submitted in an amendment to the PMA. Once granted, PMA approval may be withdrawn by the FDA if compliance with post approval requirements, conditions of approval or other regulatory standards is not maintained or problems are identified following initial marketing.

We and our third-party collaborators who are developing the companion diagnostics will work cooperatively to generate the data required for submission with the PMA application, and will remain in close contact with the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (“CDRH”) at the FDA to ensure that any changes in requirements are incorporated into the development plans. We anticipate that meetings with the FDA with regard to our drug product candidates, as well as companion diagnostic product candidates, will include representatives from the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and CDRH to ensure that the NDA and PMA submissions are coordinated to enable FDA to conduct a parallel review of both submissions. On July 14, 2011, the FDA issued its final guidance document addressing the development and approval process for “In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices.” According to the guidance, for novel therapeutic products such as our product candidates, the PMA for a companion diagnostic device should be developed and approved or cleared contemporaneously with the therapeutic. We believe our programs for the development of our companion diagnostics are consistent with this guidance.

In the European Economic Area (“EEA”), in vitro medical devices are required to conform with the essential requirements of the E.U. Directive on in vitro diagnostic medical devices (Directive No 98/79/EC, as amended). To demonstrate compliance with the essential requirements, the manufacturer must undergo a conformity assessment procedure. The conformity assessment varies according to the type of medical device and its classification. For low-risk devices, the conformity assessment can be carried out internally, but for higher risk devices it requires the intervention of an accredited EEA Notified Body. If successful, the conformity assessment concludes with the drawing up by the manufacturer of an EC Declaration of Conformity entitling the manufacturer to affix the CE mark to its products and to sell them throughout the EEA. The data generated for the U.S. registration will be sufficient to satisfy the regulatory requirements for the European Union and other countries.
Patents and Proprietary Rights

The proprietary nature of, and protection for, our product candidates, processes and know-how are important to our business. Our success depends in part on our ability to protect the proprietary nature of our product candidates, technology, and know-how, to operate without infringing on the proprietary rights of others, and to prevent others from infringing our proprietary rights. We seek patent protection in the United States and internationally for our product candidates and other technology. Our policy is to patent or in-license the technology, inventions and improvements that we consider important to the development of our business. We also rely on trade secrets, know-how and continuing innovation to develop and maintain our competitive position. We cannot be sure that patents will be granted with respect to any of our pending patent applications or with respect to any patent applications filed by us in the future, nor can we be sure that any of our existing patents or any patents granted to us in the future will be commercially useful in protecting our technology.

In May 2010, we acquired an exclusive, worldwide license to rociletinib from Avila Therapeutics, Inc. (now Celgene Avilomics Research Inc., part of Celgene Corporation). U.S. Patent 8,975,927, directed to rociletinib composition of matter, expires in 2032 and U.S. Patent 9,108,927, directed to rociletinib HBr salts and polymorphs, expires in 2033. Other patent applications that are pending claim rociletinib generically that, if issued, would have expiration dates in 2029. In January 2013, we acquired from Gatekeeper Pharmaceuticals, Inc. an exclusive worldwide sub-license to a Dana Farber patent family having claims directed to wild-type sparing irreversible EGFR inhibitors, such as rociletinib. We or our licensors have filed additional patent applications related to rociletinib methods of use, metabolites, combinations, diagnostic methods and dosing regimens.

In June 2011, we obtained an exclusive, worldwide license from Pfizer to develop and commercialize rucaparib. U.S. Patent 6,495,541, and its equivalent counterparts issued or pending in dozens of countries, directed to the rucaparib composition of matter, expire in 2020 and are potentially eligible for up to five years patent term extension in various jurisdictions. We believe that patent term extension under the Hatch-Waxman Act could be available to extend our patent exclusivity for rucaparib to at least 2024 in the United States depending on timing of our first approval. In Europe, we believe that patent term extension under a supplementary protection certificate could be available for an additional five years to at least 2025. In April 2012, we obtained an exclusive license from AstraZeneca under a family of patents and patent applications which will permit the development and commercialization of rucaparib for certain methods of treating patients with PARP inhibitors. Additionally, other patents and patent applications are directed to methods of making, methods of using, dosing regimens, various salt and polymorphic forms and formulations and have expiration dates ranging from 2020 through 2035.

We obtained rights to lucitanib by acquiring EOS in November 2013, along with its license agreements with Advenchen and Servier. In October 2008, EOS entered into an exclusive license agreement with Advenchen to develop and commercialize lucitanib on a global basis, excluding China. In September 2012, EOS entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Servier whereby EOS sublicensed to Servier exclusive rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in all countries outside of the U.S., Japan and China. Composition of matter and method of use patent protection for lucitanib and a group of structurally-related compounds is issued in the U.S., Europe and Japan and is issued or pending in other jurisdictions. In the U.S., the composition of matter patent will expire in 2030, and in other jurisdictions, it expires in 2028. We believe that patent term extension could be available to extend our composition of matter patent up to five years beyond the scheduled expiration under the Hatch-Waxman Act. Additionally, patents or patent applications directed to methods of manufacturing lucitanib are issued or pending in the United States, Europe, Japan, and China.

In addition, we intend to seek patent protection whenever available for any products or product candidates and related technology we acquire in the future.

The patent positions of pharmaceutical firms like us are generally uncertain and involve complex legal, scientific and factual questions. In addition, the coverage claimed in a patent application can be significantly reduced before the patent is issued. Consequently, we do not know whether any of the product candidates we acquire or license will gain patent protection or, if any patents are issued, whether they will provide significant proprietary protection or will be challenged, circumvented or invalidated. Because patent applications in the United States and certain other jurisdictions are maintained in secrecy for 18 months, and since publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries, until that time we cannot be certain that we were the first to file any patent application related to our product candidates. Moreover, we may have to participate in interference proceedings declared by the U.S. PTO to determine priority of invention or in opposition or other third-party proceedings in the U.S. or a foreign patent office, either of which could result in substantial cost to us, even if the eventual outcome is favorable to us. There can be no assurance that the patents, if issued, would be held valid by a court of competent jurisdiction. An adverse outcome in a third-party patent dispute could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from third parties or require us to cease using specific compounds or technology.
The term of individual patents depends upon the legal term of the patents in the countries in which they are obtained. In most countries in which we file, the patent term is 20 years from the earliest date of filing a non-provisional patent application. In the United States, a patent’s term may be lengthened by patent term adjustment, which compensates a patentee for administrative delays by the U.S. PTO in granting a patent, or may be shortened if a patent is terminally disclaimed over another patent.

The patent term of a patent that covers a FDA-approved drug may also be eligible for patent term extension, which permits patent term restoration as compensation for the patent term lost during the FDA regulatory review process. The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (“Hatch-Waxman Act”) permits a patent term extension of up to five years beyond the expiration of the patent. The length of the patent term extension is related to the length of time the drug is under regulatory review. Patent extension cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the date of product approval and only one patent applicable to an approved drug may be extended. Similar provisions are available in Europe and other non-U.S. jurisdictions to extend the term of a patent that covers an approved drug. In the future, if and when our pharmaceutical products receive FDA approval, we expect to apply for patent term extensions on patents covering those products.

To protect our rights to any of our issued patents and proprietary information, we may need to litigate against infringing third parties, or avail ourselves of the courts or participate in hearings to determine the scope and validity of those patents or other proprietary rights. These types of proceedings are often costly and could be very time-consuming to us, and we cannot assure you that the deciding authorities will rule in our favor. An unfavorable decision could allow third parties to use our technology without being required to pay us licensing fees or may compel us to license needed technologies to a third-party. Such a decision could even result in the invalidation or a limitation in the scope of our patents or forfeiture of the rights associated with our patents or pending patent applications. To the extent prudent, we intend to bring litigation against third parties that we believe are infringing one or more of our patents.

In addition we have sought and intend to continue seeking orphan drug status whenever it is available. If a product which has an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first regulatory approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity, meaning that the applicable regulatory authority may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication, except in certain very limited circumstances, for a period of seven years in the United States and ten years in the European Union. Orphan drug designation does not prevent competitors from developing or marketing different drugs for an indication.

We also rely on trade secret protection for our confidential and proprietary information. No assurance can be given that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology, or that we can meaningfully protect our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information.

Manufacturing

We currently contract with third parties for the manufacture of our product candidates for non-clinical studies and clinical trials and intend to do so in the future. We currently have a long-term agreement with a third-party contract manufacturing organization (“CMO”) for the production of the active ingredient for rucaparib. For contract manufacturers not under long-term agreements, we currently obtain our supplies of active ingredients and finished drug product through individual purchase orders. We do not own or operate manufacturing facilities for the production of clinical quantities of our product candidates. We currently have no plans to build our own clinical or commercial scale manufacturing capabilities. To meet our projected needs for commercial manufacturing, third parties with whom we currently work will need to increase their scale of production or we will need to secure alternate suppliers. Although we rely on contract manufacturers, we have personnel with extensive manufacturing experience to oversee the relationships with our contract manufacturers.
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The active pharmaceutical ingredient for rociletinib is currently being manufactured by two CMOs, each at a single site. The current drug substance production process has already been sufficiently developed to satisfy immediate clinical demands. Additional scale-up work and/or additional production capacity may be necessary to support larger clinical development or commercialization requirements.

We have developed the process for manufacturing rucaparib's active pharmaceutical ingredient to a degree sufficient to meet clinical demands and projected commercial requirements. Manufacturing of rucaparib drug substance is being performed at a single CMO. The rucaparib drug product formulation and manufacturing process to produce that formulation have been developed to a degree sufficient to meet clinical demands. Additional development work is being performed to optimize the drug product formulation and manufacturing process to meet projected commercial requirements. A single third-party contract manufacturer capable of both formulation development and drug product manufacturing is currently producing rucaparib drug product. To date, our third-party manufacturers have met our manufacturing requirements. We expect third-party manufacturers to be capable of providing sufficient quantities of our product candidates to meet anticipated full scale commercial demands.

The active pharmaceutical ingredient for lucitanib is currently being produced by a third-party supplier. To date, the current production process has been sufficient to satisfy immediate clinical demands. We may undertake additional development work to further optimize the active pharmaceutical ingredient manufacturing process. The finished drug product for lucitanib is currently being manufactured at a CMO. The current product and process are sufficiently developed to meet immediate clinical demands. Additional development work is being performed to optimize the drug product formulation and manufacturing process to meet projected clinical and commercial requirements. Additional scale-up work and/or additional production capacity will be necessary to support larger clinical development or commercialization requirements.

Sales and Marketing

We have built the commercial infrastructure in the U.S. necessary to effectively support the commercialization of our product candidates, if and when they receive regulatory approval. The commercial infrastructure for oncology products typically consists of a targeted, specialty sales force that calls on a limited and focused group of physicians supported by sales management, internal sales support, an internal marketing group and distribution support. Additional capabilities important to the oncology marketplace include the management of key accounts such as managed care organizations, group-purchasing organizations, specialty pharmacies, oncology group networks and government accounts. To develop the appropriate commercial infrastructure, we have invested significant amounts of financial and management resources, some of which have been committed prior to any confirmation that rociletinib, rucaparib or lucitanib will be approved. We have also begun to establish a commercial presence in the major European markets with the hiring of certain country managers and market access professionals.

We may also elect in the future to utilize strategic partners, distributors or contract sales forces to assist in the commercialization of our products.

Employees

As of February 22, 2016, we had 309 full-time employees. None of our employees is represented by labor unions, and a small number of international employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

Research and Development

We invested $269.3 million, $137.7 million and $66.5 million in research and development during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

About Clovis

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in April 2009 and completed our initial public offering of our common stock in November 2011. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol “CLVS.” Our principal executive offices are located at 5500 Flatiron Parkway, Suite 100, Boulder, Colorado 80301, and our telephone number is (303) 625-5000. We maintain additional offices in San Francisco, California, Cambridge, UK, and Milan, Italy. Our website address is www.clovisoncology.com . Our website and the information contained on, or that can be accessed through, the website will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference in, and are not considered part of, this report.
Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Capital Requirements

We have incurred significant losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. We are a clinical-stage company with no approved products, and no historical revenues, which makes it difficult to assess our future viability.

We are a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company with a limited operating history. Biopharmaceutical product development is a highly speculative undertaking and involves a substantial degree of risk. We have focused primarily on in-licensing and developing our product candidates. We are not profitable and have incurred losses in each year since our inception in April 2009. We have only a limited operating history upon which you can evaluate our business and prospects. In addition, we have not yet demonstrated an ability to successfully overcome many of the risks and uncertainties frequently encountered by companies in new and rapidly evolving fields, particularly in the biopharmaceutical area. We have not generated any revenue from product sales to date. We continue to incur significant research and development and other expenses related to our ongoing operations. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we had net losses of $352.9 million, $160.0 million and $84.5 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, we had an accumulated deficit of $781.9 million. We expect to continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future, as we continue our development of, and seek regulatory approvals for, our product candidates, and begin to commercialize any approved products. As such, we are subject to all of the risks incident to the development of new biopharmaceutical products and related companion diagnostics, and we may encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other unknown factors that may adversely affect our business. If any of our product candidates fail in clinical trials or do not gain regulatory approval, or if any of our product candidates, if approved, fail to achieve market acceptance, we may never become profitable. Even if we achieve profitability in the future, we may not be able to sustain profitability in subsequent periods. Our prior losses, combined with expected future losses, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our stockholders’ equity and working capital.

We will require substantial additional funding which may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. If we fail to obtain additional financing, we may be unable to complete the development and commercialization of our product candidates or continue our development programs.

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts to advance the clinical development of our product candidates and launch and commercialize any product candidates for which we receive regulatory approval, including building our own commercial organizations to address certain markets.

Based on current estimates, we believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities will allow us to fund our operating plan through the next 12 months. As of December 31, 2015, we had cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities totaling $528.6 million. We expect that we will need to raise additional capital during 2016 in order to fully implement our business plan to further the development and commercialization of our product candidates, as well as to fund our other operating expenses and capital expenditures, including milestone payments to our licensors. We do not have any material committed external source of funds or other support for our development efforts other than that portion of the costs associated with global development activities for lucitanib for which Servier is responsible pursuant to our collaboration and license agreement. Based on current cost estimates, we expect that commitment will be fulfilled in late 2016 or early 2017, and thereafter, we will share equally in future development costs with Servier pursuant to a mutually agreed upon global development plan.

Available Information

As a public company, we file reports and proxy statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). These filings include our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and proxy statements on Schedule 14A, as well as any amendments to those reports and proxy statements, and are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with, or furnish them to, the SEC. Once at www.clovisoncology.com , go to Investors & News/SEC Filings to locate copies of such reports. You may also read and copy materials that we file with SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding us and other issuers that file electronically with the SEC.
Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue to finance our cash requirements, which we may never do, we expect to finance future cash needs through a combination of public or private equity offerings, collaboration(s), strategic alliances and other similar licensing arrangements. We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Furthermore, it may be difficult for us to raise additional funds while we are subject to uncertainty related to litigation described under “Part I, Item 3 - Legal Proceedings” in this report. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue the development or commercialization of one or more of our product candidates. We may also seek collaborators for one or more of our current or future product candidates on terms that are less favorable than might otherwise be available. Any of these events could significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects.

Servicing our long-term debt requires a significant amount of cash, and we may not have sufficient cash flow from our business to pay our substantial debt.

In September 2014, we completed a private placement of $287.5 million aggregate principal amount of 2.5% convertible senior notes due 2021 (the “Notes”), resulting in net proceeds to the Company of $278.3 million after deducting offering expenses. The Notes are governed by the terms of the indenture between the Company, as issuer, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. Interest is payable on the Notes semi-annually, and the Notes mature on September 15, 2021, unless redeemed, repurchased or converted prior to that date. In addition, if, as defined by the terms of the indenture, a fundamental change occurs, holders of the Notes may require us to repurchase for cash all or any portion of their Notes at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. As of December 31, 2015, all $287.5 million principal amount of the Notes remained outstanding.

Our ability to make scheduled payments of interest and principal on the Notes, or to pay the repurchase price for the Notes on a fundamental change, depends on our future performance, which is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. We may not have sufficient cash in the future to service our debt. If we are unable to generate such cash flow or secure additional sources of funding, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as restructuring debt or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may not be able to engage in any of these activities or engage in these activities on desirable terms, which could result in a default on our debt obligations.

We and certain of our officers and directors have been named as defendants in several lawsuits that could result in substantial costs and divert management’s attention.

We and certain of our officers were named as defendants in four separate purported class action lawsuits initiated in 2015 that generally allege that we and certain of our officers violated federal securities laws by making allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the progress toward FDA approval and the potential for market success of rociletinib. The complaints seek unspecified damages. Additionally, in December 2015, a plaintiff filed a derivative complaint allegedly on our behalf, naming certain of our officers and directors as defendants and alleging breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment. The derivative complaint seeks, among other relief, an award of money damages and declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged fiduciary breaches. Moreover, in January 2016, we and certain of our officers, directors, investors and underwriters were named as defendants in a purported class action lawsuit that alleges that the defendants violated the Securities Act because the offering documents for our July 2015 follow-on offering contained allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the progress toward FDA approval and the potential for market success of rociletinib. A second derivative complaint was filed in February 2016. This derivative complaint also alleges breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control and gross mismanagement and seeks, among other relief, an award of money damages.

We intend to engage in a vigorous defense of these lawsuits; however, we are unable to predict the outcome of these matters at this time. If we are not successful in our defense of the class action litigation, we could be forced to make significant payments to, or enter into other settlements with, our shareholders and their lawyers (and in certain circumstances reimburse costs and expenses incurred by the underwriters), and such payments or settlement arrangements could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. For example, we could incur substantial costs not covered by our directors’ and officers’ liability insurance, suffer a significant adverse impact on our reputation and divert management’s attention and resources from other priorities, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, any of these matters could require payments that are not covered by, or exceed the limits of, our available directors’ and officers’ liability insurance, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results or financial condition.

Additional lawsuits with similar claims may be filed by other parties against us and our officers and directors. Even if such claims are not successful, these lawsuits or other future similar actions, or other regulatory inquiries or investigations, may result in substantial costs and have a significant adverse impact on our reputation and divert management’s attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.
Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We are heavily dependent on the success of our product candidates, and we cannot give any assurance that any of our product candidates will receive regulatory approval, which is necessary before they can be commercialized.

To date, we have invested a significant portion of our efforts and financial resources in the acquisition and development of our product candidates. Rociletinib is currently under review with the U.S. and E.U regulatory authorities and rucaparib and lucitanib are currently in clinical trials. Our business depends entirely on the successful development and commercialization of our product candidates, which may never occur. We currently generate no revenues from sales of any drugs, and we may never be able to develop or commercialize a marketable drug.

Each of our product candidates requires clinical development, management of clinical, non-clinical and manufacturing activities, regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions, obtaining manufacturing supply, building of a commercial organization and significant marketing efforts in order to generate any revenues from product sales. We are not permitted to market or promote any of our product candidates before we receive regulatory approval from the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities, and we may never receive such regulatory approval for any of our product candidates. In addition, our product development programs contemplate the development of companion diagnostics by third-party collaborators. Companion diagnostics are subject to regulation as medical devices and must themselves be approved for marketing by the FDA or certain other foreign regulatory agencies before our product candidates may be commercialized.

We cannot be certain that any of our product candidates will be successful in clinical trials or receive regulatory approval. Further, our product candidates may not receive regulatory approval even if they are successful in clinical trials. If we do not receive regulatory approvals for our product candidates, we may not be able to continue our operations. Even if we successfully obtain regulatory approvals to market one or more of our product candidates, our revenues will be dependent, in part, upon our diagnostic collaborators’ ability to obtain regulatory approval of the companion diagnostics to be used with our product candidates, as well as the size of the markets in the territories for which we gain regulatory approval and have commercial rights. If the markets for patient subsets that we are targeting are not as significant as we estimate, we may not generate significant revenues from sales of such products, if approved.

We plan to seek regulatory approval to commercialize our product candidates in the United States, the European Union and in additional foreign countries. While the scope of regulatory approval is similar in other countries, obtaining separate regulatory approval in many other countries requires compliance with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of such countries regarding safety and efficacy and governing, among other things, clinical trials and commercial sales, pricing and distribution of our product candidates, and we cannot predict success in these jurisdictions.

Clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome, and results of earlier studies and trials may not be predictive of future trial results.

Clinical testing is expensive and can take many years to complete, and its outcome is inherently uncertain. Failure can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. The results of non-clinical studies and early clinical trials of our product candidates may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials. Product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy traits despite having progressed through non-clinical studies and initial clinical trials. It is not uncommon for companies in the biopharmaceutical industry to suffer significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials due to lack of efficacy or adverse safety profiles, notwithstanding promising results in earlier trials. Indeed, based on the negative results of a pivotal study, we ceased further development of our previous product candidate CO-101. Our future clinical trial results may not be successful.

Although we have clinical trials ongoing, we may experience delays in our ongoing clinical trials, and we do not know whether planned clinical trials will begin on time, need to be redesigned, enroll patients on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. Clinical trials can be delayed for a variety of reasons, including delays related to:

- obtaining regulatory approval to commence a trial;
- reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective contract research organizations (“CROs”) and clinical trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;
- obtaining institutional review board (“IRB”) approval at each site;
- recruiting suitable patients to participate in a trial;
- developing and validating companion diagnostics on a timely basis;
- having patients complete a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up;
- clinical sites deviating from trial protocol or dropping out of a trial;
- adding new clinical trial sites; or
- manufacturing sufficient quantities of product candidate for use in clinical trials.
Patient enrollment, a significant factor in the timing of clinical trials, is affected by many factors including the size and nature of the patient population, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the trial, the design of the clinical trial, competing clinical trials and clinicians’ and patients’ perceptions as to the potential advantages of the drug being studied in relation to other available therapies, including any new drugs that may be approved for the indications we are investigating. Furthermore, we rely on CROs and clinical trial sites to ensure the proper and timely conduct of our clinical trials, and while we have agreements governing their committed activities, we have limited influence over their actual performance.

We could encounter delays if a clinical trial is suspended or terminated by us, by the IRBs of the institutions in which such trials are being conducted, by the Data Safety Monitoring Board for such trial or by the FDA or other regulatory authorities. Such authorities may impose a suspension or termination due to a number of factors, including failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols, inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial site by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold, unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit from using a drug, changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial. If we experience delays in the completion of, or termination of, any clinical trial of our product candidates, the commercial prospects of our product candidates will be harmed, and our ability to generate product revenues from any of these product candidates will be delayed. In addition, any delays in completing our clinical trials will increase our costs, slow down our product candidate development and approval process and jeopardize our ability to commence product sales and generate revenues. Any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our product candidates.

The regulatory approval processes of the FDA and comparable foreign authorities are lengthy, time consuming and inherently unpredictable, and if we are ultimately unable to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates, our business will be substantially harmed.

The time required to obtain approval by the FDA and comparable foreign authorities is unpredictable, but typically takes many years following the commencement of clinical trials and depends upon numerous factors, including the substantial discretion of the regulatory authorities. In addition, approval policies, regulations or the type and amount of clinical data necessary to gain approval may change during the course of a product candidate’s clinical development and may vary among jurisdictions. We have not obtained regulatory approval for any product candidate, and it is possible that none of our existing product candidates or any product candidates we may seek to develop in the future will ever obtain regulatory approval. Although our product candidates rociletinib and rucaparib have been granted Breakthrough Therapy designation by the FDA, which allows for greater interaction with, and expedited review by, the FDA, the designation does not guarantee a faster development or review time as compared to other drugs, nor does it ensure that the drugs will obtain ultimate marketing approval by the FDA. In addition, the FDA may withdraw this designation at any time.

Our product candidates could fail to receive regulatory approval or approval may be delayed for many reasons, including the following:

- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with the design or implementation of our clinical trials;
- we may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities that a product candidate is safe and effective for its proposed indication;
- the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities for approval;
- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our interpretation of data from non-clinical studies or clinical trials;
- the data collected from clinical trials of our product candidates may not be sufficient to support the submission of an NDA or other submission or to obtain regulatory approval in the United States or elsewhere;
- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may fail to approve the manufacturing processes or facilities of third-party manufacturers with which we contract for clinical and commercial supplies;
- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may fail to approve the companion diagnostics we contemplate developing with partners; and
- the approval policies or regulations of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.
For example, in early November 2015, during the regularly scheduled Mid-Cycle Communication meeting with the FDA held in connection with its review of the rociletinib NDA, the agency requested additional clinical efficacy data. We submitted these data in a Major Amendment on November 16, 2015 after which the FDA extended the Prescription Drug User Fee Act goal date for the rociletinib NDA to June 28, 2016 to allow additional time for review of the new information. The FDA has scheduled the rociletinib NDA for discussion by the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (“ODAC”) on April 12, 2016. The ODAC reviews and evaluates data concerning the safety and effectiveness of marketed and investigational human drug products used in the treatment of cancer and makes recommendations to the FDA.

This lengthy approval process, as well as the unpredictability of future clinical trial results, may result in our failing to obtain regulatory approval to market our product candidates, which would significantly harm our business, results of operations and prospects.

Even if we receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates, we will be subject to ongoing obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense. Additionally, our product candidates, if approved, could be subject to labeling and other restrictions and market withdrawal, and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our products.

Any regulatory approvals that we receive for our product candidates may also be subject to limitations on the approved indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing and clinical trials and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product candidate. In addition, if the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authority approves any of our product candidates, the manufacturing processes, pricing, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the product will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with current good manufacturing practices and good clinical practices for any clinical trials that we conduct post-approval. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with our third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in, among other things:

- restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, withdrawal of the product from the market or voluntary or mandatory product recalls;
- fines, warning letters or holds on clinical trials;
- refusal by the FDA and comparable foreign authorities to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications filed by us, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals;
- product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products; and
- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

The FDA’s and comparable foreign authorities’ policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of our product candidates. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained, and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business. Any of the foregoing scenarios could materially harm the commercial prospects for our product candidates.

Our product candidates may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent their regulatory approval, limit the commercial profile of an approved label or result in significant negative consequences following marketing approval, if any.

Adverse events (“AEs”) attributable to our product candidates could cause us or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials and could result in a more restrictive label or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by the FDA or other comparable foreign authorities. Clinical studies conducted to date have generated AEs related to our product candidates, some of which have been serious. The most notable AEs experienced by patients treated with rociletinib include hyperglycemia and QTc prolongation, while patients treated with rucaparib have commonly experienced anemia/decreased hemoglobin and fatigue/asthenia. In studies of lucitanib, hypertension, proteinuria and subclinical hypothyroidism requiring supplementation are the most common AEs observed. As is the case with all oncology drugs, it is possible that there may be other potentially harmful characteristics associated with their use in future trials, including larger and lengthier Phase III clinical trials. As we evaluate the use of our product candidates in combination with other active agents, we may encounter safety issues as a result of the combined safety profiles of each agent, which could pose a substantial challenge to that development strategy.
Results of our trials could reveal a high and unacceptable severity and prevalence of these or other side effects. In such an event, our trials could be suspended or terminated and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities could order us to cease further development of or deny approval of our product candidates for any or all targeted indications. The drug-related AEs could affect patient recruitment or the ability of enrolled patients to complete the trial or result in potential product liability claims. Any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly.

Additionally, if one or more of our product candidates receives marketing approval, and we or others later identify undesirable side effects caused by such products, a number of potentially significant negative consequences could result, including:

- regulatory authorities may withdraw approvals of such product;
- regulatory authorities may require additional warnings on the label;
- we may be required to create a medication guide outlining the risks of such side effects for distribution to patients;
- we could be sued and held liable for harm caused to patients; and
- our reputation may suffer.

Any of these events could prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of the particular product candidate, if approved, and could significantly harm our business, results of operations and prospects.

Failure to successfully validate, develop and obtain regulatory approval for companion diagnostics could harm our drug development strategy.

As one of the key elements of our clinical development strategy, we seek to identify patient subsets within a disease category who may derive selective and meaningful benefit from the product candidates we are developing. In collaboration with partners, we plan to develop companion diagnostics to help us to more accurately identify patients within a particular subset, both during our clinical trials and in connection with the commercialization of our product candidates. Companion diagnostics are subject to regulation by the FDA and comparable foreign regulatory authorities as medical devices and require separate regulatory approval prior to commercialization. We do not develop companion diagnostics internally and thus we are dependent on the sustained cooperation and effort of our third-party collaborators in developing and obtaining approval for these companion diagnostics. We and our collaborators may encounter difficulties in developing and obtaining approval for the companion diagnostics, including issues relating to selectivity/specificity, analytical validation, reproducibility, or clinical validation. Any delay or failure by our collaborators to develop or obtain regulatory approval of the companion diagnostics could delay or prevent approval of our product candidates. In addition, our collaborators may encounter production difficulties that could constrain the supply of the companion diagnostics, and both they and we may have difficulties gaining acceptance of the use of the companion diagnostics in the clinical community. If such companion diagnostics fail to gain market acceptance, it would have an adverse effect on our ability to derive revenues from sales of our products. In addition, the diagnostic company with whom we contract may decide to discontinue selling or manufacturing the companion diagnostic that we anticipate using in connection with development and commercialization of our product candidates or our relationship with such diagnostic company may otherwise terminate. We may not be able to enter into arrangements with another diagnostic company to obtain supplies of an alternative diagnostic test for use in connection with the development and commercialization of our product candidates or do so on commercially reasonable terms, which could adversely affect and/or delay the development or commercialization of our product candidates.

The failure to maintain our collaboration with Servier, or the failure of Servier to perform its obligations under the collaboration, could negatively affect our business.

Pursuant to the terms of our collaboration and license agreement with Servier, Servier was granted exclusive rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in markets outside of the United States and Japan (excluding China). Consequently, our ability to realize any revenues from lucitanib in the Servier territory depends on our success in maintaining our collaboration with Servier and Servier’s ability to obtain regulatory approvals for, and to successfully commercialize, lucitanib in its licensed territory. Although we collaborate with Servier to carry out a global development plan for lucitanib, we have limited control over the amount and timing of resources that Servier will dedicate to these efforts.

We are subject to a number of other risks associated with our collaboration and license agreement with Servier, including:

- Servier may not comply with applicable regulatory requirements with respect to developing or commercializing lucitanib, which could adversely affect future development or sales of lucitanib in Servier’s licensed territory and elsewhere;
- Servier is responsible for the first €80.0 million of development costs in support of the lucitanib program; however we have limited control over the costs Servier may incur with respect to its development activities for the compound, and therefore our obligation to share additional costs could be triggered sooner than planned;
● If Servier does not agree to include within the global development plan new studies that we propose to conduct for lucitanib, we may be responsible for all costs associated with carrying out such activities;

● We and Servier could disagree as to current or future development plans for lucitanib, and Servier may delay clinical trials or stop a clinical trial for which it is the sponsor;

● There may be disputes between us and Servier, including disagreements regarding the collaboration and license agreement, that may result in (1) the delay of or failure to achieve regulatory and commercial objectives that would result in milestone or royalty payments, (2) the delay or termination of any future development or commercialization of lucitanib, and/or (3) costly litigation or arbitration that diverts our management’s attention and resources;

● Business combinations or significant changes in Servier’s business strategy may adversely affect Servier’s ability or willingness to perform its obligations under our collaboration and license agreement; and

● The royalties we are eligible to receive from Servier may be reduced or eliminated based upon Servier’s and our ability to maintain or defend our intellectual property rights and the presence of generic competitors in Servier’s licensed territory.

Based on current cost estimates, we expect Servier’s funding commitment will be fulfilled in late 2016 or early 2017, and thereafter, we will share equally with Servier in future development costs pursuant to a mutually agreed upon global development plan.

The collaboration and license agreement is subject to early termination, including through Servier’s right to terminate the agreement without cause upon advance notice to us. If the agreement is terminated early, we may not be able to find another collaborator for the further development and commercialization of lucitanib outside of the United States and Japan on acceptable terms, or at all, and we could incur significant additional costs by pursuing continued development and commercialization of lucitanib in those territories on our own.

We rely on third parties to conduct our non-clinical and clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our product candidates and our business could be substantially harmed.

We have relied upon and plan to continue to rely upon third-party CROs to monitor and manage data for our ongoing non-clinical and clinical programs. We rely on these parties for execution of our non-clinical and clinical trials, and control only certain aspects of their activities. Nevertheless, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our studies is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol, legal, regulatory and scientific standards, and our reliance on the CROs does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. We and our CROs are required to comply with GCP, which are regulations and guidelines enforced by the FDA, the EEA and comparable foreign regulatory authorities for all of our products in clinical development. Regulatory authorities enforce these GCPs through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators and trial sites. If we or any of our CROs fail to comply with applicable GCPs, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA, the EMA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. We cannot assure you that upon inspection by a given regulatory authority, such regulatory authority will determine that any of our clinical trials comply with GCP regulations. In addition, our clinical trials must be conducted with product produced under current GMP regulations. Our failure to comply with these regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process.

Our CROs have the right to terminate their agreements with us in the event of an uncured material breach. In addition, some of our CROs have an ability to terminate their respective agreements with us if it can be reasonably demonstrated that the safety of the subjects participating in our clinical trials warrants such termination, if we make a general assignment for the benefit of our creditors or if we are liquidated.

If any of our relationships with these third-party CROs terminate, we may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs or to do so on commercially reasonable terms. In addition, our CROs are not our employees, and except for remedies available to us under our agreements with such CROs, we cannot control whether or not they devote sufficient time and resources to our on-going clinical and non-clinical programs. If CROs do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, if they need to be replaced or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols, regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our product candidates. As a result, our results of operations and the commercial prospects for our product candidates would be harmed, our costs could increase and our ability to generate revenues could be delayed.
We rely completely on third parties to manufacture our clinical drug supplies and we intend to rely on third parties to produce commercial supplies of any approved product candidate, and our commercialization of any of our product candidates could be stopped, delayed or made less profitable if those third parties fail to obtain approval of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities, fail to provide us with sufficient quantities of drug product or fail to do so at acceptable quality levels or prices.

We do not currently have nor do we plan to acquire the infrastructure or capability internally to manufacture our clinical drug supplies for use in the conduct of our clinical trials, and we lack the resources and the capability to manufacture any of our product candidates on a commercial or clinical scale. We do not control the manufacturing process of, and are completely dependent on, our contract manufacturing partners for compliance with the GMP regulatory requirements for manufacture of both active drug substances and finished drug products. If our contract manufacturers cannot successfully manufacture material that conforms to the strict regulatory requirements of the FDA or others, they will not be able to secure and/or maintain regulatory approval for their manufacturing facilities. In addition, we have no control over the ability of our contract manufacturers to maintain adequate quality control, quality assurance and qualified personnel. If the FDA or a comparable foreign regulatory authority does not approve these facilities for the manufacture of our product candidates or if it withdraws any such approval in the future, we may need to find alternative manufacturing facilities, which would significantly affect our ability to develop, obtain regulatory approval for or market our product candidates, if approved.

We rely on our manufacturers to purchase from third-party suppliers the materials necessary to produce our product candidates for our clinical trials. There are a limited number of suppliers of raw materials that we use to manufacture our drugs and there may be a need to assess alternate suppliers to prevent a possible disruption of the manufacture of the materials necessary to produce our product candidates for our clinical trials, and if approved, ultimately for commercial sale. We do not have any control over the process or timing of the acquisition of these raw materials by our manufacturers. Moreover, we currently do not have any agreements for the commercial production of these raw materials. Any significant delay in the supply of a product candidate, or the raw material components thereof, for an ongoing clinical trial due to the need to replace a third-party manufacturer could considerably delay completion of our clinical trials, product testing and potential regulatory approval of our product candidates. If our manufacturers or we are unable to purchase these raw materials after regulatory approval has been obtained for our product candidates, the commercial launch of our product candidates would be delayed or there would be a shortage in supply, which would impair our ability to generate revenues from the sale of our product candidates.

We are dependent on our third party manufacturers to conduct process development and scale-up work necessary to support greater clinical development and commercialization requirements for our product candidates. Carrying out these activities in a timely manner, and on commercially reasonable terms, is critical to the successful development and commercialization of our product candidates. We expect that our third-party manufacturers are capable of providing sufficient quantities of our product candidates to meet anticipated clinical and full-scale commercial demands, however if third parties with whom we currently work are unable to meet our supply requirements, we will need to secure alternate suppliers. While we believe that there are other contract manufacturers having the technical capabilities to manufacture our product candidates, we cannot be certain that identifying and establishing relationships with such sources would not result in significant delay or material additional costs.

We expect to continue to depend on third-party contract manufacturers for the foreseeable future. We have not entered into long-term agreements with all of our current contract manufacturers or with any alternate fill/finish suppliers, and though we intend to do so prior to commercial launch in order to ensure that we maintain adequate supplies of finished drug product, we may be unable to enter into such an agreement or do so on commercially reasonable terms, which could have a material adverse effect upon our business. We currently obtain our supplies of finished drug product through individual purchase orders.

Although we have begun to build our marketing and sales organization, if we are unable to establish sufficient internal marketing, sales and distribution capabilities, or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our products.

We have no history as a company in the sales and distribution of pharmaceutical products. In order to successfully commercialize any of our product candidates, if approved, we must establish and maintain our marketing, sales, distribution, managerial and other non-technical capabilities, or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services. Our commercial and medical affairs organizations in the U.S. are in place, but we are only beginning to build those capabilities in Europe to support the marketing, sales and distribution of our pharmaceutical products. Establishing our sales and marketing organization with technical expertise and supporting distribution capabilities to commercialize our product candidates will continue to be expensive and time consuming.
With respect to our product candidates, we may elect to collaborate with third parties that have direct sales forces and established distribution systems, either to augment our own sales force and distribution systems or in lieu of our own sales force and distribution systems in certain territories. To the extent that we enter into licensing or co-promotion arrangements for any of our product candidates, our product revenue may be lower than if we directly marketed or sold our approved products. In addition, any revenue we receive as a result of such arrangements would depend in whole or in part upon the efforts of such third parties, which may not be successful and are generally not within our control. If we are unable to enter into such arrangements on acceptable terms or at all, we may not be able to succeed in successfully commercialize our product candidates that receive regulatory approval. If we are not successful in commercializing our product candidates, either on our own or through collaborations with one or more third parties, our future product revenue will suffer and we may incur significant additional losses.

Our commercial success depends upon attaining significant market acceptance of our product candidates, if approved, among physicians, patients, healthcare payors and major operators of cancer clinics.

Even if we obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates, the product may not gain market acceptance among physicians, health care payors, patients and the medical community, which are critical to commercial success. Market acceptance of any product candidate for which we receive approval depends on a number of factors, including:

- the efficacy and safety as demonstrated in clinical trials;
- the timing of market introduction of such product candidate as well as competitive products;
- the clinical indications for which the drug is approved and the product label approved by regulatory authorities, including any warnings that may be required on the label;
- the approval, availability, market acceptance and reimbursement for the companion diagnostic;
- acceptance by physicians, major operators of cancer clinics and patients of the drug as a safe and effective treatment;
- the potential and perceived advantages of such product candidate over alternative treatments, especially with respect to patient subsets that we are targeting with such product candidate;
- the safety of such product candidate seen in a broader patient group, including its use outside the approved indications;
- the cost, safety and efficacy of the product in relation to alternative treatments;
- the availability of adequate reimbursement and pricing by third-party payors and government authorities;
- relative convenience and ease of administration;
- the prevalence and severity of adverse side effects; and
- the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts.

If our product candidates are approved but fail to achieve an adequate level of acceptance by physicians, healthcare payors and patients, we will not be able to generate significant revenues, and we may not become or remain profitable.

We face significant competition from other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, and our operating results will suffer if we fail to compete effectively.

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are intensely competitive and subject to rapid and significant technological change. In addition, the competition in the oncology market is intense. We have competitors both in the United States and internationally, including major multinational pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies and universities and other research institutions. For example, in November 2015, the FDA approved Tagrisso™ (osimertinib) for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC who have progressed on or after EGFR TKI therapy. This represents the first approved therapy for the treatment of EGFR mutant NSCLC patients who test positive for the T790M mutation. In February 2016, the European Commission granted conditional marketing approval to Tagrisso™ for the treatment of advanced NSCLC patients who test positive for the T790M mutation. In addition, we are aware of a number of other products in development targeting cancer-causing mutant forms of EGFR for the treatment of NSCLC patients. These products include Pfizer’s PF-06747775, currently in Phase I/II trials, Astellas Pharma’s ASP8273, currently in Phase I/II trials, Novartis’ EGF816, currently in Phase I/II trials, Hanmi Pharmaceutical’s and Boehringer Ingelheim’s BI-1482694 (HM61713), HM781-36B (Pozotinib), currently in Phase I/II trials and Acea Bio (Hangzhou)’s avitinib and AC0010MA, currently in Phase I/II trials. Bristol Myers Squibb’s Opdivo ® and Merck’s Keytruda ®, both approved for second-line NSCLC, may also represent competition to rociletinib.

In late 2014, Lynparza™ (olaparib) was approved in the U.S. as monotherapy in patients with germline BRCA mutated advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy and in the EU for the maintenance treatment of BRCA mutated platinum-sensitive relapsed serous ovarian cancer. There are a number of other PARP inhibitors in clinical development including AbbVie’s ABT-888 (veliparib), currently in Phase III clinical trials, Tesaro, Inc.’s niraparib, currently in Phase III trials, Eisai’s E-7016, currently in Phase II trials and Medivation’s talazoparib (BMN-673), currently in Phase III trials.
There are currently no approved drugs that specifically inhibit each of VEGFR, PDGFR and FGFR, as does lucitanib; however, there are currently a number of oral antiangiogenic drugs that target one or a subset of those markers and are approved or in development for various solid tumors, including: nintedanib (Boehringer Ingelheim), lenvatinib (Eisai), sunitinib (Pfizer), sorafenib (Bayer), pazopanib (Novartis), axitinib (Pfizer) and cabozantinib (Exelixis).

Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and other resources, such as larger research and development staff and experienced marketing and manufacturing organizations. Additional mergers and acquisitions in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries may result in even more resources being concentrated in our competitors. As a result, these companies may obtain regulatory approval more rapidly than we are able and may be more effective in selling and marketing their products as well. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large, established companies. Competition may increase further as a result of advances in the commercial applicability of technologies and greater availability of capital for investment in these industries. Our competitors may succeed in developing, acquiring or licensing on an exclusive basis drug products that are more effective or less costly than any drug candidate that we are currently developing or that we may develop. If approved, our product candidates will face competition from commercially available drugs, as well as drugs that are in the development pipelines of our competitors and later enter the market.

Established pharmaceutical companies may invest heavily to accelerate discovery and development of novel compounds or to in-license novel compounds that could make our product candidates less competitive. In addition, any new product that competes with an approved product must demonstrate compelling advantages in efficacy, convenience, tolerability and safety in order to overcome price competition and to be commercially successful. Accordingly, our competitors may succeed in obtaining patent protection, receiving FDA, EMA or other regulatory approval or discovering, developing and commercializing medicines before we do, which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Reimbursement may be limited or unavailable in certain market segments for our product candidates, which could make it difficult for us to sell our products profitably.

There is significant uncertainty related to the third-party coverage and reimbursement of newly approved drugs. We intend to seek approval to market our product candidates in the United States, Europe and other selected foreign jurisdictions. Market acceptance and sales of our product candidates in both domestic and international markets will depend significantly on the availability of adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors for any of our product candidates and may be affected by existing and future healthcare reform measures. Government and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for new drugs and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our product candidates. These payors may conclude that our product candidates are less safe, less effective or less cost-effective than existing or later introduced products, and third-party payors may not approve our product candidates for coverage and reimbursement or may cease providing coverage and reimbursement for these product candidates.

Obtaining coverage and reimbursement approval for a product from a government or other third-party payor is a time consuming and costly process that could require us to provide to the payor supporting scientific, clinical and cost-effectiveness data for the use of our products. We may not be able to provide data sufficient to gain acceptance with respect to coverage and reimbursement. We cannot be sure that coverage or adequate reimbursement will be available for any of our product candidates. Even if we obtain coverage for our product candidates, third-party payors may not establish adequate reimbursement amounts, which may reduce the demand for, or the price of, our products. If reimbursement of our future products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, we may be unable to achieve or sustain profitability.

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been and we expect there will continue to be a number of legislative and regulatory changes to the health care system that could affect our ability to sell our products profitably. The U.S. government and other governments have shown significant interest in pursing healthcare reform. In particular, the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003 revised the payment methodology for many products under the Medicare program in the United States. This has resulted in lower rates of reimbursement. In 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (collectively, the “Affordable Care Act”), was enacted. The Affordable Care Act substantially changed the way healthcare is financed by both governmental and private insurers. Such government-adopted reform measures may adversely affect the pricing of healthcare products and services in the United States or internationally and the amount of reimbursement available from governmental agencies or other third-party payors.

There have been, and likely will continue to be, legislative and regulatory proposals at the federal and state levels directed at broadening the availability of healthcare and containing or lowering the cost of healthcare. We cannot predict the initiatives that may be adopted in the future. The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payors of healthcare services to contain or reduce costs of healthcare may adversely affect the demand for any drug products for which we may obtain regulatory approval, as well as our ability to set satisfactory prices for our products, to generate revenues, and to achieve and maintain profitability.
In some foreign countries, particularly in the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product candidate. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct additional clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of our product candidates to other available therapies. If reimbursement of our product candidates is unavailable or limited in scope or amount in a particular country, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, we may be unable to achieve or sustain profitability of our products in such country.

**If we are not successful in attracting and retaining highly qualified personnel, we may not be able to successfully implement our business strategy. Further, we will need to grow our organization, and we may experience difficulties in managing this growth, which could disrupt our operations.**

Our industry has experienced a high rate of turnover of management personnel in recent years. Our ability to compete in the highly competitive biotechnology and pharmaceuticals industries depends upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified managerial, scientific and medical personnel. We are highly dependent on our management, scientific and medical personnel, especially Patrick J. Mahaffy, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Erle T. Mast, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Lindsey Rolfe, our Executive Vice President of Clinical and Preclinical Development and Pharmacovigilance and Chief Medical Officer, Dale Hooks, our Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer and Gillian C. Ivers-Read, our Executive Vice President, Technical Operations and Chief Regulatory Officer, whose services are critical to the successful implementation of our product candidate acquisition, development and regulatory strategies. As previously announced, Erle T. Mast intends to resign as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective as of March 31, 2016. We are currently searching for a successor CFO; however, we have not yet identified his replacement.

Despite our efforts to retain valuable employees, members of our management, scientific, development and commercial teams may terminate their employment with us on short notice. Pursuant to their employment arrangements, each of our executive officers may voluntarily terminate their employment at any time by providing as little as thirty days advance notice. Our employment arrangements with all of our employees provide for at-will employment, which means that any of our employees (other than our executive officers) could leave our employment at any time, with or without notice. For example, Andrew R. Allen, our former Executive Vice President of Clinical and Pre-Clinical Development and Chief Medical Officer, resigned in July 2015, and Steven L. Hoerter, our former Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer, resigned in January 2016. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees and our inability to find suitable replacements could potentially harm our business, financial condition and prospects. Our success also depends on our ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled junior, mid-level and senior managers as well as junior, mid-level and senior scientific and medical personnel.

As of February 22, 2016, we employed 309 full-time employees. As our development plans and strategies develop, we expect to expand our employee base for managerial, operational, financial and other resources. Future growth will impose significant added responsibilities on members of management, including the need to identify, recruit, maintain, motivate and integrate additional employees. Also, our management may need to divert a disproportionate amount of its attention away from our day-to-day activities and devote a substantial amount of time to managing these growth activities. We may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations which may result in weaknesses in our infrastructure, give rise to operational mistakes, loss of business opportunities, loss of employees and reduced productivity among remaining employees. Our expected growth could require significant capital expenditures and may divert financial resources from other projects. If our management is unable to effectively manage our expected growth, our expenses may increase more than expected, our ability to generate revenues could be reduced and we may not be able to implement our business strategy.

We may not be able to attract or retain qualified management and scientific personnel in the future due to the intense competition for a limited number of qualified personnel among biopharmaceutical, biotechnology, pharmaceutical and other businesses. Many of the other pharmaceutical companies that we compete against for qualified personnel have greater financial and other resources, different risk profiles and a longer history in the industry than we do. They also may provide more diverse opportunities and better chances for career advancement. Some of these characteristics may be more appealing to high quality candidates than what we have to offer. In order to induce valuable employees to continue their employment with us, we have provided stock options that vest over time. The value to employees of stock options that vest over time is significantly affected by movements in our stock price that are beyond our control, and may at any time be insufficient to counteract more lucrative offers from other companies. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain high quality personnel, the rate and success at which we can develop and commercialize product candidates will be limited.
Our employees may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are exposed to the risk of employee fraud or other misconduct. Misconduct by employees could include intentional failures to comply with FDA regulations, provide accurate information to the FDA, comply with manufacturing standards we have established, comply with federal and state health-care fraud and abuse laws and regulations, report financial information or data accurately or disclose unauthorized activities to us. In particular, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Employee misconduct could also involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation. We have adopted a Code of Business Ethics, but it is not always possible to identify and deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant effect on our business and results of operations, including the imposition of significant fines or other sanctions.

We may be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws, false claims laws and health information privacy and security laws. If we are unable to comply, or have not fully complied, with such laws, we could face substantial penalties.

If we obtain FDA approval for any of our product candidates and begin commercializing those products in the United States, our operations may be directly, or indirectly through our customers, subject to various federal and state fraud and abuse laws, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and the federal False Claims Act. These laws may affect, among other things, our proposed sales, marketing and education programs. In addition, we may be subject to patient privacy regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. The laws that may affect our ability to operate include:

- the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce, or in return for, the purchase or recommendation of an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;
- federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payers that are false or fraudulent;
- HIPAA which created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program and making false statements relating to healthcare matters;
- HIPAA, as amended by HITECH and its implementing regulations, which imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information; and
- state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payer, including commercial insurers, and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our results of operations.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of our product candidates.

We face an inherent risk of product liability as a result of the clinical testing of our product candidates and will face an even greater risk if we commercialize any products. For example, we may be sued if any product we develop allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability and a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our product candidates, if approved. Even successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- decreased demand for our product candidates or products that we may develop;
• injury to our reputation;
• withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
• initiation of investigations by regulators;
• costs to defend the related litigation;
• a diversion of management’s time and our resources;
• substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
• increase in insurance premiums;
• product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions;
• loss of revenues from product sales;
• the inability to commercialize our product candidates; and
• a decline in our stock price.

Our inability to obtain and retain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of products we develop. We have a program of product liability insurance covering our ongoing clinical trials; however, the amount of insurance we maintain may not be adequate to cover all liabilities that we may incur. Although we maintain such insurance, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. We will have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts.

Our internal computer systems, or those used by our CROs or other contractors or consultants, may fail or suffer security breaches.

We and our business partners maintain sensitive company data on our computer networks, including our intellectual property and proprietary business information, as well as certain clinical trial information. Cybersecurity attacks are becoming more commonplace and include, but are not limited to, malicious software, attempts to gain unauthorized access to data and other electronic security breaches that could lead to disruptions in systems, misappropriation of information and corruption of data. Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems and those of our CROs and other contractors and consultants are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. While we have not experienced any such material system failure, accident or security breach to date, if such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a material disruption of our development programs and business operations. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from completed or ongoing or planned clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of or damage to our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability and the further development of our product candidates could be delayed.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If our efforts to protect the proprietary nature of the intellectual property related to our technologies are not adequate, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market.

We rely upon a combination of patents, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect the intellectual property related to our technologies. Any disclosure to or misappropriation by third parties of our confidential proprietary information could enable competitors to quickly duplicate or surpass our technological achievements, thus eroding our competitive position in our market.
The strength of patents in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical field involves complex legal and scientific questions and can be uncertain. The patent applications that we own or license may fail to result in issued patents in the United States or in other foreign countries. Even if the patents do successfully issue, third parties may challenge the validity, enforceability or scope thereof, which may result in such patents being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable. Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications may not adequately protect our intellectual property or prevent others from designing around our claims. If the breadth or strength of protection provided by the patent applications we hold or pursue with respect to our product candidates is threatened, it could threaten our ability to commercialize our product candidates. Further, if we encounter delays in our clinical trials, the period of time during which we could market our product candidates under patent protection would be reduced. Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, we cannot be certain that we were the first to file any patent application related to our product candidates. Furthermore, an interference proceeding can be provoked by a third-party or instituted by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“U.S. PTO”) to determine who was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our applications.

In addition to the protection afforded by patents, we seek to rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect proprietary know-how that is not patentable, processes for which patents are difficult to enforce and any other elements of our drug development processes that involve proprietary know-how, information or technology that is not covered by patents. Although we require all of our employees to assign their inventions to us, and all of our employees, consultants, advisors and any third parties who have access to our proprietary know-how, information or technology to enter into confidentiality agreements, we cannot be certain that our trade secrets and other confidential proprietary information will not be disclosed or that competitors will not otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or independently develop substantially equivalent information and techniques. Further, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent or in the same manner as the laws of the United States. As a result, we may encounter significant problems in protecting and defending our intellectual property both in the United States and abroad. If we are unable to prevent material disclosure of the intellectual property related to our technologies to third parties, we will not be able to establish or maintain a competitive advantage in our market, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

**Third-party claims of intellectual property infringement may prevent or delay our drug discovery and development efforts.**

Our commercial success depends in part on our avoiding infringement of the patents and proprietary rights of third parties. There is a substantial amount of litigation involving patent and other intellectual property rights in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, including interference, inter parties review and reexamination proceedings before the U.S. PTO or oppositions and other comparable proceedings in foreign jurisdictions. Numerous United States and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, which are owned by third parties, exist in the fields in which we are developing product candidates. As the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries expand and more patents are issued, the risk increases that our product candidates may give rise to claims of infringement of the patent rights of others.

Third parties may assert that we are employing their proprietary technology without authorization. There are or may be third-party patents with claims to materials, formulations, methods of manufacture or methods for treatment related to the use or manufacture of our product candidates. Because patent applications can take many years to issue, there may be currently pending patent applications, which may later result in issued patents that our product candidates may infringe. In addition, third parties may obtain patents in the future and claim that use of our technologies infringes upon these patents. If any third-party patents were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover the manufacturing process of any of our product candidates, any molecules formed during the manufacturing process or any final product itself, the holders of any such patents may be able to block our ability to commercialize such product candidate unless we obtain a license under the applicable patents, or until such patents expire or they are finally determined to be held invalid or unenforceable. Similarly, if any third-party patent were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover aspects of our formulations, processes for manufacture or methods of use, including combination therapy or patient selection methods, the holders of any such patent may be able to block our ability to develop and commercialize the applicable product candidate unless we obtain a license, limit our uses, or until such patent expires or is finally determined to be held invalid or unenforceable. In either case, such a license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Parties making claims against us may obtain injunctive or other equitable relief, which could effectively block our ability to further develop and commercialize one or more of our product candidates. Defense of these claims, regardless of their merit, would involve substantial litigation expense and would be a substantial diversion of employee resources from our business. In the event of a successful claim of infringement against us, we may have to pay substantial damages, including treble damages and attorneys’ fees for willful infringement, obtain one or more licenses from third parties, limit our uses, pay royalties or redesign our infringing product candidates, which may be impossible or require substantial time and monetary expenditure. We cannot predict whether any such license would be available at all or whether it would be available on commercially reasonable terms. Furthermore, even in the absence of litigation, we may need to obtain licenses from third parties to advance our research or allow commercialization of our product candidates. We may fail to obtain any of these licenses at a reasonable cost or on reasonable terms, if at all. In that event, we would be unable to further develop and commercialize one or more of our product candidates, which could harm our business significantly.
The patent protection and patent prosecution for some of our product candidates is dependent on third parties.

While we normally seek and gain the right to fully prosecute the patents relating to our product candidates, there may be times when platform technology patents that relate to our product candidates are controlled by our licensors. This is the case with our license to rociletinib, under which Celgene holds the right to prosecute and maintain the patents and patent applications covering its core discovery technology, including molecular backbones, building blocks and classes of compounds generated by that technology, aspects of which relate to rociletinib. While we have the right to jointly prosecute and maintain the patent rights for the composition of matter for rociletinib, if Celgene or any of our future licensing partners fail to appropriately prosecute and maintain patent protection for patents covering any of our product candidates, our ability to develop and commercialize those product candidates may be adversely affected and we may not be able to prevent competitors from making, using and selling competing products.

We may be involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our patents or the patents of our licensors, which could be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful.

Competitors may infringe our patents or the patents of our licensors. To counter infringement or unauthorized use, we may be required to file infringement claims, which can be expensive and time-consuming. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours or our licensors is not valid or is unenforceable, or may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patents do not cover the technology in question. An adverse result in any litigation or defense proceedings could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated, held unenforceable or interpreted narrowly and could put our patent applications at risk of not issuing.

Interference proceedings provoked by third parties or brought by the U.S. PTO may be necessary to determine the priority of inventions with respect to our patents or patent applications or those of our licensors. An unfavorable outcome could require us to cease using the related technology or to attempt to license rights to it from the prevailing party. Our business could be harmed if the prevailing party does not offer us a license on commercially reasonable terms. Litigation or interference proceedings may fail and, even if successful, may result in substantial costs and distract our management and other employees.

We may not be able to prevent, alone or with our licensors, misappropriation of our trade secrets or confidential information, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect those rights as fully as in the United States. Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation. In addition, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments. If securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a substantial adverse effect on the price of our common stock.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on all of our product candidates throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive. Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent protection to develop their own products and further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, but enforcement is not as strong as that in the United States. These products may compete with our products in jurisdictions where we do not have any issued patents and our patent claims or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from so competing.

Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biopharmaceuticals, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial cost and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business.

If we breach any of the agreements under which we license commercialization rights to our product candidates from third parties, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.

We license the use, development and commercialization rights for all of our product candidates, and may enter into similar licenses in the future. Under each of our existing license agreements we are subject to commercialization and development, diligence obligations, milestone payment obligations, royalty payments and other obligations. If we fail to comply with any of these obligations or otherwise breach our license agreements, our licensing partners may have the right to terminate the license in whole or in part. Generally, the loss of any one of our three current licenses or other licenses in the future could materially harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.
Intellectual property rights do not necessarily address all potential threats to our competitive advantage.

The degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have limitations, and may not adequately protect our business, or permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. The following examples are illustrative:

- Others may be able to make compounds that are similar to our product candidates but that are not covered by the claims of the patents that we own or have exclusively licensed.
- We or our licensors or strategic partners might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by the issued patent or pending patent application that we own or have exclusively licensed.
- We or our licensors or strategic partners might not have been the first to file patent applications covering certain of our inventions.
- Others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing our intellectual property rights.
- It is possible that our pending patent applications will not lead to issued patents.
- Issued patents that we own or have exclusively licensed may not provide us with any competitive advantages, or may be held invalid or unenforceable, as a result of legal challenges by our competitors.
- Our competitors might conduct research and development activities in countries where we do not have patent rights and then use the information learned from such activities to develop competitive products for sale in our major commercial markets.
- We may not develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable.
- The patents of others may have an adverse effect on our business.

Should any of these events occur, they could significantly harm our business, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Ownership of our Common Stock and Convertible Senior Notes

There may not be a viable public market for our common stock and as a result it may be difficult for you to sell your shares of our common stock.

Our common stock had not been publicly traded prior to our initial public offering in November 2011. The trading market for our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Select Market has been limited and an active trading market for our shares may not be sustained. As a result of these and other factors, you may be unable to resell your shares at a price that is attractive to you or at all. Further, an inactive market may also impair our ability to raise capital by selling shares of our common stock and may impair our ability to enter into strategic partnerships or acquire companies or products by using our shares of common stock as consideration.

The price of our stock has been, and may continue to be, volatile, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

The trading price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. During calendar year 2015, the price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ranged from $24.50 per share to $116.75 per share. In addition to the factors discussed in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this report, these factors include:

- adverse results of regulatory actions or decisions;
- our failure to successfully commercialize our product candidates, if approved;
- actual or anticipated adverse results or delays in our clinical trials;
- unanticipated serious safety concerns related to the use of any of our product candidates;
- changes in laws or regulations applicable to our product candidates, including but not limited to clinical trial requirements for approvals;
- disputes or other developments relating to proprietary rights, including patents, litigation matters and our ability to obtain patent protection for our product candidates;
- our decision to initiate a clinical trial, not to initiate a clinical trial or to terminate an existing clinical trial;
- inability to obtain adequate product supply for any approved drug product or inability to do so at acceptable prices;
- our dependence on third parties, including CMOS and CROs, as well as our partners that provide us with companion diagnostic products;
additions or departures of key scientific or management personnel;
- failure to meet or exceed any financial guidance or expectations regarding development milestones that we may provide to the public;
- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;
- failure to meet or exceed the estimates and projections of the investment community;
- overall performance of the equity markets and other factors that may be unrelated to our operating performance or the operating performance of our competitors, including changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- conditions or trends in the biotechnology and biopharmaceutical industries;
- introduction of new products offered by us or our competitors;
- announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by us or our competitors;
- issuances of debt or equity securities;
- significant lawsuits, including patent or stockholder litigation;
- sales of our common stock by us or our stockholders in the future;
- trading volume of our common stock;
- publication of research reports about us or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;
- ineffectiveness of our internal controls;
- general political and economic conditions;
- effects of natural or man-made catastrophic events; and
- other events or factors, many of which are beyond our control.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the NASDAQ Global Select Market and biotechnology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. Broad market and industry factors may negatively affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. The realization of any of the above risks or any of a broad range of other risks, including those described in these “Risk Factors,” could have a dramatic and material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Because our outstanding Notes are convertible into shares of our common stock, volatility or depressed prices of our common stock could have a similar effect on the trading price of our Notes. In addition, the existence of the Notes may encourage short selling in our common stock by market participants because the conversion of the Notes could depress the price of our common stock.

The conversion of some or all of the Notes may dilute the ownership interest of existing stockholders. Holders of the outstanding Notes will be able to convert them at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding September 15, 2021. Upon conversion, holders of the Notes will receive shares of common stock. Any sales in the public market of shares of common stock issued upon conversion of such Notes could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock. We cannot predict the size of future issuances or the effect, if any, that they may have on the market price of our common stock. The issuance and sale of substantial amounts of common stock, or the perception that such issuances and sales may occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock and impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities.

Following periods of volatility in a company’s stock price, litigation has often been initiated against companies. Following the decline in our stock price related to the rociletinib regulatory update in November 2015, a number of lawsuits have been filed against us (see “Part I, Item 3-Legal Proceedings”). These proceedings and other similar litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management’s attention and resources, which could materially and adversely affect our business and financial condition.
Our principal stockholders and management own a significant percentage of our stock and will be able to exert significant control over matters subject to stockholder approval.

Our executive officers, directors, holders of 5% or more of our capital stock and their respective affiliates known to us beneficially owned approximately 36.9% of our voting stock as of December 31, 2015. These stockholders have the ability to influence us through this ownership position. These stockholders may be able to determine all matters requiring stockholder approval. For example, these stockholders may be able to control elections of directors, amendments of our organizational documents, or approval of any merger, sale of assets, or other major corporate transaction. This may prevent or discourage unsolicited acquisition proposals or offers for our common stock that you may feel are in your best interest as one of our stockholders.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could cause our stock price to fall.

Persons who were our stockholders prior to our initial public offering continue to hold a substantial number of shares of our common stock. If such persons sell, or indicate an intention to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

In addition, shares of common stock that are either subject to outstanding options or reserved for future issuance under our equity incentive plans will become eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting schedules and Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act, and, in any event, we have filed a registration statement permitting shares of common stock issued on exercise of options to be freely sold in the public market. If these additional shares of common stock are sold, or if it is perceived that they will be sold, in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Future sales and issuances of our common stock or rights to purchase common stock, including pursuant to our equity incentive plans, could result in additional dilution of the percentage ownership of our stockholders and could cause our stock price to fall.

We expect that significant additional capital will be needed in the future to continue our planned operations. To raise capital, we may sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities in one or more transactions at prices and in a manner we determine from time to time. If we sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities in more than one transaction, investors may be materially diluted by subsequent sales. Such sales may also result in material dilution to our existing stockholders, and new investors could gain rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of holders of our common stock.

Pursuant to our equity incentive plan(s), our compensation committee (or its designee) is authorized to grant equity-based incentive awards to our employees, directors and consultants. As of December 31, 2015, the number of shares of our common stock available for future grant under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (“2011 Plan”) is 1,181,722. The number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under our 2011 Plan will be increased (i) from time to time by the number of shares of our common stock forfeited upon the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, cash settlement or other termination of awards under our 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, and (ii) at the discretion of our board of directors, on the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders, by up to the lesser of (x) a number of additional shares of our common stock representing 4% of our then-outstanding shares of common stock on such date and (y) 2,758,621 shares of our common stock. Future option grants and issuances of common stock under our 2011 Plan may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. In addition, a substantial number of shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance upon conversion of the Notes.

Some provisions of our charter documents and Delaware law may have anti-takeover effects that could discourage an acquisition of us by others, even if an acquisition would be beneficial to our stockholders and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could make it more difficult for a third-party to acquire us or increase the cost of acquiring us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders or remove our current management. These provisions include:

- authorizing the issuance of “blank check” preferred stock, the terms of which may be established and shares of which may be issued without stockholder approval;
- limiting the removal of directors by the stockholders;
- creating a staggered board of directors;
- prohibiting stockholder action by written consent, thereby requiring all stockholder actions to be taken at a meeting of our stockholders;
- eliminating the ability of stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders;
● permitting our board of directors to accelerate the vesting of outstanding option grants upon certain transactions that result in a change of control;
and
● establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at stockholder meetings.

These provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors, which is responsible for appointing the members of our management. Because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which may discourage, delay or prevent someone from acquiring us or merging with us whether or not it is desired by or beneficial to our stockholders. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not, in general, engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its capital stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other things, the board of directors has approved the transaction. Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock. Additionally, certain provisions of our outstanding Notes could make it more difficult or more expensive for a third party to acquire us. The repurchase price of the Notes must be paid in cash, and this obligation may have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing an acquisition of the Company that would otherwise be beneficial to our security holders.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrades our stock or publishes inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of our company or fails to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which might cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

We may not be able to raise the funds necessary to repurchase the Notes upon a fundamental change, and our future debt may contain limitations on our ability to repurchase the Notes.

If we undergo a fundamental change, as defined in the indenture, prior to the maturity date of the Notes, holders may require us to repurchase for cash all or any portion of the Notes at a fundamental change repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. We may not have or be able to borrow the funds required to repurchase the Notes on the fundamental change repurchase date. In addition, our ability to repurchase the Notes may otherwise be limited by law, regulatory authority or agreements governing our future indebtedness. Our failure to repurchase the Notes at a time when the repurchase is required by the indenture would constitute a default under the indenture. A default under the indenture or the fundamental change itself could also lead to a default under agreements governing our future indebtedness. If the repayment of the related indebtedness were to be accelerated after any applicable notice or grace periods, we may not have sufficient funds to repay the indebtedness and repurchase the Notes when required.

We may incur substantially more debt or take other actions which would intensify the risks discussed above; and we may not generate cash flow from operations in the future sufficient to satisfy our obligations under the Notes and any future indebtedness we may incur.

We may incur substantial additional debt in the future, subject to the restrictions contained in any debt instruments that we enter into in the future, some of which may be secured debt. We are not restricted under the terms of the indenture governing the Notes from incurring additional debt, securing existing or future debt, recapitalizing our debt or taking a number of other actions that are not limited by the terms of the indenture governing the Notes that could have the effect of diminishing our ability to make payments on the Notes when due. Our ability to refinance the Notes or future indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. In addition, agreements that govern any future indebtedness that we may incur may contain financial and other restrictive covenants that will limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interests. Our failure to comply with those covenants could result in an event of default that, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of some or all of our debt.

IT EM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.
ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal offices are located at four leased facilities, a 29,177 square foot facility in Boulder, Colorado used primarily for corporate functions, a 24,877 square foot facility in San Francisco, California used for clinical development operations and research laboratory space, a 4,411 square foot facility in Cambridge, United Kingdom used for our European regulatory and clinical operations and a 416 square foot facility in Milan, Italy used for clinical operations. These leases expire in January 2023, December 2021, May 2016 and March 2017, respectively. We believe that our existing facilities are sufficient for our needs for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On November 19, 2015, Steve Kimbro, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a purported class action complaint (the “Kimbro Complaint”) against Clovis and certain of its officers in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The Kimbro Complaint purports to be asserted on behalf of a class of persons who purchased Clovis stock between October 31, 2013 and November 15, 2015. The Kimbro Complaint generally alleges that Clovis and certain of its officers violated federal securities laws by making allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the progress toward FDA approval and the potential for market success of rociletinib. The Kimbro Complaint seeks unspecified damages.

Also on November 19, 2015, a second purported shareholder class action complaint was filed by Sonny P. Medina, another purported Clovis shareholder, containing similar allegations to those set forth in the Kimbro Complaint, also in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the “Medina Complaint”). The Medina Complaint purports to be asserted on behalf of a class of persons who purchased Clovis stock between May 20, 2014 and November 13, 2015.

On November 20, 2015, a third complaint was filed by John Moran in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the “Moran Complaint”). The Moran Complaint contains similar allegations to those asserted in the Kimbro and Medina Complaints and purports to be asserted on behalf of a plaintiff class who purchased Clovis stock between October 31, 2013 and November 13, 2015.

On December 14, 2015, Ralph P. Rocco, a fourth purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the “Rocco Complaint”). The Rocco Complaint contains similar allegations to those set forth in the previous complaints and purports to be asserted on behalf of a plaintiff class who purchased Clovis stock between October 31, 2013 and November 15, 2015.

On January 19, 2016, a number of motions were filed in both the District of Colorado and the Northern District of California seeking to consolidate the shareholder class actions into one matter and for appointment of a lead plaintiff. All lead plaintiff movants other than M.Arkin (1999) LTD and Arkin Communications LTD (the “Arkin Plaintiffs”) subsequently filed notices of non-opposition to the Arkin Plaintiffs’ application.

On February 2, 2016, the Arkin Plaintiffs filed a motion to transfer the Moran Complaint to the District of Colorado (the “Motion to Transfer”). Also on February 2, 2016, the defendants filed a statement in the Northern District of California supporting the consolidation of all actions in a single court, the District of Colorado. On February 3, 2016, the Northern District of California court denied without prejudice the lead plaintiff motions filed in that court pending a decision on the Motion to Transfer.

On February 16, 2016, the defendants filed a memorandum in support of the Motion to Transfer, and plaintiff Moran filed a notice of non-opposition to the Motion to Transfer. On February 17, 2016, the Northern District of California court granted the Motion to Transfer.

On February 18, 2016, the Medina court issued an opinion and order addressing the various motions for consolidation and appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel in the District of Colorado actions. By this ruling, the court consolidated the Medina, Kimbro and Rocco actions into a single proceeding. The court also appointed the Arkin Plaintiffs as the lead plaintiffs and Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman as lead counsel for the putative class. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the Kimbro, Medina, Moran and Rocco Complaints, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful. If the lawsuits were to result in a loss, the amount of any such loss cannot reasonably be estimated.

On December 30, 2015, Jamie McCall, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a shareholder derivative complaint (the “McCall Complaint”) against certain officers and directors of Clovis in the Colorado District Court, County of Boulder. The McCall Complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to Clovis by participating in misrepresentation of the Company’s business operations and prospects. The McCall Complaint also alleges claims for abuse of control, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment. The McCall Complaint seeks, among other things, an award of money damages, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged fiduciary breaches and other forms of equitable relief. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the McCall Complaint, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful. If the lawsuit were to result in a loss, the amount of any such loss cannot reasonably be estimated.
On January 22, 2016, the Electrical Workers Local #357 Pension and Health & Welfare Trusts, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a purported class action complaint (the “Electrical Workers Complaint”) against Clovis and certain of its officers, directors, investors and underwriters in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Mateo. The Electrical Workers Complaint purports to be asserted on behalf of a class of persons who purchased stock in Clovis’ July 8, 2015 follow-on offering. The Electrical Workers Complaint generally alleges that the defendants violated the Securities Act because the offering documents for the July 8, 2015 follow-on offering contained allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the progress toward FDA approval and the potential for market success of rociletinib. The Electrical Workers Complaint seeks unspecified damages. On February 25, 2016, the defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and thereafter moved to transfer the case to the District of Colorado. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the Electrical Workers Complaint, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful. If the lawsuit were to result in a loss, the amount of any such loss cannot reasonably be estimated.

On February 19, 2016, Maris Sanchez, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a shareholder derivative complaint (the “Sanchez Complaint”) against certain officers and directors of Clovis in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The Sanchez Complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to Clovis by participating in misrepresentation of the Company’s business operations and prospects. The Sanchez Complaint also alleges claims for abuse of control and gross mismanagement. The Sanchez Complaint seeks, among other things, an award of money damages. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the Sanchez Complaint, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful. If the lawsuit were to result in a loss, the amount of any such loss cannot reasonably be estimated.

I TEM 4.  MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

I TEM 5.  MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information and Holders

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol “CLVS.” The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31, 2015</th>
<th>HIGH</th>
<th>LOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$83.46</td>
<td>$54.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>$102.28</td>
<td>$68.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>$116.75</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>$109.18</td>
<td>$24.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31, 2014</th>
<th>HIGH</th>
<th>LOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$93.33</td>
<td>$58.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>$72.48</td>
<td>$36.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>$50.87</td>
<td>$35.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>$62.20</td>
<td>$40.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On February 19, 2016, there were approximately 27 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings to support our operations and finance the growth and development of our business. We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any future determination related to our dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements, contractual restrictions, business prospects and other factors our board of directors may deem relevant.
## Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

**As of December 31, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Category</th>
<th>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and rights (a)</th>
<th>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and rights (b)</th>
<th>Number of securities remaining available for issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans approved by security holders</td>
<td>5,360,257 $</td>
<td>51.53</td>
<td>1,557,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,360,257 $</td>
<td>51.53</td>
<td>1,557,953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) As of December 31, 2015, 6,262,641 shares were authorized for issuance under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (“2011 Plan”), which became effective upon closing of the Company’s initial public offering in November 2011, including 191,496 remaining shares available for future issuance under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (“2009 Plan”), which were transferred to the 2011 Plan. The number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the 2011 Plan will be increased (i) from time to time by the number of shares of our common stock forfeited upon the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, cash settlement or other termination of awards under the 2009 Plan and (ii) at the discretion of our board of directors, on the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders, by up to the lesser of (x) a number of additional shares of our common stock representing 4% of our then-outstanding shares of common stock on such date and (y) 2,758,621 shares of our common stock.

(2) As of December 31, 2015, 376,231 shares were reserved for issuance under our 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”), which became effective upon closing of the Company’s initial public offering in November 2011. The number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the ESPP will be increased at the discretion of our board of directors, on the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders, by up to the lesser of (x) a number of additional shares of our common stock representing 1% of our then-outstanding shares of common stock on such date and (y) 344,828 shares of our common stock.
The following graph shows a comparison from November 16, 2011 through December 31, 2015 of the cumulative total return on an assumed investment of $100 in cash in our common stock, the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index. Such returns are based on historical results and are not intended to suggest future performance. Data for the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index assume reinvestment of dividends.

*(1)* This performance graph shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing of Clovis Oncology, Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table sets forth certain of our selected historical financial data at the dates and for the periods indicated. The selected historical statement of operations data presented below for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 and the historical balance sheet data as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 have been derived from our audited financial statements, which are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical statement of operations data presented below for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the historical balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 have been derived from our audited financial statements that do not appear in this report.

Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of results expected in any future period.

The selected historical financial data presented below should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our financial statements and the related notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected historical financial information in this section is not intended to replace our financial statements and the related notes thereto.
Statement of Operations Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License and milestone revenue</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 13,625</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>269,251</td>
<td>137,705</td>
<td>66,545</td>
<td>58,894</td>
<td>40,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>30,524</td>
<td>21,457</td>
<td>16,567</td>
<td>10,638</td>
<td>6,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process research and development</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>8,806</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of intangible asset</td>
<td>89,557</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>(24,611)</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td>376,721</td>
<td>172,084</td>
<td>83,767</td>
<td>73,782</td>
<td>54,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating loss</strong></td>
<td>(376,721)</td>
<td>(172,084)</td>
<td>(83,767)</td>
<td>(73,782)</td>
<td>(54,586)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other income (expense):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>(8,372)</td>
<td>(2,604)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(949)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency gains (losses)</td>
<td>2,740</td>
<td>3,580</td>
<td>(535)</td>
<td>(65)</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense)</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>(240)</td>
<td>(178)</td>
<td>(163)</td>
<td>(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other income (expense), net</strong></td>
<td>(5,216)</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>(713)</td>
<td>(228)</td>
<td>(957)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss before income taxes</strong></td>
<td>(381,937)</td>
<td>(157,723)</td>
<td>(84,480)</td>
<td>(74,010)</td>
<td>(55,543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income tax benefit (expense)</strong></td>
<td>29,076</td>
<td>(2,308)</td>
<td>(52)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss</strong></td>
<td>$ (352,861)</td>
<td>$ (160,031)</td>
<td>$ (84,532)</td>
<td>$ (73,983)</td>
<td>$ (55,570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic and diluted net loss per common share</strong></td>
<td>$ (9.79)</td>
<td>$ (4.72)</td>
<td>$ (2.95)</td>
<td>$ (2.97)</td>
<td>$ (14.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding</td>
<td>36,026</td>
<td>33,889</td>
<td>28,672</td>
<td>24,915</td>
<td>3,854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance Sheet Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>$ 528,588</td>
<td>$ 482,677</td>
<td>$ 323,228</td>
<td>$ 144,097</td>
<td>$ 140,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working capital</td>
<td>464,125</td>
<td>443,400</td>
<td>307,644</td>
<td>132,712</td>
<td>130,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>713,386</td>
<td>786,206</td>
<td>649,635</td>
<td>145,994</td>
<td>143,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible senior notes</td>
<td>279,885</td>
<td>278,680</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock and additional paid-in capital</td>
<td>1,130,016</td>
<td>785,123</td>
<td>762,204</td>
<td>317,925</td>
<td>242,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>300,650</td>
<td>331,630</td>
<td>497,886</td>
<td>133,496</td>
<td>131,793</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our financial statements and related notes appearing at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business and related financing, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You should read the “Risk Factors” section of this report for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis.
Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on acquiring, developing and commercializing innovative anti-cancer agents in the United States, Europe and other international markets. We generally target our development programs for the treatment of specific subsets of cancer populations and seek to simultaneously develop, with partners, companion diagnostics that direct our product candidates to the patients that are most likely to benefit from their use. We are currently developing three product candidates:

- **Rociletinib**, an oral epidermal growth factor receptor (“EGFR”), mutant-selective covalent inhibitor that is currently under review with the U.S. and E.U. regulatory authorities for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (“NSCLC”) in patients with activating EGFR mutations, as well as the dominant resistance mutation, T790M;
- **Rucaparib**, an oral inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (“PARP”) that is currently in advanced clinical development for the treatment of ovarian cancer and for which the first U.S. regulatory application is expected to be submitted for approval during the second quarter of 2016 and the first E.U. regulatory application is expected to be submitted in the second half of 2016; and
- **Lucitanib**, an oral inhibitor of the tyrosine kinase activity of vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1-3 (“VEGFR1-3”), platelet-derived growth factor receptors alpha and beta (“PDGFR α/β”) and fibroblast growth factor receptors 1-3 (“FGFR1-3”) that is currently in Phase II development for the treatment of breast cancer.

We hold global development and commercialization rights for rociletinib and rucaparib. For lucitanib, we hold development and commercialization rights in the U.S. and Japan and have sublicensed rights to Europe and rest of world markets, excluding China, to Les Laboratoires (“Servier”).

We commenced operations in April 2009. To date, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to identifying and in-licensing product candidates, performing development activities with respect to those product candidates and the general and administrative support of these operations. Through December 31, 2015, we have generated $13.6 million in license and milestone revenue related to our collaboration and license agreement with Servier, but have generated no product revenues. We have principally funded our operations using the net proceeds from the sale of convertible preferred stock, the issuance of convertible promissory notes, public offerings of our common stock and our convertible senior notes offering.

We have never been profitable and, as of December 31, 2015, we had an accumulated deficit of $781.9 million. We incurred net losses of $352.9 million, $160.0 million and $84.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and had cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities totaling $528.6 million at December 31, 2015.

We expect to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future, as we advance our product candidates through clinical development to seek regulatory approval and, if approved, commercialize such product candidates. Based on our current estimates, we believe that our cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities will allow us to fund activities through the next 12 months; however, we expect that we will need to raise capital during 2016 in order to fully implement our business plan to further the development and commercialization of our product candidates, as well as to fund our other operating expenses, milestone payments to licensors and capital expenditures. We expect to finance future cash needs through a combination of public or private equity or debt offerings, collaborations, strategic alliances or other similar licensing arrangements. Adequate additional financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Our failure to raise capital as and when needed would have a negative impact on our financial condition and our ability to pursue our business strategy. We will need to generate significant revenues to achieve profitability, and we may never do so.

In July 2015, the Company sold 4,054,487 shares of its common stock in a public offering at $78.00 per share. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were $298.5 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

In July 2015, the Company submitted a New Drug Application (“NDA”) regulatory filing and a Marketing Authorization Application (“MAA”) for rociletinib to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) and the European Medicines Agency (“EMA”), respectively. Both the FDA and EMA subsequently accepted the respective filings, and they are currently under active review. In December 2015, the FDA extended the Prescription Drug User Fee Act goal date for the rociletinib NDA by three months to June 28, 2016 to allow additional time for review of additional clinical data submitted by the Company in a Major Amendment in November 2015. The FDA has scheduled the NDA for rociletinib for discussion by the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (“ODAC”) on April 12, 2016. The ODAC reviews and evaluates data concerning the safety and effectiveness of marketed and investigational human drug products used in the treatment of cancer and makes recommendations to the FDA.
Product License Agreements

Rociletinib

In May 2010, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with Avila Therapeutics, Inc. (now Celgene Avilomics Research Inc., part of Celgene Corporation (“Celgene”)) to discover, develop and commercialize a covalent inhibitor of mutant forms of the EGFR gene product. As a result of the collaboration contemplated by the agreement, rociletinib was identified as the lead inhibitor candidate, which we are developing under the terms of the license agreement. Under the agreement, we are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize rociletinib, and we are responsible for all non-clinical, clinical, regulatory and other activities necessary to develop and commercialize rociletinib.

We made an upfront payment of $2.0 million upon execution of the license agreement, a $4.0 million milestone payment in the first quarter of 2012 upon the acceptance by the FDA of our Investigational New Drug (“IND”) application for rociletinib and a $5.0 million milestone payment in the first quarter of 2014 upon the initiation of the Phase II study for rociletinib. In the third quarter of 2015, we made milestone payments totaling $12.0 million upon acceptance of the NDA and MAA for rociletinib by the FDA and EMA, respectively. We recognized all payments prior to commercial approval as acquired in-process research and development expense.

When and if commercial sales of rociletinib commence, we will pay Celgene tiered royalties at percentage rates ranging from mid-single digits to low teens based on annual net sales achieved. We are required to pay up to an additional aggregate of $98.0 million in development and regulatory milestone payments if certain clinical study objectives and regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved, including $15.0 million upon the first approval of an NDA by the FDA and $15.0 million upon the first approval of an MAA by the EMA. In addition, we are required to pay up to an aggregate of $120.0 million in sales milestone payments if certain annual sales targets are achieved, the majority of which relate to annual sales targets of $500.0 million and above.

In January 2013, the Company entered into an exclusive license agreement with Gatekeeper Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Gatekeeper”) to acquire exclusive rights under patent applications associated with mutant EGFR inhibitors and methods of treatment. Pursuant to the terms of the license agreement, the Company made an upfront payment of $0.25 million upon execution of the agreement, which was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense. If rociletinib is approved for commercial sale, the Company will pay royalties to Gatekeeper on future net sales.

Rucaparib

In June 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Pfizer Inc. to obtain the exclusive global rights to develop and commercialize rucaparib. The exclusive rights are exclusive even as to Pfizer and include the right to grant sublicenses. Under the terms of the license agreement, we made a $7.0 million upfront payment to Pfizer. In April 2014, the Company initiated a pivotal registration study for rucaparib, which resulted in a $0.4 million milestone payment to Pfizer as required by the license agreement. This payment was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense.

We are obligated under the license agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize rucaparib, and we are responsible for all remaining development and commercialization costs for rucaparib. When and if commercial sales of rucaparib begin, we will pay Pfizer tiered royalties at a mid-teens percentage rate on our net sales, with standard provisions for royalty offsets to the extent we need to obtain any rights from third parties to commercialize rucaparib.

We are required to make regulatory milestone payments to Pfizer of up to an additional $88.5 million if specified clinical study objectives and regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved, including $20.75 million associated with the first approval of an NDA by the FDA. In addition, we are obligated to make sales milestone payments to Pfizer if specified annual sales targets for rucaparib are met, the majority of which relate to annual sales targets of $500.0 million and above, which, in the aggregate, could amount to total milestone payments of $170.0 million.

In April 2012, the Company entered into a license agreement with AstraZeneca UK Limited to acquire exclusive rights associated with rucaparib under a family of patents and patent applications that claim methods of treating patients with PARP inhibitors in certain indications. The license enables the development and commercialization of rucaparib for the uses claimed by these patents. Pursuant to the terms of the license agreement, the Company made an upfront payment of $0.25 million upon execution of the agreement, which was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense. The Company may be required to pay up to an aggregate of $0.7 million in milestone payments if certain regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved. If approved, AstraZeneca will also receive royalties on any net sales of rucaparib.
Lucitanib

On November 19, 2013, the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Ethical Oncology Science, S.p.A. (“EOS”) (now known as Clovis Oncology Italy S.r.l.) and gained rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib, an oral, selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. As further described below, EOS licensed the worldwide rights, excluding China, to develop and commercialize lucitanib from Advenchen Laboratories LLC (“Advenchen”). Subsequently, rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in markets outside the U.S. and Japan were sublicensed by EOS to Servier in exchange for upfront milestone fees, royalties on sales of lucitanib in the sublicensed territories and research and development funding commitments.

In October 2008, EOS entered into an exclusive license agreement with Advenchen to develop and commercialize lucitanib on a global basis, excluding China. If and when commercial sales commence, we are obligated to pay Advenchen tiered royalties at percentage rates in the mid-single digits on net sales of lucitanib, based on the volume of annual net sales achieved. In addition, after giving effect to the first and second amendments to the license agreement, we are required to pay to Advenchen 25% of any consideration, excluding royalties, received by the Company from sublicensees, in lieu of the milestone obligations set forth in the agreement.

We are obligated under the agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one product containing lucitanib, and we are also responsible for all remaining development and commercialization costs for lucitanib. In the first quarter of 2014, the Company recognized acquired in-process research and development expense of $3.4 million, which represents 25% of the sublicense agreement consideration of $13.6 million received from Servier upon the end of opposition and appeal of the lucitanib patent by the European Patent Office.

In September 2012, EOS entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Servier whereby EOS sublicensed to Servier exclusive rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in all countries outside of the U.S., Japan and China. In exchange for these rights, EOS received an upfront payment of €45.0 million. We are entitled to receive additional payments upon achievement of specified development, regulatory and commercial milestones up to an additional €90.0 million in the aggregate. In addition, we are entitled to receive sales milestone payments if specified annual sales targets for lucitanib are met, which, in the aggregate, could total €250.0 million. We are also entitled to receive royalties at percentage rates ranging from low to mid-teens on sales of lucitanib by Servier.

We, along with Servier, are obligated to use diligent efforts to develop a product containing lucitanib and to carry out the activities delegated to each party under a mutually-agreed global development plan. Servier is responsible for all of the development costs for lucitanib up to €80.0 million, as incurred by each party in connection with global development plan activities. Cumulative global development plan costs in excess of €80.0 million, if any, will be shared equally between the Company and Servier. Based on current estimates, we expect that Servier’s €80.0 million funding commitment will be fulfilled in late 2016 or early 2017, and thereafter, we will share with Servier in future development costs pursuant to a mutually agreed upon global development plan.

Financial Operations Overview

Revenue

To date, we have generated $13.6 million in license and milestone revenue related to our collaboration and license agreement with Servier. In the future, we may generate revenue from the sales of product candidates that are currently under review with the U.S. and E.U. regulatory authorities and under development by the Company, as well as from milestone payments or royalties pursuant to our sublicense agreement with Servier. If we fail to successfully complete the regulatory review and development of our product candidates and, together with our partners, companion diagnostics or obtain regulatory approval for them, our ability to generate future revenue, and our results of operations and financial position, will be adversely affected.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist of costs incurred for the development of our product candidates and companion diagnostics, which include:

- license fees and milestone payments related to the acquisition of in-licensed products, which are reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations as acquired in-process research and development;
- employee-related expenses, including salaries, benefits, travel and share-based compensation expense;
- expenses incurred under agreements with contract research organizations (“CROs”) and investigative sites that conduct our clinical trials;
- the cost of acquiring, developing and manufacturing clinical trial materials;
- costs associated with non-clinical activities and regulatory operations;
market research, disease education and other commercial product planning activities, including the hiring of a U.S. sales and marketing and medical affairs organization in preparation for potential commercial launch; and

activities associated with the development of companion diagnostics for our product candidates.

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. License fees and milestone payments related to in-licensed products and technology are expensed if it is determined that they have no alternative future use. Costs for certain development activities, such as clinical trials and manufacturing of clinical supply, are recognized based on an evaluation of the progress to completion of specific tasks using data such as patient enrollment, clinical site activations or information provided to us by our vendors.

Product candidates in later stages of clinical development generally have higher development costs than those in earlier stages of clinical development, primarily due to the increased size and duration of later stage clinical trials. We plan to increase our research and development expenses for the foreseeable future as we seek to expand our clinical and companion diagnostic development activities for our product candidates.

The following table identifies research and development and acquired in-process research and development costs on a program-specific basis for our products under development. Personnel-related costs, depreciation and share-based compensation are not allocated to specific programs, as they are deployed across multiple projects under development and, as such, are separately classified as personnel and other expenses in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rociletinib Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>$122,912</td>
<td>$69,920</td>
<td>$17,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process R&amp;D</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rociletinib Total</strong></td>
<td>134,912</td>
<td>74,920</td>
<td>17,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rucaparib Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>58,922</td>
<td>35,010</td>
<td>24,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process R&amp;D</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rucaparib Total</strong></td>
<td>58,922</td>
<td>35,410</td>
<td>24,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lucitanib Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development (a)</td>
<td>1,923</td>
<td>(491)</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process R&amp;D</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,406</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lucitanib Total</strong></td>
<td>1,923</td>
<td>2,915</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CO-101 Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development (b)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CO-101 Total</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cKIT Inhibitor Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development (c)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cKIT Inhibitor Total</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel and other expenses</td>
<td>85,494</td>
<td>33,266</td>
<td>19,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$281,251</td>
<td>$146,511</td>
<td>$66,795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) This amount reflects actual costs incurred less amounts due from Servier for reimbursable development expenses pursuant to the collaboration and license agreement described in Note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(b) In November 2009, the Company entered into a license agreement with Clavis Pharma ASA to develop and commercialize CO-101. In November 2012, the Company ceased development of CO-101 due to negative results from a pivotal study and terminated the license agreement.

(c) In July 2012, the Company entered into a drug discovery collaboration agreement with Array BioPharma Inc. for the discovery of a novel cKIT inhibitor targeting resistance mutations for the treatment of GIST, a gastrointestinal cancer. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company was responsible for funding all costs of the discovery program, as well as costs to develop and commercialize any clinical candidates discovered. This drug discovery program did not identify a compound to be used in further development activities, and the program was terminated in the fourth quarter of 2013.
Research and development expenses increased significantly from 2013 through 2015 due to the expansion of our clinical development activities for rociletinib and rucaparib. In addition, during 2015, we increased commercial product planning activities in anticipation of the potential regulatory approval and commercial launch in the U.S. of rociletinib. These activities included the hiring of our U.S. sales and marketing and medical affairs organizations. For 2016, we do not expect research and development expenses to increase over 2015 as they have for the previous two years, but we expect such costs to remain consistent with 2015 expenses.

**General and Administrative Expenses**

General and administrative expenses consist principally of salaries, share-based compensation expense and other personnel-related costs for employees in executive, finance, legal, investor relations, human resources and information technology functions. Other general and administrative expenses include facilities expenses, communication expenses, information technology costs, corporate insurance and professional fees for legal, consulting and accounting services.

**Acquired In-Process Research and Development Expenses**

Acquired in-process research and development expenses consist of upfront payments to acquire a new drug compound, as well as subsequent milestone payments. Acquired in-process research and development payments are immediately expensed provided that the drug has not achieved regulatory approval for marketing and, absent obtaining such, approval, has no alternative future use.

**Impairment of Intangible Asset**

In connection with the acquisition of EOS, we recorded intangible assets to reflect the fair value of acquired in-process research and development (“IPR&D”) as of the acquisition date. The fair value was established based upon discounted cash flow models using assumptions related to the timing of development, probability of development and regulatory success, sales and commercialization factors and estimated product life.

The IPR&D intangible assets are treated as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are not amortized. Amortization of these assets will commence upon completion of the related research and development activities. IPR&D intangible assets are evaluated for impairment at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators exist and any reduction in fair value would be recorded as impairment of intangible asset on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded an $89.6 million impairment charge to the IPR&D intangible asset as the result of the Company’s and our development partner’s decision to terminate the development of lucitanib for lung cancer, as well as updates to the probability-weighted discounted cash flow assumptions for the breast cancer indication.

**Change in Fair Value of Contingent Purchase Consideration**

In connection with the acquisition of EOS, we also recorded a purchase consideration liability equal to the estimated fair value of future payments that are contingent upon the achievement of various regulatory and sales milestones. Subsequent to the acquisition date, we re-measure contingent consideration arrangements at fair value each reporting period and record changes in fair value to change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration and foreign currency gains (losses) for changes in the foreign currency translation rate on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Changes in fair value are primarily attributed to new information about the likelihood of achieving such milestones and the passage of time. In the absence of new information, changes to fair value reflect only the passage of time as we progress towards the achievement of future milestones. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a $26.9 million reduction in the fair value of the contingent purchase consideration liability due to a change in the estimated probability-weighted future milestone payments, as well as the timing of such payments, for the lucitanib program.

**Other Income and Expense**

Other income and expense is primarily comprised of foreign currency gains and losses resulting from transactions with CROs, investigational sites and contract manufacturers where payments are made in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. In addition, a significant portion of the contingent purchase consideration liability will be settled in Euro-denominated payments if certain future milestones are achieved and is subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates. Other expense also includes interest expense recognized related to the Company’s convertible senior notes.
Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Judgments and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, expenses and revenue and related disclosures. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to contingent purchase consideration, the allocation of purchase consideration, intangible asset impairment, clinical trial accruals and share-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience, known trends and events and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our significant accounting policies are described in more detail in the notes to our consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We believe the following accounting policies to be most critical to the judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Accrued Research and Development Expenses

As part of the process of preparing our financial statements, we are required to estimate our accrued expenses. This process involves reviewing open contracts and purchase orders, communicating with our personnel to identify services that have been performed on our behalf and estimating the level of service performed and the associated cost incurred for the service when we have not yet been invoiced or otherwise notified of the actual cost. The majority of our service providers invoice us monthly in arrears for services performed or when contractual milestones are met. We make estimates of our accrued expenses as of each balance sheet date in our financial statements based on facts and circumstances known to us at that time. We periodically confirm the accuracy of our estimates with the service providers and make adjustments if necessary. Examples of estimated accrued research and development expenses include:

- fees paid to CROs in connection with clinical studies;
- fees paid to investigative sites in connection with clinical studies;
- fees paid to vendors in connection with non-clinical development activities;
- fees paid to vendors associated with the development of companion diagnostics; and
- fees paid to vendors related to product manufacturing, development and distribution of clinical supplies.

We base our expenses related to clinical studies on our estimates of the services received and efforts expended pursuant to contracts with multiple CROs that conduct and manage clinical studies on our behalf. The financial terms of these agreements are subject to negotiation, vary from contract to contract and may result in uneven payment flows. There may be instances in which payments made to our vendors will exceed the level of services provided and result in a prepayment of the clinical expense. Payments under some of these contracts depend on factors such as the successful enrollment of patients and the completion of clinical trial milestones. In accruing service fees, we estimate the time period over which services will be performed, enrollment of patients, number of sites activated and the level of effort to be expended in each period. If the actual timing of the performance of services or the level of effort varies from our estimate, we adjust the accrual or prepaid accordingly. Although we do not expect our estimates to be materially different from amounts actually incurred, our understanding of the status and timing of services performed relative to the actual status and timing of services performed may vary and may result in us reporting amounts that are too high or too low in any particular period. Based on the amount of accrued research and development expenses as of December 31, 2015, if our estimates of our net accrued liabilities are too high or too low by 5%, this could increase or decrease our research and development expenses by approximately $2.7 million.

Share-Based Compensation

Determining the amount of share-based compensation to be recorded requires us to develop estimates of the fair value of stock options as of their grant date. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Calculating the fair value of share-based awards requires that we make highly subjective assumptions. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value our stock option awards. Use of this valuation methodology requires that we make assumptions as to the expected dividend yield, price volatility of our common stock, the risk-free interest rate for a period that approximates the expected term of our stock options and the expected term of our stock options. We utilize a dividend yield of zero based on the fact that we have never paid cash dividends and have no current intention to pay cash dividends.
The fair value of stock options for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was estimated at the grant date using the following weighted average assumptions for the respective periods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dividend yield</th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatility (a)</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free interest rate (b)</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected term (years) (c)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) **Volatility**: The expected volatility was estimated using peer data of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry with similar equity plans.

(b) **Risk-free interest rate**: The rate is based on the yield on the grant date of a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bond whose maturity period approximates the option’s expected term.

(c) **Expected term**: The expected term of the award was estimated using peer data of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry with similar equity plans.

We recognized share-based compensation expense of approximately $40.4 million, $21.5 million and $9.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, we had $88.6 million in total unrecognized share-based compensation expense, net of related forfeiture estimates, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average remaining vesting period of 2.7 years. We expect our share-based compensation to continue to grow in future periods due to the potential increases in the value of our common stock and headcount.

We are required to estimate the level of forfeitures expected to occur and record compensation expense only for those awards that we ultimately expect will vest. Due to the lack of historical forfeiture activity of our plan, we estimated our forfeiture rate based on peer company data with characteristics similar to our company.

**Valuation of Contingent Consideration Resulting from a Business Combination**

Contingent consideration resulting from a business combination is reported at its fair value on the acquisition date. Each subsequent reporting period, the contingent consideration obligations are revalued and changes in fair value are recorded to change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration and foreign currency gains (losses) for changes in the foreign currency translation rate on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Changes to contingent consideration obligations can result from adjustments to discount rates and time periods, updates in the assumed achievement or timing of any development milestone or changes in the probability of certain clinical events and regulatory approvals. The assumptions related to determining the value of contingent consideration require significant judgment and changes to the assumptions may have a material impact on the amount of expense recorded in any given period. The acquisition of EOS resulted in the recognition of a contingent consideration liability, based on assumptions related to potential future payout amounts, estimated discount rate, probability of success for each milestone achievement and the estimated timing of the milestone payments to the former EOS shareholders.

**Intangible Assets**

The IPR&D intangible assets are treated as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are not amortized. Amortization of these assets will commence upon completion of the related research and development activities. IPR&D intangible assets are evaluated for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter or more frequently if impairment indicators exist and any reduction in fair value would be recorded as impairment of intangible asset on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Revenue Recognition**

Revenue is recognized from milestone payments when the following criteria have been met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. We exercise judgment in determining that collectability is reasonably assured or that services have been delivered in accordance with the arrangement. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the customer’s payment history and creditworthiness of the customer. Payments that are contingent upon the achievement of a milestone will be recognized in the period in which the milestone is achieved.
### Results of Operations

**Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:**

**License and Milestone Revenue.** License and milestone revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 was due to the recognition of $13.6 million of milestone revenue from Servier upon the end of opposition and appeal of the lucitanib patent by the European Patent Office in the first quarter of 2014. We did not recognize any revenue in 2015 and 2013.

**Research and Development Expenses.** Research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and development expenses</td>
<td>$269,251</td>
<td>$137,705</td>
<td>$66,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase from prior year</td>
<td>$131,546</td>
<td>$71,160</td>
<td>$7,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change from prior year</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>106.9%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase in research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due to increased development activities for the rociletinib and rucaparib programs. Costs associated with non-clinical and clinical development activities for rociletinib were $35.6 million higher than 2014 driven by increased patient enrollment in the TIGER program of studies in NSCLC. In addition, market research, disease education and other commercial product planning activities for rociletinib were $18.2 million higher in 2015 due to the preparation for the potential commercial launch of rociletinib.

Clinical trial costs for rucaparib were $10.3 million higher than the prior year primarily due to higher enrollment in the ARIEL2 and ARIEL3 studies in ovarian cancer, as well as the expansion of Study 010 in 2015. Development costs for rucaparib were $5.9 million higher than 2014 due to the advancement of our collaboration with Foundation Medicine, Inc. to develop a novel companion diagnostic test to identify patients most likely to respond to rucaparib.

Clinical supply and related manufacturing development costs for both programs were $3.2 million higher than 2014, as we increased production to support the expanded clinical studies.

Salaries, share-based compensation expense and other personnel-related costs were $49.4 million higher in 2015, including a $15.8 million increase in share-based compensation expense, driven by increased headcount to support our expanded development and commercial planning activities. During 2015, we completed the hiring of our U.S. sales and marketing and medical affairs organizations in preparation for the potential commercial launch of rociletinib.

The increase in research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to expanded development activities for the rociletinib and rucaparib programs. Costs associated with non-clinical and clinical development activities for rociletinib were $29.4 million higher than 2013 driven by higher enrollment in the ongoing Phase I/II study in NSCLC, as well as the initiation of the TIGER-1, TIGER-2 and Japanese Phase I studies in 2014. Clinical trial costs for rucaparib were $11.8 million higher than the prior year primarily due to the initiation of the ARIEL2 and ARIEL3 studies in ovarian cancer.

Development costs for rucaparib were $3.4 million higher than 2013 due to the expansion of our collaboration with Foundation Medicine, Inc. to incorporate a coordinated regulatory strategy for the development of a novel companion diagnostic test.

Clinical supply and related manufacturing development costs for both programs were $13.8 million higher than 2013, as we increased production to support expanded clinical studies. In addition, salaries, share-based compensation expense and other personnel related costs were $13.2 million higher in 2014 driven by higher headcount to support our expanded development activities. These increases were partially offset by $4.4 million lower costs due to the termination of the cKIT program in late 2013.

**General and Administrative Expenses.** General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative expenses</td>
<td>$30,524</td>
<td>$21,457</td>
<td>$16,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase from prior year</td>
<td>$9,067</td>
<td>$4,890</td>
<td>$5,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change from prior year</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The increase in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015 over 2014 was primarily due to $3.0 million higher share-based compensation expense, $1.4 million higher facilities expense, $1.2 million higher personnel costs, $1.1 million higher legal expense and $1.0 million higher consulting fees.

The increase in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 over 2013 was primarily due to $4.8 million higher share-based compensation expense.

**Acquired In-Process Research and Development Expenses.** Acquired in-process research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process research and development</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$8,806</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) from prior year</td>
<td>$3,194</td>
<td>$8,556</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change from prior year</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>3,422.4%</td>
<td>(94.1)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase in acquired in-process research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 2014 was due to higher payments made to partners related to in-licensing agreements. During the third quarter of 2015, we made milestone payments totaling $12.0 million to Celgene upon acceptance of the NDA and MAA for rociletinib by the FDA and EMA, respectively. During the first quarter of 2014, we made a $5.0 million milestone payment to Celgene upon initiation of the Phase II study for rociletinib, and we recorded a $3.4 million charge for a milestone payment to Advenchen, representing 25% of the sublicense agreement consideration of $13.6 million received from Servier upon the end of opposition and appeal of the lucitanib patent by the European Patent Office. During the second quarter of 2014, we also made a $0.4 million milestone payment to Pfizer upon initiation of a pivotal registration study for rucaparib.

The increase in acquired in-process research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 was also due to higher payments made to partners related to in-licensing agreements. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we made $8.8 million in milestone payments, as detailed above. In January 2013, we made a $0.25 million upfront payment to Gatekeeper upon execution of the license agreement.

**Impairment of Intangible Asset.** During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded an $89.6 million impairment charge to the IPR&D intangible asset relating to our lucitanib product candidate. This reduction in the estimated fair value of lucitanib was the result of the Company’s and its development partner’s decision to terminate the development of lucitanib for lung cancer, as well as updates to the probability-weighted discounted cash flow assumptions for the breast cancer indication. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company recorded a $3.4 million reduction to the intangible asset’s expected future cash flows resulting from the receipt of a lucitanib milestone payment from Servier.

**Change in Fair Value of Contingent Purchase Consideration.** Change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration totaled ($24.6) million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to $0.7 million in 2014. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a $26.9 million reduction in the fair value of the contingent purchase consideration liability due to a change in the estimated probability-weighted future milestone payments, as well as the timing of such payments, for the lucitanib program.

**Other Income (Expense), Net.** Other income (expense), net for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>$(5,216)</td>
<td>$736</td>
<td>$(713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) increase from prior year</td>
<td>$(5,952)</td>
<td>$1,449</td>
<td>$485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change from prior year</td>
<td>(808.7)%</td>
<td>(203.2)%</td>
<td>212.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 2014 primarily due to higher interest expense related to the Company’s convertible senior notes issued in September 2014.

Other income increased for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 driven by $4.1 million net currency gains primarily due to fluctuations in the foreign currency rate utilized to translate our Euro-denominated contingent purchase consideration liability into U.S. dollars. The net currency gains were partially offset by $2.6 million interest expense related to the Company’s convertible senior notes issued in September 2014.
Income Tax Benefit (Expense). For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recognized a $29.1 million deferred tax benefit primarily associated with the impairment of the IPR&D intangible assets recorded in the fourth quarter of 2015. For the year ended 2014, the Company recognized income tax expense primarily due to recording foreign tax provisions during the first quarter of 2014 relating to milestone revenue recognized under the Servier license agreement, partially offset by a deferred tax benefit recognized upon the reduction of the carrying value of the IPR&D intangible assets in the first quarter of 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources
To date, we have funded our operations through the public offering of our common stock and the private placement of convertible debt securities and preferred stock. As of December 31, 2015, we had cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities totaling $528.6 million.

The following table sets forth the primary sources and uses of cash for each of the periods set forth below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in operating activities</td>
<td>$(253,066)</td>
<td>$(117,051)</td>
<td>$(71,712)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in investing activities</td>
<td>(254,578)</td>
<td>(2,286)</td>
<td>(10,034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by financing activities</td>
<td>304,480</td>
<td>279,476</td>
<td>260,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>(757)</td>
<td>(690)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$(203,921)</td>
<td>$159,449</td>
<td>$179,131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating Activities
Net cash used in operating activities for all periods resulted primarily from our net losses adjusted for non-cash charges and changes in components of working capital. Net cash used in operating activities increased $136.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 2014 driven by higher rociletinib and rucaparib research and development costs associated with the expansion of the clinical trials, as well as the preparation for the potential commercial launch of rociletinib, and higher salaries, benefits and personnel-related costs resulting from increased headcount to support the expanded development activities and commercial planning for our product candidates. During the third quarter of 2015, we made milestone payments totaling $12.0 million to Celgene upon acceptance of the NDA and MAA for rociletinib by the FDA and EMA, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we also paid $7.3 million in interest related to the convertible senior notes. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2014 was partially offset by a $13.6 million milestone revenue payment received from Servier.

Net cash used in operating activities increased $45.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 driven by higher rociletinib and rucaparib research and development costs associated with the expansion of the clinical trials, drug formulation and manufacturing costs and higher salaries, benefits and personnel-related costs resulting from higher headcount to support the expanded development activities of our product candidates, partially offset by the milestone revenue payment received from Servier.

Investing Activities
Net cash used in investing activities increased $252.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 2014 primarily due to net purchases of available-for-sale securities.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased $7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to the cash portion of the EOS acquisition price paid in November 2013, partially offset by higher purchases of property and equipment in 2014.

Financing Activities
Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 includes $298.5 million in net proceeds received from our common stock offering in July 2015 and $6.0 million received from employee stock option exercises and stock purchases under the employee stock purchase plan.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 includes $278.3 million in net proceeds received from our convertible senior notes offering in September 2014 and $1.2 million received from employee stock option exercises and stock purchases under the employee stock purchase plan.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes $259.1 million in net proceeds received from our common stock offering in June 2013 and $1.8 million received from employee stock option exercises and stock purchases under the employee stock purchase plan.
Operating Capital Requirements

Assuming we successfully complete clinical trials and obtain requisite regulatory approvals, we do not anticipate commercializing any of our product candidates until at least the second half of 2016. As such, we anticipate that we will continue to generate significant losses for the foreseeable future as we incur expenses to complete our development activities for each of our programs, prepare for the potential commercial launch of our products and expand our general and administrative functions to support the growth in our research and development and commercial organizations.

As of December 31, 2015, we had cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities totaling $528.6 million and total current liabilities of $75.6 million. Based on current estimates, we believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities will allow us to fund our operating plan through the next 12 months. We expect that we will need to raise additional capital during 2016 in order to fully implement our business plan to further the development and commercialization of our product candidates, as well as to fund our other operating expenses, milestone payments to licensors and capital expenditures. We expect to finance future cash flow needs through the public or private sale of equity or debt securities, collaborations, strategic alliances or other similar licensing arrangements. We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue the development or commercialization of one or more of our product candidates.

The sale of additional equity and debt securities may result in additional dilution to our shareholders. In addition, if we raise additional funds through the issuance of debt securities or preferred stock, these securities may have rights senior to those of our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. Furthermore, any such required additional capital may not be available on reasonable terms, if at all. If we were unable to obtain additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of, delay or eliminate some or all of our planned development and commercialization activities, which could harm our business.

Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with research, development and commercialization of pharmaceutical products, we are unable to estimate the exact amounts of our working capital requirements. Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including but not limited to:

- the number and characteristics of the product candidates, companion diagnostics and indications we pursue;
- the achievement of various development, regulatory and commercial milestones resulting in required payments to partners pursuant to the terms of our license agreements;
- the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing our product candidates and related companion diagnostics and conducting clinical and non-clinical trials;
- the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for our product candidates and companion diagnostics;
- the cost of commercialization activities, if any, assuming our product candidates are approved for sale, including marketing and distribution costs;
- the cost of manufacturing any of our product candidates we successfully commercialize;
- the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims, including litigation costs and outcome of such litigation; and
- the timing, receipt and amount of sales, if any, of our product candidates.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less than 1 Year</th>
<th>1 to 3 Years</th>
<th>3 to 5 Years</th>
<th>More Than 5 Years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convertible senior notes</td>
<td>$7,187</td>
<td>14,375</td>
<td>14,376</td>
<td>5,091</td>
<td>$287,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on convertible notes</td>
<td>$14,375</td>
<td>14,376</td>
<td>5,091</td>
<td></td>
<td>41,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease commitments</td>
<td>1,795</td>
<td>3,529</td>
<td>3,728</td>
<td>2,547</td>
<td>11,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase obligations (a)</td>
<td>6,455</td>
<td>9,682</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$15,437</td>
<td>27,586</td>
<td>18,104</td>
<td>$295,138</td>
<td>$356,265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) In February 2013, the Company entered into a development and manufacturing agreement with a third-party supplier for the production of the active ingredient for rucaparib. Under this agreement, the Company will provide the third-party supplier a rolling 24-month forecast that will be updated by the Company on a quarterly basis. The Company is obligated to order the quantity specified in the first 12 months of any forecast.
Royalty and License Fee Commitments

We have certain obligations under licensing agreements with third parties contingent upon achieving various development, regulatory and commercial milestones. Pursuant to our license agreement for the development and commercialization of rociletinib, we may be required to pay up to an additional aggregate of $98.0 million in regulatory milestone payments if certain clinical study objectives and regulatory filings, acceptance and approvals are achieved. Further, we may be required to pay up to an aggregate of $120.0 million in sales milestone payments if certain annual sales targets are met for rociletinib.

Pursuant to our license agreements for the development of rucaparib, we may be required to pay up to an aggregate $258.5 million in milestone payments upon the successful attainment of development, regulatory and sales milestones. We are also obligated to pay to Advenchen 25% of any consideration, excluding royalties, received pursuant to any sublicense agreements for lucitanib, including the agreement with Servier.

The Company is obligated to pay additional consideration to the former EOS shareholders if certain future regulatory and lucitanib-related sales milestones are achieved. The estimated fair value of these payments was recorded as contingent purchase consideration on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The potential contingent milestone payments range from a zero payment, which assumes lucitanib fails to achieve any of the regulatory milestones, to $190.5 million ($65.0 million and €115.0 million) if all regulatory and sales milestones are met, utilizing the translation rate at December 31, 2015. The estimated fair value of the liability was $24.7 million at December 31, 2015.

Finally, pursuant to terms of each of our product license agreements, we will pay royalties to our licensors on sales, if any, of the respective products.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have during the periods presented, and we do not currently have, any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under the rules promulgated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Tax Loss Carryforwards

As of December 31, 2015, we have net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforwards of approximately $504.8 million to offset future federal income taxes. We also have research and development and orphan drug tax credit carryforwards of $177.7 million to offset future federal income taxes. The federal net operating loss carryforwards and research and development and orphan drug tax credit carryforwards expire at various times through 2035.

We believe that a change in ownership as defined under Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code occurred as a result of the Company’s public offering of common stock completed in April 2012. Future utilization of the federal net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards accumulated from inception to the change in ownership date will be subject to annual limitations to offset future taxable income. We do not, however, believe this limitation prevents utilization prior to expiration. It is possible that a change in ownership will occur in the future, which will limit the NOL amounts generated since the last estimated change in ownership against future taxable income. At December 31, 2015, we recorded a 100% valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets in the U.S. of approximately $446.9 million and a $2.2 million valuation allowance against tax assets in foreign jurisdictions, as we believe it is more likely than not that the tax benefits will not be fully realized. In the future, if we determine that a portion or all of the tax benefits associated with our tax carryforwards will be realized, net income would increase in the period of determination.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2015-03, “Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) – Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.” ASU No. 2015-03 requires debt issuance costs to be presented as a deduction from the corresponding debt liability rather than as an asset. This update is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, the guidance must be applied retrospectively to all periods presented in the financial statements. The Company elected to early adopt this standard effective December 31, 2015. Adoption of the standard resulted in the reclassification of the Company’s debt issuance costs from other assets to convertible senior notes on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 2014, the reclassification resulted in a decrease of $8.8 million to other assets with a corresponding decrease to convertible senior notes.
December 31, 2015 and 2014, approximately 3% and 7%, respectively, of our total liabilities were denominated in currencies other than the functional currency.

We periodically hold foreign currencies, primarily Euro and Pound Sterling, we do not use other financial instruments to hedge our foreign exchange risk.

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates. As of December 31, 2015, we had cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities of $528.6 million, consisting of bank demand deposits, money market funds and U.S. treasury securities. The primary objectives of our investment policy are to preserve principal and maintain proper liquidity to meet operating needs. Our investment policy specifies credit quality standards for our investments and limits the amount of credit exposure to any single issue, issuer or type of investment. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates, particularly because our investments are in short-term securities. Our available-for-sale securities are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if market interest rates increase. Due to the short-term duration of our investment portfolio and the low risk profile of our investments, an immediate 100 basis point change in interest rates would not have a material effect on the fair value of our portfolio.

We contract with contract research organizations, investigational sites and contract manufacturers globally where payments are made in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. In addition, a significant portion of the contingent purchase consideration liability will be settled with Euro-denominated payments if certain future milestones are achieved. We may be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates in connection with these agreements and future contingent payments. While we periodically hold foreign currencies, primarily Euro and Pound Sterling, we do not use other financial instruments to hedge our foreign exchange risk. Transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are recorded based on exchange rates at the time such transactions arise. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, approximately 3% and 7%, respectively, of our total liabilities were denominated in currencies other than the functional currency.

The financial statements required by this Item are included in Item 15 of this report and are presented beginning on page F-1.

None.
Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objective.

As of December 31, 2015, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2015, the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As of December 31, 2015, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. In making its assessment, management used the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013 framework) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its assessment, our management determined that, as of December 31, 2015, we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting based on those criteria.

In addition, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by Ernst & Young, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Stockholders and Board of Directors of Clovis Oncology, Inc.:

We have audited Clovis Oncology, Inc.’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Clovis Oncology, Inc.’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Clovis Oncology, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Clovis Oncology, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders’ equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 and our report dated February 29, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado
February 29, 2016
ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.
PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive proxy statement relating to our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, also referred to in this Form 10-K as our 2016 Proxy Statement, which we expect to file with the SEC no later than April 30, 2016.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information regarding our directors, including the audit committee and audit committee financial experts, and executive officers and compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act will be included in our 2016 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

We have adopted a Code of Business Ethics for all of our directors, officers and employees as required by NASDAQ governance rules and as defined by applicable SEC rules. Stockholders may locate a copy of our Code of Business Ethics on our website at www.clovisoncology.com or request a copy without charge from:

Clovis Oncology, Inc.
Attention: Investor Relations
5500 Flatiron Parkway, Suite 100
Boulder, CO 80301

We will post to our website any amendments to the Code of Business Ethics and any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of either the SEC or NASDAQ.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item regarding executive compensation will be included in our 2016 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management will be included in the 2016 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item regarding certain relationships and related transactions and director independence will be included in the 2016 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item regarding principal accounting fees and services will be included in the 2016 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are being filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements.

Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statements of Clovis Oncology, Inc. appearing on page F-1 of this report.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

All financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required or because the information is included elsewhere in the Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits.

Reference is made to the Index to Exhibits filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
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The Stockholders and Board of Directors
Clovis Oncology, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Clovis Oncology, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Clovis Oncology, Inc. at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its classification of debt issuance costs.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Clovis Oncology, Inc.’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 29, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado
February 29, 2016
CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Year Ended December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License and milestone revenue</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$13,625</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>269,251</td>
<td>137,705</td>
<td>66,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>30,524</td>
<td>21,457</td>
<td>16,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process research and development</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>8,806</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of intangible asset</td>
<td>89,557</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>(24,611)</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td>376,721</td>
<td>172,084</td>
<td>83,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating loss</strong></td>
<td>(376,721)</td>
<td>(158,459)</td>
<td>(83,767)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other income (expense):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>(8,372)</td>
<td>(2,604)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency gains (losses)</td>
<td>2,740</td>
<td>3,580</td>
<td>(535)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense)</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>(240)</td>
<td>(178)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other income (expense), net</strong></td>
<td>(5,216)</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>(713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss before income taxes</td>
<td>(381,937)</td>
<td>(157,723)</td>
<td>(84,480)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income tax benefit (expense)</strong></td>
<td>29,076</td>
<td>(2,308)</td>
<td>(52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>(352,861)</td>
<td>(160,031)</td>
<td>(84,532)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per common share</td>
<td>$ (9.79)</td>
<td>$ (4.72)</td>
<td>$ (2.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding</td>
<td>36,026</td>
<td>33,889</td>
<td>28,672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss

For the Year Ended December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net loss</strong></td>
<td>$(352,861)</td>
<td>$(160,031)</td>
<td>$(84,532)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other comprehensive (loss) income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustments</td>
<td>(22,629)</td>
<td>(29,144)</td>
<td>4,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>(383)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other comprehensive (loss) income</strong></td>
<td>(23,012)</td>
<td>(29,144)</td>
<td>4,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive loss</strong></td>
<td>$(375,873)</td>
<td>$(189,175)</td>
<td>$(79,889)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
### Consolidated Balance Sheets

#### December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$278,756</td>
<td>$482,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>249,832</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid research and development expenses</td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>3,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>7,736</td>
<td>4,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>539,701</td>
<td>491,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment, net</td>
<td>4,946</td>
<td>2,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>101,500</td>
<td>212,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>59,327</td>
<td>66,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>7,912</td>
<td>4,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$713,386</td>
<td>$777,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$11,260</td>
<td>$2,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued research and development expenses</td>
<td>53,011</td>
<td>37,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accrued expenses</td>
<td>11,305</td>
<td>7,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>75,576</td>
<td>47,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>24,661</td>
<td>52,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes, net</td>
<td>31,133</td>
<td>66,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible senior notes</td>
<td>279,885</td>
<td>278,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred rent, long-term</td>
<td>1,481</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>412,736</td>
<td>445,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock, par value $0.001 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock, $0.001 par value per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2015 and 2014; 38,359,454 and 33,977,187 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional paid-in capital</td>
<td>1,129,978</td>
<td>785,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>(47,460)</td>
<td>(24,448)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated deficit</td>
<td>(781,906)</td>
<td>(429,045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stockholders' equity</td>
<td>300,650</td>
<td>331,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</td>
<td>$713,386</td>
<td>$777,386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
### Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional Paid-In Capital</th>
<th>Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</th>
<th>Accumulated Deficit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands, except for share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at January 1, 2013</td>
<td>26,207,190</td>
<td>$26</td>
<td>$317,899</td>
<td>$53</td>
<td>$(184,482)</td>
<td>$133,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of $15,929</td>
<td>3,819,444</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>259,067</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>259,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock related to EOS acquisition</td>
<td>3,713,731</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>173,650</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>173,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock under employee stock purchase plan</td>
<td>16,324</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of stock options</td>
<td>140,632</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,671</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation expense</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9,505</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4,643</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(84,532)</td>
<td>$(84,532)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2013</td>
<td>33,897,321</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>762,170</td>
<td>4,696</td>
<td>$(269,014)</td>
<td>497,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock under employee stock purchase plan</td>
<td>13,633</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of stock options</td>
<td>66,233</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation expense</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>21,517</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>21,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(29,144)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(29,144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(160,031)</td>
<td>$(160,031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2014</td>
<td>33,977,187</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>785,089</td>
<td>$(24,448)</td>
<td>$(429,045)</td>
<td>331,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of $17,741</td>
<td>4,054,487</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>298,505</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>298,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of common stock under employee stock purchase plan</td>
<td>32,021</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise of stock options</td>
<td>295,759</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,534</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation expense</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40,357</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(383)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(383)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustments</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(22,629)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(22,629)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$(352,861)</td>
<td>$(352,861)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2015</td>
<td>38,359,454</td>
<td>$58</td>
<td>$1,129,978</td>
<td>$(47,460)</td>
<td>$(781,906)</td>
<td>$300,650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

### Year ended December 31,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>(352,861)</td>
<td>(160,031)</td>
<td>(84,532)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation expense</td>
<td>40,357</td>
<td>21,517</td>
<td>9,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of premiums and discounts on available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of debt issuance costs</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of intangible asset</td>
<td>89,557</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>(27,792)</td>
<td>(3,301)</td>
<td>1,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on disposal of equipment</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>(28,874)</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition of a business:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid and accrued research and development expenses</td>
<td>14,122</td>
<td>18,112</td>
<td>3,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating assets</td>
<td>(4,380)</td>
<td>(910)</td>
<td>(995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>8,105</td>
<td>(1,369)</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accrued expenses</td>
<td>5,295</td>
<td>3,882</td>
<td>(1,202)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash used in operating activities</strong></td>
<td>(253,066)</td>
<td>(117,051)</td>
<td>(71,712)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of property and equipment</td>
<td>(3,035)</td>
<td>(2,286)</td>
<td>(121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>(392,540)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales of available-for-sale securities</td>
<td>140,997</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(9,913)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash used in investing activities</strong></td>
<td>(254,578)</td>
<td>(2,286)</td>
<td>(10,034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the sale of common stock, net of issuance costs</td>
<td>298,509</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>259,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the issuance of convertible senior notes, net of issuance costs</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>278,313</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases</td>
<td>5,971</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>1,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by financing activities</strong></td>
<td>304,480</td>
<td>279,476</td>
<td>260,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>(757)</td>
<td>(690)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>(203,921)</td>
<td>159,449</td>
<td>179,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</td>
<td>482,677</td>
<td>323,228</td>
<td>144,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</td>
<td>$278,756</td>
<td>$482,677</td>
<td>$323,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid for interest</td>
<td>$7,307</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-cash investing and financing activities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of shares for acquisition of business</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$173,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent consideration for acquisition of business</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$55,754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
1. Nature of Business

Clovis Oncology, Inc. (the “Company”) is a biopharmaceutical company focused on acquiring, developing and commercializing innovative anti-cancer agents in the United States, Europe and other international markets. The Company has and intends to continue to license or acquire rights to oncology compounds in all stages of clinical development. In exchange for the right to develop and commercialize these compounds, the Company generally expects to provide the licensor with a combination of upfront payments, milestone payments and royalties on future sales. In addition, the Company generally expects to assume the responsibility for future drug development and commercialization costs. The Company currently operates in one segment. Since inception, the Company’s operations have consisted primarily of developing in-licensed compounds, evaluating new product acquisition candidates and general corporate activities.

In July 2015, the Company submitted a New Drug Application (“NDA”) regulatory filing and a Marketing Authorization Application (“MAA”) for rociletinib to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) and the European Medicines Agency (“EMA”), respectively. Both the FDA and EMA subsequently accepted the respective filings, and they are currently under active review. In December 2015, the FDA extended the Prescription Drug User Fee Act goal date for the rociletinib NDA by three months to June 28, 2016 to allow additional time for review of additional clinical data submitted by the Company in a Major Amendment in November 2015. The FDA has scheduled the NDA for rociletinib for discussion by the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (“ODAC”) on April 12, 2016. The ODAC reviews and evaluates data concerning the safety and effectiveness of marketed and investigational human drug products used in the treatment of cancer and makes recommendations to the FDA.

Liquidity

The Company has incurred significant net losses since inception and has relied on its ability to fund its operations through debt and equity financings. Management expects operating losses and negative cash flows to continue for the foreseeable future. As the Company continues to incur losses, transition to profitability is dependent upon the successful development, approval and commercialization of its product candidates and achieving a level of revenues adequate to support the Company’s cost structure. The Company may never achieve profitability, and unless and until it does, the Company will continue to need to raise additional cash.

Management intends to fund future operations through additional private or public debt or equity offerings and may seek additional capital through arrangements with strategic partners or from other sources. Based on current estimates, management believes that existing working capital at December 31, 2015 is sufficient to meet the cash requirements to fund planned operations through the next 12 months, although there can be no assurance that this can, in fact, be accomplished.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the Company’s previously reported results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, expenses and revenue and related disclosures. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates, including estimates related to contingent purchase consideration, the allocation of purchase consideration, intangible asset impairment, clinical trial accruals and share-based compensation expense. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and other market-specific or other relevant assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates or assumptions.
Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Cash, cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities and contingent purchase consideration are carried at fair value (see Note 5). Financial instruments, including other current assets and accounts payable, are carried at cost, which approximates fair value given their short-term nature.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Available-for-Sale Securities

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities at the date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include bank demand deposits and money market funds that invest primarily in certificate of deposits, commercial paper and U.S. government and U.S. government agency obligations.

 Marketable securities are considered to be available-for-sale securities and consist of U.S. treasury securities. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and unrealized gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Realized gains and losses, amortization of premiums and discounts and interest and dividends earned are included in other income (expense) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The cost of investments for purposes of computing realized and unrealized gains and losses is based on the specific identification method. Investments with maturities beyond one year are classified as short-term based on management’s intent to fund current operations with these securities or to make them available for current operations.

A decline in the market value of a security below its cost that is deemed to be other than temporary is charged to earnings and results in the establishment of a new cost basis for the security. Factors evaluated to determine if an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired include significant deterioration in earnings performance, credit rating, asset quality or business prospects of the issuer; adverse changes in the general market conditions in which the issuer operates; and the Company’s intent and ability to hold the security until an anticipated recovery in value occurs.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Equipment purchased for use in manufacturing and clinical trials is evaluated to determine whether the equipment is solely beneficial for a drug candidate in the development stage or whether it has an alternative use. Equipment with an alternative use is capitalized. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the economic life of the asset or the lease term, whichever is shorter. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. The estimated useful lives of our capitalized assets are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Estimated Useful Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer hardware and software</td>
<td>3 to 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory, manufacturing and office equipment</td>
<td>5 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and fixtures</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability is measured by comparison of the assets’ book value to future net undiscounted cash flows that the assets are expected to generate. If the carrying value of the assets exceed their future net undiscounted cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized for the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

Intangible Assets

Intangible acquired in-process research and development (“IPR&D”) assets were established as part of the acquisition of Ethical Oncology Science, S.p.A. (“EOS”) (see Note 3) and are not amortized. Amortization of these assets will commence upon completion of the related research and development activities. IPR&D intangible assets are evaluated for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter or more frequently if impairment indicators exist and any reduction in fair value would be recorded as impairment of intangible asset on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded an $89.6 million impairment charge to the IPR&D intangible assets (see Note 7).
Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in a business combination accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting and is not amortized, but is subject to impairment testing at least annually in the fourth quarter or when a triggering event is identified that could indicate a potential impairment. We are organized as a single reporting unit and perform impairment testing by comparing the carrying value of the reporting unit to the fair value of the Company.

Other Current Assets

Other current assets are comprised of the following (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2015</th>
<th>December 31, 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receivable from partners</td>
<td>$3,241</td>
<td>$1,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid insurance</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>1,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivable from landlord</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses - other</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>1,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivable - other</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,736</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,730</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Accrued Expenses

Other accrued expenses are comprised of the following (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2015</th>
<th>December 31, 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accrued personnel costs</td>
<td>$8,250</td>
<td>$4,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest payable</td>
<td>2,096</td>
<td>2,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses - other</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,305</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,598</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valuation of Contingent Consideration Resulting from a Business Combination

Subsequent to the acquisition date, we re-measure contingent consideration arrangements at fair value each reporting period and record changes in fair value to change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration and foreign currency gains (losses) for changes in the foreign currency translation rate on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Changes in fair value are primarily attributed to new information about the IPR&D assets, including changes in timeline and likelihood of success, and the passage of time. In the absence of new information, changes in fair value reflect only the passage of time. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a $26.9 million reduction in the fair value of the contingent purchase consideration liability (see Note 5).

Research and Development Expense

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred and include, but are not limited to, salary and benefits, share-based compensation, clinical trial activities, drug development and manufacturing, companion diagnostic development and third-party service fees, including clinical research organizations and investigative sites. During 2015, we completed the hiring of our U.S. sales and marketing and medical affairs organizations in preparation for the potential commercial launch of rociletinib. These costs are also included in research and development expense.

Costs for certain development activities, such as clinical trials, are recognized based on an evaluation of the progress to completion of specific tasks using data such as patient enrollment, clinical site activations or information provided to us by our vendors on their actual costs incurred. Payments for these activities are based on the terms of the individual arrangements, which may differ from the pattern of costs incurred and are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as prepaid or accrued research and development expenses.
Acquired In-Process Research and Development Expense

The Company has acquired and expects to continue to acquire the rights to develop and commercialize new drug candidates. The upfront payments to acquire a new drug compound, as well as subsequent milestone payments, are immediately expensed as acquired in-process research and development provided that the drug has not achieved regulatory approval for marketing and, absent obtaining such approval, has no alternative future use.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

Share-based compensation is recognized as expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors and is based on estimated fair values. The Company determines equity-based compensation at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The value of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Any changes to the estimated forfeiture rates are accounted for prospectively.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are primarily cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalent balances in the form of money market accounts with financial institutions that management believes are creditworthy. Available-for-sale securities are invested in accordance with the Company’s investment policy. The investment policy includes guidelines on the quality of the institutions and financial instruments and defines allowable investments that the Company believes minimizes the exposure to concentration of credit risk. The Company has no financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk of accounting loss.

Foreign Currency

The assets and liabilities of the Company’s foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars at current exchange rates and the results of operations are translated at the average exchange rates for the reported periods. The resulting translation adjustments are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are recorded based on exchange rates at the time such transactions arise. Transaction gains and losses are recorded to foreign currency gains (losses) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, approximately 3% and 7%, respectively, of the Company’s total liabilities were denominated in currencies other than the functional currency.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Tax benefits are recognized when it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained during an audit. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if current evidence indicates that it is considered more likely than not that these benefits will not be realized.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized from license milestone payments when the following criteria have been met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. We exercise judgment in determining that collectability is reasonably assured or that services have been delivered in accordance with the arrangement. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the customer's payment history and creditworthiness of the customer. Payments that are contingent upon the achievement of a substantive milestone will be recognized in the period in which the milestone is achieved.
In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, “Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) – Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.” ASU No. 2015-03 requires debt issuance costs to be presented as a deduction from the corresponding debt liability rather than as an asset. This update is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, the guidance must be applied retrospectively to all periods presented in the financial statements. The Company elected to early adopt this standard effective December 31, 2015. Adoption of the standard resulted in the reclassification of the Company’s debt issuance costs from other assets to convertible senior notes on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2014, the reclassification resulted in a decrease of $8.8 million to other assets with a corresponding decrease to convertible senior notes.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, “Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes.” ASU No. 2015-17 requires that all deferred tax assets and liabilities, along with any related valuation allowance, be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. This update is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, the guidance may be applied either prospectively, for all deferred tax assets and liabilities, or retrospectively to all periods presented in the financial statements. The Company elected to early adopt this standard effective December 31, 2015. Adoption of this standard was applied retrospectively and resulted in no change to the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606).” ASU 2014-09 specifies the accounting for revenue from contracts with customers and establishes disclosure requirements relating to the nature, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from an entity’s contracts with customers. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date,” which delayed the effective date of the standard to annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods. ASU 2014-09 allows for either full retrospective or modified retrospective adoption. The Company is currently evaluating its planned method of adoption and the impact the standard may have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, “Disclosure of Uncertainties About an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern,” which requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and to provide disclosures when certain criteria are met. The guidance is effective for annual periods beginning in 2016 and interim reporting periods starting in the first quarter of 2017. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the standard may have on its disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, “Leases (Topic 842),” which requires lessees to recognize assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by most leases on their balance sheet. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. ASU 2016-02 requires modified retrospective adoption for all leases existing at, or entered into after, the date of initial application, with an option to use certain transition relief. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the standard may have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

3. EOS Acquisition

On November 19, 2013, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common and preferred stock of EOS (now known as Clovis Oncology Italy S.r.l.). EOS was a biopharmaceutical company located in Italy that focused on the development of novel medicines for the treatment of cancer. The primary reason for the business acquisition was to obtain development and commercialization rights to lucitanib, an oral, selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

The Company paid $11.8 million in cash and issued $173.7 million of common stock at the acquisition date and may make additional future cash payments if certain regulatory and sales milestones are achieved. The results of operations for EOS were included in our consolidated financial statements from the acquisition date, and the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of EOS were recorded as of the acquisition date at their respective fair values and consolidated with those of the Company.

As part of the acquisition of EOS, the Company recorded intangible assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets to reflect the fair value of IPR&D, which was based on two components. The first was the estimated fair value of lucitanib development and commercialization rights sublicensed by EOS to Servier (see Note 12 – Lucitanib). The estimated fair value of these rights was $56.1 million at the date of the acquisition based on probability-weighted cash flow payments due from Servier upon the achievement of certain development, regulatory and commercial milestones, as well as future royalty payments resulting from the sale of lucitanib in the sublicensed territories.
The second component was based on the fair value of the expected net cash flows for the development and commercialization rights of lucitanib in the United States and Japan held by EOS at acquisition. The estimated fair value of $183.8 million for these rights was based on probability-weighted net cash flows of the anticipated lucitanib development and sales activities.

Key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow models include estimates related to the timing of development, probability of development and regulatory success, sales and commercialization factors and estimated product life. Net cash flows were discounted at a risk-adjusted rate of 18.9%.

The excess purchase price over the fair value of amounts assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recorded as goodwill on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company is obligated to pay additional consideration to the former EOS shareholders if certain future regulatory and lucitanib-related sales milestones are achieved. The estimated fair value of these payments was recorded as contingent purchase consideration on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The initial estimated fair value of the contingent purchase consideration was $54.7 million at the acquisition date, which was determined based on the assumptions described below.

Pursuant to the sublicense agreement with Servier, the Company is eligible to receive future milestone payments based on the achievement of development, regulatory and sales milestones. Certain of the contingent consideration payments owed from the Company to the former EOS shareholders are tied to the receipt of milestone payments from Servier.

A summary of the contingent payment obligations is as follows (in thousands and payment currency):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Initial approval of an NDA for lucitanib in the U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial filing of a MAA for lucitanib in the E.U.</td>
<td>€ 15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial approval of a MAA for lucitanib in the E.U.</td>
<td>€ 45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial achievement of lucitanib net sales in Servier licensed territory of €500 million in any four consecutive quarters</td>
<td>€ 55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>€ 115,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fair value of the MAA filing and approval obligations of $52.5 million was based on the discounting of the probability-weighted future milestone payments using an estimated borrowing rate ranging from 5.2% to 5.8%, which represented our estimated borrowing rate for the various terms the payment obligations were expected to be outstanding. The sales milestone fair value of $2.2 million was based on the probability-weighted future milestone payments using the risk-adjusted discount rate of 18.7%. The potential contingent milestone payments range from a zero payment, which assumes lucitanib fails to achieve any of the regulatory milestones, to $190.5 million ($65.0 million and €115.0 million) if all regulatory and sales milestones are met, utilizing the translation rate at December 31, 2015.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded an $89.6 million impairment charge to the IPR&D intangible assets and a $26.9 million reduction in the fair value of the contingent purchase consideration liability (see Note 5 and Note 7).

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>December 31, 2015</th>
<th>December 31, 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory, manufacturing and office equipment</td>
<td>$ 2,556</td>
<td>$ 2,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>1,861</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and fixtures</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer hardware and software</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total property and equipment</td>
<td>6,737</td>
<td>3,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(1,791)</td>
<td>(1,075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total property and equipment, net</td>
<td>$ 4,946</td>
<td>$ 2,718</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depreciation expense related to property and equipment was approximately $761 thousand, $444 thousand and $250 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
5. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (at exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value include:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The Company’s Level 1 assets consist of money market investments. The Company does not have Level 1 liabilities.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. The Company’s Level 2 assets consist of U.S. treasury securities. The Company does not have Level 2 liabilities.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity. The Company does not have Level 3 assets. The contingent purchase consideration related to the undeveloped lucitanib product rights acquired with the purchase of EOS is a Level 3 liability. The fair value of this liability is based on unobservable inputs and includes valuations for which there is little, if any, market activity. See Note 3 for further discussion of the valuation methodology.

The following table identifies the Company’s assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31, 2015</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market</td>
<td>$251,037</td>
<td>$251,037</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. treasury securities</td>
<td>249,832</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>249,832</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets at fair value</td>
<td>$500,869</td>
<td>$251,037</td>
<td>$249,832</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>$24,661</td>
<td>$24,661</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities at fair value</td>
<td>$24,661</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31, 2014</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market</td>
<td>$447,994</td>
<td>$447,994</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets at fair value</td>
<td>$447,994</td>
<td>$447,994</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>$52,453</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$52,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities at fair value</td>
<td>$52,453</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$52,453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no transfers between the Level 1 and Level 2 categories or into or out of the Level 3 category during the year ended December 31, 2015.

The following table rolls forward the fair value of Level 3 instruments (significant unobservable inputs) (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at beginning of period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fair value (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in foreign currency gains and losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at end of period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The decrease in the fair value of the contingent purchase consideration was due to a change in the estimated probability-weighted future milestone payments discounted using an estimated borrowing rate ranging from 8.5% to 8.6%, as well as the timing of such payments, for the lucitanib program.
The change in the fair value of Level 3 instruments is included in change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration and foreign currency gains (losses) for changes in the foreign currency translation rate on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Financial instruments not recorded at fair value include the Company’s convertible senior notes. At December 31, 2015, the carrying amount of the convertible senior notes was $287.5 million, which represents the aggregate principal amount, and the fair value was $248.7 million. The fair value was determined using Level 2 inputs based on the indicative pricing published by certain investment banks or trading levels of the convertible senior notes, which are not listed on any securities exchange or quoted on an inter-dealer automated quotation system. See Note 8 for discussion of the convertible senior notes.

6. Available-for-Sale Securities

As of December 31, 2015, available-for-sale securities consisted of the following (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Unrealized Gains</th>
<th>Gross Unrealized Losses</th>
<th>Aggregate Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. treasury securities</td>
<td>$ 250,215</td>
<td>$ —</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2015, the fair value and gross unrealized losses of available-for-sale securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months were as follows (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Unrealized Losses</th>
<th>Aggregate Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. treasury securities</td>
<td>$ 249,832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company’s investments have been in an unrealized loss position for between two to three months. Based upon our evaluation of all relevant factors, we believe that the decline in fair value of securities held at December 31, 2015 below cost is temporary, and we intend to retain our investment in these securities for a sufficient period of time to allow for recovery of the fair value.

As of December 31, 2015, the amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities by contractual maturity were (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due in one year or less</th>
<th>Due in one year to two years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amortized Cost</td>
<td>$ 200,147</td>
<td>$ 50,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Value</td>
<td>$ 199,912</td>
<td>$ 49,920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

IPR&D assets and goodwill were established as part of the purchase accounting of EOS (see Note 3) and consisted of the following (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPR&amp;D assets:</th>
<th>December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at beginning of period</td>
<td>$ 212,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of intangible asset (a)</td>
<td>(89,557)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in foreign currency gains and losses</td>
<td>(21,843)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at end of period</td>
<td>$ 101,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goodwill:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at beginning of period</td>
<td>$ 66,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in foreign currency gains and losses</td>
<td>(6,728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at end of period</td>
<td>$ 59,327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded an $89.6 million impairment charge due to the Company’s and its development partner’s decision to terminate the development of lucitanib for lung cancer, as well as updates to the probability-weighted discounted cash flow assumptions for the breast cancer indication.
During the first quarter of 2014, the Company recorded a $3.4 million reduction in the intangible assets driven by lower expected future milestone revenue from the lucitanib development activities due to the receipt of a lucitanib milestone payment from Servier (see Note 12 - Lucitanib).

These reductions were included in impairment of intangible asset on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of December 31, 2015, no impairment to the carrying value of the goodwill was identified.

8. Convertible Senior Notes

On September 9, 2014, we completed a private placement of $287.5 million aggregate principal amount of 2.5% convertible senior notes due 2021 (the “Notes”) resulting in net proceeds to the Company of $278.3 million after deducting offering expenses. In accordance with the accounting guidance, the conversion feature did not meet the criteria for bifurcation, and the entire principal amount was recorded as a long-term liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Notes are governed by the terms of the indenture between the Company, as issuer, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee. The Notes are senior unsecured obligations and bear interest at a rate of 2.5% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year. The Notes will mature on September 15, 2021, unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased.

Holders may convert all or any portion of the Notes at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the maturity date. Upon conversion, the holders will receive shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 16.1616 shares per $1,000 in principal amount of Notes, equivalent to a conversion price of approximately $61.88 per share. The conversion rate is subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events described in the indenture, but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, following certain corporate events that occur prior to the maturity date or upon our issuance of a notice of redemption, we will increase the conversion rate for holders who elect to convert the Notes in connection with such a corporate event or during the related redemption period in certain circumstances.

On or after September 15, 2018, we may redeem the Notes, at our option, in whole or in part, if the last reported sale price of our common stock has been at least 150% of the conversion price then in effect for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during any 30 consecutive trading day period ending not more than two trading days preceding the date on which we provide written notice of redemption at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date. No sinking fund is provided for the Notes.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company incurred $9.2 million of debt issuance costs, which was included in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Pursuant to its adoption of ASU No. 2015-03 on December 31, 2015, the Company reclassified the debt issuance costs from other assets to convertible senior notes (see Note 2 – Recently Adopted Accounting Standards).

The debt issuance costs are amortized as interest expense over the expected life of the Notes using the effective interest method. The Company determined the expected life of the debt was equal to the seven-year term of the Notes. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the balance of unamortized debt issuance costs was $7.6 million and $8.8 million, respectively.

The following table sets forth total interest expense recognized related to the Notes during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual interest expense</td>
<td>$7,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of debt issuance costs</td>
<td>1,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total interest expense</td>
<td>$8,372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Stockholders’ Equity

Common Stock

In June 2013, the Company sold 3,819,444 shares of its common stock in a public offering at $72.00 per share. The net offering proceeds realized after deducting offering expenses and underwriters’ discounts and commissions were $259.1 million.

In November 2013, the Company issued 3,713,731 shares of its common stock at a value of $173.7 million to acquire all of the outstanding common and preferred stock of EOS.

In July 2015, the Company sold 4,054,487 shares of its common stock in a public offering at $78.00 per share. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were $298.5 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

The holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the stockholders of the Company. Subject to the preferences that may be applicable to any outstanding shares of preferred stock, the holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared by the Company’s Board of Directors.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of changes in foreign currency translation adjustments, which includes changes in a subsidiary’s functional currency, and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities.

The accumulated balances related to each component of other comprehensive income (loss) are summarized as follows (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments</th>
<th>Unrealized Losses</th>
<th>Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 31, 2013</td>
<td>$4,696</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$4,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period change</td>
<td>(29,144)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(29,144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 31, 2014</td>
<td>(24,448)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(24,448)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period change</td>
<td>(22,629)</td>
<td>-383</td>
<td>(23,012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance December 31, 2015</td>
<td>$47,077</td>
<td>$(383)</td>
<td>$(47,460)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The period change between December 31, 2015 and 2014 was primarily due to the currency translation of the IPR&D intangible assets, goodwill and deferred income taxes associated with the acquisition of EOS (see Note 3 and Note 7).

10. Share-Based Compensation

Stock Options

In May 2009, the Company’s Board of Directors approved the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2009 Plan”). The 2009 Plan provided for the granting of stock options and other share-based awards, including restricted stock, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units to its employees, directors and consultants. Common shares authorized for issuance under the 2009 Plan were 1,317,125 at December 31, 2015. Options to purchase common stock under the 2009 Plan were designated as incentive stock options or non-statutory stock options. Stock options granted under the 2009 Plan vest over either a one-year period or three-year period for Board of Director grants and over a four-year period for employee grants and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Upon the closing of the Company’s initial public offering in November 2011, the 2009 Plan was closed, resulting in the termination of new grants from this plan and the transfer of all shares available for future issuance to the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan. Future forfeitures and cancellations of options previously granted under the 2009 Plan were transferred to the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan and are available for grant under the 2011 Plan.
In August 2011, the Company’s Board of Directors approved the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (the “2011 Plan”), which became effective upon the closing of the Company’s initial public offering in November 2011. The 2011 Plan provides for the granting of incentive and nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance awards and other share-based awards to its employees, directors and consultants. Common shares authorized for issuance under the 2011 Plan were 6,262,614 at December 31, 2015, which represents the initial reserve of 1,250,000 shares of common stock plus 191,496 shares of common stock remaining for future grant from the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan and 4,821,145 new shares authorized by the Board of Directors at the annual meetings of stockholders. Stock options granted vest over either a one-year period or three-year period for Board of Director grants or over a four-year period for employee grants and expire 10 years from the date of grant.

Share-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was recognized in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and development (a)</td>
<td>$27,321</td>
<td>$11,474</td>
<td>$4,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>13,036</td>
<td>10,043</td>
<td>5,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total share-based compensation expense</strong></td>
<td>$40,357</td>
<td>$21,517</td>
<td>$9,505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) During the third quarter of 2015, the Company recognized $2.9 million in share-based compensation expense associated with a modification to the terms of a former executive’s stock option agreement.

The Company did not recognize a tax benefit related to share-based compensation expense during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as the Company maintains net operating loss carryforwards and has established a valuation allowance against the entire net deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2015.

The following table summarizes the activity relating to the Company’s options to purchase common stock:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Options</th>
<th>Weighted Average Exercise Price</th>
<th>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)</th>
<th>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (Thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at December 31, 2014</td>
<td>4,159,362</td>
<td>$37.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted (a)</td>
<td>1,600,593</td>
<td>80.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(295,759)</td>
<td>18.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited</td>
<td>(103,939)</td>
<td>56.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at December 31, 2015</td>
<td>5,360,257</td>
<td>$51.53</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>$33,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2015</td>
<td>5,030,757</td>
<td>$50.22</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>$33,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable at December 31, 2015</td>
<td>2,608,239</td>
<td>$34.56</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>$29,607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Includes 120,000 performance-based stock options granted to executives of the Company in the first quarter of 2015. Fifty percent of the grant vests contingent on approval by the FDA to commercially distribute, sell or market rociletinib and 50% of the grant vests contingent on approval by the FDA to commercially distribute, sell or market rucaparib. Stock compensation expense will be recognized when the condition for vesting is probable of being met.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the pretax intrinsic value, based on our closing stock price of $35.00 as of December 31, 2015, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders with in-the-money options exercised their options as of that date.

The following table summarizes information about our stock options as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted-average grant date fair value per share</td>
<td>$52.70</td>
<td>$38.47</td>
<td>$18.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic value of options exercised</td>
<td>$19,976,769</td>
<td>$2,906,304</td>
<td>$6,114,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash received from stock option exercises</td>
<td>$5,478,211</td>
<td>$682,678</td>
<td>$1,393,053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The fair value of each share-based award is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based upon the weighted-average assumptions provided in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dividend yield</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volatility (a)</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free interest rate (b)</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
<td>1.92%</td>
<td>1.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected term (years) (c)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) **Volatility**: The expected volatility was estimated using peer data of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry with similar equity plans.
(b) **Risk-free interest rate**: The rate is based on the yield on the grant date of a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bond whose maturity period approximates the option’s expected term.
(c) **Expected term**: The expected term of the award was estimated using peer data of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry with similar equity plans.

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested options, adjusted for expected forfeitures, was $88.6 million as of December 31, 2015. The unrecognized share-based compensation expense is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average remaining vesting period of 2.7 years.

**Common Stock Reserved for Issuance**

As of December 31, 2015, the Company reserved shares of common stock for future issuance as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Options Outstanding</th>
<th>Available for Grant or Future Issuance</th>
<th>Total Shares of Common Stock Reserved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009 Equity Incentive Plan</td>
<td>494,031</td>
<td></td>
<td>494,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Stock Incentive Plan</td>
<td>4,866,226</td>
<td>1,181,722</td>
<td>6,047,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>376,231</td>
<td>376,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,360,257</td>
<td>1,557,953</td>
<td>6,918,210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

In August 2011, our Board of Directors approved the Clovis Oncology, Inc. 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “Purchase Plan”). Each year, on the date of our annual meeting of stockholders and at the discretion of our board of directors, the amount of shares reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan may be increased by up to the lesser of (1) a number of additional shares of our common stock representing 1% of our then-outstanding shares of common stock, (2) 344,828 shares of our common stock and (3) a lesser number of shares as approved by the Board. The Purchase Plan provides for consecutive six-month offering periods, during which participating employees may elect to have up to 10% of their compensation withheld and applied to the purchase of common stock at the end of each offering period. The purchase price of the common stock is 85% of the lower of the fair value of a share of common stock on the first trading date of each offering period or the fair value of a share of common stock on the last trading day of the offering period. The Purchase Plan will terminate on August 24, 2021, the tenth anniversary of the date of initial adoption of the Purchase Plan. We sold 32,021 and 13,633 shares to employees in 2015 and 2014, respectively. There were 376,231 shares available for sale under the Purchase Plan as of December 31, 2015. The weighted-average estimated grant date fair value of purchase awards under the Purchase Plan during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was $26.80 and $17.31 per share, respectively. The total share-based compensation expense recorded as a result of the Purchase Plan was approximately $858 thousand, $236 thousand and $169 thousand during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
The fair value of purchase awards granted to our employees during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based upon the weighted-average assumptions provided in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dividend yield</th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volatility (a)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free interest rate (b)</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected term (years) (c)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Volatility: The expected volatility was estimated using peer data of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry with similar equity plans.

(b) Risk-free interest rate: The rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant with terms similar to the contractual term of the purchase right.

(c) Expected term: The expected life of the award represents the six-month offering period for the Purchase Plan.

### 11. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases office space in Boulder, Colorado, San Francisco, California, Cambridge, UK and Milan, Italy under non-cancelable operating lease agreements that expire through 2023.

The lease agreements contain periodic rent increases that result in the Company recording deferred rent over the terms of certain leases. In June 2015, the Company entered into a seven-year lease agreement for new office space in Boulder, Colorado. Pursuant to the terms of the lease, the landlord will reimburse the Company for $1.1 million of leasehold improvement expenditures (the “Tenant Improvement Allowance”). In December 2015, upon completion of construction, the Company recorded the Tenant Improvement Allowance as deferred rent, which is being amortized as a reduction to rental expense over the lease term.

Rental expense under these leases was $2.4 million, $1.4 million and $1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Future minimum rental commitments, by fiscal year and in the aggregate, for the Company’s operating leases are provided below (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Minimum Rental Commitments</th>
<th>December 31, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$1,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>2,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total future minimum lease payments</td>
<td>$11,599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Development and Manufacturing Agreement Commitments

In February 2013, the Company entered into a development and manufacturing agreement with a third-party supplier for the production of the active ingredient for rucaparib. Under the Development and Manufacturing Agreement, the Company will provide the third-party supplier a rolling 24-month forecast that will be updated by the Company on a quarterly basis. The Company is obligated to order the quantity specified in the first 12 months of any forecast. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, $6.0 million, $3.3 million and $6.4 million, respectively, of purchases were performed under this agreement. As of December 31, 2015, $16.1 million of purchase commitments exist under this agreement.

### Legal Proceedings

The Company and certain of its officers were named as defendants in several lawsuits, as described below. We cannot reasonably predict the outcome of these legal proceedings, nor can we estimate the amount of loss or range of loss, if any, that may result. An adverse outcome in these proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.
On November 19, 2015, Steve Kimbro, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a purported class action complaint (the “Kimbro Complaint”) against Clovis and certain of its officers in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The Kimbro Complaint purports to be asserted on behalf of a class of persons who purchased Clovis stock between October 31, 2013 and November 15, 2015. The Kimbro Complaint generally alleges that Clovis and certain of its officers violated federal securities laws by making allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the progress toward FDA approval and the potential for market success of rociletinib. The Kimbro Complaint seeks unspecified damages.

Also on November 19, 2015, a second purported shareholder class action complaint was filed by Sonny P. Medina, another purported Clovis shareholder, containing similar allegations to those set forth in the Kimbro Complaint, also in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the “Medina Complaint”). The Medina Complaint purports to be asserted on behalf of a class of persons who purchased Clovis stock between May 20, 2014 and November 13, 2015. On November 20, 2015, a third complaint was filed by John Moran in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the “Moran Complaint”). The Moran Complaint contains similar allegations to those asserted in the Kimbro and Medina Complaints and purports to be asserted on behalf of a plaintiff class who purchased Clovis stock between October 31, 2013 and November 13, 2015.

On December 14, 2015, Ralph P. Rocco, a fourth purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (the “Rocco Complaint”). The Rocco Complaint contains similar allegations to those set forth in the previous complaints and purports to be asserted on behalf of a plaintiff class who purchased Clovis stock between October 31, 2013 and November 15, 2015.

On January 19, 2016, a number of motions were filed in both the District of Colorado and the Northern District of California seeking to consolidate the shareholder class actions into one matter and for appointment of a lead plaintiff. All lead plaintiff movants other than M.Aarkin (1999) LTD and Arkin Communications LTD (the “Arkin Plaintiffs”) subsequently filed notices of non-opposition to the Arkin Plaintiffs’ application.

On February 2, 2016, the Arkin Plaintiffs filed a motion to transfer the Moran Complaint to the District of Colorado (the “Motion to Transfer”). Also on February 2, 2016, the defendants filed a statement in the Northern District of California supporting the consolidation of all actions in a single court, the District of Colorado. On February 3, 2016, the Northern District of California court denied without prejudice the lead plaintiff motions filed in that court pending a decision on the Motion to Transfer.

On February 16, 2016, the defendants filed a memorandum in support of the Motion to Transfer, and plaintiff Moran filed a notice of non-opposition to the Motion to Transfer. On February 17, 2016, the Northern District of California court granted the Motion to Transfer.

On February 18, 2016, the Medina court issued an opinion and order addressing the various motions for consolidation and appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel in the District of Colorado actions. By this ruling, the court consolidated the Medina, Kimbro and Rocco actions into a single proceeding. The court also appointed the Arkin Plaintiffs as the lead plaintiffs and Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman as lead counsel for the putative class. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the Kimbro, Medina, Moran and Rocco Complaints, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful.

On December 30, 2015, Jamie McCall, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a shareholder derivative complaint (the “McCall Complaint”) against certain officers and directors of Clovis in the Colorado District Court, County of Boulder. The McCall Complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to Clovis by participating in misrepresentation of the Company’s business operations and prospects. The McCall Complaint also alleges claims for abuse of control, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment. The McCall Complaint seeks, among other things, an award of money damages, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged fiduciary breaches and other forms of equitable relief. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the McCall Complaint, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful.

On January 22, 2016, the Electrical Workers Local #357 Pension and Health & Welfare Trusts, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a purported class action complaint (the “Electrical Workers Complaint”) against Clovis and certain of its officers, directors, investors and underwriters in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Mateo. The Electrical Workers Complaint purports to be asserted on behalf of a class of persons who purchased stock in Clovis’ July 8, 2015 follow-on offering. The Electrical Workers Complaint generally alleges that the defendants violated the Securities Act because the offering documents for the July 8, 2015 follow-on offering contained allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the progress toward FDA approval and the potential for market success of rociletinib. The Electrical Workers Complaint seeks unspecified damages. On February 25, 2016, the defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and thereafter moved to transfer the case to the District of Colorado. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the Electrical Workers Complaint, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful.
On February 19, 2016, Maris Sanchez, a purported shareholder of Clovis, filed a shareholder derivative complaint (the “Sanchez Complaint”) against certain officers and directors of Clovis in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The Sanchez Complaint generally alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to Clovis by participating in misrepresentation of the Company’s business operations and prospects. The Sanchez Complaint also alleges claims for abuse of control and gross mismanagement. The Sanchez Complaint seeks, among other things, an award of money damages. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the allegations contained in the Sanchez Complaint, but there can be no assurance that the defense will be successful.

12. License Agreements

Rociletinib

In May 2010, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with Avila Therapeutics, Inc. (now Celgene Avilomics Research Inc., part of Celgene Corporation (“Celgene”)) to discover, develop and commercialize a covalent inhibitor of mutant forms of the epidermal growth factor receptor (“EGFR”) gene product. As a result of the collaboration contemplated by the agreement, rociletinib was identified as the lead inhibitor candidate, which we are developing under the terms of the license agreement. We are responsible for all non-clinical, clinical, regulatory and other activities necessary to develop and commercialize rociletinib.

We made an upfront payment of $2.0 million upon execution of the license agreement, a $4.0 million milestone payment in the first quarter of 2012 upon the acceptance by the FDA of our Investigational New Drug application for rociletinib and a $5.0 million milestone payment in the first quarter of 2014 upon initiation of the Phase II study for rociletinib. In the third quarter of 2015, we made milestone payments totaling $12.0 million upon acceptance of the NDA and MAA for rociletinib by the FDA and EMA, respectively. We recognized all payments prior to commercial approval as acquired in-process research and development expense.

When and if commercial sales of rociletinib commence, we will pay Celgene tiered royalties at percentage rates ranging from mid-single digits to low teens based on annual net sales achieved. We are required to pay up to an additional aggregate of $98.0 million in development and regulatory milestone payments if certain clinical study objectives and regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved, including $15.0 million upon the first approval of an NDA by the FDA and $15.0 million upon the first approval of an MAA by the EMA. In addition, we are required to pay up to an aggregate of $120.0 million in sales milestone payments if certain annual sales targets are achieved, the majority of which relate to annual sales targets of $500.0 million and above.

In January 2013, the Company entered into an exclusive license agreement with Gatekeeper Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Gatekeeper”) to acquire exclusive rights under patent applications associated with mutant EGFR inhibitors and methods of treatment. Pursuant to the terms of the license agreement, the Company made an upfront payment of $0.25 million upon execution of the agreement, which was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense. If rociletinib is approved for commercial sale, the Company will pay royalties to Gatekeeper on future net sales.

Rucaparib

In June 2011, the Company entered into a worldwide license agreement with Pfizer Inc. to acquire exclusive development and commercialization rights to rucaparib. This drug candidate is a small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (“PARP”), which the Company is developing for the treatment of selected solid tumors. Under the terms of the license agreement, we made a $7.0 million upfront payment to Pfizer. In April 2014, the Company initiated a pivotal registration study for rucaparib, which resulted in a $0.4 million milestone payment to Pfizer as required by the license agreement. This payment was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense.

We are obligated under the license agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize rucaparib, and we are responsible for all remaining development and commercialization costs of rucaparib. When and if commercial sales of rucaparib begin, we will pay Pfizer tiered royalties at a mid-teens percentage rate on our net sales, with standard provisions for royalty offsets to the extent we need to obtain any rights from third parties to commercialize rucaparib. In addition, Pfizer is eligible to receive up to $258.5 million of further payments, in aggregate, if certain development, regulatory and sales milestones are achieved, including $20.75 million associated with the first approval of an NDA by the FDA.

In April 2012, the Company entered into a license agreement with AstraZeneca UK Limited to acquire exclusive rights associated with rucaparib under a family of patents and patent applications that claim methods of treating patients with PARP inhibitors in certain indications. The license enables the development and commercialization of rucaparib for the uses claimed by these patents. Pursuant to the terms of the license agreement, the Company made an upfront payment of $0.25 million upon execution of the agreement, which was recognized as acquired in-process research and development expense. The Company may be required to pay up to an aggregate of $0.7 million in milestone payments if certain regulatory filings, acceptances and approvals are achieved. If approved, AstraZeneca will also receive royalties on any net sales of rucaparib.
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In connection with its acquisition of EOS (see Note 3), the Company gained rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib, an oral, selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. As further described below, EOS licensed the worldwide rights, excluding China, to develop and commercialize lucitanib from Advenchen Laboratories LLC (“Advenchen”). Subsequently, rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in markets outside the U.S. and Japan were sublicensed by EOS to Servier in exchange for upfront milestone fees, royalties on sales of lucitanib in the sublicensed territories and research and development funding commitments.

In October 2008, EOS entered into an exclusive license agreement with Advenchen to develop and commercialize lucitanib on a global basis, excluding China. If and when commercial sales commence, we are obligated to pay Advenchen tiered royalties at percentage rates in the mid-single digits on net sales of lucitanib, based on the volume of annual net sales achieved. In addition, we are required to pay to Advenchen 25% of any consideration, excluding royalties, received pursuant to any sublicense agreements for lucitanib, including the agreement with Servier. We are obligated under the agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one product candidate containing lucitanib, and we are also responsible for all remaining development and commercialization costs for lucitanib.

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company recognized acquired in-process research and development expense of $3.4 million, which represents 25% of the sublicense agreement consideration of $13.6 million received from Servier upon the end of opposition and appeal of the lucitanib patent by the European Patent Office.

In September 2012, EOS entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Servier whereby EOS sublicensed to Servier exclusive rights to develop and commercialize lucitanib in all countries outside of the U.S., Japan and China. In exchange for these rights, EOS received an upfront payment of €45.0 million. We are entitled to receive additional payments upon achievement of specified development, regulatory and commercial milestones up to €90.0 million in the aggregate. In addition, we are entitled to receive sales milestone payments if specified annual sales targets for lucitanib are met, which, in the aggregate, could total €250.0 million. We are also entitled to receive royalties at percentage rates ranging from low to mid-teens on sales of lucitanib by Servier.

The development, regulatory and commercial milestones represent non-refundable amounts that would be paid by Servier to the Company if certain milestones are achieved in the future. These milestones, if achieved, are substantive as they relate solely to past performance, are commensurate with estimated enhancement of value associated with the achievement of each milestone as a result of the Company’s performance, which are reasonable relative to the other deliverables and terms of the arrangement, and are unrelated to the delivery of any further elements under the arrangement.

The Company and Servier are developing lucitanib pursuant to a development plan agreed to between the parties. Servier is responsible for all of the initial global development costs under the agreed upon plan up to €80.0 million. Cumulative global development costs, if any, in excess of €80.0 million will be shared equally between the Company and Servier. Based on current estimates, we expect that Servier’s €80.0 million funding commitment will be fulfilled in late 2016 or early 2017, and thereafter, we will share with Servier in future development costs pursuant to a mutually agreed upon global development plan. Reimbursements are recorded as a reduction to research and development expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company recorded a $3.2 million and $2.0 million receivable at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, for the reimbursable development costs incurred under the global development plan, which is included in other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the years ending December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we incurred $13.7 million, $9.5 million and $1.4 million, respectively, in research and development costs and recorded reductions in research and development expense of $11.8 million, $10.0 million and $1.3 million, respectively, for reimbursable development costs due from Servier.

13. Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common share equivalents outstanding using the treasury-stock method for the stock options and the if-converted method for the Notes. As a result of our net losses for the periods presented, all potentially dilutive common share equivalents were considered anti-dilutive and were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share.
The shares outstanding at the end of the respective periods presented in the table below were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share due to their anti-dilutive effect (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common shares under option</td>
<td>2,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible senior notes</td>
<td>4,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total potential dilutive shares</td>
<td>6,677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Income Taxes

The geographical components of income (loss) before income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>$ (290,342)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>91,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total loss before income taxes</td>
<td>$ (381,937)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The income tax provision consists of the following current and deferred foreign tax expenses (in thousands). No U.S. tax expense was recognized in the 2015 and 2014 and the 2013 tax provisions are not significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current expense</td>
<td>$ —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred (benefit) expense</td>
<td>(29,076)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income tax (benefit) expense</td>
<td>$ (29,076)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A reconciliation of the U.S. statutory income tax rate to the Company’s effective tax rate is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal income tax benefit at statutory rate</td>
<td>(34.0)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State income tax benefit, net of federal benefit</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax credits</td>
<td>(13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in tax status of foreign subsidiary</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation on future foreign tax credits</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in valuation allowance</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective income tax rate</td>
<td>(7.6)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The components of the Company’s deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2015</th>
<th>December 31, 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating loss carryforward</td>
<td>$189,663</td>
<td>$111,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax credit carryforwards</td>
<td>179,865</td>
<td>101,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>26,766</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductible foreign taxes</td>
<td>10,836</td>
<td>22,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation expense</td>
<td>23,844</td>
<td>10,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation</td>
<td>17,471</td>
<td>9,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product acquisition costs</td>
<td>10,470</td>
<td>6,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued liabilities and other</td>
<td>4,147</td>
<td>2,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax assets</td>
<td>463,062</td>
<td>264,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation allowance</td>
<td>(449,080)</td>
<td>(259,004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance</td>
<td>13,982</td>
<td>5,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>(31,871)</td>
<td>(70,084)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>(11,142)</td>
<td>(843)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses and other</td>
<td>(2,102)</td>
<td>(1,213)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td>(45,115)</td>
<td>(72,140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net deferred tax liability</td>
<td>$ (31,133)</td>
<td>$ (66,851)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon a number of factors including future earnings, the timing and amount of which is uncertain. A valuation allowance was established for the net deferred tax asset balance due to management’s belief that the realization of these assets is not likely to occur in the foreseeable future. The Company recorded an increase to the valuation allowance of $190.1 million and $122.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, primarily due to an increase in net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and future tax deductions associated with EOS acquisition intangible assets.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had approximately $504.8 million, $728.1 million and $2.7 million of U.S. federal, state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards, respectively. The U.S. net operating losses will expire from 2029 to 2035 if not utilized. Included in the U.S. net operating loss was approximately $16.7 million of stock compensation expense, the benefit of which, if realized, will be an increase to additional paid-in-capital and a reduction to taxes payable. In addition, the Company has research and development and orphan drug tax credit carryforwards of $177.7 million that will expire from 2029 through 2035 if not utilized.

We believe that a change in ownership as defined under Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code occurred as a result of the Company’s public offering of common stock completed in April 2012. Future utilization of the federal net operating losses (“NOL”) and tax credit carryforwards accumulated from inception to the change in ownership date will be subject to annual limitations to offset future taxable income. At this time, we do not believe, however, this limitation will prevent the utilization of the federal NOL or credit carryforward prior to expiration. It is possible that a change in ownership will occur in the future, which will limit the NOL amounts generated since the last estimated change. The Company’s federal and state income taxes for the period from inception to December 31, 2015 remain open to an audit. Our foreign subsidiaries are also subject to tax audits by tax authorities in the jurisdictions where they operate for the periods from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2015.

Tax positions are initially recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions must initially and subsequently be measured at the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and relevant facts. The Company has not identified any significant uncertain tax positions that require recognition in our financial statements. Our evaluation was performed from inception through December 31, 2015.

In December 2014, the Company converted a non-U.S. entity into a U.S. entity for U.S. income tax purposes. As a result of this election, the subsidiary was treated as a flow through entity for U.S. federal tax purposes. The election generated deferred tax assets, calculated as the difference between the subsidiary’s tax basis and the underlying financial statement basis of the assets.

The Company may be assessed interest and penalties related to the settlement of tax positions and such amounts will be recognized within income tax expense when assessed. To date, no interest and penalties have been recognized by the Company.
15. Employee Benefit Plan

We maintain a retirement plan, which is qualified under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code for its U.S. employees. The plan allows eligible employees to defer, at the employee’s discretion, pretax compensation up to the IRS annual limits. The Company matches contributions up to 4% of the eligible employee’s compensation or the maximum amount permitted by law. Total expense for contributions made to U.S. employees was approximately $824 thousand, $425 thousand and $368 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company’s international employees participate in retirement plans governed by the local laws in effect for the country in which they reside. The Company made matching contributions to international employees of approximately $171 thousand, $106 thousand and $81 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

16. Quarterly Information (Unaudited)

The results of operations on a quarterly basis for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License and milestone revenue</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$13,625</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>56,750</td>
<td>60,368</td>
<td>76,138</td>
<td>75,995</td>
<td>24,151</td>
<td>28,440</td>
<td>34,965</td>
<td>50,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>6,751</td>
<td>7,204</td>
<td>8,331</td>
<td>8,238</td>
<td>5,320</td>
<td>5,265</td>
<td>5,267</td>
<td>5,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired in-process research and development</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8,406</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of intangible asset</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>89,557</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fair value of contingent purchase consideration</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>(26,882)</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>(1,864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenses</td>
<td>64,225</td>
<td>68,336</td>
<td>97,252</td>
<td>146,908</td>
<td>42,108</td>
<td>34,966</td>
<td>41,120</td>
<td>53,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating loss</td>
<td>(64,225)</td>
<td>(68,336)</td>
<td>(97,252)</td>
<td>(146,908)</td>
<td>(28,483)</td>
<td>(34,966)</td>
<td>(41,120)</td>
<td>(53,890)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>(2,075)</td>
<td>(2,097)</td>
<td>(2,099)</td>
<td>(2,101)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(511)</td>
<td>(2,093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency gains (losses)</td>
<td>3,247</td>
<td>(1,142)</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>(60)</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>2,323</td>
<td>1,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>(106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax (expense) benefit</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>28,568</td>
<td>(2,129)</td>
<td>(68)</td>
<td>(292)</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>$ (63,144)</td>
<td>$ (71,531)</td>
<td>$ (98,645)</td>
<td>$ (119,541)</td>
<td>$ (30,718)</td>
<td>$ (34,764)</td>
<td>$ (39,642)</td>
<td>$ (54,907)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted net loss per common share</td>
<td>$ (1.86)</td>
<td>$ (2.10)</td>
<td>$ (2.62)</td>
<td>$ (3.12)</td>
<td>$ (0.91)</td>
<td>$ (1.03)</td>
<td>$ (1.17)</td>
<td>$ (1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding</td>
<td>34,011</td>
<td>34,088</td>
<td>37,613</td>
<td>38,321</td>
<td>33,820</td>
<td>33,872</td>
<td>33,921</td>
<td>33,941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) In July 2015, the Company sold 4,054,487 shares of its common stock in a public offering at $78.00 per share. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were $298.5 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

17. Subsequent Events

The Company evaluated events after the balance sheet date of December 31, 2015 and up to the date the Company filed this Annual Report and determined that no subsequent activity required disclosure.
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CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

This Indemnification Agreement (this “Agreement”) is made effective as of August 3, 2015, and is between Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and Lindsey Rolfe (“Indemnitee”).

RECITALS

A. Indemnitee’s service to the Company substantially benefits the Company.

B. Individuals are reluctant to serve as directors or officers of corporations or in certain other capacities unless they are provided with adequate protection through insurance or indemnification against the risks of claims and actions against them arising out of such service.

C. Indemnitee does not regard the protection currently provided by applicable law, the Company’s governing documents and any insurance as adequate under the present circumstances, and Indemnitee may not be willing to serve as a director or officer without additional protection.

D. In order to induce Indemnitee to continue to provide services to the Company, it is reasonable, prudent and necessary for the Company to contractually obligate itself to indemnify, and to advance expenses on behalf of, Indemnitee as permitted by applicable law.

E. This Agreement is a supplement to and in furtherance of the indemnification provided in the Company’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws, and any resolutions adopted pursuant thereto, and this Agreement shall not be deemed a substitute therefor, nor shall this Agreement be deemed to limit, diminish or abrogate any rights of Indemnitee thereunder.

The parties therefore agree as follows:

1. Definitions.

(a) “Corporate Status” describes the status of a person who is or was a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company or any other Enterprise.

(b) “DGCL” means the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

(c) “Disinterested Director” means a director of the Company who is not and was not a party to the Proceeding in respect of which indemnification is sought by Indemnitee.

(d) “Enterprise” means the Company and any other corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise of which Indemnitee is or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary.

(e) “Expenses” include all reasonable attorneys’ fees, retainers, court costs, transcript costs, fees and costs of experts, witness fees, travel expenses, duplicating costs, printing and binding costs, telephone charges, postage, delivery service fees, and all other disbursements or expenses of the types customarily incurred in connection with prosecuting, defending, preparing to prosecute or defend, investigating, being or preparing to be a witness in, or otherwise participating in, a Proceeding. Expenses also include (i) Expenses incurred in connection with any appeal resulting from any Proceeding, including without limitation the premium, security for, and other costs relating to any cost bond, supersedeas bond or other appeal bond or their equivalent, and (ii) for purposes of Section 12(b), Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection with the interpretation, enforcement or defense of Indemnitee’s rights under this Agreement or under any directors’ and officers’ liability insurance policies maintained by the Company. Expenses, however, shall not include amounts paid in settlement by Indemnitee or the amount of judgments, penalties or fines against Indemnitee.

(f) “Independent Counsel” means a law firm, or a partner or member of a law firm, that is experienced in matters of corporation law and neither presently is, nor in the past five years has been, retained to represent (i) the Company or Indemnitee in any matter material to either such party (other than as Independent Counsel with respect to matters concerning
Indemnitee under this Agreement, or other indemnitees under similar indemnification agreements), or (ii) any other party to the Proceeding giving rise to a claim for indemnification hereunder. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the term “Independent Counsel” shall not include any person who, under the applicable standards of professional conduct then prevailing, would have a conflict of interest in representing either the Company or Indemnitee in an action to determine Indemnitee’s rights under this Agreement.

(g) “Proceeding” means any threatened, pending or completed action, suit, arbitration, mediation, alternate dispute resolution mechanism, investigation, inquiry, administrative hearing or proceeding, whether brought in the right of the Company or otherwise and whether of a civil, criminal, administrative or investigative nature, including any appeal therefrom and including without limitation any such Proceeding pending as of the date of this Agreement, in which Indemnitee was, is or will be involved as a party, a potential party, a non-party witness or otherwise by reason of (i) the fact that Indemnitee is or was a director or officer of the Company, (ii) any action taken by Indemnitee or any action or inaction on Indemnitee’s part while acting as a director or officer of the Company, or (iii) the fact that he or she is or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company or any other Enterprise, in each case whether or not serving in such capacity at the time any liability or Expense is incurred for which indemnification or advancement of expenses can be provided under this Agreement.

(h) Reference to “other enterprises” shall include employee benefit plans; references to “finer” shall include any excise taxes assessed on a person with respect to any employee benefit plan; references to “serving at the request of the Company” shall include any service as a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company which imposes duties on, or involves services by, such director, officer, employee or agent with respect to an employee benefit plan, its participants or beneficiaries; and a person who acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the participants and beneficiaries of an employee benefit plan shall be deemed to have acted in a manner “not opposed to the best interests of the Company” as referred to in this Agreement.

2. Indemnity in Third-Party Proceedings. The Company shall indemnify Indemnitee in accordance with the provisions of this Section 2 if, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in any Proceeding, other than a Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor. Pursuant to this Section 2, Indemnitee shall be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against all Expenses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on his or her behalf in connection with such Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein, if Indemnitee acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company and, with respect to any criminal Proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

3. Indemnity in Proceedings by or in the Right of the Company. The Company shall indemnify Indemnitee in accordance with the provisions of this Section 3 if, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in any Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor. Pursuant to this Section 3, Indemnitee shall be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection with such Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein, if Indemnitee acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company. No indemnification for Expenses shall be made under this Section 3 in respect of any claim, issue or matter in such Proceeding as to which Indemnitee shall have been adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction to be liable to the Company, unless and only to the extent that the Delaware Court of Chancery or any court in which the Proceeding was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability but in view of all the circumstances of the case, Indemnitee is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification for such expenses as the Delaware Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper.

Anything in this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding, if the Indemnitee, by reason of the Indemnitee’s Corporate Status, is or was, or is or was threatened to be made, a party to any Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor, then the Company shall not indemnify the Indemnitee for any judgment, fines, or amounts paid in settlement to the Company in connection with such Proceeding.

4. Indemnification for Expenses of a Party Who is Wholly or Partly Successful. To the extent that Indemnitee, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, is a party to or a participant in and is successful (on the merits or otherwise) in defense of any Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection therewith. To the extent permitted by applicable law, if Indemnitee is not wholly successful in such Proceeding but is successful, on the merits or otherwise, in defense of one or more but less than all claims, issues or matters in such Proceeding, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection with each successfully resolved claim, issue or matter. For purposes of this section, the termination of any claim, issue or matter in such a Proceeding by dismissal, with or without prejudice, shall be deemed to be a successful result as to such claim, issue or matter.
5. Indemnification for Expenses of a Witness. To the extent that Indemnitee is, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, a witness, or is or was made (or asked) to respond to discovery requests, in any Proceeding to which Indemnitee is not a party, Indemnitee shall be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection therewith.

6. Additional Indemnification.

(a) Notwithstanding any limitation in Sections 2, 3 or 4, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law if Indemnitee, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in any Proceeding (including a Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor) against all Expenses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on his or her behalf in connection with the Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein.

(b) For purposes of Section 6(a), the meaning of the phrase “to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law” shall include, but not be limited to:

(i) the fullest extent permitted by the provision of the DGCL that authorizes or contemplates additional indemnification by agreement, or the corresponding provision of any amendment to or replacement of the DGCL; and

(ii) the fullest extent authorized or permitted by any amendments to or replacements of the DGCL adopted after the date of this Agreement that increase the extent to which a corporation may indemnify its officers and directors.

7. Exclusions. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement, the Company shall not be obligated under this Agreement to make any indemnity in connection with any Proceeding (or any part of any Proceeding):

(a) for which payment has actually been made to or on behalf of Indemnitee under any statute, insurance policy, indemnity provision, vote or otherwise, except with respect to any excess beyond the amount paid, and except as may otherwise be agreed between the Company, on the one hand, and Indemnitee or another indemnitor of Indemnitee, on the other;

(b) for an accounting or disgorgement of profits pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or similar provisions of federal, state or local statutory law or common law, if Indemnitee is held liable therefor (including pursuant to any settlement arrangements);

(c) for any reimbursement of the Company by Indemnitee of any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation or of any profits realized by Indemnitee from the sale of securities of the Company, as required in each case under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (including any such reimbursements that arise from an accounting restatement of the Company pursuant to Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”), or the payment to the Company of profits arising from the purchase and sale by Indemnitee of securities in violation of Section 306 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act), if Indemnitee is held liable therefor (including pursuant to any settlement arrangements);

(d) initiated by Indemnitee, including any Proceeding (or any part of any Proceeding) initiated by Indemnitee against the Company or its directors, officers, employees, agents or other indemnitees, unless (i) the Company’s board of directors authorized the Proceeding (or the relevant part of the Proceeding) prior to its initiation, (ii) the Company provides the indemnification, in its sole discretion, pursuant to the powers vested in the Company under applicable law, (iii) otherwise authorized in Section 12(b) or (iv) otherwise required by applicable law; or

(e) if prohibited by applicable law.

8. Advances of Expenses. The Company shall advance the Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any Proceeding in which Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in by reason of Indemnitee’s Corporate Status, and such advancement shall be made as soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event no later than 60 days, after the receipt by the Company of a written statement or statements from Indemnitee requesting such advances from time to time (which shall include invoices received by Indemnitee in connection with such Expenses or otherwise reasonably evidence the Expenses incurred by Indemnitee, but, in the case of invoices in connection with legal services, any references to legal work performed or to expenditure made that would cause Indemnitee to waive any privilege accorded by applicable law shall not be included with the invoice). Advances shall be unsecured and interest free and made without regard to Indemnitee’s ability to repay such advances. Indemnitee hereby undertakes to repay any advance to the extent that it is ultimately determined that Indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified.
by the Company. This Section 8 shall not apply to the extent advancement is prohibited by law and shall not apply to any Proceeding for which indemnity is not permitted under this Agreement, but shall apply to any Proceeding referenced in Section 7(b) or 7(c) prior to a determination that Indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified by the Company.


(a) Indemnitee shall notify the Company in writing upon being served with or otherwise receiving any summons, citation, subpoena, complaint, indictment or other document relating to any Proceeding or any matter which may be subject to indemnification covered hereunder with respect to which Indemnitee intends to seek indemnification or advancement of Expenses as soon as reasonably practicable following the receipt by Indemnitee thereof. The written notification to the Company shall include, in reasonable detail, a description of the nature of the Proceeding and the facts underlying the Proceeding. The failure by Indemnitee to notify the Company will not relieve the Company from any liability which it may have to Indemnitee hereunder or otherwise than under this Agreement, and any delay in so notifying the Company shall not constitute a waiver by Indemnitee of any rights, except to the extent that such failure or delay materially prejudices the Company.

(b) If, at the time of the receipt of a notice of a Proceeding pursuant to the terms hereof, the Company has directors’ and officers’ liability insurance in effect, the Company shall give prompt notice of the commencement of the Proceeding to the insurers in accordance with the procedures set forth in the applicable policies. The Company shall thereafter take all reasonably necessary or desirable action to cause such insurers to pay, on behalf of Indemnitee, all amounts payable as a result of such Proceeding in accordance with the terms of such policies.

(c) In the event the Company may be obligated to make any indemnity in connection with a Proceeding, the Company shall be entitled to assume the defense of such Proceeding with counsel approved by Indemnitee, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, upon the delivery to Indemnitee of written notice of its election to do so. After delivery of such notice, approval of such counsel by Indemnitee and the retention of such counsel by the Company, the Company will not be liable to Indemnitee for any fees or expenses of counsel subsequently incurred by Indemnitee with respect to the same Proceeding. Notwithstanding the Company’s assumption of the defense of any such Proceeding, the Company shall be obligated to pay the fees and expenses of Indemnitee’s counsel to the extent (i) the employment of counsel by Indemnitee is authorized by the Company, (ii) counsel for the Company or Indemnitee shall have reasonably concluded that there is a conflict of interest between the Company and Indemnitee in the conduct of any such defense such that Indemnitee needs to be separately represented, (iii) the Company is not financially or legally able to perform its indemnification obligations or (iv) the Company shall not have retained, or shall not continue to retain, such counsel to defend such Proceeding. The Company shall have the right to conduct such defense as it sees fit in its sole discretion. Regardless of any provision in this Agreement, Indemnitee shall have the right to employ counsel in any Proceeding at Indemnitee’s personal expense. The Company shall not be entitled, without the consent of Indemnitee, to assume the defense of any claim brought by or in the right of the Company.

(d) Indemnitee shall give the Company such information and cooperation in connection with the Proceeding as may be reasonably appropriate.

(e) The Company shall not be liable to indemnify Indemnitee for any settlement of any Proceeding (or any part thereof) without the Company’s prior written consent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.


(a) To obtain indemnification, Indemnitee shall submit to the Company a written request, including therein or therewith such documentation and information as is reasonably available to Indemnitee and as is reasonably necessary to determine whether and to what extent Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification following the final disposition of the Proceeding. The Company shall, promptly after receipt of such a request for indemnification, advise the board of directors that Indemnitee has requested indemnification. Any delay in providing the request will not relieve the Company from its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such failure is prejudicial.

(b) Upon written request by Indemnitee for indemnification pursuant to Section 10(a), a determination with respect to Indemnitee’s entitlement thereto shall be made in the specific case (A) by a majority vote of the Disinterested Directors, even though less than a quorum of the Company’s board of directors, (B) by a committee of Disinterested Directors designated by a majority vote of the Disinterested Directors, even though less than a quorum of the Company’s board of directors, (C) if there are no such Disinterested Directors or, if such Disinterested Directors so direct, by Independent Counsel in a written opinion to the Company’s board of directors, a copy of which shall be delivered to Indemnitee or (D) if so directed by the Company’s board of directors.
directors, by the stockholders of the Company. If the determination of entitlement to indemnification is to be made by Independent Counsel pursuant to this Section 10(b), the Independent Counsel shall be selected by the Board of Directors and approved by Indemnitee. Upon failure of the Board of Directors to so select, or upon the failure of Indemnitee to so approve, such Independent Counsel shall be selected by the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware or such other person or body as the Indemnitee and the Company may agree in writing. If the person making such determination shall determine that Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification as to part (but not all) of the application for indemnification, such person shall reasonably pro-rate such part of indemnification among such claims, issues or matters. If it is so determined that Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification, payment to Indemnitee shall be made within ten days after such determination. Indemnitee shall cooperate with the person, persons or entity making the determination with respect to Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification, including providing to such person, persons or entity upon reasonable advance request any documentation or information that is not privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure and that is reasonably available to Indemnitee and reasonably necessary to such determination. Any costs or expenses (including attorneys’ fees and disbursements) reasonably incurred by Indemnitee in so cooperating with the person, persons or entity making such determination shall be borne by the Company, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law.


(a) The termination of any Proceeding or of any claim, issue or matter therein, by judgment, order, settlement or conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent, shall not (except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement) of itself create a presumption that Indemnitee did not act in good faith and in a manner which he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company or, with respect to any criminal Proceeding, that Indemnitee had reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

(b) For purposes of any determination of good faith, Indemnitee shall be deemed to have acted in good faith to the extent Indemnitee relied in good faith on (i) the records or books of account of the Enterprise, including financial statements, (ii) information supplied to Indemnitee by the officers of the Enterprise in the course of their duties, (iii) the advice of legal counsel for the Enterprise or its board of directors or counsel selected by any committee of the board of directors or (iv) information or records given or reports made to the Enterprise by an independent certified public accountant, an appraiser, investment banker or other expert selected with reasonable care by the Enterprise or its board of directors or any committee of the board of directors. The provisions of this Section 11(b) shall not be deemed to be exclusive or to limit in any way the other circumstances in which Indemnitee may be deemed to have met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in this Agreement.

(c) Neither the knowledge, actions nor failure to act of any other director, officer, agent or employee of the Enterprise shall be imputed to Indemnitee for purposes of determining the right to indemnification under this Agreement.

(d) Indemnitee shall cooperate with the person, persons or entity making such determination with respect to Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification, including providing to such person, persons or entity upon reasonable advance request any documentation or information which is not privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure and which is reasonably available to Indemnitee and reasonably necessary to such determination. Any Independent Counsel or member of the Board of Directors shall act reasonably and in good faith in making a determination regarding the Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification under this Agreement. Any costs or expenses (including attorneys’ fees and disbursements) incurred by Indemnitee in so cooperating with the person, persons or entity making such determination shall be borne by the Company (irrespective of the determination as to Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification) and the Company hereby indemnifies and agrees to hold Indemnitee harmless therefrom.

(e) The Company acknowledges that a settlement or other disposition short of final judgment may be successful if it permits a party to avoid expense, delay, distraction, disruption and uncertainty. In the event that any Proceeding to which Indemnitee is a party is resolved in any manner other than by adverse judgment against Indemnitee (including, without limitation, settlement of such action, claim or proceeding with or without payment of money or other consideration) it shall be presumed that Indemnitee has been successful on the merits or otherwise in such Proceeding. Anyone seeking to overcome this presumption shall have the burden of proof and the burden of persuasion by clear and convincing evidence.

12. Remedies of Indemnitee.

(a) Subject to Section 12(d), in the event that (i) a determination is made pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification under this Agreement, (ii) advancement of Expenses is not timely made pursuant to Section 8 or 12(b) of this Agreement, (iii) no determination of entitlement to indemnification shall have been made pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement within 90 days after the later of the receipt by the Company of the request for indemnification or the final disposition of the Proceeding, (iv) payment of indemnification pursuant to this Agreement is not made
(A) within ten days after a determination has been made that Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification or (B) with respect to indemnification pursuant to Sections 4, 5 and 12(b) of this Agreement, within 30 days after receipt by the Company of a written request therefor, or (v) the Company or any other person or entity takes or threatens to take any action to declare this Agreement void or unenforceable, or institutes any litigation or other action or proceeding designed to deny, or to recover from , Indemnitee the benefits provided or intended to be provided to Indemnitee hereunder, Indemnitee shall be entitled to an adjudication by a court of competent jurisdiction of his or her entitlement to such indemnification or advancement of Expenses. Alternatively, Indemnitee, at his or her option, may seek an award in arbitration with respect to his or her entitlement to such indemnification or advancement of Expenses, to be conducted by a single arbitrator pursuant to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association. Indemnitee shall commence such proceeding seeking an adjudication or an award in arbitration within 180 days following the date on which Indemnitee first has the right to commence such proceeding pursuant to this Section 12(a); provided, however, that the foregoing clause shall not apply in respect of a proceeding brought by Indemnitee to enforce his or her rights under Section 4 of this Agreement. The Company shall not oppose Indemnitee’s right to seek any such adjudication or award in arbitration in accordance with this Agreement.

(b) Neither (i) the failure of the Company, its board of directors, any committee or subgroup of the board of directors, Independent Counsel or stockholders to have made a determination that indemnification of Indemnitee is proper in the circumstances because Indemnitee has met the applicable standard of conduct, nor (ii) an actual determination by the Company, its board of directors, any committee or subgroup of the board of directors, Independent Counsel or stockholders that Indemnitee has not met the applicable standard of conduct, shall be a defense to the action or create a presumption that Indemnitee has or has not met the applicable standard of conduct. In the event that a determination shall have been made pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification, any judicial proceeding or arbitration commenced pursuant to this Section 12 shall be conducted in all respects as a de novo trial, or arbitration, on the merits, and Indemnitee shall not be prejudiced by reason of that adverse determination. In connection with any determination (including a determination by the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or other court of competent jurisdiction)) with respect to entitlement to indemnification hereunder, the Company shall, to the fullest extent not prohibited by law, have the burden of proving Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification or advancement of Expenses, as the case may be, and any decision that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification or advancement of Expenses must be supported by clear and convincing evidence.

(c) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses that are incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any action for indemnification or advancement of Expenses from the Company under this Agreement or under any directors’ and officers’ liability insurance policies maintained by the Company to the extent Indemnitee is successful in such action, and, if requested by Indemnitee, shall (as soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event no later than 60 days, after receipt by the Company of a written request therefor) advance such Expenses to Indemnitee, subject to the provisions of Section 8.

(d) Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, no determination as to entitlement to indemnification shall be required to be made prior to the final disposition of the Proceeding.


(a) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, whether or not the indemnification provided in Sections 2, 3, 4, or 6 hereof is available, in respect of any threatened, pending or completed Proceeding in which the Company is jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), the Company shall pay, in the first instance, the entire amount of any judgment or settlement of such Proceeding without requiring Indemnitee to contribute to such payment, and the Company hereby waives and relinquishes any right of contribution it may have against Indemnitee. The Company shall not enter into any settlement of any Proceeding in which the Company is jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding) unless such settlement provides for a full and final release of all claims asserted against Indemnitee.

(b) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, without diminishing or impairing the obligations of the Company set forth in the preceding subparagraph, if, for any reason, Indemnitee shall elect or be required to pay all or any portion of any judgment or settlement in any threatened, pending or completed Proceeding in which the Company is jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), the Company shall contribute to the amount of Expenses, judgments, fines, liabilities and amounts paid in settlement actually incurred and paid or payable by Indemnitee in proportion to the relative benefits received by the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, from the transaction from which such Proceeding arose; provided, however, that the proportion determined on the basis of relative benefit may, to the extent necessary to conform to law, be further adjusted by reference to the relative fault of the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding),
on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, in connection with the events that resulted in such expenses, judgments, fines, liabilities or settlement amounts, as well as any other equitable considerations which the law may require to be considered. The relative fault of the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, shall be determined by reference to, among other things, the degree to which their actions were motivated by intent to gain personal profit or advantage, the degree to which their liability is primary or secondary and the degree to which their conduct is active or passive.

(c) The Company hereby agrees to fully indemnify and hold Indemnitee harmless from any claim of contribution brought by officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who may be jointly liable with Indemnitee.

(d) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, if the indemnification provided for in this Agreement is unavailable to Indemnitee, the Company, in lieu of indemnifying Indemnitee, shall contribute to the amounts incurred by Indemnitee, whether for Expenses, judgments, fines or amounts paid or to be paid in settlement, in connection with any claim relating to an indemnifiable event under this Agreement, in such proportion as is deemed fair and reasonable in light of all of the circumstances of such Proceeding in order to reflect (i) the relative benefits received by the Company and Indemnitee as a result of the events and transactions giving rise to such Proceeding; and (ii) the relative fault of Indemnitee and the Company (and its other directors, officers, employees and agents) in connection with such events and transactions.

14. **Non-exclusivity.** The rights of indemnification and to receive advancement of Expenses as provided by this Agreement shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which Indemnitee may at any time be entitled under applicable law, the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws, any agreement, a vote of stockholders or a resolution of directors, or otherwise. To the extent that a change in Delaware law, whether by statute or judicial decision, permits greater indemnification or advancement of Expenses than would be afforded currently under the Company’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws and this Agreement, it is the intent of the parties hereto that Indemnitee shall enjoy by this Agreement the greater benefits so afforded by such change, subject to the restrictions expressly set forth herein or therein. Except as expressly set forth herein, no right or remedy herein conferred is intended to be exclusive of any other right or remedy, and every other right and remedy shall be cumulative and in addition to every other right and remedy given hereunder or now or hereafter existing at law or in equity or otherwise. Except as expressly set forth herein, the assertion or employment of any right or remedy hereunder, or otherwise, shall not prevent the concurrent assertion or employment of any other right or remedy. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the indemnification and contribution provided for in this Agreement will remain in full force and effect regardless of any investigation made by or on behalf of Indemnitee or Indemnitee’s agents.

15. **Primary Responsibility.** The Company acknowledges that Indemnitee may have certain rights to indemnification and advancement of expenses provided by the fund and/or certain affiliates thereof with whom Indemnitee may be affiliated (collectively, the “Secondary Indemnitors”). The Company agrees that, as between the Company and the Secondary Indemnitors, the Company is primarily responsible for amounts required to be indemnified or advanced under the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or this Agreement and any obligation of the Secondary Indemnitors to provide indemnification or advancement for the same amounts is secondary to those Company obligations. The Company waives any right of contribution or subrogation against the Secondary Indemnitors with respect to the liabilities for which the Company is primarily responsible under this Section 15. In the event of any payment by the Secondary Indemnitors of amounts otherwise required to be indemnified or advanced by the Company under the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or this Agreement, the Secondary Indemnitors shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Indemnitee for indemnification or advancement of expenses under the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or this Agreement or, to the extent such subrogation is unavailable and contribution is found to be the applicable remedy, shall have a right of contribution with respect to the amounts paid. The Secondary Indemnitors are express third-party beneficiaries of the terms of this Section 15.

16. **No Duplication of Payments.** Subject to the provisions of Section 15 above, the Company shall not be liable under this Agreement to make any payment of amounts otherwise indemnifiable hereunder (or for which advancement is provided hereunder) if and to the extent that Indemnitee has otherwise actually received payment for such amounts under any insurance policy, contract, agreement or otherwise.

17. **Insurance.** To the extent that the Company maintains an insurance policy or policies providing liability insurance for directors, trustees, general partners, managing members, officers, employees, agents or fiduciaries of the Company or any other Enterprise, Indemnitee shall be covered by such policy or policies to the same extent as the most favorably-insured persons under such policy or policies in a comparable position.
18. **Subrogation.** In the event of any payment under this Agreement, the Company shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Indemnitee, who shall execute all papers required and take all action necessary to secure such rights, including execution of such documents as are necessary to enable the Company to bring suit to enforce such rights.

19. **Services to the Company.** Indemnitee agrees to serve as a director or officer of the Company or, at the request of the Company, as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of another Enterprise, for so long as Indemnitee is duly elected or appointed or until Indemnitee tenders his or her resignation or is removed from such position. Indemnitee may at any time and for any reason resign from such position (subject to any other contractual obligation or any obligation imposed by operation of law), in which event the Company shall have no obligation under this Agreement to continue Indemnitee in such position. This Agreement shall not be deemed an employment contract between the Company (or any of its subsidiaries or any Enterprise) and Indemnitee. Indemnitee specifically acknowledges that any employment with the Company (or any of its subsidiaries or any Enterprise) is at will, and Indemnitee may be discharged at any time for any reason, with or without cause, with or without notice, except as may be otherwise expressly provided in any executed, written employment contract between Indemnitee and the Company (or any of its subsidiaries or any Enterprise), any existing formal severance policies adopted by the Company’s board of directors or, with respect to service as a director or officer of the Company, the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or the DGCL. No such document shall be subject to any oral modification thereof.

20. **Duration.** This Agreement shall continue until and terminate upon the later of (a) ten years after the date that Indemnitee shall have ceased to serve as a director or officer of the Company or as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of any other Enterprise, as applicable; or (b) one year after the final termination of any Proceeding, including any appeal, then pending in respect of which Indemnitee is granted rights of indemnification or advancement of Expenses hereunder and of any proceeding commenced by Indemnitee pursuant to Section 12 of this Agreement relating thereto.

21. **Successors.** This Agreement shall be binding upon the Company and its successors and assigns, including any direct or indirect successor by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise to all or substantially all of the business or assets of the Company, and shall inure to the benefit of Indemnitee and Indemnitee’s heirs, executors and administrators.

22. **Severability.** Nothing in this Agreement is intended to require or shall be construed as requiring the Company to do or fail to do any act in violation of applicable law. The Company’s inability, pursuant to court order or other applicable law, to perform its obligations under this Agreement shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement. If any provision or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable for any reason whatsoever: (i) the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions of this Agreement (including without limitation, each portion of any section of this Agreement containing any such provision held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that is not itself invalid, illegal or unenforceable) shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby and shall remain enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law; (ii) such provision or provisions shall be deemed reformed to the extent necessary to conform to applicable law and to give the maximum effect to the intent of the parties hereto; and (iii) to the fullest extent possible, the provisions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, each portion of any section of this Agreement containing any such provision held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that is not itself invalid, illegal or unenforceable) shall be construed so as to give effect to the intent manifested thereby.

23. **Enforcement.** The Company expressly confirms and agrees that it has entered into this Agreement and assumed the obligations imposed on it hereby in order to induce Indemnitee to serve as a director or officer of the Company, and the Company acknowledges that Indemnitee is relying upon this Agreement in serving as a director or officer of the Company.

24. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, oral, written and implied, between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof; provided, however, that this Agreement is a supplement to and in furtherance of the indemnification provided in the Company’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws and applicable law.

25. **Modification and Waiver.** No supplement, modification or amendment to this Agreement shall be binding unless executed in writing by the parties hereto. No amendment, alteration or repeal of this Agreement shall adversely affect any right of Indemnitee under this Agreement in respect of any action taken or omitted by such Indemnitee in his or her Corporate Status prior to such amendment, alteration or repeal. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall constitute or be deemed a waiver of any other provision of this Agreement nor shall any waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

26. **Notices.** All notices and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be mailed by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, sent by facsimile or electronic mail or otherwise delivered by hand, messenger or courier service addressed:
(a) if to Indemnitee, to Indemnitee’s address, facsimile number or electronic mail address as shown on the signature page of this Agreement or in the Company’s records, as may be updated in accordance with the provisions hereof; or

(b) if to the Company, to the attention of the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer of the Company at c/o Thomas Mark, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, 787 Seventh Ave., New York, NY 10019 or at such other current address as the Company shall have furnished to the Indemnitee.

Each such notice or other communication shall for all purposes of this Agreement be treated as effective or having been given (i) if delivered by hand, messenger or courier service, when delivered (or if sent via a nationally-recognized overnight courier service, freight prepaid, specifying next-business-day delivery, one business day after deposit with the courier), (ii) if sent via mail, at the earlier of its receipt or five days after the same has been deposited in a regularly-maintained receptacle for the deposit of the United States mail, addressed and mailed as aforesaid, or (iii) if sent via facsimile, upon confirmation of facsimile transfer or, if sent via electronic mail, when directed to the relevant electronic mail address, if sent during normal business hours of the recipient, or if not sent during normal business hours of the recipient, then on the recipient’s next business day.

27. Applicable Law and Consent to Jurisdiction. This Agreement and the legal relations among the parties shall be governed by, and construed and enforced in accordance with, the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflict of laws rules. Except with respect to any arbitration commenced by Indemnitee pursuant to Section 12(a) of this Agreement, the Company and Indemnitee hereby irrevocably and unconditionally (i) agree that any action or proceeding arising out of or in connection with this Agreement shall be brought only in the Delaware Court of Chancery, and not in any other state or federal court in the United States of America or any court in any other country, (ii) consent to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Delaware Court of Chancery for purposes of any action or proceeding arising out of or in connection with this Agreement, (iii) appoint, to the extent such party is not otherwise subject to service of process in the State of Delaware, The Corporation Trust Company, Wilmington, Delaware as its agent in the State of Delaware as such party’s agent for acceptance of legal process in connection with any such action or proceeding against such party with the same legal force and validity as if served upon such party personally within the State of Delaware, (iv) waive any objection to the laying of venue of any such action or proceeding in the Delaware Court of Chancery, and (v) waive, and agree not to plead or to make, any claim that any such action or proceeding brought in the Delaware Court of Chancery has been brought in an improper or inconvenient forum.

28. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall for all purposes be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same Agreement. This Agreement may also be executed and delivered by facsimile signature and in counterparts, each of which shall for all purposes be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same Agreement. Only one such counterpart signed by the party against whom enforceability is sought needs to be produced to evidence the existence of this Agreement.

29. Captions. The headings of the paragraphs of this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not be deemed to constitute part of this Agreement or to affect the construction thereof.

( signature page follows )
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The parties are signing this Indemnification Agreement on January 29, 2016.

COMPANY

CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

/s/ ERLE T. MAST
(Signature)

Erle T. Mast
(Print name)

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Title)

INDEMNITEE

/s/ LINDSEY ROLFE
(Signature)

Lindsey Rolfe
(Print name)

(Street address)

(City, State and ZIP)
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

This EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is made and entered into as of this 25th day of February 2016, by and between Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and Dr. Lindsey Rolfe (the “Employee”).

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Employee is currently employed by the Company as its Senior Vice President of Global Clinical Development; and

WHEREAS, the Company desires to continue to employ Employee and to enter into this Agreement embodying the terms of such employment, and Employee desires to enter into this Agreement and to accept such continued employment, subject to the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual covenants contained herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are mutually acknowledged, the Company and Employee hereby agree as follows:

Section 1. Definitions.

(a) “Accounting Firm” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13(b) hereof.

(b) “Accrued Obligations” shall mean (i) all accrued but unpaid Base Salary through the date of termination of Employee’s employment, (ii) any unpaid or unreimbursed expenses incurred prior to the date of termination in accordance with Section 7 hereof, and (iii) any benefits provided under the Company’s employee benefit plans upon a termination of employment (excluding any employee benefit plan providing for severance or similar benefits), in accordance with the terms contained therein.

(c) “Agreement” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble hereto.

(d) “Annual Bonus” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 4(b) hereof.

(e) “Base Salary” shall mean the salary provided for in Section 4(a) hereof or any increased salary granted to Employee pursuant to Section 4(a) hereof.

(f) “Board” shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company.

(g) “Change in Control” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Stock Incentive Plan.

(h) “Code” shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(i) “Company” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble hereto.

(j) “Company Group” shall mean the Company together with any direct or indirect subsidiaries of the Company.

(k) “Compensation Committee” shall mean the committee of the Board designated to make compensation decisions relating to senior executive officers of the Company Group. Prior to any time that such a committee has been designated, the Board shall be deemed the Compensation Committee for purposes of this Agreement.

(l) “Delay Period” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13 hereof.

(m) “Disability” shall mean any physical or mental disability or infirmity of Employee that prevents the performance of Employee’s duties for a period of (i) ninety (90) consecutive days or (ii) one hundred twenty (120) non-consecutive days during any twelve (12) month period. Any question as to the existence, extent, or potentiality of Employee’s Disability upon which Employee and the Company cannot agree shall be determined by a qualified, independent physician selected by the Company and approved by Employee (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld). The determination of any such physician shall be final and conclusive for all purposes of this Agreement.
(n) “Employee” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble hereto.

(o) “Excess Payment” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 13(b) below.

(p) “Excise Tax” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13(b) hereof.

(q) “Good Reason” shall mean, without Employee’s consent, (i) a material diminution in Employee’s title, duties, or responsibilities as set forth in Section 3 hereof such that Employee is no longer serving in a senior executive capacity for the Company, (ii) a material reduction in Base Salary set forth in Section 4(a) hereof or Annual Bonus opportunity set forth in Section 4(b) hereof (other than pursuant to an across-the-board reduction applicable to all similarly situated executives), (iii) the relocation of Employee’s principal place of employment (as provided in Section 3(c) hereof) more than fifty (50) miles from its current location, or (iv) any other material breach of a provision of this Agreement by the Company (other than a provision that is covered by clause (i), (ii), or (iii) above). Employee acknowledges and agrees that her exclusive remedy in the event of any breach of this Agreement shall be to assert Good Reason pursuant to the terms and conditions of Section 8(e) hereof. Notwithstanding the foregoing, during the Term, in the event that the Company reasonably believes that Employee may have engaged in conduct that could constitute Just Cause hereunder, the Company may, in its sole and absolute discretion, suspend Employee for up to sixty (60) days from performing her duties hereunder, and in no event shall any such suspension constitute an event pursuant to which Employee may terminate employment with Good Reason or otherwise constitute a breach hereunder; provided, that no such suspension shall alter the Company’s obligations under this Agreement during such period of suspension.

(r) “Gross-Up Payment” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 13(b) below.

(s) “Just Cause” shall mean that the Company, acting in good faith based upon the information then known to it, determines that (i) Employee has committed or engaged in negligent or willful conduct that is likely to be detrimental to the Company or any member of the Company Group; (ii) Employee has engaged in acts which constitute theft, fraud, or other illegal or dishonest conduct which are considered to be harmful to the Company or any member of the Company Group as determined by the majority vote of its Board; (iii) Employee has willfully disobeyed the reasonable and lawful directives of any superior officer or the Board; (iv) Employee has refused or is unwilling to perform her job duties; (v) Employee has failed adequately to perform her job duties; (vi) Employee has demonstrated habitual absenteeism; (vii) Employee is substantially dependent on alcohol or any controlled substance or violates any general Company policy with regard to alcohol or controlled substances; (viii) Employee has engaged in acts which constitute sexual or other forms of illegal harassment or discrimination; (ix) Employee makes public remarks that disparage the Company, the Board, or its officers, directors, advisors, employees, affiliates or subsidiaries; (x) Employee violates her fiduciary duty to the Company, or her duty of loyalty to the Company; (xi) Employee materially breaches any term of this Agreement or the Non-Interference Agreement. The Parties acknowledge that this definition of “Just Cause” is not intended and does not apply to any aspect of the relationship between the Company and Employee beyond determining Employee’s eligibility for severance pay pursuant to Section 8 below.

(t) “Non-Interference Agreement” shall mean the Confidentiality, Non-Interference, and Invention Assignment Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A.

(u) “Parachute Payments” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13(b) hereof.

(v) “Parachute Tax” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 13(b) hereof.

(w) “Person” shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, association, joint-stock company, trust (charitable or non-charitable), unincorporated organization, or other form of business entity.

(x) “Release of Claims” shall mean the Release of Claims in substantially the same form attached hereto as Exhibit B (as the same may be revised from time to time by the Company upon the advice of counsel to reflect changes in law).

(y) “Severance Benefits” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 8(g) hereof.

(z) “Severance Term” shall mean the six (6) month period following Employee’s termination by the Company without Just Cause (other than by reason of death or Disability) or by Employee for Good Reason; provided, that if such termination occurs within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control, the Severance Term shall be the twelve (12) month period following such termination.
Section 2. Acceptance and Term.

The Company agrees to employ Employee, and Employee agrees to serve the Company, on the terms and conditions set forth herein. The Term shall commence on August 3, 2015 and shall continue until terminated in accordance with Section 8 hereof.

Section 3. Position, Duties, and Responsibilities; Place of Performance.

(a) Position, Duties, and Responsibilities. During the Term, Employee shall be employed and serve as the Chief Medical Officer and Executive Vice President of Clinical and Pre-Clinical Development and Pharmacovigilance of the Company (together with such other position or positions consistent with Employee’s title as the Board shall specify from time to time) and shall have such duties and responsibilities commensurate with such title. Employee also agrees to serve as an officer and/or director of any other member of the Company Group, in each case without additional compensation. During the Term, Employee shall report to the Chief Executive Officer.

(b) Performance. Employee shall devote her full business time, attention, skill, and best efforts to the performance of her duties under this Agreement and shall not engage in any other business or occupation during the Term, including, without limitation, any activity that (x) conflicts with the interests of the Company or any other member of the Company Group, (y) interferes with the proper and efficient performance of Employee’s duties for the Company, or (z) interferes with Employee’s exercise of judgment in the Company’s best interests. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing herein shall preclude Employee from (i) serving, with the prior written consent of the Board, as a member of the boards of directors or advisory boards (or their equivalents in the case of a non-corporate entity) of non-competing businesses and charitable organizations, (ii) engaging in charitable activities and community affairs, and (iii) managing her personal investments and affairs; provided, however, that the activities set out in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) shall be limited by Employee so as not to materially interfere, individually or in the aggregate, with the performance of her duties and responsibilities hereunder.

(c) Principal Place of Employment. Employee’s principal place of employment shall be in San Francisco, California although Employee understands and agrees that she may be required to travel from time to time for business reasons.

Section 4. Compensation.

(a) Base Salary. Employee shall be paid an annualized Base Salary, payable in accordance with the regular payroll practices of the Company, of not less than $390,000, with increases, if any, as may be approved in writing by the Compensation Committee.

(b) Annual Bonus. Employee shall be eligible for an annual incentive bonus award determined by the Compensation Committee in respect of each fiscal year during the Term (the “Annual Bonus”). The target Annual Bonus for each fiscal year shall be 45% of Base Salary (the “Target Bonus”), with the actual Annual Bonus payable being based upon the level of achievement of annual Company and individual performance objectives for such fiscal year, as determined by the Compensation Committee and communicated to Employee. The Annual Bonus shall be paid to Employee at the same time as annual bonuses are generally payable to other senior executives of the Company subject to Employee’s continuous employment through the payment date.

Section 5. Employee Benefits.

During the Term, Employee shall be entitled to participate in health, insurance, retirement, and other benefits provided generally to similarly situated employees of the Company. Employee shall also be entitled to the same number of holidays, vacation days, and sick days, as well as any other benefits, in each case as are generally allowed to similarly situated employees of the Company.
Company in accordance with the Company policy as in effect from time to time. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to limit the Company’s ability to amend, suspend, or terminate any employee benefit plan or policy at any time without providing Employee notice, and the right to do so is expressly reserved.

Section 6. **Key-Man Insurance**

At any time during the Term, the Company shall have the right to insure the life of Employee for the sole benefit of the Company, in such amounts, and with such terms, as it may determine. All premiums payable thereon shall be the obligation of the Company. Employee shall have no interest in any such policy, but agrees to cooperate with the Company in procuring such insurance by submitting to physical examinations, supplying all information required by the insurance company, and executing all necessary documents, provided that no financial obligation is imposed on Employee by any such documents.

Section 7. **Reimbursement of Business Expenses**

During the Term, the Company shall pay (or promptly reimburse Employee) for documented, out-of-pocket expenses reasonably incurred by Employee in the course of performing her duties and responsibilities hereunder, which are consistent with the Company’s policies in effect from time to time with respect to business expenses, subject to the Company’s requirements with respect to reporting of such expenses.

Section 8. **Termination of Employment.**

(a) **General.** The Term shall terminate upon the earliest to occur of (i) Employee’s death, (ii) a termination by reason of a Disability, (iii) a termination by the Company with or without Just Cause, and (iv) a termination by Employee with or without Good Reason. Upon any termination of Employee’s employment for any reason, except as may otherwise be requested by the Company in writing and agreed upon in writing by Employee, Employee shall be deemed to have resigned from any and all directorships, committee memberships, and any other positions Employee holds with the Company or any other member of the Company Group and hereby agrees to execute any documents that the Company (or any member of the Company Group) determines necessary to effectuate such resignations. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the payment (or commencement of a series of payments) hereunder of any nonqualified deferred compensation (within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code) upon a termination of employment shall be delayed until such time as Employee has also undergone a “separation from service” as defined in Treas. Reg. 1.409A-1(h), at which time such nonqualified deferred compensation (calculated as of the date of Employee’s termination of employment hereunder) shall be paid (or commence to be paid) to Employee on the schedule set forth in this Section 8 as if Employee had undergone such termination of employment (under the same circumstances) on the date of her ultimate “separation from service.”

(b) **Termination Due to Death or Disability.** Employee’s employment shall terminate automatically upon her death. The Company may terminate Employee’s employment immediately upon the occurrence of a Disability, such termination to be effective upon Employee’s receipt of written notice of such termination. Upon Employee’s death or in the event that Employee’s employment is terminated due to her Disability, Employee or her estate or her beneficiaries, as the case may be, shall be entitled to:

(i) The Accrued Obligations; and

(ii) Any unpaid Annual Bonus in respect of any completed fiscal year that has ended prior to the date of such termination, which amount shall be paid at such time annual bonuses are paid to other senior executives of the Company, but in no event later than the date that is 2½ months following the last day of the fiscal year in which such termination occurred.

Following Employee’s death or a termination of Employee’s employment by reason of a Disability, except as set forth in this Section 8(b), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement.

(c) **Termination by the Company with Just Cause.**

(i) The Company may terminate Employee’s employment at any time with Just Cause, effective upon Employee’s receipt of written notice of such termination; provided, however, that with respect to any Just Cause termination relying on clause (iv) or (v) of the definition of Just Cause set forth in Section 1(r) hereof, to the extent that such act or acts or failure or failures to act are curable, Employee shall be given not less than ten (10) days’ written notice by the Board of the Company’s intention to terminate her for Just Cause, such notice to state in detail the particular act or acts or failure or failures to act that constitute the grounds on which the proposed termination for Just Cause is based, and such termination shall be effective at the expiration of such notice.
ten (10) day notice period unless Employee has fully cured such act or acts or failure or failures to act that give rise to Just Cause during such period.

(ii) In the event that the Company terminates Employee’s employment with Just Cause, she shall be entitled only to the Accrued Obligations. Following such termination of Employee’s employment with Just Cause, except as set forth in this Section 8(c)(ii), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement.

(d) Termination by the Company without Just Cause. The Company may terminate Employee’s employment at any time without Just Cause, effective upon Employee’s receipt of written notice of such termination. In the event that Employee’s employment is terminated by the Company without Just Cause (other than due to death or Disability), Employee shall be entitled to:

(i) The Accrued Obligations;

(ii) Any unpaid Annual Bonus in respect of any completed fiscal year that has ended prior to the date of such termination, which amount shall be paid at such time annual bonuses are paid to other senior executives of the Company, but in no event later than the date that is 2½ months following the last day of the fiscal year in which such termination occurred;

(iii) Continued payment of Base Salary during the Severance Term, payable in accordance with the Company’s regular payroll practices;

(iv) Subject to Employee’s election of COBRA continuation coverage under the Company’s group health plan, on the first regularly scheduled payroll date of each month of the Severance Term, the Company will pay Employee an amount equal to the “applicable percentage” of the monthly COBRA premium cost (which, for purposes hereof, shall be the percentage of Employee’s health care premium costs covered by the Company as of the date of termination); provided, that the payments pursuant to this clause (iv) shall cease earlier than the expiration of the Severance Term in the event that Employee becomes eligible to receive any health benefits, including through a spouse’s employer, during the Severance Term; and

(v) In the event that such termination occurs within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control:

(A) accelerated vesting of all of Employee’s stock options and other equity-based awards and continued exercisability of Employee’s stock options in accordance with the terms of the plan document governing such awards; and

(B) an amount equal to the Target Bonus, payable in substantially equal monthly installments during the Severance Term.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the payments and benefits described in clauses (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) above shall immediately terminate, and the Company shall have no further obligations to Employee with respect thereto, in the event that Employee breaches any provision of the Non-Interference Agreement. Following such termination of Employee’s employment by the Company without Just Cause, except as set forth in this Section 8(d), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, Employee’s sole and exclusive remedy upon a termination of employment by the Company without Just Cause shall be receipt of the Severance Benefits.

(e) Termination by Employee with Good Reason. Employee may terminate her employment with Good Reason by providing the Company ten (10) days’ written notice setting forth in reasonable specificity the event that constitutes Good Reason, which written notice, to be effective, must be provided to the Company within sixty (60) days of the occurrence of such event. During such ten (10) day notice period, the Company shall have a cure right (if curable), and if not cured within such period, Employee’s termination will be effective upon the expiration of such cure period, and Employee shall be entitled to the same payments and benefits as provided in Section 8(d) hereof for a termination by the Company without Just Cause, subject to the same conditions on payment and benefits as described in Section 8(d) hereof. Following such termination of Employee’s employment by Employee with Good Reason, except as set forth in this Section 8(e), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, Employee’s sole and exclusive remedy upon a termination of employment with Good Reason shall be receipt of the Severance Benefits.

(f) Termination by Employee without Good Reason. Employee may terminate her employment without Good Reason by providing the Company thirty (30) days’ written notice of such termination. In the event of a termination of employment by Employee under this Section 8(f), Employee shall be entitled only to the Accrued Obligations. In the event of termination of Employee’s employment under this Section 8(f), the Company may, in its sole and absolute discretion, by written notice accelerate
such date of termination without changing the characterization of such termination as a termination by Employee without Good Reason. Following such termination of Employee’s employment by Employee without Good Reason, except as set forth in this Section 8(f), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement.

(g) Release. Notwithstanding any provision herein to the contrary, the payment of any amount or provision of any benefit pursuant to subsection (b), (d), or (e) of this Section 8 (other than the Accrued Obligations) (collectively, the “Severance Benefits”) shall be conditioned upon Employee’s execution, delivery to the Company, and non-revocation of the Release of Claims (and the expiration of any revocation period contained in such Release of Claims) within sixty (60) days following the date of Employee’s termination of employment hereunder. If Employee fails to execute the Release of Claims in such a timely manner so as to permit any revocation period to expire prior to the end of such sixty (60) day period, or timely revokes her acceptance of such release following its execution, Employee shall not be entitled to any of the Severance Benefits. Further, to the extent that any of the Severance Benefits constitutes “nonqualified deferred compensation” for purposes of Section 409A of the Code, any payment of any amount or provision of any benefit otherwise scheduled to occur prior to the sixtyieth (60th) day following the date of Employee’s termination of employment hereunder, but for the condition on executing the Release of Claims as set forth herein, shall not be made until the first regularly scheduled payroll date following such sixtyieth (60th) day, after which any remaining Severance Benefits shall thereafter be provided to Employee according to the applicable schedule set forth herein. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event of a termination due to Employee’s death or Disability, Employee’s obligations herein to execute and not revoke the Release of Claims may be satisfied on her behalf by her estate or a person having legal power of attorney over her affairs.

Section 9. Non-Interference Agreement.

As a condition of, and prior to commencement of, Employee’s employment with the Company, Employee shall have executed and delivered to the Company the Non-Interference Agreement. The parties hereto acknowledge and agree that this Agreement and the Non-Interference Agreement shall be considered separate contracts, and the Non-Interference Agreement will survive the termination of this Agreement for any reason.

Section 10. Representations and Warranties of Employee.

Employee represents and warrants to the Company that —

(a) Employee is entering into this Agreement voluntarily and that her employment hereunder and compliance with the terms and conditions hereof will not conflict with or result in the breach by her of any agreement to which she is a party or by which she may be bound;

(b) Employee has not violated, and in connection with her employment with the Company will not violate, any non-solicitation, non-competition, or other similar covenant or agreement of a prior employer by which she is or may be bound; and

(c) in connection with her employment with the Company, Employee will not use any confidential or proprietary information she may have obtained in connection with employment with any prior employer.

Section 11. Taxes.

The Company may withhold from any payments made under this Agreement all applicable taxes, including but not limited to income, employment, and social insurance taxes, as shall be required by law. Employee acknowledges and represents that the Company has not provided any tax advice to her in connection with this Agreement and that she has been advised by the Company to seek tax advice from her own tax advisors regarding this Agreement and payments that may be made to her pursuant to this Agreement, including specifically, the application of the provisions of Section 409A of the Code to such payments.

Section 12. Set Off; Mitigation.

The Company’s obligation to pay Employee the amounts provided and to make the arrangements provided hereunder shall be subject to set-off, counterclaim, or recoupment of amounts owed by Employee to the Company or its affiliates; provided, however, that to the extent any amount so subject to set-off, counterclaim, or recoupment is payable in installments hereunder, such set-off, counterclaim, or recoupment shall not modify the applicable payment date of any installment, and to the extent an obligation cannot be satisfied by reduction of a single installment payment, any portion not satisfied shall remain an outstanding obligation of Employee and shall be applied to the next installment only at such time the installment is otherwise payable pursuant to the specified payment schedule. Employee shall not be required to mitigate the amount of any payment provided pursuant to this Agreement by seeking other employment or otherwise, and except as provided in Section 8(d)(iv) hereof, the amount of any

(a) Section 409A Provisions. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement to the contrary —

(i) Any payment otherwise required to be made hereunder to Employee at any date as a result of the termination of Employee’s employment shall be delayed for such period of time as may be necessary to meet the requirements of Section 409A(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Code (the “Delay Period”). On the first business day following the expiration of the Delay Period, Employee shall be paid, in a single cash lump sum, an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all payments delayed pursuant to the preceding sentence, and any remaining payments not so delayed shall continue to be paid pursuant to the payment schedule set forth herein.

(ii) Each payment in a series of payments hereunder shall be deemed to be a separate payment for purposes of Section 409A of the Code.

(iii) To the extent that any right to reimbursement of expenses or payment of any benefit in-kind under this Agreement constitutes nonqualified deferred compensation (within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code), (i) any such expense reimbursement shall be made by the Company no later than the last day of the taxable year following the taxable year in which such expense was incurred by Employee, (ii) the right to reimbursement or in-kind benefits shall not be subject to liquidation or exchange for another benefit, and (iii) the amount of expenses eligible for reimbursement or in-kind benefits provided during any taxable year shall not affect the expenses eligible for reimbursement or in-kind benefits to be provided in any other taxable year; provided, that the foregoing clause shall not be violated with regard to expenses reimbursed under any arrangement covered by Section 105(b) of the Code solely because such expenses are subject to a limit related to the period the arrangement is in effect.

(iv) While the payments and benefits provided hereunder are intended to be structured in a manner to avoid the implication of any penalty taxes under Section 409A of the Code, in no event whatsoever shall the Company or any of its affiliates be liable for any additional tax, interest, or penalties that may be imposed on Employee as a result of Section 409A of the Code or any damages for failing to comply with Section 409A of the Code (other than for withholding obligations or other obligations applicable to employers, if any, under Section 409A of the Code).

(b) Parachute Payment Gross-Up. If any payment, benefit, or distribution of any type to or for the benefit of Employee, whether paid or payable, provided or to be provided, or distributed or distributable pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or otherwise (collectively, the “Parachute Payments”) would subject Employee to the excise tax imposed under Section 4999 of the Code (the “Excise Tax”), the Company will make an additional payment to Employee in an amount (the “Gross-Up Payment”) such that, after payment all taxes and any interest or penalties imposed with respect to such taxes (including, without limitation, federal, state, local income, employment, excise and other similar taxes, but excluding any taxes imposed under Section 409A of the Code) (the “Parachute Tax”) on both the Parachute Payments and the Gross-Up Payment, Employee will be in the same position as if no Parachute Tax had been imposed; provided, that in no event may the Gross-Up Payment exceed $2,000,000. Any Gross-Up Payment shall be timely paid by the Company on Employee’s behalf directly to the appropriate taxing authorities when due, but in all events no later than the last day of the calendar year after the calendar year in which the Parachute Tax shall be paid. The determinations with respect to this Section 13(b) shall be made by an independent accounting firm selected by the Company and reasonably acceptable to Employee (the “Accounting Firm”) paid by the Company.

(i) It is possible that, after the determinations and selections made pursuant to Section 13(b), Employee will receive Parachute Payments and Gross-Up Payments that are, in the aggregate, either more or less than the limitations provided in Section 13(b) above (hereafter referred to as an “Excess Payment,” or “Underpayment”, respectively). If it is established, pursuant to a final determination of a court or an Internal Revenue Service proceeding that has been finally and conclusively resolved, that an Excess Payment has been made, then Employee shall refund the Excess Payment to the Company promptly on demand, together with an additional payment in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying the Excess Payment times the applicable annual federal rate (as determined in and under Section 1274(d) of the Code) times a fraction whose numerator is the number of days elapsed from the date of Employee’s receipt of such Excess Payment through the date of such refund and whose denominator is 365. In the event that it is determined (y) by a court of competent jurisdiction, or (z) by the Accounting Firm upon request by Employee or the Company, that an Underpayment has occurred, the Company shall pay an amount equal to the Underpayment to Employee within ten (10) days of such determination together with an additional payment in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying the Underpayment times the applicable annual federal rate (as determined in and under Section 1274(d) of the Code) times a fraction whose numerator is the number of days elapsed from the date of the Underpayment through the
date of such payment and whose denominator is 365; provided, that in no event shall the sum of (i) the Gross-Up Payment, and (ii) the additional payment pursuant to this sentence, exceed $2,000,000.

(ii) Any Gross-Up Payment, as determined pursuant to this Section 13(b), shall be paid by the Company and remitted to the relevant tax authorities when such payment is due, provided that in no event shall such payment be made later than the end of your taxable year next following Employee’s taxable year in which the Parachute Tax on a Parachute Payment are remitted to the Internal Revenue Service or any other applicable taxing authority or, in the case of amounts relating to a claim described in Section 13(b)(i) that does not result in the remittance of any federal, state, local and foreign income, excise, social security and other taxes, the calendar year in which the claim is finally settled or otherwise resolved.

Section 14. Successors and Assigns; No Third-Party Beneficiaries.

(a) The Company. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of the Company and its respective successors and assigns. Neither this Agreement nor any of the rights, obligations, or interests arising hereunder may be assigned by the Company to a Person (other than another member of the Company Group, or its or their respective successors) without Employee’s prior written consent (which shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed, or conditioned); provided, however, that in the event of a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company or any direct or indirect division or subsidiary thereof to which the Employee’s employment primarily relates, the Company may provide that this Agreement will be assigned to, and assumed by, the acquiror of such assets, it being agreed that in such circumstances, Employee’s consent will not be required in connection therewith.

(b) Employee. Employee’s rights and obligations under this Agreement shall not be transferable by Employee by assignment or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the Company; provided, however, that if Employee shall die, all amounts then payable to Employee hereunder shall be paid in accordance with the terms of this Agreement to Employee’s devisee, legatee, or other designee, or if there be no such designee, to Employee’s estate.

(c) No Third-Party Beneficiaries. Except as otherwise set forth in Section 8(b) or Section 14(b) hereof, nothing expressed or referred to in this Agreement will be construed to give any Person other than the Company, the other members of the Company Group, and Employee any legal or equitable right, remedy, or claim under or with respect to this Agreement or any provision of this Agreement.

Section 15. Waiver and Amendments.

Any waiver, alteration, amendment, or modification of any of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid only if made in writing and signed by each of the parties hereto; provided, however, that any such waiver, alteration, amendment, or modification must be consented to on the Company’s behalf by the Board. No waiver by either of the parties hereto of their rights hereunder shall be deemed to constitute a waiver with respect to any subsequent occurrences or transactions hereunder unless such waiver specifically states that it is to be construed as a continuing waiver.

Section 16. Severability.

If any covenants or such other provisions of this Agreement are found to be invalid or unenforceable by a final determination of a court of competent jurisdiction, (a) the remaining terms and provisions hereof shall be unimpaired, and (b) the invalid or unenforceable term or provision hereof shall be deemed replaced by a term or provision that is valid and enforceable and that comes closest to expressing the intention of the invalid or unenforceable term or provision hereof.

Section 17. Governing Law and Jurisdiction.

EXCEPT WHERE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW, THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS AGREEMENT IS GOVERNED BY AND IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO APPLICABLE TO AGREEMENTS MADE AND TO BE PERFORMED IN THAT STATE, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES. ANY DISPUTE OR CLAIM ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS AGREEMENT OR CLAIM OF BREACH HEREOF SHALL BE BROUGHT EXCLUSIVELY IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 20th JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF COLORADO, TO THE EXTENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION EXISTS, AND IN ANY COURT SITTING IN COLORADO, BUT ONLY IN THE EVENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION DOES NOT EXIST, AND ANY APPLICABLE APPELLATE COURTS. BY EXECUTION OF THIS AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES HERETO, AND THEIR RESPECTIVE AFFILIATES, CONSENT TO THE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OF SUCH COURTS, AND WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION OR VENUE IN SUCH COURT WITH REGARD TO ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS
AGREEMENT. EACH PARTY TO THIS AGREEMENT ALSO HEREBY WAIVES ANY RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN CONNECTION WITH ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT.

Section 18. Notices.

(a) Place of Delivery. Every notice or other communication relating to this Agreement shall be in writing, and shall be mailed to or delivered to the party for whom or which it is intended at such address as may from time to time be designated by it in a notice mailed or delivered to the other party as herein provided; provided, that unless and until some other address be so designated, all notices and communications by Employee to the Company shall be mailed or delivered to the Company at its principal executive office, and all notices and communications by the Company to Employee may be given to Employee personally or may be mailed to Employee at Employee’s last known address, as reflected in the Company’s records.

(b) Date of Delivery. Any notice so addressed shall be deemed to be given or received (i) if delivered by hand, on the date of such delivery, (ii) if mailed by courier or by overnight mail, on the first business day following the date of such mailing, and (iii) if mailed by registered or certified mail, on the third business day after the date of such mailing.

Section 19. Section Headings.

The headings of the sections and subsections of this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not be deemed to constitute a part thereof or affect the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement or of any term or provision hereof.

Section 20. Entire Agreement.

This Agreement, together with any exhibits attached hereto, constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto regarding the employment of Employee. This Agreement supersedes all prior negotiations, discussions, correspondence, communications, understandings, and agreements between the parties relating to the subject matter of this Agreement.

Section 21. Survival of Operative Sections.

Upon any termination of Employee’s employment, the provisions of Section 8 through Section 22 of this Agreement (together with any related definitions set forth in Section 1 hereof) shall survive to the extent necessary to give effect to the provisions thereof.

Section 22. Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. The execution of this Agreement may be by actual or facsimile signature.

***

[Signatures to appear on the following page.]
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Agreement as of the date first above written.

CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

/s/ PATRICK J. MAHAFFY
By: Patrick J. Mahaffy
Title: President and CEO

EMPLOYEE

/s/ LINDSEY ROLFE
Lindsey Rolfe
EXHIBIT A

CONFIDENTIALITY, NON-INTERFERENCE, AND INVENTION ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT

As a condition of my becoming employed by, or continuing employment with, Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and in consideration of my employment with the Company and my receipt of the compensation now and hereafter paid to me by the Company, I agree to the following:

Section 23. Confidential Information .

(a) Company Group Information . I acknowledge that, during the course of my employment, I will have access to information about the Company and its direct and indirect subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the “Company Group”) and that my employment with the Company shall bring me into close contact with confidential and proprietary information of the Company Group. In recognition of the foregoing, I agree, at all times during the term of my employment with the Company and for the ten (10) year period following my termination of my employment for any reason, to hold in confidence, and not to use, except for the benefit of the Company Group, or to disclose to any person, firm, corporation, or other entity without written authorization of the Company, any Confidential Information that I obtain or create. I further agree not to make copies of such Confidential Information except as authorized by the Company. I understand that “Confidential Information” means information that the Company Group has developed, acquired, created, compiled, discovered, or owned or will develop, acquire, create, compile, discover, or own, that has value in or to the business of the Company Group that is not generally known and that the Company wishes to maintain as confidential. I understand that Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, any and all non-public information that relates to the actual or anticipated business and/or products, research, or development of the Company, or to the Company’s technical data, trade secrets, or know-how, including, but not limited to, research, product plans, or other information regarding the Company’s products or services and markets, customer lists, and customers (including, but not limited to, customers of the Company on whom I called or with whom I may become acquainted during the term of my employment), software, developments, inventions, processes, formulas, technology, designs, drawings, engineering, hardware configuration information, marketing, finances, and other business information disclosed by the Company either directly or indirectly in writing, orally, or by drawings or inspection of premises, parts, equipment, or other Company property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Confidential Information shall not include (i) any of the foregoing items that have become publicly and widely known through no unauthorized disclosure by me or others who were under confidentiality obligations as to the item or items involved or (ii) any information that I am required to disclose to, or by, any governmental or judicial authority; provided, however, that in such event I will give the Company prompt written notice thereof so that the Company Group may seek an appropriate protective order and/or waive in writing compliance with the confidentiality provisions of this Confidentiality, Non-Interference, and Invention Assignment Agreement (the “Non-Interference Agreement”).

(b) Former Employer Information . I represent that my performance of all of the terms of this Non-Interference Agreement as an employee of the Company has not breached and will not breach any agreement to keep in confidence proprietary information, knowledge, or data acquired by me in confidence or trust prior or subsequent to the commencement of my employment with the Company, and I will not disclose to any member of the Company Group, or induce any member of the Company Group to use, any developments, or confidential or proprietary information or material I may have obtained in connection with employment with any prior employer in violation of a confidentiality agreement, nondisclosure agreement, or similar agreement with such prior employer.

Section 24. Developments .

(a) Developments Retained and Licensed . I have attached hereto, as Schedule A, a list describing with particularity all developments, original works of authorship, developments, improvements, and trade secrets that I can demonstrate were created or owned by me prior to the commencement of my employment (collectively referred to as “Prior Developments”), which belong solely to me or belong to me jointly with another, that relate in any way to any of the actual or proposed businesses, products, or research and development of any member of the Company Group, and that are not assigned to the Company hereunder, or if no such list is attached, I represent that there are no such Prior Developments. If, during any period during which I perform or performed services for the Company Group both before or after the date hereof (the “Assignment Period”), whether as an officer, employee, director, independent contractor, consultant, or agent, or in any other capacity, I incorporate (or have incorporated) into a Company Group product or process a Prior Development owned by me or in which I have an interest, I hereby grant the Company, and the Company Group shall have, a non-exclusive, royalty-free, irrevocable, perpetual, transferable worldwide license (with the right to sublicense) to make, have made, copy, modify, make derivative works of, use, sell, and otherwise distribute such Prior Development as part of or in connection with such product or process.
(b) **Assignment of Developments**. I agree that I will, without additional compensation, promptly make full written disclosure to the Company, and will hold in trust for the sole right and benefit of the Company all developments, original works of authorship, inventions, concepts, know-how, improvements, trade secrets, and similar proprietary rights, whether or not patentable or registrable under copyright or similar laws, which I may solely or jointly conceive or develop or reduce to practice, or have solely or jointly conceived or developed or reduced to practice, or have caused or may cause to be conceived or developed or reduced to practice, during the Assignment Period, whether or not during regular working hours, provided they either (i) relate at the time of conception, development or reduction to practice to the business of any member of the Company Group, or the actual or anticipated research or development of any member of the Company Group; (ii) result from or relate to any work performed for any member of the Company Group; or (iii) are developed through the use of equipment, supplies, or facilities of any member of the Company Group, or any Confidential Information, or in consultation with personnel of any member of the Company Group (collectively referred to as “**Developments**”). I further acknowledge that all Developments made by me (solely or jointly with others) within the scope of and during the Assignment Period are “works made for hire” (to the greatest extent permitted by applicable law) for which I am, in part, compensated by my salary, unless regulated otherwise by law, but that, in the event any such Development is deemed not to be a work made for hire, I hereby assign to the Company, or its designee, all my right, title, and interest throughout the world in and to any such Development. If any Developments cannot be assigned, I hereby grant to each member of the Company Group an exclusive, assignable, irrevocable, perpetual, worldwide, sublicensable (through one or multiple tiers), royalty-free, unlimited license to use, make, modify, sell, offer for sale, reproduce, distribute, create derivative works of, publicly perform, publicly display and digitally perform and display such work in any media now known or hereafter known. Outside the scope of my service, whether during or after my employment with any member of the Company Group, I agree not to (i) modify, adapt, alter, translate, or create derivative works from any such work of authorship or (ii) merge any such work of authorship with other Developments. To the extent rights related to paternity, integrity, disclosure and withdrawal (collectively, “**Moral Rights**”) may not be assignable under applicable law and to the extent the following is allowed by the laws in the various countries where Moral Rights exist, I hereby irrevocably waive such Moral Rights and consent to any action of any member of the Company Group that would violate such Moral Rights in the absence of such consent. I understand that the provisions of this Non-Interference Agreement requiring assignment of Developments to the Company do not apply to any invention which qualifies fully under the provisions of Section 2870 of the California Labor Code (attached hereto as Schedule B). I will advise the Company promptly in writing of any inventions that I believe meet the criteria in Section 2870 of the California Labor Code and I bear the full burden of proving to any member of the Company Group that an invention qualifies fully under Section 2870 of the California Labor Code. I acknowledge receipt of this Non-Interference Agreement and of written notification of the provisions of Section 2870 of the California Labor Code.

(c) **Maintenance of Records**. I agree to keep and maintain adequate and current written records of all Developments made by me (solely or jointly with others) during the Assignment Period. The records may be in the form of notes, sketches, drawings, flow charts, electronic data or recordings, and any other format. The records will be available to and remain the sole property of the Company Group at all times. I agree not to remove such records from the Company’s place of business except as expressly permitted by Company Group policy, which may, from time to time, be revised at the sole election of the Company Group for the purpose of furthering the business of the Company Group.

(d) **Intellectual Property Rights**. I agree to assist the Company, or its designee, at the Company’s expense, in every way to secure the rights of the Company Group in the Developments and any copyrights, patents, trademarks, service marks, database rights, domain names, mask work rights, moral rights, and other intellectual property rights relating thereto in any and all countries, including the disclosure to the Company of all pertinent information and data with respect thereto, the execution of all applications, specifications, oaths, assignments, recordations, and all other instruments that the Company shall deem necessary in order to apply for, obtain, maintain, and transfer such rights and in order to assign and convey to the Company Group the sole and exclusive right, title, and interest in and to such Developments, and any intellectual property and other proprietary rights relating thereto. I further agree that my obligation to execute or cause to be executed, when it is in my power to do so, any such instrument or papers shall continue after the Assignment Period until the expiration of the last such intellectual property right to expire in any country of the world; provided, however, the Company shall reimburse me for my reasonable expenses incurred in connection with carrying out the foregoing obligation. If the Company is unable because of my mental or physical incapacity or unavailability for any other reason to secure my signature to apply for or to pursue any application for any United States or foreign patents or copyright registrations covering Developments or original works of authorship assigned to the Company as above, then I hereby irrevocably designate and appoint the Company and its duly authorized officers and agents as my agent and attorney in fact to act for and in my behalf and stand to execute and file any such applications or records and to do all other lawfully permitted acts to further the application for, prosecution, issuance, maintenance, and transfer of letters patent or registrations thereon with the same legal force and effect as if originally executed by me. I hereby waive and irrevocably quitclaim to the Company any and all claims, of any nature whatsoever, that I now or hereafter have for past, present, or future infringement of any and all proprietary rights assigned to the Company.
Section 25. Returning Company Group Documents.

I agree that, at the time of termination of my employment with the Company for any reason, I will deliver to the Company (and will not keep in my possession, recreate, or deliver to anyone else) any and all Confidential Information and all other documents, materials, information, and property developed by me pursuant to my employment or otherwise belonging to the Company. I agree further that any property situated on the Company’s premises and owned by the Company (or any other member of the Company Group), including disks and other storage media, filing cabinets, and other work areas, is subject to inspection by personnel of any member of the Company Group at any time with or without notice.

Section 26. Disclosure of Agreement.

As long as it remains in effect, I will disclose the existence of this Non-Interference Agreement to any prospective employer, partner, co-venturer, investor, or lender prior to entering into an employment, partnership, or other business relationship with such person or entity.

Section 27. Restrictions on Interfering.

(a) Non-Competition. During the period of my employment with the Company (the “Employment Period”), I shall not, directly or indirectly, individually or on behalf of any person, company, enterprise, or entity, or as a sole proprietor, partner, stockholder, director, officer, principal, agent, or executive, or in any other capacity or relationship, (i) engage in any Competitive Activities, (ii) own any securities (debt or equity) in any person, company, enterprise, or entity that is engaged in Competitive Activities (other than a completely passive ownership interest of no more than two percent (2%) of the equity securities of a publicly-traded company), within the United States or any other jurisdiction in which the Company Group is actively engaged in business, or (iii) engage in any Interfering Activities.

(b) Non-Interference. During the Restricted Period, I shall not, directly or indirectly for my own account or for the account of any other individual or entity, engage in Soliciting Activities.

(c) Definitions. For purposes of this Non-Interference Agreement:

(i) “Business Relation” shall mean any current or prospective client, customer, licensee, or other business relation of the Company Group, or any such relation that was a client, customer, licensee, supplier, or other business relation within the six (6) month period prior to the expiration of the Employment Period, in each case, to whom I provided services, or with whom I transacted business, or whose identity became known to me in connection with my relationship with or employment by the Company Group.

(ii) “Competitive Activities” shall mean any business activities in which any member of the Company Group is engaged (or has committed plans to engage) during the Employment Period.

(iii) “Interfering Activities” shall mean encouraging, soliciting, or inducing, or in any manner attempting to encourage, solicit, or induce, any Business Relation to cease doing business with or reduce the amount of business conducted with any member of the Company Group.

(iv) “Person” shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, association, joint-stock company, trust (charitable or non-charitable), unincorporated organization, or other form of business entity.

(v) “Restricted Period” shall mean the period commencing on the date hereof and ending on the twelve (12) month anniversary of the date of the termination of the Employment Period for any reason.

(vi) “Soliciting Activities” shall mean encouraging, soliciting, or inducing, or in any manner attempting to encourage, solicit, or induce, any Person employed by, or providing consulting services to, any member of the Company Group to terminate such Person’s employment or services (or in the case of a consultant, materially reducing such services) with any member of the Company Group.

(d) Non-Disparagement. I agree that during the Employment Period, and at all times thereafter, I will not make any disparaging or defamatory comments regarding any member of the Company Group or its respective current or former
directors, officers, or employees in any respect or make any comments concerning any aspect of my relationship with any member of the Company Group or any conduct or events which precipitated any termination of my employment from any member of the Company Group. However, my obligations under this subparagraph (d) shall not apply to disclosures required by applicable law, regulation, or order of a court or governmental agency.

Section 28. **Reasonableness of Restrictions**.

I acknowledge and recognize the highly competitive nature of the Company’s business, that access to Confidential Information renders me special and unique within the Company’s industry, and that I will have the opportunity to develop substantial relationships with existing and prospective clients, accounts, customers, consultants, contractors, investors, and strategic partners of the Company Group during the course of and as a result of my employment with the Company. In light of the foregoing, I recognize and acknowledge that the restrictions and limitations set forth in this Non-Interference Agreement are reasonable and valid in geographical and temporal scope and in all other respects and are essential to protect the value of the business and assets of the Company Group. I acknowledge further that the restrictions and limitations set forth in this Non-Interference Agreement will not materially interfere with my ability to earn a living following the termination of my employment with the Company and that my ability to earn a livelihood without violating such restrictions is a material condition to my employment with the Company.

Section 29. **Independence; Severability; Blue Pencil**.

Each of the rights enumerated in this Non-Interference Agreement shall be independent of the others and shall be in addition to and not in lieu of any other rights and remedies available to the Company Group at law or in equity. If any of the provisions of this Non-Interference Agreement or any part of any of them is hereafter construed or adjudicated to be invalid or unenforceable, the same shall not affect the remainder of this Non-Interference Agreement, which shall be given full effect without regard to the invalid portions. If any of the covenants contained herein are held to be invalid or unenforceable because of the duration of such provisions or the area or scope covered thereby, I agree that the court making such determination shall have the power to reduce the duration, scope, and/or area of such provision to the maximum and/or broadest duration, scope, and/or area permissible by law, and in its reduced form said provision shall then be enforceable.

Section 30. **Injunctive Relief**.

I expressly acknowledge that any breach or threatened breach of any of the terms and/or conditions set forth in this Non-Interference Agreement may result in substantial, continuing, and irreparable injury to the members of the Company Group. Therefore, I hereby agree that, in addition to any other remedy that may be available to the Company, any member of the Company Group shall be entitled to seek injunctive relief, specific performance, or other equitable relief by a court of appropriate jurisdiction in the event of any breach or threatened breach of the terms of this Non-Interference Agreement without the necessity of proving irreparable harm or injury as a result of such breach or threatened breach. Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, I acknowledge and agree that the Restricted Period shall be tolled during any period of violation of any of the covenants in Section 5 hereof and during any other period required for litigation during which the Company or any other member of the Company Group seeks to enforce such covenants against me if it is ultimately determined that I was in breach of such covenants.

Section 31. **Cooperation**.

I agree that, following any termination of my employment, I will continue to provide reasonable cooperation to the Company and/or any other member of the Company Group and its or their respective counsel in connection with any investigation, administrative proceeding, or litigation relating to any matter that occurred during my employment in which I was involved or of which I have knowledge. As a condition of such cooperation, the Company shall reimburse me for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred at the request of the Company with respect to my compliance with this paragraph. I also agree that, in the event that I am subpoenaed by any person or entity (including, but not limited to, any government agency) to give testimony or provide documents (in a deposition, court proceeding, or otherwise) that in any way relates to my employment by the Company and/or any other member of the Company Group, I will give prompt notice of such request to the Company and will make no disclosure until the Company and/or the other member of the Company Group has had a reasonable opportunity to contest the right of the requesting person or entity to such disclosure.

Section 32. **General Provisions**.

(a) **Governing Law and Jurisdiction**. EXCEPT WHERE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW, THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS NON-INTERFERENCE AGREEMENT IS
GOVERNED BY AND IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO APPLICABLE TO AGREEMENTS MADE AND TO BE PERFORMED IN THAT STATE, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES. FURTHER, I HEREBY WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN CONNECTION WITH ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS NON-INTERFERENCE AGREEMENT.

(b) Entire Agreement. This Non-Interference Agreement sets forth the entire agreement and understanding between the Company and me relating to the subject matter herein and merges all prior discussions between us. No modification or amendment to this Non-Interference Agreement, nor any waiver of any rights under this Non-Interference Agreement, will be effective unless in writing signed by the party to be charged. Any subsequent change or changes in my duties, obligations, rights, or compensation will not affect the validity or scope of this Non-Interference Agreement.

(c) No Right of Continued Employment. I acknowledge and agree that nothing contained herein shall be construed as granting me any right to continued employment by the Company, and the right of the Company to terminate my employment at any time and for any reason, with or without cause, is specifically reserved.

(d) Successors and Assigns. This Non-Interference Agreement will be binding upon my heirs, executors, administrators, and other legal representatives and will be for the benefit of the Company, its successors, and its assigns. I expressly acknowledge and agree that this Non-Interference Agreement may be assigned by the Company without my consent to any other member of the Company Group as well as any purchaser of all or substantially all of the assets or stock of the Company, whether by purchase, merger, or other similar corporate transaction, provided that the license granted pursuant to Section 2(a) may be assigned to any third party by the Company without my consent.

(e) Survival. The provisions of this Non-Interference Agreement shall survive the termination of my employment with the Company and/or the assignment of this Non-Interference Agreement by the Company to any successor in interest or other assignee.

***

I, Dr. Lindsey Rolfe, have executed this Confidentiality, Non-Interference, and Invention Assignment Agreement on the respective date set forth below:

Date: February 25, 2016

/s/ DR. LINDSEY ROLFE
(Signature)

Dr. Lindsey Rolfe
(Type/Print Name)
**SCHEDULE A**

**LIST OF PRIOR DEVELOPMENTS**  
**AND ORIGINAL WORKS OF AUTHORSHIP**  
**EXCLUDED FROM SECTION 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Identifying Number or Brief Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X No Developments or improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Additional Sheets Attached</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of Employee:  
/s/ DR. LINDSEY ROLFE

Print Name of Employee:  
Dr. Lindsey Rolfe

Date:  
February 25, 2016
EXHIBIT B

RELEASE OF CLAIMS

As used in this Release of Claims (this “Release”), the term “claims” will include all claims, covenants, warranties, promises, undertakings, actions, suits, causes of action, obligations, debts, accounts, attorneys’ fees, judgments, losses, and liabilities, of whatsoever kind or nature, in law, in equity, or otherwise.

For and in consideration of the Severance Benefits (as defined in my Employment Agreement, dated January ___, 2016, with Clovis Oncology, Inc. (my “Employment Agreement”)), and other good and valuable consideration, I, Dr. Lindsey Rolfe, for and on behalf of myself and my heirs, administrators, executors, and assigns, effective as of the date on which this release becomes effective pursuant to its terms, do fully and forever release, remise, and discharge the Company and each of its direct and indirect subsidiaries and affiliates, and its successors and assigns, together with its officers, directors, partners, shareholders, employees, and agents (collectively, the “Group”), from any and all claims whatsoever up to the date hereof that I had, may have had, or now have against the Group, whether known or unknown, for or by reason of any matter, cause, or thing whatsoever, including any claim arising out of or attributable to my employment or the termination of my employment with the Company, whether for tort, breach of express or implied employment contract, intentional infliction of emotional distress, wrongful termination, unjust dismissal, defamation, libel, or slander, or under any federal, state, or local law dealing with discrimination based on age, race, sex, national origin, handicap, religion, disability, or sexual orientation. The release of claims in this Release includes, but is not limited to, all claims arising under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Family Medical Leave Act, and the Equal Pay Act, each as may be amended from time to time, and all other federal, state, and local laws, the common law, and any other purported restriction on an employer’s right to terminate the employment of employees. The release contained herein is intended to be a general release of any and all claims to the fullest extent permissible by law.

I acknowledge and agree that as of the date I execute this Release, I have no knowledge of any facts or circumstances that give rise or could give rise to any claims under any of the laws listed in the preceding paragraph.

By executing this Release, I specifically release all claims relating to my employment and its termination under ADEA, a United States federal statute that, among other things, prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in employment and employee benefit plans.

Notwithstanding any provision of this Release to the contrary, by executing this Release, I am not releasing (i) any claims relating to my rights under Section 8 of my Employment Agreement, (ii) any claims that cannot be waived by law, or (iii) my right of indemnification as provided by, and in accordance with the terms of, the Company’s by-laws or a Company insurance policy providing such coverage, as any of such may be amended from time to time.

I hereby expressly and knowingly waive application of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code and all comparable, equivalent or similar provisions of state or federal law. I further certify that I have read and understand the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads as follows:

“A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.”

I expressly acknowledge and agree that I –

- Am able to read the language, and understand the meaning and effect, of this Release;
- Have no physical or mental impairment of any kind that has interfered with my ability to read and understand the meaning of this Release or its terms, and that I am not acting under the influence of any medication, drug, or chemical of any type in entering into this Release;
- Am specifically agreeing to the terms of the release contained in this Release because the Company has agreed to pay me the Severance Benefits in consideration for my agreement to accept it in full settlement of all possible claims I might have or ever have had, and because of my execution of this Release;
• Acknowledge that, but for my execution of this Release, I would not be entitled to the Severance Benefits;

• Understand that, by entering into this Release, I do not waive rights or claims under ADEA that may arise after the date I execute this Release;

• Had or could have had [twenty-one (21)][forty-five (45)] 1 days from the date of my termination of employment (the “Release Expiration Date,”) in which to review and consider this Release, and that if I execute this Release prior to the Release Expiration Date, I have voluntarily and knowingly waived the remainder of the review period;

• Have not relied upon any representation or statement not set forth in this Release or my Employment Agreement made by the Company or any of its representatives;

• Was advised to consult with my attorney regarding the terms and effect of this Release; and

• Have signed this Release knowingly and voluntarily.

I represent and warrant that I have not previously filed, and to the maximum extent permitted by law agree that I will not file, a complaint, charge, or lawsuit against any member of the Group regarding any of the claims released herein. If, notwithstanding this representation and warranty, I have filed or filed such a complaint, charge, or lawsuit, I agree that I shall cause such complaint, charge, or lawsuit to be dismissed with prejudice and shall pay any and all costs required in obtaining dismissal of such complaint, charge, or lawsuit, including without limitation the attorneys’ fees of any member of the Group against whom I have filed such a complaint, charge, or lawsuit. This paragraph shall not apply, however, to a claim of age discrimination under ADEA or to any non-waivable right to file a charge with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the “EEOC”); provided, however, that if the EEOC were to pursue any claims relating to my employment with Company, I agree that I shall not be entitled to recover any monetary damages or any other remedies or benefits as a result and that this Release and Section 8 of my Employment Agreement will control as the exclusive remedy and full settlement of all such claims by me.

I hereby agree to waive any and all claims to re-employment with the Company or any other member of the Group and affirmatively agree not to seek further employment with the Company or any other member of the Group.

Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, this Release will not become effective or enforceable prior to the expiration of the period of seven (7) calendar days immediately following the date of its execution by me (the “Revocation Period”), during which time I may revoke my acceptance of this Release by notifying the Company and the Board of Directors of the Company, in writing, delivered to the Company at its principal executive office, marked for the attention of its Chief Executive Officer. To be effective, such revocation must be received by the Company no later than 11:59 p.m. on the seventh (7th) calendar day following the execution of this Release. Provided that the Release is executed and I do not revoke it during the Revocation Period, the eighth (8th) calendar day following the date on which this Release is executed shall be its effective date. I acknowledge and agree that if I revoke this Release during the Revocation Period, this Release will be null and void and of no effect, and neither the Company nor any other member of the Group will have any obligations to pay me the Severance Benefits.

The provisions of this Release shall be binding upon my heirs, executors, administrators, legal personal representatives, and assigns. If any provision of this Release shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, void, or unenforceable, such provision shall be of no force or effect. The illegality or unenforceability of such provision, however, shall have no effect upon and shall not impair the enforceability of any other provision of this Release.

1 To be selected based on whether applicable termination was “in connection with an exit incentive or other employment termination program” (as such phrase is defined in the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967).
EXCEPT WHERE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW, THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS RELEASE IS GOVERNED BY AND IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO APPLICABLE TO AGREEMENTS MADE AND TO BE PERFORMED IN THAT STATE, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES. ANY DISPUTE OR CLAIM ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS RELEASE OR CLAIM OF BREACH HEREOF SHALL BE BROUGHT EXCLUSIVELY IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 20th JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF COLORADO, TO THE EXTENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION EXISTS, AND IN ANY COURT SITTING IN COLORADO, BUT ONLY IN THE EVENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION DOES NOT EXIST, AND ANY APPLICABLE APPELLATE COURTS. BY EXECUTION OF THIS RELEASE, I CONSENT TO THE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OF SUCH COURTS, AND WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION OR VENUE IN SUCH COURT WITH REGARD TO ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS RELEASE. FURTHER, I HEREBY WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN CONNECTION WITH ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS RELEASE.

Capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in my Employment Agreement.

***

I, Lindsey Rolfe, have executed this Release of Claims on the respective date set forth below:

/s/ LINDSEY ROLFE
Lindsey Rolfe
Date: February 25, 2016
CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

This Indemnification Agreement (this “Agreement”) is dated as of February 1, 2016, and is between Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and Dale Hooks (“Indemnitee”).

RECITALS

A. Indemnitee’s service to the Company substantially benefits the Company.

B. Individuals are reluctant to serve as directors or officers of corporations or in certain other capacities unless they are provided with adequate protection through insurance or indemnification against the risks of claims and actions against them arising out of such service.

C. Indemnitee does not regard the protection currently provided by applicable law, the Company’s governing documents and any insurance as adequate under the present circumstances, and Indemnitee may not be willing to serve as a director or officer without additional protection.

D. In order to induce Indemnitee to continue to provide services to the Company, it is reasonable, prudent and necessary for the Company to contractually obligate itself to indemnify, and to advance expenses on behalf of, Indemnitee as permitted by applicable law.

E. This Agreement is a supplement to and in furtherance of the indemnification provided in the Company’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws, and any resolutions adopted pursuant thereto, and this Agreement shall not be deemed a substitute therefor, nor shall this Agreement be deemed to limit, diminish or abrogate any rights of Indemnitee thereunder.

The parties therefore agree as follows:

1. Definitions.

   (a) “Corporate Status” describes the status of a person who is or was a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company or any other Enterprise.

   (b) “DGCL” means the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

   (c) “Disinterested Director” means a director of the Company who is not and was not a party to the Proceeding in respect of which indemnification is sought by Indemnitee.

   (d) “Enterprise” means the Company and any other corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise of which Indemnitee is or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary.

   (e) “Expenses” include all reasonable attorneys’ fees, retainers, court costs, transcript costs, fees and costs of experts, witness fees, travel expenses, duplicating costs, printing and binding costs, telephone charges, postage, delivery service fees, and all other disbursements or expenses of the types customarily incurred in connection with prosecuting, defending, preparing to prosecute or defend, investigating, being or preparing to be a witness in, or otherwise participating in, a Proceeding. Expenses also include (i) Expenses incurred in connection with any appeal resulting from any Proceeding, including without limitation the premium, security for, and other costs relating to any cost bond, supersedes bond or other appeal bond or their equivalent, and (ii) for purposes of Section 12(b), Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection with the interpretation, enforcement or defense of Indemnitee’s rights under this Agreement or under any directors’ and officers’ liability insurance policies maintained by the Company. Expenses, however, shall not include amounts paid in settlement by Indemnitee or the amount of judgments, penalties or fines against Indemnitee.

   (f) “Independent Counsel” means a law firm, or a partner or member of a law firm, that is experienced in matters of corporation law and neither presently is, nor in the past five years has been, retained to represent (i) the Company or Indemnitee in any matter material to either such party (other than as Independent Counsel with respect to matters concerning Indemnitee under this Agreement, or other indemnitees under similar indemnification agreements), or (ii) any other party to the Proceeding giving rise to a claim for indemnification hereunder. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the term “Independent Counsel”
shall not include any person who, under the applicable standards of professional conduct then prevailing, would have a conflict of interest in representing either the Company or Indemnitee in an action to determine Indemnitee’s rights under this Agreement.

(g) “Proceeding” means any threatened, pending or completed action, suit, arbitration, mediation, alternate dispute resolution mechanism, investigation, inquiry, administrative hearing or proceeding, whether brought in the right of the Company or otherwise and whether of a civil, criminal, administrative or investigative nature, including any appeal therefrom and including without limitation any such Proceeding pending as of the date of this Agreement, in which Indemnitee was, is or will be involved as a party, a potential party, a non-party witness or otherwise by reason of (i) the fact that Indemnitee is or was a director or officer of the Company, (ii) any action taken by Indemnitee or any action or inaction on Indemnitee’s part while acting as a director or officer of the Company, or (iii) the fact that he or she is or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Company or any other Enterprise, in each case whether or not serving in such capacity at the time any liability or Expense is incurred for which indemnification or advancement of expenses can be provided under this Agreement.

(h) Reference to “other enterprises” shall include employee benefit plans; references to “fines” shall include any excise taxes assessed on a person with respect to any employee benefit plan; references to “serving at the request of the Company” shall include any service as a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company which imposes duties on, or involves services by, such director, officer, employee or agent with respect to an employee benefit plan, its participants or beneficiaries; and a person who acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the participants and beneficiaries of an employee benefit plan shall be deemed to have acted in a manner “not opposed to the best interests of the Company” as referred to in this Agreement.

2. Indemnity in Third-Party Proceedings. The Company shall indemnify Indemnitee in accordance with the provisions of this Section 2 if, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in any Proceeding, other than a Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor, Pursuant to this Section 2, Indemnitee shall be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against all Expenses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on his or her behalf in connection with such Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein, if Indemnitee acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company and, with respect to any criminal Proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

3. Indemnity in Proceedings by or in the Right of the Company. The Company shall indemnify Indemnitee in accordance with the provisions of this Section 3 if, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in any Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor. Pursuant to this Section 3, Indemnitee shall be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection with such Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein, if Indemnitee acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company. No indemnification for Expenses shall be made under this Section 3 in respect of any claim, issue or matter in such Proceeding as to which Indemnitee shall have been adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction to be liable to the Company, unless and only to the extent that the Delaware Court of Chancery or any court in which the Proceeding was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability but in view of all the circumstances of the case, Indemnitee is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification for such expenses as the Delaware Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper.

Anything in this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding, if the Indemnitee, by reason of the Indemnitee’s Corporate Status, is or was, or is or was threatened to be made, a party to any Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor, then the Company shall not indemnify the Indemnitee for any judgment, fines, or amounts paid in settlement to the Company in connection with such Proceeding.

4. Indemnification for Expenses of a Party Who is Wholly or Partly Successful. To the extent that Indemnitee, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, is a party to or a participant in and is successful (on the merits or otherwise) in defense of any Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection therewith. To the extent permitted by applicable law, if Indemnitee is not wholly successful in such Proceeding but is successful, on the merits or otherwise, in defense of one or more but less than all claims, issues or matters in such Proceeding, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection with each successfully resolved claim, issue or matter. For purposes of this section, the termination of any claim, issue or matter in such a Proceeding by dismissal, with or without prejudice, shall be deemed to be a successful result as to such claim, issue or matter.

5. Indemnification for Expenses of a Witness. To the extent that Indemnitee is, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, a witness, or is or was made (or asked) to respond to discovery requests, in any Proceeding to which Indemnitee is not a party,
Indemnitee shall be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law against all Expenses actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on Indemnitee’s behalf in connection therewith.

6. Additional Indemnification.

(a) Notwithstanding any limitation in Sections 2, 3 or 4, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law if Indemnitee, by reason of his or her Corporate Status, is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in any Proceeding (including a Proceeding by or in the right of the Company to procure a judgment in its favor) against all Expenses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by Indemnitee or on his or her behalf in connection with the Proceeding or any claim, issue or matter therein.

(b) For purposes of Section 6(a), the meaning of the phrase “to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law” shall include, but not be limited to:

(i) the fullest extent permitted by the provision of the DGCL that authorizes or contemplates additional indemnification by agreement, or the corresponding provision of any amendment to or replacement of the DGCL; and

(ii) the fullest extent authorized or permitted by any amendments to or replacements of the DGCL adopted after the date of this Agreement that increase the extent to which a corporation may indemnify its officers and directors.

7. Exclusions. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement, the Company shall not be obligated under this Agreement to make any indemnity in connection with any Proceeding (or any part of any Proceeding):

(a) for which payment has actually been made to or on behalf of Indemnitee under any statute, insurance policy, indemnity provision, vote or otherwise, except with respect to any excess beyond the amount paid, and except as may otherwise be agreed between the Company, on the one hand, and Indemnitee or another indemnitor of Indemnitee, on the other;

(b) for an accounting or disgorgement of profits pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or similar provisions of federal, state or local statutory law or common law, if Indemnitee is held liable therefor (including pursuant to any settlement arrangements);

(c) for any reimbursement of the Company by Indemnitee of any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation or of any profits realized by Indemnitee from the sale of securities of the Company, as required in each case under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (including any such reimbursements that arise from an accounting restatement of the Company pursuant to Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”), or the payment to the Company of profits arising from the purchase and sale by Indemnitee of securities in violation of Section 306 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act), if Indemnitee is held liable therefor (including pursuant to any settlement arrangements);

(d) initiated by Indemnitee, including any Proceeding (or any part of any Proceeding) initiated by Indemnitee against the Company or its directors, officers, employees, agents or other indemnitees, unless (i) the Company’s board of directors authorized the Proceeding (or the relevant part of the Proceeding) prior to its initiation, (ii) the Company provides the indemnification, in its sole discretion, pursuant to the powers vested in the Company under applicable law, (iii) otherwise authorized in Section 12(b) or (iv) otherwise required by applicable law; or

(c) if prohibited by applicable law.

8. Advances of Expenses. The Company shall advance the Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any Proceeding in which Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be made, a party to or a participant in by reason of Indemnitee’s Corporate Status, and such advancement shall be made as soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event no later than 60 days, after the receipt by the Company of a written statement or statements from Indemnitee requesting such advances from time to time (which shall include invoices received by Indemnitee in connection with such Expenses or otherwise reasonably evidence the Expenses incurred by Indemnitee, but, in the case of invoices in connection with legal services, any references to legal work performed or to expenditure made that would cause Indemnitee to waive any privilege accorded by applicable law shall not be included with the invoice). Advances shall be unsecured and interest free and made without regard to Indemnitee’s ability to repay such advances. Indemnitee hereby undertakes to repay any advance to the extent that it is ultimately determined that Indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified by the Company. This Section 8 shall not apply to the extent advancement is prohibited by law and shall not apply to any Proceeding for which indemnity is not permitted under this Agreement, but shall apply to any Proceeding referenced in Section 7(b) or 7(c) prior to a determination that Indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified by the Company.

(a) Indemnitee shall notify the Company in writing upon being served with or otherwise receiving any summons, citation, subpoena, complaint, indictment or other document relating to any Proceeding or any matter which may be subject to indemnification covered hereunder with respect to which Indemnitee intends to seek indemnification or advancement of Expenses as soon as reasonably practicable following the receipt by Indemnitee thereof. The written notification to the Company shall include, in reasonable detail, a description of the nature of the Proceeding and the facts underlying the Proceeding. The failure by Indemnitee to notify the Company will not relieve the Company from any liability which it may have to Indemnitee hereunder or otherwise than under this Agreement, and any delay in so notifying the Company shall not constitute a waiver by Indemnitee of any rights, except to the extent that such failure or delay materially prejudices the Company.

(b) If, at the time of the receipt of a notice of a Proceeding pursuant to the terms hereof, the Company has directors’ and officers’ liability insurance in effect, the Company shall give prompt notice of the commencement of the Proceeding to the insurers in accordance with the procedures set forth in the applicable policies. The Company shall thereafter take all reasonably necessary or desirable action to cause such insurers to pay, on behalf of Indemnitee, all amounts payable as a result of such Proceeding in accordance with the terms of such policies.

(c) In the event the Company may be obligated to make any indemnity in connection with a Proceeding, the Company shall be entitled to assume the defense of such Proceeding with counsel approved by Indemnitee, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, upon the delivery to Indemnitee of written notice of its election to do so. After delivery of such notice, approval of such counsel by Indemnitee and the retention of such counsel by the Company, the Company will not be liable to Indemnitee for any fees or expenses of counsel subsequently incurred by Indemnitee with respect to the same Proceeding. Notwithstanding the Company’s assumption of the defense of any such Proceeding, the Company shall be obligated to pay the fees and expenses of Indemnitee’s counsel to the extent (i) the employment of counsel by Indemnitee is authorized by the Company, (ii) counsel for the Company or Indemnitee shall have reasonably concluded that there is a conflict of interest between the Company and Indemnitee in the conduct of any such defense such that Indemnitee needs to be separately represented, (iii) the Company is not financially or legally able to perform its indemnification obligations or (iv) the Company shall not have retained, or shall not continue to retain, such counsel to defend such Proceeding. The Company shall have the right to conduct such defense as it sees fit in its sole discretion. Regardless of any provision in this Agreement, Indemnitee shall have the right to employ counsel in any Proceeding at Indemnitee’s personal expense. The Company shall not be entitled, without the consent of Indemnitee, to assume the defense of any claim brought by or in the right of the Company.

(d) Indemnitee shall give the Company such information and cooperation in connection with the Proceeding as may be reasonably appropriate.

(e) The Company shall not be liable to indemnify Indemnitee for any settlement of any Proceeding (or any part thereof) without the Company’s prior written consent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.


(a) To obtain indemnification, Indemnitee shall submit to the Company a written request, including therein or therewith such documentation and information as is reasonably available to Indemnitee and as is reasonably necessary to determine whether and to what extent Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification following the final disposition of the Proceeding. The Company shall, promptly after receipt of such a request for indemnification, advise the board of directors that Indemnitee has requested indemnification. Any delay in providing the request will not relieve the Company from its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such failure is prejudicial.

(b) Upon written request by Indemnitee for indemnification pursuant to Section 10(a), a determination with respect to Indemnitee’s entitlement thereto shall be made in the specific case (A) by a majority vote of the Disinterested Directors, even though less than a quorum of the Company’s board of directors, (B) by a committee of Disinterested Directors designated by a majority vote of the Disinterested Directors, even though less than a quorum of the Company’s board of directors, (C) if there are no such Disinterested Directors or, if such Disinterested Directors so direct, by Independent Counsel in a written opinion to the Company’s board of directors, a copy of which shall be delivered to Indemnitee or (D) if so directed by the Company’s board of directors, by the stockholders of the Company. If the determination of entitlement to indemnification is to be made by Independent Counsel pursuant to this Section 10(b), the Independent Counsel shall be selected by the Board of Directors and approved by Indemnitee. Upon failure of the Board of Directors to so select, or upon the failure of Indemnitee to so approve, such Independent Counsel shall be selected by the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware or such other person or body as the Indemnitee and the Company may agree in writing. If the person making such determination shall determine that Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification as to part (but not all) of the application for indemnification, such person shall reasonably pro-rate such part of
indemnification among such claims, issues or matters. If it is so determined that Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification, payment to Indemnitee shall be made within ten days after such determination. Indemnitee shall cooperate with the person, persons or entity making the determination with respect to Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification, including providing to such person, persons or entity upon reasonable advance request any documentation or information that is not privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure and that is reasonably available to Indemnitee and reasonably necessary to such determination. Any costs or expenses (including attorneys’ fees and disbursements) reasonably incurred by Indemnitee in so cooperating with the person, persons or entity making such determination shall be borne by the Company, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law.


(a) The termination of any Proceeding or of any claim, issue or matter therein, by judgment, order, settlement or conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent, shall not (except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement) of itself create a presumption that Indemnitee did not act in good faith and in a manner which he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company or, with respect to any criminal Proceeding, that Indemnitee had reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.

(b) For purposes of any determination of good faith, Indemnitee shall be deemed to have acted in good faith to the extent Indemnitee relied in good faith on (i) the records or books of account of the Enterprise, including financial statements, (ii) information supplied to Indemnitee by the officers of the Enterprise in the course of their duties, (iii) the advice of legal counsel for the Enterprise or its board of directors or counsel selected by any committee of the board of directors or (iv) information or records given or reports made to the Enterprise by an independent certified public accountant, an appraiser, investment banker or other expert selected with reasonable care by the Enterprise or its board of directors or any committee of the board of directors. The provisions of this Section 11(b) shall not be deemed to be exclusive or to limit in any way the other circumstances in which Indemnitee may be deemed to have met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in this Agreement.

(c) Neither the knowledge, actions nor failure to act of any other director, officer, agent or employee of the Enterprise shall be imputed to Indemnitee for purposes of determining the right to indemnification under this Agreement.

(d) Indemnitee shall cooperate with the person, persons or entity making such determination with respect to Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification, including providing to such person, persons or entity upon reasonable advance request any documentation or information which is not privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure and which is reasonably available to Indemnitee and reasonably necessary to such determination. Any Independent Counsel or member of the Board of Directors shall act reasonably and in good faith in making a determination regarding the Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification under this Agreement. Any costs or expenses (including attorneys’ fees and disbursements) incurred by Indemnitee in so cooperating with the person, persons or entity making such determination shall be borne by the Company (irrespective of the determination as to Indemnitee’s entitlement to indemnification) and the Company hereby indemnifies and agrees to hold Indemnitee harmless therefrom.

(e) The Company acknowledges that a settlement or other disposition short of final judgment may be successful if it permits a party to avoid expense, delay, distraction, disruption and uncertainty. In the event that any Proceeding to which Indemnitee is a party is resolved in any manner other than by adverse judgment against Indemnitee (including, without limitation, settlement of such action, claim or proceeding with or without payment of money or other consideration) it shall be presumed that Indemnitee has been successful on the merits or otherwise in such Proceeding. Anyone seeking to overcome this presumption shall have the burden of proof and the burden of persuasion by clear and convincing evidence.

12. Remedies of Indemnitee.

(a) Subject to Section 12(d), in the event that (i) a determination is made pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification under this Agreement, (ii) advancement of Expenses is not timely made pursuant to Section 8 or 12(b) of this Agreement, (iii) no determination of entitlement to indemnification shall have been made pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement within 90 days after the later of the receipt by the Company of the request for indemnification or the final disposition of the Proceeding, (iv) payment of indemnification pursuant to this Agreement is not made (A) within ten days after a determination has been made that Indemnitee is entitled to indemnification or (B) with respect to indemnification pursuant to Sections 4, 5 and 12(b) of this Agreement, within 30 days after receipt by the Company of a written request therefor, or (v) the Company or any other person or entity takes or threatens to take any action to declare this Agreement void or unenforceable, or institutes any litigation or other action or proceeding designed to deny, or to recover from, Indemnitee the benefits provided or intended to be provided to Indemnitee hereunder, Indemnitee shall be entitled to an adjudication by a court of competent jurisdiction of his or her entitlement to such indemnification or advancement of Expenses. Alternatively, Indemnitee, at his or her option, may seek an award in arbitration with respect to his or her entitlement to such indemnification or advancement of Expenses, to be conducted by a single arbitrator pursuant to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration
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the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, shall be determined by reference to, among other things, the degree to which their actions were motivated by all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such liabilities or settlement amounts, as well as any other equitable considerations which the law may require to be considered. The relative fault of the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, shall be determined by reference to, among other things, the degree to which their actions were motivated by intent to gain personal profit or advantage, the degree to which their liability is primary or secondary and the degree to which their conduct is active or passive.

(b) Neither (i) the failure of the Company, its board of directors, any committee or subgroup of the board of directors, Independent Counsel or stockholders to have made a determination that indemnification of Indemnitee is proper in the circumstances because Indemnitee has met the applicable standard of conduct, nor (ii) an actual determination by the Company, its board of directors, any committee or subgroup of the board of directors, Independent Counsel or stockholders that Indemnitee has not met the applicable standard of conduct, shall be a defense to the action or create a presumption that Indemnitee has or has not met the applicable standard of conduct. In the event that a determination shall have been made pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification, any judicial proceeding or arbitration commenced pursuant to this Section 12 shall be conducted in all respects as a de novo trial, or arbitration, on the merits, and Indemnitee shall not be prejudiced by reason of that adverse determination. In connection with any determination (including a determination by the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or other court of competent jurisdiction)) with respect to entitlement to indemnification hereunder, the Company shall, to the fullest extent not prohibited by law, have the burden of proving Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification or advancement of Expenses, as the case may be, and any decision that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification or advancement of Expenses must be supported by clear and convincing evidence.

(c) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses that are incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any action for indemnification or advancement of Expenses from the Company under this Agreement or under any directors’ and officers’ liability insurance policies maintained by the Company to the extent Indemnitee is successful in such action, and, if requested by Indemnitee, shall (as soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event no later than 60 days, after receipt by the Company of a written request therefor) advance such Expenses to Indemnitee, subject to the provisions of Section 8.

(d) Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, no determination as to entitlement to indemnification shall be required to be made prior to the final disposition of the Proceeding.


(a) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, whether or not the indemnification provided in Sections 2, 3, 4, or 6 hereof is available, in respect of any threatened, pending or completed Proceeding in which the Company is jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), the Company shall pay, in the first instance, the entire amount of any judgment or settlement of such Proceeding without requiring Indemnitee to contribute to such payment, and the Company hereby waives and relinquishes any right of contribution it may have against Indemnitee. The Company shall not enter into any settlement of any Proceeding in which the Company is jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding) unless such settlement provides for a full and final release of all claims asserted against Indemnitee.

(b) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, without diminishing or impairing the obligations of the Company set forth in the preceding subparagraph, if, for any reason, Indemnitee shall elect or be required to pay all or any portion of any judgment or settlement in any threatened, pending or completed Proceeding in which the Company is jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), the Company shall contribute to the amount of Expenses, judgments, fines, liabilities and amounts paid in settlement actually incurred and paid or payable by Indemnitee in proportion to the relative benefits received by the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, from the transaction from which such Proceeding arose; provided, however, that the proportion determined on the basis of relative benefit may, to the extent necessary to conform to law, be further adjusted by reference to the relative fault of the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, in connection with the events that resulted in such expenses, judgments, fines, liabilities or settlement amounts, as well as any other equitable considerations which the law may require to be considered. The relative fault of the Company and all officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who are jointly liable with Indemnitee (or would be if joined in such Proceeding), on the one hand, and Indemnitee, on the other hand, shall be determined by reference to, among other things, the degree to which their actions were motivated by intent to gain personal profit or advantage, the degree to which their liability is primary or secondary and the degree to which their conduct is active or passive.
(c) The Company hereby agrees to fully indemnify and hold Indemnitee harmless from any claim of contribution brought by officers, directors or employees of the Company, other than Indemnitee, who may be jointly liable with Indemnitee.

(d) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, if the indemnification provided for in this Agreement is unavailable to Indemnitee, the Company, in lieu of indemnifying Indemnitee, shall contribute to the amounts incurred by Indemnitee, whether for Expenses, judgments, fines or amounts paid or to be paid in settlement, in connection with any claim relating to an indemnifiable event under this Agreement, in such proportion as is deemed fair and reasonable in light of all of the circumstances of such Proceeding in order to reflect (i) the relative benefits received by the Company and Indemnitee as a result of the events and transactions giving rise to such Proceeding; and (ii) the relative fault of Indemnitee and the Company (and its other directors, officers, employees and agents) in connection with such events and transactions.

14. Non-exclusivity. The rights of indemnification and to receive advancement of Expenses as provided by this Agreement shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which Indemnitee may at any time be entitled under applicable law, the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws, any agreement, a vote of stockholders or a resolution of directors, or otherwise. To the extent that a change in Delaware law, whether by statute or judicial decision, permits greater indemnification or advancement of Expenses than would be afforded currently under the Company’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws and this Agreement, it is the intent of the parties hereto that Indemnitee shall enjoy by this Agreement the greater benefits so afforded by such change, subject to the restrictions expressly set forth herein or therein. Except as expressly set forth herein, no right or remedy herein conferred is intended to be exclusive of any other right or remedy, and every other right and remedy shall be cumulative and in addition to every other right and remedy given hereunder or now or hereafter existing at law or in equity or otherwise. Except as expressly set forth herein, the assertion or employment of any right or remedy hereunder, or otherwise, shall not prevent the concurrent assertion or employment of any other right or remedy. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the indemnification and contribution provided for in this Agreement will remain in full force and effect regardless of any investigation made by or on behalf of Indemnitee or Indemnitee’s agents.

15. Primary Responsibility. The Company acknowledges that Indemnitee may have certain rights to indemnification and advancement of expenses provided by the fund and/or certain affiliates thereof with whom Indemnitee may be affiliated (collectively, the “Secondary Indemnitors”). The Company agrees that, as between the Company and the Secondary Indemnitors, the Company is primarily responsible for amounts required to be indemnified or advanced under the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or this Agreement and any obligation of the Secondary Indemnitors to provide indemnification or advancement for the same amounts is secondary to those Company obligations. The Company waives any right of contribution or subrogation against the Secondary Indemnitors with respect to the liabilities for which the Company is primarily responsible under this Section 15. In the event of any payment by the Secondary Indemnitors of amounts otherwise required to be indemnified or advanced by the Company under the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or this Agreement, the Secondary Indemnitors shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Indemnitee for indemnification or advancement of expenses under the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or this Agreement or, to the extent such subrogation is unavailable and contribution is found to be the applicable remedy, shall have a right of contribution with respect to the amounts paid. The Secondary Indemnitors are express third-party beneficiaries of the terms of this Section 15.

16. No Duplication of Payments. Subject to the provisions of Section 15 above, the Company shall not be liable under this Agreement to make any payment of amounts otherwise indemnifiable hereunder (or for which advancement is provided hereunder) if and to the extent that Indemnitee has otherwise actually received payment for such amounts under any insurance policy, contract, agreement or otherwise.

17. Insurance. To the extent that the Company maintains an insurance policy or policies providing liability insurance for directors, trustees, general partners, managing members, officers, employees, agents or fiduciaries of the Company or any other Enterprise, Indemnitee shall be covered by such policy or policies to the same extent as the most favorably-insured persons under such policy or policies in a comparable position.

18. Subrogation. In the event of any payment under this Agreement, the Company shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Indemnitee, who shall execute all papers required and take all action necessary to secure such rights, including execution of such documents as are necessary to enable the Company to bring suit to enforce such rights.

19. Services to the Company. Indemnitee agrees to serve as a director or officer of the Company or, at the request of the Company, as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of another Enterprise, for so long as Indemnitee is duly elected or appointed or until Indemnitee tenders his or her resignation or is removed from such position. Indemnitee may at any time and for any reason resign from such position (subject to any other contractual obligation or any obligation imposed by operation of law), in which event the Company shall have no obligation under this Agreement to continue Indemnitee in
such position. This Agreement shall not be deemed an employment contract between the Company (or any of its subsidiaries or any Enterprise) and Indemnitee. Indemnitee specifically acknowledges that any employment with the Company (or any of its subsidiaries or any Enterprise) is at will, and Indemnitee may be discharged at any time for any reason, with or without cause, with or without notice, except as may be otherwise expressly provided in any executed, written employment contract between Indemnitee and the Company (or any of its subsidiaries or any Enterprise), any existing formal severance policies adopted by the Company’s board of directors or, with respect to service as a director or officer of the Company, the Company’s certificate of incorporation or bylaws or the DGCL. No such document shall be subject to any oral modification thereof.

20. **Duration.** This Agreement shall continue until and terminate upon the later of (a) ten years after the date that Indemnitee shall have ceased to serve as a director or officer of the Company or as a director, trustee, general partner, managing member, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of any other Enterprise, as applicable; or (b) one year after the final termination of any Proceeding, including any appeal, then pending in respect of which Indemnitee is granted rights of indemnification or advancement of Expenses hereunder and of any proceeding commenced by Indemnitee pursuant to Section 12 of this Agreement relating thereto.

21. **Successors.** This Agreement shall be binding upon the Company and its successors and assigns, including any direct or indirect successor by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise to all or substantially all of the business or assets of the Company, and shall inure to the benefit of Indemnitee and Indemnitee’s heirs, executors and administrators.

22. **Severability.** Nothing in this Agreement is intended to require or shall be construed as requiring the Company to do or fail to do any act in violation of applicable law. The Company’s inability, pursuant to court order or other applicable law, to perform its obligations under this Agreement shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement. If any provision or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable for any reason whatsoever: (i) the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions of this Agreement (including without limitation, each portion of any section of this Agreement containing any such provision held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that is not itself invalid, illegal or unenforceable) shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby and shall remain enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law; (ii) such provision or provisions shall be deemed reformulated to the extent necessary to conform to applicable law and to give the maximum effect to the intent of the parties hereto; and (iii) to the fullest extent possible, the provisions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, each portion of any section of this Agreement containing any such provision held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that is not itself invalid, illegal or unenforceable) shall be construed so as to give effect to the intent manifested thereby.

23. **Enforcement.** The Company expressly confirms and agrees that it has entered into this Agreement and assumed the obligations imposed on it hereby in order to induce Indemnitee to serve as a director or officer of the Company, and the Company acknowledges that Indemnitee is relying upon this Agreement in serving as a director or officer of the Company.

24. ** Entire Agreement.** This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, oral, written and implied, between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof; provided, however, that this Agreement is a supplement to and in furtherance of the indemnification provided in the Company’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws and applicable law.

25. **Modification and Waiver.** No supplement, modification or amendment to this Agreement shall be binding unless executed in writing by the parties hereto. No amendment, alteration or repeal of this Agreement shall adversely affect any right of Indemnitee under this Agreement in respect of any action taken or omitted by such Indemnitee in his or her Corporate Status prior to such amendment, alteration or repeal. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall constitute or be deemed a waiver of any other provision of this Agreement nor shall any waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

26. ** Notices.** All notices and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be mailed by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, sent by facsimile or electronic mail or otherwise delivered by hand, messenger or courier service addressed:

    (a) if to Indemnitee, to Indemnitee’s address, facsimile number or electronic mail address as shown on the signature page of this Agreement or in the Company’s records, as may be updated in accordance with the provisions hereof; or

    (b) if to the Company, to the attention of the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer of the Company at c/o Thomas Mark, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, 787 Seventh Ave., New York, NY 10019 or at such other current address as the Company shall have furnished to the Indemnitee.

Each such notice or other communication shall for all purposes of this Agreement be treated as effective or having been given (i) if delivered by hand, messenger or courier service, when delivered (or if sent via a nationally-recognized overnight courier service, freight prepaid, specifying next-business-day delivery, one business day after deposit with the courier), (ii) if sent via mail, at the
earlier of its receipt or five days after the same has been deposited in a regularly-maintained receptacle for the deposit of the United States mail, addressed and mailed as aforesaid, or (iii) if sent via facsimile, upon confirmation of facsimile transfer or, if sent via electronic mail, when directed to the relevant electronic mail address, if sent during normal business hours of the recipient, or if not sent during normal business hours of the recipient, then on the recipient’s next business day.

27. **Applicable Law and Consent to Jurisdiction.** This Agreement and the legal relations among the parties shall be governed by, and construed and enforced in accordance with, the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflict of laws rules. Except with respect to any arbitration commenced by Indemnitee pursuant to Section 12(a) of this Agreement, the Company and Indemnitee hereby irrevocably and unconditionally (i) agree that any action or proceeding arising out of or in connection with this Agreement shall be brought only in the Delaware Court of Chancery, and not in any other state or federal court in the United States of America or any court in any other country, (ii) consent to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Delaware Court of Chancery for purposes of any action or proceeding arising out of or in connection with this Agreement, (iii) appoint, to the extent such party is not otherwise subject to service of process in the State of Delaware, The Corporation Trust Company, Wilmington, Delaware as its agent in the State of Delaware as such party’s agent for acceptance of legal process in connection with any such action or proceeding against such party with the same legal force and validity as if served upon such party personally within the State of Delaware, (iv) waive any objection to the laying of venue of any such action or proceeding in the Delaware Court of Chancery, and (v) waive, and agree not to plead or to make, any claim that any such action or proceeding brought in the Delaware Court of Chancery has been brought in an improper or inconvenient forum.

28. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall for all purposes be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same Agreement. This Agreement may also be executed and delivered by facsimile signature and in counterparts, each of which shall for all purposes be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same Agreement. Only one such counterpart signed by the party against whom enforceability is sought needs to be produced to evidence the existence of this Agreement.

29. **Captions.** The headings of the paragraphs of this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not be deemed to constitute part of this Agreement or to affect the construction thereof.

( signature page follows )
The parties are signing this Indemnification Agreement as of the date stated in the introductory sentence.

COMPANY

CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

/s/ ERLE T. MAST

Erle T. Mast

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

INDEMNITEE

/s/ DALE HOOKS

Dale Hooks

(Street address)

(City, State and ZIP)
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

This EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is made and entered into as of this 1st day of February 2016, by and between Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and Dale Hooks (the “Employee”).

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Employee is currently employed by the Company as its Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer; and

WHEREAS, the Company desires to continue to employ Employee and to enter into this Agreement embodying the terms of such employment, and Employee desires to enter into this Agreement and to accept such continued employment, subject to the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual covenants contained herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are mutually acknowledged, the Company and Employee hereby agree as follows:

Section 1. Definitions.

(a) “Accounting Firm” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13(b) hereof.

(b) “Accrued Obligations” shall mean (i) all accrued but unpaid Base Salary through the date of termination of Employee’s employment, (ii) any unpaid or unreimbursed expenses incurred prior to the date of termination in accordance with Section 7 hereof, and (iii) any benefits provided under the Company’s employee benefit plans upon a termination of employment (excluding any employee benefit plan providing for severance or similar benefits), in accordance with the terms contained therein.

(c) “Agreement” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble hereto.

(d) “Annual Bonus” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 4(b) hereof.

(e) “Base Salary” shall mean the salary provided for in Section 4(a) hereof or any increased salary granted to Employee pursuant to Section 4(a) hereof.

(f) “Board” shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company.

(g) “Change in Control” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Stock Incentive Plan.

(h) “Code” shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(i) “Company” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble hereto.

(j) “Company Group” shall mean the Company together with any direct or indirect subsidiaries of the Company.

(k) “Compensation Committee” shall mean the committee of the Board designated to make compensation decisions relating to senior executive officers of the Company Group. Prior to any time that such a committee has been designated, the Board shall be deemed the Compensation Committee for purposes of this Agreement.

(l) “Delay Period” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13 hereof.

(m) “Disability” shall mean any physical or mental disability or infirmity of Employee that prevents the performance of Employee’s duties for a period of (i) ninety (90) consecutive days or (ii) one hundred twenty (120) non-consecutive days during any twelve (12) month period. Any question as to the existence, extent, or potentiality of Employee’s Disability upon which Employee and the Company cannot agree shall be determined by a qualified, independent physician selected by the Company and approved by Employee (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld). The determination of any such physician shall be final and conclusive for all purposes of this Agreement.
(n) “Employee” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble hereto.

(o) “Excess Payment” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 13(b) below.

(p) “Excise Tax” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13(b) hereof.

(q) “Good Reason” shall mean, without Employee’s consent, (i) a material diminution in Employee’s title, duties, or responsibilities as set forth in Section 3 hereof such that Employee is no longer serving in a senior executive capacity for the Company, (ii) a material reduction in Base Salary set forth in Section 4(a) hereof or Annual Bonus opportunity set forth in Section 4(b) hereof (other than pursuant to an across-the-board reduction applicable to all similarly situated executives), (iii) the relocation of Employee’s principal place of employment (as provided in Section 3(c) hereof) more than fifty (50) miles from its current location, or (iv) any other material breach of a provision of this Agreement (other than a provision that is covered by clause (i), (ii), or (iii) above). Employee acknowledges and agrees that his exclusive remedy in the event of any breach of this Agreement shall be to assert Good Reason pursuant to the terms and conditions of Section 8(e) hereof. Notwithstanding the foregoing, during the Term, in the event that the Company reasonably believes that Employee may have engaged in conduct that could constitute Just Cause hereunder, the Company may, in its sole and absolute discretion, suspend Employee for up to sixty (60) days from performing his duties hereunder, and in no event shall any such suspension constitute an event pursuant to which Employee may terminate employment with Good Reason or otherwise constitute a breach hereunder; provided , that no such suspension shall alter the Company’s obligations under this Agreement during such period of suspension.

(r) “Gross-Up Payment” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 13(b) below.

(s) “Just Cause” shall mean that the Company, acting in good faith based upon the information then known to it, determines that (i) Employee has committed or engaged in negligent or willful conduct that is likely to be detrimental to the Company or any member of the Company Group; (ii) Employee has engaged in acts which constitute theft, fraud, or other illegal or dishonest conduct which are considered to be harmful to the Company or any member of the Company Group as determined by the majority vote of its Board; (iii) Employee has willfully disobeyed the reasonable and lawful directives of any superior officer or the Board; (iv) Employee has refused or is unwilling to perform his job duties; (v) Employee has failed adequately to perform his job duties; (vi) Employee has demonstrated habitual absenteeism; (vii) Employee is substantially dependent on alcohol or any controlled substance or violates any Company policy with regard to alcohol or controlled substances; (viii) Employee has engaged in acts which constitute sexual or other forms of illegal harassment or discrimination; (ix) Employee makes public remarks that disparage the Company, the Board, or its officers, directors, advisors, employees, affiliates or subsidiaries; (x) Employee violates his fiduciary duty to the Company, or his duty of loyalty to the Company; (xi) Employee materially breaches any term of this Agreement or the Non-Interference Agreement. The Parties acknowledge that this definition of “Just Cause” is not intended and does not apply to any aspect of the relationship between the Company and Employee beyond determining Employee’s eligibility for severance pay pursuant to Section 8 below.

(t) “Non-Interference Agreement” shall mean the Confidentiality, Non-Interference, and Invention Assignment Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A.

(u) “Parachute Payments” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 13(b) hereof.

(v) “Parachute Tax” shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 13(b) below.

(w) “Person” shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, association, joint-stock company, trust (charitable or non-charitable), unincorporated organization, or other form of business entity.

(x) “Release of Claims” shall mean the Release of Claims in substantially the same form attached hereto as Exhibit B (as the same may be revised from time to time by the Company upon the advice of counsel to reflect changes in law).

(y) “Severance Benefits” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 8(g) hereof.

(z) “Severance Term” shall mean the six (6) month period following Employee’s termination by the Company without Just Cause (other than by reason of death or Disability) or by Employee for Good Reason; provided , that if such termination occurs within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control, the Severance Term shall be the twelve (12) month period following such termination.
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Section 2. Acceptance and Term.

The Company agrees to employ Employee, and Employee agrees to serve the Company, on the terms and conditions set forth herein. The Term shall commence on February 1, 2016 and shall continue until terminated in accordance with Section 8 hereof.

Section 3. Position, Duties, and Responsibilities; Place of Performance.

(a) Position, Duties, and Responsibilities. During the Term, Employee shall be employed and serve as the Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of the Company (together with such other position or positions consistent with Employee’s title as the Board shall specify from time to time) and shall have such duties and responsibilities commensurate with such title. Employee also agrees to serve as an officer and/or director of any other member of the Company Group, in each case without additional compensation. During the Term, Employee shall report to the Chief Executive Officer.

(b) Performance. Employee shall devote his full business time, attention, skill, and best efforts to the performance of his duties under this Agreement and shall not engage in any other business or occupation during the Term, including, without limitation, any activity that (x) conflicts with the interests of the Company or any other member of the Company Group, (y) interferes with the proper and efficient performance of Employee’s duties for the Company, or (z) interferes with Employee’s exercise of judgment in the Company’s best interests. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing herein shall preclude Employee from (i) serving, with the prior written consent of the Board, as a member of the boards of directors or advisory boards (or their equivalents in the case of a non-corporate entity) of non-competing businesses and charitable organizations, (ii) engaging in charitable activities and community affairs, and (iii) managing his personal investments and affairs; provided, however, that the activities set out in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) shall be limited by Employee so as not to materially interfere, individually or in the aggregate, with the performance of his duties and responsibilities hereunder.

(c) Principal Place of Employment. Employee’s principal place of employment shall be in Boulder, Colorado although Employee understands and agrees that he may be required to travel from time to time for business reasons.

Section 4. Compensation.

During the Term, Employee shall be entitled to the following compensation:

(a) Base Salary. Employee shall be paid an annualized Base Salary, payable in accordance with the regular payroll practices of the Company, of not less than $350,000, with increases, if any, as may be approved in writing by the Compensation Committee.

(b) Annual Bonus. Employee shall be eligible for an annual incentive bonus award determined by the Compensation Committee in respect of each fiscal year during the Term (the “Annual Bonus”). The target Annual Bonus for each fiscal year shall be 40% of Base Salary (the “Target Bonus”), with the actual Annual Bonus payable being based upon the level of achievement of annual Company and individual performance objectives for such fiscal year, as determined by the Compensation Committee and communicated to Employee. The Annual Bonus shall be paid to Employee at the same time as annual bonuses are generally payable to other senior executives of the Company subject to Employee’s continuous employment through the payment date.

Section 5. Employee Benefits.

During the Term, Employee shall be entitled to participate in health, insurance, retirement, and other benefits provided generally to similarly situated employees of the Company. Employee shall also be entitled to the same number of holidays, vacation days, and sick days, as well as any other benefits, in each case as are generally allowed to similarly situated employees of the Company in accordance with the Company policy as in effect from time to time. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to limit
the Company’s ability to amend, suspend, or terminate any employee benefit plan or policy at any time without providing Employee notice, and the right to do so is expressly reserved.

Section 6. Key-Man Insurance.

At any time during the Term, the Company shall have the right to insure the life of Employee for the sole benefit of the Company, in such amounts, and with such terms, as it may determine. All premiums payable thereon shall be the obligation of the Company. Employee shall have no interest in any such policy, but agrees to cooperate with the Company in procuring such insurance by submitting to physical examinations, supplying all information required by the insurance company, and executing all necessary documents, provided that no financial obligation is imposed on Employee by any such documents.

Section 7. Reimbursement of Business Expenses.

During the Term, the Company shall pay (or promptly reimburse Employee) for documented, out-of-pocket expenses reasonably incurred by Employee in the course of performing his duties and responsibilities hereunder, which are consistent with the Company’s policies in effect from time to time with respect to business expenses, subject to the Company’s requirements with respect to reporting of such expenses.

Section 8. Termination of Employment.

(a) General. The Term shall terminate upon the earliest to occur of (i) Employee’s death, (ii) a termination by reason of a Disability, (iii) a termination by the Company with or without Just Cause, and (iv) a termination by Employee with or without Good Reason. Upon any termination of Employee’s employment for any reason, except as may otherwise be requested by the Company in writing and agreed upon in writing by Employee, Employee shall be deemed to have resigned from any and all directorships, committee memberships, and any other positions Employee holds with the Company or any other member of the Company Group and hereby agrees to execute any documents that the Company (or any member of the Company Group) determines necessary to effectuate such resignations. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the payment (or commencement of a series of payments) hereunder of any nonqualified deferred compensation (within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code) upon a termination of employment shall be delayed until such time as Employee has also undergone a “separation from service” as defined in Treas. Reg. 1.409A-1(h), at which time such nonqualified deferred compensation (calculated as of the date of Employee’s termination of employment hereunder) shall be paid (or commence to be paid) to Employee on the schedule set forth in this Section 8 as if Employee had undergone such termination of employment (under the same circumstances) on the date of his ultimate “separation from service.”

(b) Termination Due to Death or Disability. Employee’s employment shall terminate automatically upon his death. The Company may terminate Employee’s employment immediately upon the occurrence of a Disability, such termination to be effective upon Employee’s receipt of written notice of such termination. Upon Employee’s death or in the event that Employee’s employment is terminated due to his Disability, Employee or his estate or his beneficiaries, as the case may be, shall be entitled to:

(i) The Accrued Obligations; and

(ii) Any unpaid Annual Bonus in respect of any completed fiscal year that has ended prior to the date of such termination, which amount shall be paid at such time annual bonuses are paid to other senior executives of the Company, but in no event later than the date that is 2½ months following the last day of the fiscal year in which such termination occurred.

Following Employee’s death or a termination of Employee’s employment by reason of a Disability, except as set forth in this Section 8(b), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement.

(c) Termination by the Company with Just Cause.

(i) The Company may terminate Employee’s employment at any time with Just Cause, effective upon Employee’s receipt of written notice of such termination; provided, however, that with respect to any Just Cause termination relying on clause (iv) or (v) of the definition of Just Cause set forth in Section 1(r) hereof, to the extent that such act or acts or failure or failures to act are curable, Employee shall be given not less than ten (10) days’ written notice by the Board of the Company’s intention to terminate him for Just Cause, such notice to state in detail the particular act or acts or failure or failures to act that constitute the grounds on which the proposed termination for Just Cause is based, and such termination shall be effective at the expiration of such ten (10) day notice period unless Employee has fully cured such act or acts or failure or failures to act that give rise to Just Cause during such period.
(ii) In the event that the Company terminates Employee’s employment with Just Cause, he shall be entitled only to the Accrued Obligations. Following such termination of Employee’s employment with Just Cause, except as set forth in this Section 8(c)(ii), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement.

(d) Termination by the Company without Just Cause. The Company may terminate Employee’s employment at any time without Just Cause, effective upon Employee’s receipt of written notice of such termination. In the event that Employee’s employment is terminated by the Company without Just Cause (other than due to death or Disability), Employee shall be entitled to:

(i) The Accrued Obligations;

(ii) Any unpaid Annual Bonus in respect of any completed fiscal year that has ended prior to the date of such termination, which amount shall be paid at such time annual bonuses are paid to other senior executives of the Company, but in no event later than the date that is 2½ months following the last day of the fiscal year in which such termination occurred;

(iii) Continued payment of Base Salary during the Severance Term, payable in accordance with the Company’s regular payroll practices;

(iv) Subject to Employee’s election of COBRA continuation coverage under the Company’s group health plan, on the first regularly scheduled payroll date of each month of the Severance Term, the Company will pay Employee an amount equal to the “applicable percentage” of the monthly COBRA premium cost (which, for purposes hereof, shall be the percentage of Employee’s health care premium costs covered by the Company as of the date of termination); provided, that the payments pursuant to this clause (iv) shall cease earlier than the expiration of the Severance Term in the event that Employee becomes eligible to receive any health benefits, including through a spouse’s employer, during the Severance Term; and

(v) In the event that such termination occurs within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control:

(A) accelerated vesting of all of Employee’s stock options and other equity-based awards and continued exercisability of Employee’s stock options in accordance with the terms of the plan document governing such awards; and

(B) an amount equal to the Target Bonus, payable in substantially equal monthly installments during the Severance Term.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the payments and benefits described in clauses (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) above shall immediately terminate, and the Company shall have no further obligations to Employee with respect thereto, in the event that Employee breaches any provision of the Non-Interference Agreement. Following such termination of Employee’s employment by the Company without Just Cause, except as set forth in this Section 8(d), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, Employee’s sole and exclusive remedy upon a termination of employment by the Company without Just Cause shall be receipt of the Severance Benefits.

(e) Termination by Employee with Good Reason. Employee may terminate his employment with Good Reason by providing the Company ten (10) days’ written notice setting forth in reasonable specificity the event that constitutes Good Reason, which written notice, to be effective, must be provided to the Company within sixty (60) days of the occurrence of such event. During such ten (10) day notice period, the Company shall have a cure right (if curable), and if not cured within such period, Employee’s termination will be effective upon the expiration of such cure period, and Employee shall be entitled to the same payments and benefits as provided in Section 8(d) hereof for a termination by the Company without Just Cause, subject to the same conditions on payment and benefits as described in Section 8(d) hereof. Following such termination of Employee’s employment by Employee with Good Reason, except as set forth in this Section 8(e), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, Employee’s sole and exclusive remedy upon a termination of employment with Good Reason shall be receipt of the Severance Benefits.

(f) Termination by Employee without Good Reason. Employee may terminate his employment without Good Reason by providing the Company thirty (30) days’ written notice of such termination. In the event of a termination of employment by Employee under this Section 8(f), Employee shall be entitled only to the Accrued Obligations. In the event of termination of Employee’s employment under this Section 8(f), the Company may, in its sole and absolute discretion, by written notice accelerate such date of termination without changing the characterization of such termination as a termination by Employee without Good Reason. Following such termination of Employee’s employment by Employee without Good Reason, except as set forth in this Section 8(f), Employee shall have no further rights to any compensation or any other benefits under this Agreement.
(g) Release. Notwithstanding any provision herein to the contrary, the payment of any amount or provision of any benefit pursuant to subsection (b), (d), or (e) of this Section 8 (other than the Accrued Obligations) (collectively, the “Severance Benefits”) shall be conditioned upon Employee’s execution, delivery to the Company, and non-revocation of the Release of Claims (and the expiration of any revocation period contained in such Release of Claims) within sixty (60) days following the date of Employee’s termination of employment hereunder. If Employee fails to execute the Release of Claims in such a timely manner so as to permit any revocation period to expire prior to the end of such sixty (60) day period, or timely revokes his acceptance of such release following its execution, Employee shall not be entitled to any of the Severance Benefits. Further, to the extent that any of the Severance Benefits constitutes “nonqualified deferred compensation” for purposes of Section 409A of the Code, any payment of any amount or provision of any benefit otherwise scheduled to occur prior to the sixtieth (60th) day following the date of Employee’s termination of employment hereunder, but for the condition on executing the Release of Claims as set forth herein, shall not be made until the first regularly scheduled payroll date following such sixtieth (60th) day, after which any remaining Severance Benefits shall thereafter be provided to Employee according to the applicable schedule set forth herein. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event of a termination due to Employee’s death or Disability, Employee’s obligations herein to execute and not revoke the Release of Claims may be satisfied on his behalf by his estate or a person having legal power of attorney over his affairs.

Section 9. Non-Interference Agreement.

As a condition of, and prior to commencement of, Employee’s employment with the Company, Employee shall have executed and delivered to the Company the Non-Interference Agreement. The parties hereto acknowledge and agree that this Agreement and the Non-Interference Agreement shall be considered separate contracts, and the Non-Interference Agreement will survive the termination of this Agreement for any reason.

Section 10. Representations and Warranties of Employee.

Employee represents and warrants to the Company that —

(a) Employee is entering into this Agreement voluntarily and that his employment hereunder and compliance with the terms and conditions hereof will not conflict with or result in the breach by him of any agreement to which he is a party or by which he may be bound;

(b) Employee has not violated, and in connection with his employment with the Company will not violate, any non-solicitation, non-competition, or other similar covenant or agreement of a prior employer by which he is or may be bound; and

(c) in connection with his employment with the Company, Employee will not use any confidential or proprietary information he may have obtained in connection with employment with any prior employer.

Section 11. Taxes.

The Company may withhold from any payments made under this Agreement all applicable taxes, including but not limited to income, employment, and social insurance taxes, as shall be required by law. Employee acknowledges and represents that the Company has not provided any tax advice to him in connection with this Agreement and that he has been advised by the Company to seek tax advice from his own tax advisors regarding this Agreement and payments that may be made to him pursuant to this Agreement, including specifically, the application of the provisions of Section 409A of the Code to such payments.

Section 12. Set Off; Mitigation.

The Company’s obligation to pay Employee the amounts provided and to make the arrangements provided hereunder shall be subject to set-off, counterclaim, or recoupment of amounts owed by Employee to the Company or its affiliates; provided, however, that to the extent any amount so subject to set-off, counterclaim, or recoupment is payable in installments hereunder, such set-off, counterclaim, or recoupment shall not modify the applicable payment date of any installment, and to the extent an obligation cannot be satisfied by reduction of a single installment payment, any portion not satisfied shall remain an outstanding obligation of Employee and shall be applied to the next installment only at such time the installment is otherwise payable pursuant to the specified payment schedule. Employee shall not be required to mitigate the amount of any payment provided pursuant to this Agreement by seeking other employment or otherwise, and except as provided in Section 8(d)(iv) hereof, the amount of any payment provided for pursuant to this Agreement shall not be reduced by any compensation earned as a result of Employee’s other employment or otherwise.

(a) Section 409A Provisions. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement to the contrary —

(i) Any payment otherwise required to be made hereunder to Employee at any date as a result of the termination of Employee’s employment shall be delayed for such period of time as may be necessary to meet the requirements of Section 409A(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Code (the “Delay Period”). On the first business day following the expiration of the Delay Period, Employee shall be paid, in a single cash lump sum, an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all payments delayed pursuant to the preceding sentence, and any remaining payments not so delayed shall continue to be paid pursuant to the payment schedule set forth herein.

(ii) Each payment in a series of payments hereunder shall be deemed to be a separate payment for purposes of Section 409A of the Code.

(iii) To the extent that any right to reimbursement of expenses or payment of any benefit in-kind under this Agreement constitutes nonqualified deferred compensation (within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code), (i) any such expense reimbursement shall be made by the Company no later than the last day of the taxable year following the taxable year in which such expense was incurred by Employee, (ii) the right to reimbursement or in-kind benefits shall not be subject to liquidation or exchange for another benefit, and (iii) the amount of expenses eligible for reimbursement or in-kind benefits provided during any taxable year shall not affect the expenses eligible for reimbursement or in-kind benefits to be provided in any other taxable year; provided, that the foregoing clause shall not be violated with regard to expenses reimbursed under any arrangement covered by Section 105(b) of the Code solely because such expenses are subject to a limit related to the period the arrangement is in effect.

(iv) While the payments and benefits provided hereunder are intended to be structured in a manner to avoid the implication of any penalty taxes under Section 409A of the Code, in no event whatsoever shall the Company or any of its affiliates be liable for any additional tax, interest, or penalties that may be imposed on Employee as a result of Section 409A of the Code or any damages for failing to comply with Section 409A of the Code (other than for withholding obligations or other obligations applicable to employers, if any, under Section 409A of the Code).

(b) Parachute Payment Gross-Up. If any payment, benefit, or distribution of any type to or for the benefit of Employee, whether paid or payable, provided or to be provided, or distributed or distributable pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or otherwise (collectively, the “Parachute Payments”) would subject Employee to the excise tax imposed under Section 4999 of the Code (the “Excise Tax”), the Company will make an additional payment to Employee in an amount (the “Gross-Up Payment”) such that, after payment all taxes and any interest or penalties imposed with respect to such taxes (including, without limitation, federal, state, local income, employment, excise and other similar taxes, but excluding any taxes imposed under Section 409A of the Code) (the “Parachute Tax”) on both the Parachute Payments and the Gross-Up Payment, Employee will be in the same position as if no Parachute Tax had been imposed; provided, that in no event may the Gross-Up Payment exceed $2,000,000. Any Gross-Up Payment shall be timely paid by the Company on Employee’s behalf directly to the appropriate taxing authorities when due, but in all events no later than the last day of the calendar year after the calendar year in which the Parachute Tax shall be paid. The determinations with respect to this Section 13(b) shall be made by an independent accounting firm selected by the Company and reasonably acceptable to Employee (the “Accounting Firm”) paid by the Company.

(i) It is possible that, after the determinations and selections made pursuant to Section 13(b), Employee will receive Parachute Payments and Gross-Up Payments that are, in the aggregate, either more or less than the limitations provided in Section 13(b) above (hereafter referred to as an “Excess Payment,” or “Underpayment,” respectively). If it is established, pursuant to a final determination of a court or an Internal Revenue Service proceeding that has been finally and conclusively resolved, that an Excess Payment has been made, then Employee shall refund the Excess Payment to the Company promptly on demand, together with an additional payment in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying the Excess Payment times the applicable annual federal rate (as determined in and under Section 1274(d) of the Code) times a fraction whose numerator is the number of days elapsed from the date of Employee’s receipt of such Excess Payment and whose denominator is 365. In the event that it is determined (y) by a court of competent jurisdiction, or (z) by the Accounting Firm upon request by Employee or the Company, that an Underpayment has occurred, the Company shall pay an amount equal to the Underpayment to Employee within ten (10) days of such determination together with an additional payment in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying the Underpayment times the applicable annual federal rate (as determined in and under Section 1274(d) of the Code) times a fraction whose numerator is the number of days elapsed from the date of the Underpayment through the date of such payment and whose denominator is 365; provided, that in no event shall the sum of (i) the Gross-Up Payment, and (ii) the additional payment pursuant to this sentence, exceed $2,000,000.
Any Gross-Up Payment, as determined pursuant to this Section 13(b), shall be paid by the Company and remitted to the relevant tax authorities when such payment is due, provided that in no event shall such payment be made later than the end of your taxable year next following Employee’s taxable year in which the Parachute Payment is due, provided that in no event shall such payment be made later than the end of your taxable year next following Employee’s taxable year in which the Parachute Payment are remitted to the Internal Revenue Service or any other applicable taxing authority or, in the case of amounts relating to a claim described in Section 13(b)(i) that does not result in the remittance of any federal, state, local and foreign income, excise, social security and other taxes, the calendar year in which the claim is finally settled or otherwise resolved.

Section 14. Successors and Assigns; No Third-Party Beneficiaries.

(a) **The Company.** This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of the Company and its respective successors and assigns. Neither this Agreement nor any of the rights, obligations, or interests arising hereunder may be assigned by the Company to a Person (other than another member of the Company Group, or its or their respective successors) without Employee’s prior written consent (which shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed, or conditioned); *provided however,* that in the event of a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company or any direct or indirect division or subsidiary thereof to which the Employee’s employment primarily relates, the Company may provide that this Agreement will be assigned to, and assumed by, the acquiror of such assets, it being agreed that in such circumstances, Employee’s consent will not be required in connection therewith.

(b) **Employee.** Employee’s rights and obligations under this Agreement shall not be transferable by Employee by assignment or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the Company; *provided however,* that if Employee shall die, all amounts then payable to Employee hereunder shall be paid in accordance with the terms of this Agreement to Employee’s devisee, legatee, or other designee, or if there be no such designee, to Employee’s estate.

(c) **No Third-Party Beneficiaries.** Except as otherwise set forth in Section 8(b) or Section 14(b) hereof, nothing expressed or referred to in this Agreement will be construed to give any Person other than the Company, the other members of the Company Group, and Employee any legal or equitable right, remedy, or claim under or with respect to this Agreement or any provision of this Agreement.

Section 15. Waiver and Amendments.

Any waiver, alteration, amendment, or modification of any of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid only if made in writing and signed by each of the parties hereto; *provided however,* that any such waiver, alteration, amendment, or modification must be consented to on the Company’s behalf by the Board. No waiver by either of the parties hereto of their rights hereunder shall be deemed to constitute a waiver with respect to any subsequent occurrences or transactions hereunder unless such waiver specifically states that it is to be construed as a continuing waiver.

Section 16. Severability.

If any covenants or such other provisions of this Agreement are found to be invalid or unenforceable by a final determination of a court of competent jurisdiction, (a) the remaining terms and provisions hereof shall be unimpaired, and (b) the invalid or unenforceable term or provision hereof shall be deemed replaced by a term or provision that is valid and enforceable and that comes closest to expressing the intention of the invalid or unenforceable term or provision hereof.

Section 17. Governing Law and Jurisdiction.

EXCEPT WHERE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW, THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS AGREEMENT IS GOVERNED BY AND IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO APPLICABLE TO AGREEMENTS MADE AND TO BE PERFORMED IN THAT STATE, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES. ANY DISPUTE OR CLAIM ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS AGREEMENT OR CLAIM OF BREACH HEREOF SHALL BE BROUGHT EXCLUSIVELY IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 20th JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF COLORADO, TO THE EXTENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION EXISTS, AND IN ANY COURT SITTING IN COLORADO, BUT ONLY IN THE EVENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION DOES NOT EXIST, AND ANY APPLICABLE APPELLATE COURTS. BY EXECUTION OF THIS AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES HERETO, AND THEIR RESPECTIVE AFFILIATES, CONSENT TO THE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OF SUCH COURTS, AND WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION OR VENUE IN SUCH COURT WITH REGARD TO ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT. EACH PARTY TO THIS AGREEMENT ALSO HEREBY WAIVES ANY RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN CONNECTION WITH ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT.
Section 18. Notices.

(a) Place of Delivery. Every notice or other communication relating to this Agreement shall be in writing, and shall be mailed to or delivered to the party for whom or which it is intended at such address as may from time to time be designated by it in a notice mailed or delivered to the other party as herein provided; provided, that unless and until some other address be so designated, all notices and communications by Employee to the Company shall be mailed or delivered to the Company at its principal executive office, and all notices and communications by the Company to Employee may be given to Employee personally or may be mailed to Employee at Employee’s last known address, as reflected in the Company’s records.

(b) Date of Delivery. Any notice so addressed shall be deemed to be given or received (i) if delivered by hand, on the date of such delivery, (ii) if mailed by courier or by overnight mail, on the first business day following the date of such mailing, and (iii) if mailed by registered or certified mail, on the third business day after the date of such mailing.

Section 19. Section Headings.

The headings of the sections and subsections of this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not be deemed to constitute a part thereof or affect the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement or of any term or provision hereof.

Section 20. Entire Agreement.

This Agreement, together with any exhibits attached hereto, constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto regarding the employment of Employee. This Agreement supersedes all prior negotiations, discussions, correspondence, communications, understandings, and agreements between the parties relating to the subject matter of this Agreement.

Section 21. Survival of Operative Sections.

Upon any termination of Employee’s employment, the provisions of Section 8 through Section 22 of this Agreement (together with any related definitions set forth in Section 1 hereof) shall survive to the extent necessary to give effect to the provisions thereof.

Section 22. Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. The execution of this Agreement may be by actual or facsimile signature.

***

[Signatures to appear on the following page.]
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Agreement as of the date first above written.

CLOVIS ONCOLOGY, INC.

/s/ PATRICK J. MAHAFFY
By: Patrick J. Mahaffy
Title: President and CEO

EMPLOYEE

/s/ DALE HOOKS
Dale Hooks
CONFIDENTIALITY, NON-INTERFERENCE, AND INVENTION ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT

As a condition of my becoming employed by, or continuing employment with, Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), and in consideration of my employment with the Company and my receipt of the compensation now and hereafter paid to me by the Company, I agree to the following:

Section 23. Confidential Information.

(a) Company Group Information. I acknowledge that, during the course of my employment, I will have access to information about the Company and its direct and indirect subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the “Company Group”) and that my employment with the Company shall bring me into close contact with confidential and proprietary information of the Company Group. In recognition of the foregoing, I agree, at all times during the term of my employment with the Company and for the ten (10) year period following my termination of my employment for any reason, to hold in confidence, and not to use, except for the benefit of the Company Group, or to disclose to any person, firm, corporation, or other entity without written authorization of the Company, any Confidential Information that I obtain or create. I further agree not to make copies of such Confidential Information except as authorized by the Company. I understand that “Confidential Information” means information that the Company Group has developed, acquired, created, compiled, discovered, or owned or will develop, acquire, create, compile, discover, or own, that has value in or to the business of the Company Group that is not generally known and that the Company wishes to maintain as confidential. I understand that Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, any and all non-public information that relates to the actual or anticipated business and/or products, research, or development of the Company, or to the Company’s technical data, trade secrets, or know-how, including, but not limited to, research, product plans, or other information regarding the Company’s products or services and markets, customer lists, and customers (including, but not limited to, customers of the Company on whom I called or with whom I may become acquainted during the term of my employment), software, developments, inventions, processes, formulas, technology, designs, drawings, engineering, hardware configuration information, marketing, finances, and other business information disclosed by the Company either directly or indirectly in writing, orally, or by drawings or inspection of premises, parts, equipment, or other Company property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Confidential Information shall not include (i) any of the foregoing items that have become publicly and widely known through no unauthorized disclosure by me or others who were under confidentiality obligations as to the item or items involved or (ii) any information that I am required to disclose to, or by, any governmental or judicial authority; provided, however, that in such event I will give the Company prompt written notice thereof so that the Company Group may seek an appropriate protective order and/or waive in writing compliance with the confidentiality provisions of this Confidentiality, Non-Interference, and Invention Assignment Agreement (the “Non-Interference Agreement”).

(b) Former Employer Information. I represent that my performance of all of the terms of this Non-Interference Agreement as an employee of the Company has not breached and will not breach any agreement to keep in confidence proprietary information, knowledge, or data acquired by me in confidence or trust prior to or subsequent to the commencement of my employment with the Company, and I will not disclose to any member of the Company Group, or induce any member of the Company Group to use, any developments, or confidential or proprietary information or material I may have obtained in connection with employment with any prior employer in violation of a confidentiality agreement, nondisclosure agreement, or similar agreement with such prior employer.

Section 24. Developments.

(a) Developments Retained and Licensed. I have attached hereto, as Schedule A, a list describing with particularity all developments, original works of authorship, developments, improvements, and trade secrets that I can demonstrate were created or owned by me prior to the commencement of my employment (collectively referred to as “Prior Developments”), which belong solely to me or belong to me jointly with another, that relate in any way to any of the actual or proposed businesses, products, or research and development of any member of the Company Group, and that are not assigned to the Company hereunder, or if no such list is attached, I represent that there are no such Prior Developments. If, during any period during which I perform or performed services for the Company Group both before or after the date hereof (the “Assignment Period”), whether as an officer, employee, director, independent contractor, consultant, or agent, or in any other capacity, I incorporate (or have incorporated) into a Company Group product or process a Prior Development owned by me or in which I have an interest, I hereby grant the Company, and the Company Group shall have, a non-exclusive, royalty-free, irrevocable, perpetual, transferable worldwide license (with the right to sublicense) to make, have made, copy, modify, make derivative works of, use, sell, and otherwise distribute such Prior Development as part of or in connection with such product or process.
(b) **Assignment of Developments**. I agree that I will, without additional compensation, promptly make full written disclosure to the Company, and will hold in trust for the sole right and benefit of the Company all developments, original works of authorship, inventions, concepts, know-how, improvements, trade secrets, and similar proprietary rights, whether or not patentable or registrable under copyright or similar laws, which I may solely or jointly conceive or develop or reduce to practice, or have solely or jointly conceived or developed or reduced to practice, or have caused or may cause to be conceived or developed or reduced to practice, during the Assignment Period, whether or not during regular working hours, provided they either (i) relate at the time of conception, development or reduction to practice to the business of any member of the Company Group, or the actual or anticipated research or development of any member of the Company Group; (ii) result or from or relate to any work performed for any member of the Company Group; or (iii) are developed through the use of equipment, supplies, or facilities of any member of the Company Group, or any Confidential Information, or in consultation with personnel of any member of the Company Group (collectively referred to as “**Developments**”). I further acknowledge that all Developments made by me (solely or jointly with others) within the scope of and during the Assignment Period are “works made for hire” (to the greatest extent permitted by applicable law) for which I am, in part, compensated by my salary, unless regulated otherwise by law, but that, in the event any such Development is deemed not to be a work made for hire, I hereby assign to the Company, or its designee, all my right, title, and interest throughout the world in and to any such Development.

(c) **Maintenance of Records**. I agree to keep and maintain adequate and current written records of all Developments made by me (solely or jointly with others) during the Assignment Period. The records may be in the form of notes, sketches, drawings, flow charts, electronic data or recordings, and any other format. The records will be available to and remain the sole property of the Company Group at all times. I agree not to remove such records from the Company’s place of business except as expressly permitted by Company Group policy, which may, from time to time, be revised at the sole election of the Company Group for the purpose of furthering the business of the Company Group.

(d) **Intellectual Property Rights**. I agree to assist the Company, or its designee, at the Company’s expense, in every way to secure the rights of the Company Group in the Developments and any copyrights, patents, trademarks, service marks, database rights, domain names, mask work rights, moral rights, and other intellectual property rights relating thereto in any and all countries, including the disclosure to the Company of all pertinent information and data with respect thereto, the execution of all applications, specifications, oaths, assignments, recordations, and all other instruments that the Company shall deem necessary in order to apply for, obtain, maintain, and transfer such rights and in order to assign and convey to the Company Group the sole and exclusive right, title, and interest in and to such Developments, and any intellectual property and other proprietary rights relating thereto. I further agree that my obligation to execute or cause to be executed, when it is in my power to do so, any such instrument or papers shall continue after the Assignment Period until the expiration of the last such intellectual property right to expire in any country of the world; **provided, however,** the Company shall reimburse me for my reasonable expenses incurred in connection with carrying out the foregoing obligation. If the Company is unable because of my mental or physical incapacity or unavailability for any other reason to secure my signature to apply for or to pursue any application for any United States or foreign patents or copyright registrations covering Developments or original works of authorship assigned to the Company as above, then I hereby irrevocably designate and appoint the Company and its duly authorized officers and agents as my agent and attorney in fact to act for and in my behalf and stand to execute and file any such applications or records and to do all other lawfully permitted acts to further the application for, prosecution, issuance, maintenance, and transfer of letters patent or registrations thereon with the same legal force and effect as if originally executed by me. I hereby waive and irrevocably quitclaim to the Company any and all claims, of any nature whatsoever, that I now or hereafter have for past, present, or future infringement of any and all proprietary rights assigned to the Company.

Section 25. **Returning Company Group Documents**.

I agree that, at the time of termination of my employment with the Company for any reason, I will deliver to the Company (and will not keep in my possession, recreate, or deliver to anyone else) any and all Confidential Information and all other documents, materials, information, and property developed by me pursuant to my employment or otherwise belonging to the Company. I agree further that any property situated on the Company’s premises and owned by the Company (or any other member of the Company Group), including disks and other storage media, filing cabinets, and other work areas, is subject to inspection by personnel of any member of the Company Group at any time with or without notice.

Section 26. **Disclosure of Agreement**.

As long as it remains in effect, I will disclose the existence of this Non-Interference Agreement to any prospective employer, partner, co-venturer, investor, or lender prior to entering into an employment, partnership, or other business relationship with such person or entity.
Section 27. **Restrictions on Interfering**

(a) **Non-Competition.** During the period of my employment with the Company (the “Employment Period”) and the Post-Termination Non-Compete Period, I shall not, directly or indirectly, individually or on behalf of any person, company, enterprise, or entity, or as a sole proprietor, partner, stockholder, director, officer, principal, agent, or executive, or in any other capacity or relationship, engage in any Competitive Activities in any jurisdiction in which the Company Group is engaged in (or has demonstrable plans to commence) business activities.

(b) **Non-Interference.** During the Employment Period and the Post-Termination Non-Interference Period, I shall not, directly or indirectly for my own account or for the account of any other individual or entity, engage in Interfering Activities.

(c) **Definitions.** For purposes of this Non-Interference Agreement:

(i) “**Business Relation**” shall mean any current or prospective client, customer, licensee, or other business relation of the Company Group, or any such relation that was a client, customer, licensee, supplier, or other business relation within the six (6) month period prior to the expiration of the Employment Period, in each case, to whom I provided services, or with whom I transacted business, or whose identity became known to me in connection with my relationship with or employment by the Company.

(ii) “**Competitive Activities**” shall mean any business activity that is competitive with the then-current or demonstrably planned business activities of the Company Group.

(iii) “**Interfering Activities**” shall mean (A) encouraging, soliciting, or inducing, or in any manner attempting to encourage, solicit, or induce, any Person employed by, or providing consulting services to, any member of the Company Group to terminate such Person’s employment or services (or in the case of a consultant, materially reducing such services) with the Company Group; (B) hiring any individual who was employed by the Company Group within the six (6) month period prior to the date of such hiring and with whom I had contact with during the Employment Period within the six (6) month period prior to the date of such hiring; or (C) encouraging, soliciting, or inducing, or in any manner attempting to encourage, solicit, or induce, any Business Relation to cease doing business with or reduce the amount of business conducted with the Company Group, or in any way interfering with the relationship between any such Business Relation and the Company Group.

(iv) “**Person**” shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, association, joint-stock company, trust (charitable or non-charitable), unincorporated organization, or other form of business entity.

(v) “**Post-Termination Non-Compete Period**” shall mean the period commencing on the date of the termination of the Employment Period for any reason and ending on the six (6) month anniversary of such date of termination.

(vi) “**Post-Termination Non-Interference Period**” shall mean the period commencing on the date of the termination of the Employment Period for any reason and ending on the twelve (12) month anniversary of such date of termination.

(d) **Non-Disparagement.** I agree that during the Employment Period, and at all times thereafter, I will not make any disparaging or defamatory comments regarding any member of the Company Group or its respective current or former directors, officers, or employees in any respect or make any comments concerning any aspect of my relationship with any member of the Company Group or any conduct or events which precipitated any termination of my employment from any member of the Company Group. However, my obligations under this subparagraph (d) shall not apply to disclosures required by applicable law, regulation, or order of a court or governmental agency.

Section 28. **Reasonableness of Restrictions**

I acknowledge and recognize the highly competitive nature of the Company’s business, that access to Confidential Information renders me special and unique within the Company’s industry, and that I will have the opportunity to develop substantial relationships with existing and prospective clients, accounts, customers, consultants, contractors, investors, and strategic partners of the Company Group during the course of and as a result of my employment with the Company. In light of the foregoing, I recognize and acknowledge that the restrictions and limitations set forth in this Non-Interference Agreement are reasonable and valid in geographical and temporal scope and in all other respects and are essential to protect the value of the business and assets of the
Company Group. I acknowledge further that the restrictions and limitations set forth in this Non-Interference Agreement will not materially interfere with my ability to earn a living following the termination of my employment with the Company and that my ability to earn a livelihood without violating such restrictions is a material condition to my employment with the Company.

Section 29. Independence; Severability; Blue Pencil.

Each of the rights enumerated in this Non-Interference Agreement shall be independent of the others and shall be in addition to and not in lieu of any other rights and remedies available to the Company Group at law or in equity. If any of the provisions of this Non-Interference Agreement or any part of any of them is hereafter construed or adjudicated to be invalid or unenforceable, the same shall not affect the remainder of this Non-Interference Agreement, which shall be given full effect without regard to the invalid portions. If any of the covenants contained herein are held to be invalid or unenforceable because of the duration of such provisions or the area or scope covered thereby, I agree that the court making such determination shall have the power to reduce the duration, scope, and/or area of such provision to the maximum and/or broadest duration, scope, and/or area permissible by law, and in its reduced form said provision shall then be enforceable.

Section 30. Injunctive Relief.

I expressly acknowledge that any breach or threatened breach of any of the terms and/or conditions set forth in this Non-Interference Agreement may result in substantial, continuing, and irreparable injury to the members of the Company Group. Therefore, I hereby agree that, in addition to any other remedy that may be available to the Company, any member of the Company Group shall be entitled to seek injunctive relief, specific performance, or other equitable relief by a court of appropriate jurisdiction in the event of any breach or threatened breach of the terms of this Non-Interference Agreement without the necessity of proving irreparable harm or injury as a result of such breach or threatened breach. Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, I acknowledge and agree that the Post-Termination Non-Compete Period, or Post-Termination Non-Interference Period, as applicable, shall be tolled during any period of violation of any of the covenants in Section 5 hereof and during any other period required for litigation during which the Company or any other member of the Company Group seeks to enforce such covenants against me if it is ultimately determined that I was in breach of such covenants.

Section 31. Cooperation.

I agree that, following any termination of my employment, I will continue to provide reasonable cooperation to the Company and/or any other member of the Company Group and its or their respective counsel in connection with any investigation, administrative proceeding, or litigation relating to any matter that occurred during my employment in which I was involved or of which I have knowledge. As a condition of such cooperation, the Company shall reimburse me for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred at the request of the Company with respect to my compliance with this paragraph. I also agree that, in the event that I am subpoenaed by any person or entity (including, but not limited to, any government agency) to give testimony or provide documents (in a deposition, court proceeding, or otherwise) that in any way relates to my employment by the Company and/or any other member of the Company Group, I will give prompt notice of such request to the Company and will make no disclosure until the Company and/or the other member of the Company Group has had a reasonable opportunity to contest the right of the requesting person or entity to such disclosure.

Section 32. General Provisions.

(a) Governing Law and Jurisdiction. EXCEPT WHERE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW, THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS NON-INTERFERENCE AGREEMENT IS GOVERNED BY AND IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO APPLICABLE TO AGREEMENTS MADE AND TO BE PERFORMED IN THAT STATE, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES. FURTHER, I HEREBY WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN CONNECTION WITH ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS NON-INTERFERENCE AGREEMENT.

(b) Entire Agreement. This Non-Interference Agreement sets forth the entire agreement and understanding between the Company and me relating to the subject matter herein and merges all prior discussions between us. No modification or amendment to this Non-Interference Agreement, nor any waiver of any rights under this Non-Interference Agreement, will be effective unless in writing signed by the party to be charged. Any subsequent change or changes in my duties, obligations, rights, or compensation will not affect the validity or scope of this Non-Interference Agreement.
(c) **No Right of Continued Employment.** I acknowledge and agree that nothing contained herein shall be construed as granting me any right to continued employment by the Company, and the right of the Company to terminate my employment at any time and for any reason, with or without cause, is specifically reserved.

(d) **Successors and Assigns.** This Non-Interference Agreement will be binding upon my heirs, executors, administrators, and other legal representatives and will be for the benefit of the Company, its successors, and its assigns. I expressly acknowledge and agree that this Non-Interference Agreement may be assigned by the Company without my consent to any other member of the Company Group as well as any purchaser of all or substantially all of the assets or stock of the Company, whether by purchase, merger, or other similar corporate transaction, provided that the license granted pursuant to Section 2(a) may be assigned to any third party by the Company without my consent.

(e) **Survival.** The provisions of this Non-Interference Agreement shall survive the termination of my employment with the Company and/or the assignment of this Non-Interference Agreement by the Company to any successor in interest or other assignee.

***
I, Dale Hooks, have executed this Confidentiality, Non-Interference, and Invention Assignment Agreement on the respective date set forth below:

Date: January 26, 2016

/s/ DALE HOOKS
(Signature)

Dale Hooks
(Type/Print Name)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Identifying Number or Brief Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X No Developments or improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Sheets Attached</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of Employee: /s/ DALE HOOKS

Print Name of Employee: Dale Hooks

Date: January 26, 2016
EXHIBIT B

RELEASE OF CLAIMS

As used in this Release of Claims (this “Release”), the term “claims” will include all claims, covenants, warranties, promises, undertakings, actions, suits, causes of action, obligations, debts, accounts, attorneys’ fees, judgments, losses, and liabilities, of whatsoever kind or nature, in law, in equity, or otherwise.

For and in consideration of the Severance Benefits (as defined in my Employment Agreement, dated February 1, 2016, with Clovis Oncology, Inc. (my “Employment Agreement.”), and other good and valuable consideration, I, Dale Hooks, for and on behalf of myself and my heirs, administrators, executors, and assigns, effective as of the date on which this release becomes effective pursuant to its terms, do fully and forever release, remise, and discharge the Company and each of its direct and indirect subsidiaries and affiliates, and its successors and assigns, together with its officers, directors, partners, shareholders, employees, and agents (collectively, the “Group”), from any and all claims whatsoever up to the date hereof that I had, may have had, or now have against the Group, whether known or unknown, for or by reason of any matter, cause, or thing whatsoever, including any claim arising out of or attributable to my employment or the termination of my employment with the Company, whether for tort, breach of express or implied employment contract, intentional infliction of emotional distress, wrongful termination, unjust dismissal, defamation, libel, or slander, or under any federal, state, or local law dealing with discrimination based on age, race, sex, national origin, handicap, religion, disability, or sexual orientation. The release of claims in this Release includes, but is not limited to, all claims arising under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (“ADEA”), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Family Medical Leave Act, and the Equal Pay Act, each as may be amended from time to time, and all other federal, state, and local laws, the common law, and any other purported restriction on an employer’s right to terminate the employment of employees. The release contained herein is intended to be a general release of any and all claims to the fullest extent permissible by law.

I acknowledge and agree that as of the date I execute this Release, I have no knowledge of any facts or circumstances that give rise or could give rise to any claims under any of the laws listed in the preceding paragraph.

By executing this Release, I specifically release all claims relating to my employment and its termination under ADEA, a United States federal statute that, among other things, prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in employment and employee benefit plans.

Notwithstanding any provision of this Release to the contrary, by executing this Release, I am not releasing (i) any claims relating to my rights under Section 8 of my Employment Agreement, (ii) any claims that cannot be waived by law, or (iii) my right of indemnification as provided by, and in accordance with the terms of, the Company’s by-laws or a Company insurance policy providing such coverage, as any of such may be amended from time to time.

I expressly acknowledge and agree that I –

- Am able to read the language, and understand the meaning and effect, of this Release;

- Have no physical or mental impairment of any kind that has interfered with my ability to read and understand the meaning of this Release or its terms, and that I am not acting under the influence of any medication, drug, or chemical of any type in entering into this Release;

- Am specifically agreeing to the terms of the release contained in this Release because the Company has agreed to pay me the Severance Benefits in consideration for my agreement to accept it in full settlement of all possible claims I might have or ever have had, and because of my execution of this Release;

- Acknowledge that, but for my execution of this Release, I would not be entitled to the Severance Benefits;

- Understand that, by entering into this Release, I do not waive rights or claims under ADEA that may arise after the date I execute this Release;
Had or could have had [twenty-one (21)] [forty-five (45)] days from the date of my termination of employment (the “Release Expiration Date”) in which to review and consider this Release, and that if I execute this Release prior to the Release Expiration Date, I have voluntarily and knowingly waived the remainder of the review period;

Have not relied upon any representation or statement not set forth in this Release or my Employment Agreement made by the Company or any of its representatives;

Was advised to consult with my attorney regarding the terms and effect of this Release; and

Have signed this Release knowingly and voluntarily.

I represent and warrant that I have not previously filed, and to the maximum extent permitted by law agree that I will not file, a complaint, charge, or lawsuit against any member of the Group regarding any of the claims released herein. If, notwithstanding this representation and warranty, I have filed or file such a complaint, charge, or lawsuit, I agree that I shall cause such complaint, charge, or lawsuit to be dismissed with prejudice and shall pay any and all costs required in obtaining dismissal of such complaint, charge, or lawsuit, including without limitation the attorneys’ fees of any member of the Group against whom I have filed such a complaint, charge, or lawsuit. This paragraph shall not apply, however, to a claim of age discrimination under ADEA or to any non-waivable right to file a charge with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the “EEOC”); provided, however, that if the EEOC were to pursue any claims relating to my employment with Company, I agree that I shall not be entitled to recover any monetary damages or any other remedies or benefits as a result and that this Release and Section 8 of my Employment Agreement will control as the exclusive remedy and full settlement of all such claims by me.

I hereby agree to waive any and all claims to re-employment with the Company or any other member of the Group and affirmatively agree not to seek further employment with the Company or any other member of the Group.

Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the contrary, this Release will not become effective or enforceable prior to the expiration of the period of seven (7) calendar days immediately following the date of its execution by me (the “Revocation Period”), during which time I may revoke my acceptance of this Release by notifying the Company and the Board of Directors of the Company, in writing, delivered to the Company at its principal executive office, marked for the attention of its Chief Executive Officer. To be effective, such revocation must be received by the Company no later than 11:59 p.m. on the seventh (7th) calendar day following the execution of this Release. Provided that the Release is executed and I do not revoke it during the Revocation Period, the eighth (8th) calendar day following the date on which this Release is executed shall be its effective date. I acknowledge and agree that if I revoke this Release during the Revocation Period, this Release will be null and void and of no effect, and neither the Company nor any other member of the Group will have any obligations to pay me the Severance Benefits.

The provisions of this Release shall be binding upon my heirs, executors, administrators, legal personal representatives, and assigns. If any provision of this Release shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, void, or unenforceable, such provision shall be of no force or effect. The illegality or unenforceability of such provision, however, shall have no effect upon and shall not impair the enforceability of any other provision of this Release.

EXCEPT WHERE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW, THE VALIDITY, INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS RELEASE IS GOVERNED BY AND IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO APPLICABLE TO AGREEMENTS MADE AND TO BE PERFORMED IN THAT STATE, WITHOUT REGARD TO CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES. ANY DISPUTE OR CLAIM ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS RELEASE OR CLAIM OF BREACH HEREOF SHALL BE BROUGHT EXCLUSIVELY IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 20th JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF COLORADO, TO THE EXTENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION EXISTS, AND IN ANY COURT SITTING IN COLORADO, BUT ONLY IN THE EVENT FEDERAL JURISDICTION DOES NOT EXIST, AND ANY APPLICABLE APPELLATE COURTS. BY EXECUTION OF THIS RELEASE, I CONSENT TO THE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OF SUCH COURTS, AND WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO CHALLENGE JURISDICTION OR VENUE IN SUCH COURT WITH REGARD TO ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS RELEASE. FURTHER, I HEREBY WAIVE ANY RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN CONNECTION WITH ANY SUIT, ACTION, OR PROCEEDING UNDER OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS RELEASE.

11 To be selected based on whether applicable termination was “in connection with an exit incentive or other employment termination program” (as such phrase is defined in the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967).
Capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in my Employment Agreement.

***

I, Dale Hooks, have executed this Release of Claims on the respective date set forth below:

/s/ DALE HOOKS
Dale Hooks
Date: January 26, 2016
### List of Subsidiaries of Clovis Oncology, Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Jurisdiction of Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clovis Oncology UK Limited</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis Oncology Italy S.r.l.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis Oncology Switzerland GmbH</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis Oncology France SAS</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis Oncology Germany GmbH</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements:

(1) Registration Statements (Form S-3 Nos. 333-188063 and 333-189234) of Clovis Oncology, Inc., and
(2) Registration Statements (Form S-8 Nos. 333-178283, 333-182278, 333-190565, 333-198022 and 333-206193) pertaining to the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan and 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of Clovis Oncology, Inc.

of our reports dated February 29, 2016, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of Clovis Oncology, Inc., and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of Clovis Oncology, Inc., included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2015.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado
February 29, 2016
I, Patrick J. Mahaffy, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Clovis Oncology, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2015;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
   a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
   b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
   c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
   d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
   a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
   b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 29, 2016

/s/ PATRICK J. MAHAFFY
Patrick J. Mahaffy
President and Chief Executive Officer
I, Erle T. Mast, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Clovis Oncology, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2015;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
   a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
   b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
   c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
   d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
   a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
   b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 29, 2016

/s/ ERLE T. MAST

Erle T. Mast
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
In connection with the Annual Report of Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”), Patrick J. Mahaffy, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, does hereby certify, pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. §1350), that to his knowledge:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 29, 2016

/s/ PATRICK J. MAHAFFY
Patrick J. Mahaffy
President and Chief Executive Officer
CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)

In connection with the Annual Report of Clovis Oncology, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”), Erle T. Mast, as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, does hereby certify, pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. §1350), that to his knowledge:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 29, 2016

/s/ ERLE T. MAST
Erle T. Mast
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer