

A TRACK RECORD OF CREATING VALUE

January 2019

Cautionary Notes

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking information within the meaning of Canadian securities laws and forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (collectively, "forward-looking statements"). All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. Generally, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words or phrases such as "expects," "anticipates," "plans," "projects," "estimates," "assumes," "intends," "strategy," "goals," "objectives," "potential," "believes," or variations thereof, or stating that certain actions, events or results "may," "could," "would," "might" or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative of any of these terms or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements or information relate to, among other things: future production of precious metals; production and cost guidance; future cash costs and all-in sustaining costs ("AISC") per payable ounce of precious metals sold; expected operating, exploration and development expenditures; the prices of precious metals; future interest rates; the effects of laws, regulations and government policies affecting our operations or potential future operations; future successful exploration and development of our projects; the sufficiency of our current working capital, anticipated operating cash flow or our ability to raise necessary funds; estimated production rates for gold, silver and other metals produced by us; the estimated cost of sustaining capital; our ability to discover new mineralization; our ability to convert Inferred Mineral Resources to Indicated Mineral Resources and to convert Mineral Resources into Mineral Reserves; timing and focus of our exploration and development programs; opportunities to increase the economics of the Marigold mine, the Seabee Gold Operation and Puna Operations; our expected exploration and drill programs at each of the Marigold mine, the Seabee Gold Operation, Puna Operations and our other projects; timing for and potential of Marigold mine equipment replacement study and Red Dot conversion scenario; the anticipated effect of haul truck and equipment purchases at the Marigold mine on future production; expansion of the Seabee Gold Operation based on the results of the Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA"); the PEA representing production growth, improved margins and expansion of Mineral Resources; timing, amount and duration of future production of gold under the PEA; the estimated capital and operating costs under the PEA; the estimates of net cash flow, net present value and economic returns from the Seabee Gold Operation under the PEA; expected timing for and potential of throughput ramp up at the Seabee Gold Operation; expected timing of completion of the drone-based aeromagnetic survey at Puna Operations; expected timing of completion of construction milestones at Puna Operations, including the expectation that the Chinchillas project will remain on budget; the expected timing of investment catalysts with respect to our investment in SilverCrest Metals Inc.; the estimates of expected or anticipated economic returns from our mining projects, including future sales of metals, concentrate or other products; ongoing or future development plans and capital replacement, improvement or remediation programs; our ability to achieve our production and cost guidance; and our plans and expectations for our properties and operations.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ from those expressed or implied, including, without limitation, the following: uncertainty of production, development plans and cost estimates for the Marigold mine, the Seabee Gold Operation, Puna Operations and our projects; our ability to replace Mineral Reserves; commodity price fluctuations; political or economic instability and unexpected regulatory changes; currency fluctuations; the possibility of future losses; general economic conditions; counterparty and market risks related to the sale of our concentrate and metals; uncertainty in the accuracy of Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources estimates and in our ability to extract mineralization profitably; differences in U.S. and Canadian practices for reporting Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources; lack of suitable infrastructure or damage to existing infrastructure; future development risks, including start-up delays and cost overruns; our ability to obtain adequate financing for further exploration and development programs and opportunities; uncertainty in acquiring additional commercially mineable mineral rights; delays in obtaining or failure to obtain governmental permits, or non-compliance with our permits; our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel and management; the impact of governmental regulations, including health, safety and environmental regulations, including increased costs and restrictions on operations due to compliance with such regulations; unpredictable risks and hazards related to the development and operation of a mine or mineral property that are beyond our control; reclamation and closure requirements for our mineral properties; potential labour unrest, including labour actions by our unionized employees at Puna Operations; indigenous peoples' title claims and rights to consultation and accommodation may affect our existing operations as well as development projects and future acquisitions; certain transportation risks that could have a negative impact on our ability to operate; assessments by taxation authorities in multiple jurisdictions; recoverability of value added tax and significant delays in the collection process in Argentina; claims and legal proceedings, including adverse rulings in litigation against us and/or our directors or officers; compliance with anti-corruption laws and internal controls, and increased regulatory compliance costs; complying with emerging climate change regulations and the impact of climate change; fully realizing our interest in deferred consideration received in connection with recent divestitures; fully realizing the value of our shareholdings in our marketable securities, due to changes in price, liquidity or disposal cost of such marketable securities; uncertainties related to title to our mineral properties and the ability to obtain surface rights; the sufficiency of our insurance coverage; civil disobedience in the countries where our mineral properties are located; operational safety and security risks; actions required to be taken by us under human rights law; competition in the mining industry for mineral properties; our ability to complete and successfully integrate an announced acquisition; reputation loss resulting in decreased investor confidence, increased challenges in developing and maintaining community relations and an impediment to our overall ability to advance our projects; risks normally associated with the conduct of joint ventures; an event of default under our convertible notes may significantly reduce our liquidity and adversely affect our business; failure to meet covenants under our senior secured revolving credit facility; information systems security threats; conflicts of interest that could arise from certain of our directors' and officers' involvement with other natural resource companies; other risks related to our common shares; and those other various risks and uncertainties identified under the heading "Risk Factors" in our most recent Annual Information Form filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities and included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 40-F filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

The foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions that may have been used. We cannot assure you that actual events, performance or results will be consistent with these forward-looking statements, and management's assumptions may prove to be incorrect. Our forward-looking statements reflect current expectations regarding future events and operating performance and speak only as of the date hereof and we do not assume any obligation to update forward-looking statements if circumstances or management's beliefs, expectations or opinions should change other than as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, you should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

All references to "$" in this presentation are to U.S. dollars unless otherwise stated.

Qualified Persons

Except as otherwise set out herein, the scientific and technical information contained in this presentation relating to each of the: Marigold mine has been reviewed and approved by Karthik Rathnam, MAusIMM (CP) and James N. Carver, SME Registered Member, each of whom is a qualified person under National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") and our employee; Seabee Gold Operation has been reviewed and approved by F. Carl Edmunds, P. Geo., a qualified person under NI 43-101 and our employee; and Puna Operations has been reviewed and approved by Bruce Butcher, P. Eng., a qualified person under NI 43-101 and our employee. The scientific and technical information contained in this news release relating to the Fisher project has been reviewed and approved by Mr. Edmunds. The qualified persons have verified the information disclosed herein, including the sampling, preparation, security and analytical procedures underlying such information, and are not aware of any significant risks and uncertainties that could be expected to affect the reliability or confidence in the information discussed herein.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors

This presentation includes Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources classification terms that comply with reporting standards in Canada and the Mineral Reserves and the Mineral Resources estimates are made in accordance with NI 43-101. NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators that establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. These standards differ significantly from the requirements of the SEC set out in SEC Industry Guide 7. Consequently, Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources information included in this presentation is not comparable to similar information that would generally be disclosed by domestic U.S. reporting companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the SEC. Under SEC standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. In addition, the SEC's disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning "Measured Mineral Resources," "Indicated Mineral Resources" or "Inferred Mineral Resources" or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute "reserves" by U.S. standards in documents filed with the SEC.

Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures

This presentation includes certain terms or performance measures commonly used in the mining industry that are not defined under International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), including cash costs and AISC per payable ounce of precious metals sold, realized metal prices, adjusted attributable net income, adjusted basic attributable earnings per share and working capital. Non-GAAP financial measures do not have any standardized meaning prescribed under IFRS and, therefore, may not be comparable to similar measures reported by other companies. We believe that, in addition to conventional measures prepared in accordance with IFRS, certain investors use this information to evaluate our performance. The data presented is intended to provide additional information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS. These non-GAAP measures should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements. Readers should refer to our management's discussion and analysis, available under our corporate profile at www.sedar.com or on our website at www.ssrmining.com, under the heading "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" for a more detailed discussion of how we calculate such measures.

Why SSR Mining?


A long-term track record of creating value

Investor Challenges	SSRM Investment Catalysts
× Inconsistent operating performance	✓ Achieved guidance seven straight years
× Declining reserves	✓ Track record of reserve growth
× Declining production	✓ Production growth +37% through 2021
× Single asset risk	✓ Diversified operating platform
× Country risk	✓ Favorable jurisdictions
× Poor corporate governance	✓ Peer-leading governance rating
× Value destructive M&A	✓ Track record of growing NAV/share
× Lack of suitable investment vehicles	✓ Dual listed, strong liquidity
× Dilution risk, inability to self-fund	✓ Strong cash balance of $475M

Notes: Cash balance as of September 30, 2018


Track Record of Growth and Decreasing Costs

Near-term production growth to +440,000 AuEq by 2021



Notes: Production for 2018 reflects actual production as reported in our news release dated January 15, 2019, while cash costs reflect the mid-point of 2018 guidance as reflected in our November 8, 2018 news release. Production and cash costs for 2019 reflect the mid-point of 2019 guidance as reported in our news release dated January 15, 2019. Production and cash costs for each of the 2020-2021 periods for each operation are based on the Marigold updated life of mine reported in our news release dated June 18, 2018, the Seabee Gold Operation PEA as reported in our news release dated September 7, 2017 and the Puna Operations PFS as reported in our news release dated May 31, 2017. Gold equivalent ounces have been established using the realized silver prices in the respective years, and applicable Mineral Reserve prices for 2019-2021. Production and cash costs are reported on a co-product and attributable basis. Realized metal prices and cash costs are non-GAAP financial measures. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Track Record of Free Cash Flow Generation




Ops Driven FCF

Consistent growth in cash balance over time

Note: SSR Mining's cash and cash equivalents as per financial statements as at each respective quarterly date.

Track Record of Growing Net Asset Value Per Share




Notes: Peer index represents an equal weighted index, indexed to SSR Mining NAV per share beginning December 31, 2014 and ending January 11, 2018; peer index includes Alamos Gold, Kirkland Lake, Coeur, Hecla, Tahoe Resources, OceanaGold, Torex Gold, New Gold, B2 Gold, Detour Gold, Eldorado Gold and Fortuna. Source: Capital IQ.


Track Record of Delivery

On-track for seventh-year of meeting or exceeding guidance

Reliable trend of delivering more gold…



…at lower cash costs with less variability



Notes: Gold Eq. ounces have been established using the realized silver price and the weighted average realized gold price at each of our operations in the respective years and applied to the recovered metal content of the gold and silver ounces produced, as applicable. Realized metal prices and cash costs are non-GAAP financial measures. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

2019 Production and Cash Costs Guidance

	Marigold	Seabee	Puna (75% interest)	SSR Mining
	Gold	Gold	Silver	Gold Equivalent
Production	200K – 220K oz	95K – 110K oz	4.5M – 5.3M oz	350K – 395K oz
Cash Costs *(US$/oz)*	$750/oz – $790/oz	$525/oz – $555/oz	$8.00/oz – $10.00/oz	$670/oz – $730/oz

Mid-point Guidance **375,000 oz AuEq at cash cost guidance of $700/oz in 2019**

Notes: Puna Operations and SSR Mining figures are presented on an attributable basis. Puna Operations 2019 production guidance for lead and zinc is 15.0 to 19.5 million pounds and 11.3 to 15.0 million pounds, respectively, on a 75% basis. Please see our news release dated January 15, 2019. Gold equivalent production and cash costs are based on a 81:1 gold to silver ratio. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Highest Corporate Governance Rating Leads Peer Group

Depth of experience at senior management and Board level

ISS QualityScore GOVERNANCE
Highest Rating By INSTITUTIONAL SHAREHOLDER SERVICES

	Market Data	ISS Data				
	Mkt. Cap (C$ mm)	QualityScore	Board Structure	Compensation	Shareholder Rights	Audit & Risk Oversight
SSR Mining Inc.	**$1,367**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**2**
Selected Peers						
Kirkland Lake Gold Ltd.	$5,419	7	5	6	7	2
Pan American Silver Corp.	$3,161	5	7	6	1	2
OceanaGold Corporation	$2,365	7	6	5	7	2
Alamos Gold Inc.	$2,273	3	2	8	1	2
Detour Gold Corporation	$1,887	1	1	3	1	2
Hecla Mining Company	$1,743	4	2	6	6	1
Tahoe Resources Inc.	$1,574	7	8	6	1	2
First Majestic Silver Corp.	$1,390	8	6	10	6	2
Coeur Mining, Inc.	$1,367	1	1	3	1	2
Eldorado Gold Corporation	$1,024	5	2	9	1	2
New Gold Inc.	$764	5	2	6	6	2
Torex Gold Resources Inc.	$723	5	4	5	6	2
Guyana Goldfields Inc.	$644	4	5	6	1	1
Premier Gold Mines Limited	$391	7	5	8	6	2
Argonaut Gold Inc.	$338	2	1	6	1	2
Peer Average	**$1,671**	**5**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**2**
Other Benchmarks						
Mining (71)	$2,874	6	5	6	4	2
EV: C$1 bn - C$2 bn (72)	$1,152	5	5	6	4	2
All Canada (382)	**$6,834**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**2**

Source: FactSet and BMO Capital Markets as of August 22, 2018 and ISS as of December 1, 2018.

SSR MINING


MARIGOLD MINE

GROWTH IN NEVADA



Marigold: Large Scale, Low-Cost Producer


- Open pit, run-of-mine heap leach gold operation
- ~200,000 tonnes of material moved per day
- Strong safety and environmental practices
- Significant exploration upside
- 10-year Mineral Reserves life with potential to extend
  (subject to the current EIS process)
- 2019 production and cost guidance
  - Gold production of 210,000 ounces
  - Cash costs of $750 to $790 per ounce
- 2018 gold production of 205,161 ounces at expected cash costs of $715 to $735 per ounce



Notes: Please see our news release dated January 15, 2019. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Transformation: Increased Production and Lower Costs

Production growth to +265,000 oz gold by 2021




Compelling Base Case

Upside from Equipment Replacement Study in 2019

Notes: 2019 production reflects 2019 guidance as reported in our news release dated January 15, 2019. Cash costs for 2018 reflect the mid-point of 2018 guidance as reported in our news release dated August 9, 2018. Production for each of the 2020-2022 periods is based on the Marigold updated life of mine plan as reported in our news release dated June 18, 2018.


Marigold Mineral Reserves and Resources Increased Y-o-Y

Mineral Reserves gold grade increased to 0.46 g/t




Notes: Mineral Reserves are based on $1,250/oz gold price assumption. Mineral Reserves include 0.19 million ounces of leach pad inventory. Probable Mineral Reserves have a grade of 0.46 g/t. Mineral Reserves figures have some rounding applied, and thus totals may not sum exactly. Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources include 0.19 million ounces of leach pad inventory. Mineral Resources are based on $1,400/oz gold price assumption. Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources have a grade of 0.46 g/t. Inferred Mineral Resources have a grade of 0.41 g/t. Mineral Resources figures have some rounding applied, and thus totals may not sum exactly. Please refer to “Cautionary Notes” and “Reserves and Resources: Notes to Table” in this presentation.

Marigold: Exploration Success and Resource Conversion



Marigold: Exploration Success and Resource Conversion



Notes: Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Please refer to our news releases dated February 23, 2017, May 1, 2017, September 5, 2017, and November 6, 2018 for further details. See also “Cautionary Notes” and “Reserves & Resources: Notes to Tables” in this presentation.

Growth Options with Potential Resource Conversion

In 2019, evaluate mine fleet investment plan

		Technical Report	Red Dot Conversion	
		Scenario A: 2017 Reserve	**Scenario B: Expansion**	**Scenario C: Extend Life**
Material Movement	Mtpa	+80	+110	+80
Life of Mine (active mining)	years	+10	10 - 15	10 - 15
Resource Conversion	yes/no	No	Yes	Yes
Gold Production	oz/yr	+210,000	Up to 300,000	+210,000
Mining Cost	$/tonne	$1.50	<$1.30	$1.50
Mine Fleet Investment Plan	--	Replace with like-for-like equipment	Add rope shovel, trucks, support gear	Replace with like-for-like equipment
Equipment Capex	$M	LOMP	LOMP + ~$100	LOMP

Notes: Red Dot conversion scenario parameters are targets only and do not reflect actual results or demonstrate actual economic viability. There is no certainty that such parameters will be reflected in the Red Dot conversion scenarios or that the results of such scenarios will be realized by us. Please see “Cautionary Notes” in this presentation.

Marigold: Opportunities

- Continue to deliver production growth and robust operating margins
- Additional hauling capacity and equipment replacement study
- Mine-life extension through exploration at Mackay Valmy, Basalt and Red Dot
  - 2019 exploration budget of $7.5M
- Deep sulphide exploration




SSR
MINING
SEABEE GOLD OPERATION
HIGH-GRADE GOLD MINE

Seabee: Overview

High-margin underground operation in a stable jurisdiction


- High-grade, underground mine in Saskatchewan, Canada
  - Strong safety and environmental practices
  - Large underexplored land position of +57,000 ha
- 2019 production and cost guidance
  - Gold production of 102,500 ounces
  - Cash costs of $525 to $555 per ounce
- 2018 gold production of 95,602 ounces at expected cash costs of $535 to $565 per ounce
  - Record low cash cost of $447/oz in Q3 2018



Notes: Please see our news release dated January 15, 2019. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.


Seabee Mineral Reserves and Resources Increased Y-o-Y

Mineral Reserves gold grade increased to 9.9 g/t



Notes: Mineral Reserves are based on $1,250/oz gold price assumption. Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves have a grade of 9.88 g/t. Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources are based on $1,400/oz gold price assumption. Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources have a grade of 10.74 g/t. Inferred Mineral Resources have a grade of 9.29 g/t. Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources figures have some rounding applied, and thus totals may not sum exactly. Please refer to “Cautionary Notes” and “Reserves and Resources: Notes to Table” in this presentation.

Fifth Consecutive Annual Production Record in 2018



Notes: Production and cash costs for 2017 reflect actual production and cash costs as reported in our news release dated February 22, 2018. 2019 production reflects 2019 guidance as reported in our news release dates January 15, 2019. Cash costs for 2018 reflect the mid-point of 2018 guidance as reported in our news release dated August 9, 2018. Production and cash costs for each of the 2019-2021 periods is based on the Seabee Gold Operation PEA as reported in our news release dated September 7, 2017. The Seabee Gold Operation PEA is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Seabee Gold Operation PEA will be realized. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.


Operational Excellence Driving Seabee Mill Improvements

Higher 2019 milling rates driven by increased UG mine capacity



Seabee Positive Brownfields Exploration


Focused on continued resource upgrades and mine life extension



Note: Please see our news release dated November 6, 2018 for further details.

Large, Contiguous Land Package

Testing +15km of sheer zone strike length



Seabee: Opportunities

- Deliver on PEA expansion case to 1,050 tpd
- Drive Operational Excellence initiatives
- Evaluate 1,200 tpd sustained mill throughput
- 2019 exploration budget of $9M
  - Santoy Gap HW
  - Santoy 8A
  - Fisher
- Focused on Mineral Resources conversion and discovery



Note: The Seabee Gold Operation PEA is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Seabee Gold Operation PEA will be realized. Please refer to our news release dated September 7, 2017 for further details.


SSR
MINING
PUNA OPERATIONS
LARGE-SCALE SILVER PRODUCER

Puna Operations Joint Venture

Ramp-up in 2019 to steady-state silver production of +6Moz per year

- SSR Mining is the JV operator with a 75% interest
  - JV includes Chinchillas, a silver-lead-zinc deposit, and the Pirquitas plant and facilities located 45 km away
- Declared commercial production December 1, 2018
- Pirquitas plant capacity 5,000 tpd, with an operating life through +2025
- Produced 3.7M oz silver and 8.8Mlbs zinc in 2018
- Enhancing our exploration efforts at the Pirquitas property
  - H1 2019 to complete drone-based aeromagnetic survey
  - 2019 exploration budget of $1M



Notes: Please see our news release dated January 15, 2019. For further information refer to our news releases on the Chinchillas project dated March 31, 2017, May 31, 2017, and November 7, 2017. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please also refer to “Cautionary Notes” in this presentation.


Puna Ops: Delivering Silver Production Growth in 2019

Significant LOM base metals exposure from lead and zinc

2019 Guidance

- Production:
  - Silver: 4.5M to 5.3M ounces
  - Lead: 15.0M to 19.5M lbs
  - Zinc: 11.3M to 15.0M lbs
- Cash costs:
  - $8.00/oz to $10.00/oz silver

Medium Term Outlook



Notes: Please see our news release dated January 15, 2019. Base metals exposure of 28% based on value of metal produced from the Puna Operations PFS. Cash costs for 2019 reflect the mid-point guidance as reported in our news release dated November 8, 2018, and are presented on an attributable co-product basis. Production and cash costs for each of the 2019-2021 periods is based on the Puna Operations PFS as reported in our news release dated May 31, 2017. Production is reported on a 75% basis. Silver-equivalent production calculated using Mineral Reserve prices for 2018-2021. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Advancing the Las Chispas High-Grade Ag-Au Deposit

SilverCrest Metals Inc. (TSXV - SIL)

- Las Chispas (100%) is located in Sonora, Mexico
- Robust mineral resource estimate
- Exploration prospectivity remains attractive
- Well-funded treasury to execute on plan to 2020
- Management team with a track-record of discovery and development
- SSR Mining controls 8.2M shares (9.7% interest) in SIL

September 2018 Mineral Resources Estimate

	Tonnes (M)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	AgEq (g/t)
Inferred	3.4	3.63	296	568
Includes (Area 51)	1.0	7.43	469	1,026

- Q1 2019 investment catalysts:
  - In-fill and expansion drill program
  - Updated Mineral Resources estimate and PEA
  - Construction of exploration decline into Area 51 zone

Notes: Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources estimate as reported by SilverCrest Metals Inc. (“SIL”) in its news release dated September 19, 2018 and technical report entitled, “Technical Report and Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Las Chispas Property, Sonora, Mexico”, dated November 19, 2018, with an effective date of September 13, 2018 (the “Technical Report”), available at www.sedar.com under SIL's profile at www.silvercrestmetals.com. As discussed in the Technical Report, Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources were prepared by SIL in accordance with NI 43-101 under the supervision of a qualified person. As discussed in the Technical Report, AgEq based on 75 (Ag):1 (Au), calculated using long-term silver and gold prices of $18.50 per ounce silver and $1,225 per ounce gold with average metallurgical recoveries of 86.6% silver and 98.9% gold. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. There is no assurance that all or part of the Inferred Mineral Resources can be upgraded to a higher category. Please see “Cautionary Notes” in this presentation.

Delivering Value and Growth for Our Shareholders

Near-term Investment Catalysts

- Reserve and Resource Update
- Ramp up at Seabee to 1,050 tpd
- Marigold equipment replacement study
- Steady-state production at Puna Operations

Production and Free Cash Flow Growth

- Production growth to +440,000 oz AuEq by 2021
- Q3 2018 operating cash flow of $35M

Strong Financial Position

- Strong liquidity position with $475M of cash
- Track record of disciplined capital allocation

Exploration Upside

- Exploration at Santoy Gap HW and Fisher project
- Focused on upgrading a portion of Red Dot Mineral Resources in Q2 2019

Notes: Cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2018. Please also refer to “Cautionary Notes” in this presentation.

Value & Growth



SSRM Q3 2018 Summary

Delivering growth and value for shareholders

- Increased gold equivalent production to 95,000 ounces at lower cash costs
  - Seabee record production of 27,831 oz of gold at record cash costs of $447/oz gold
  - Marigold produced 58,459 oz of gold, an 18% increase compared to Q2
  - Puna produced 666,000 oz of silver
- Doubled operating cash flow and increased attributable earnings

	Units	Q3 2018
Gold Sales	oz	**88,787**
Silver Sales	Moz	**0.6**
Total Gold Equivalent Sales	oz	**96,760**
Gold Equivalent Production	oz	**94,808**
Revenue	$M	**$115.0**
Income from Mine Operations	$M	**$21.8**
Net Income	$M	**$2.2**
Attributable Net Income	$M	**$6.4**
Basic Attributable EPS	$	**$0.05**
Adjusted Attributable Net Income	$M	**$10.8**
Adjusted Basic Attributable EPS	$	**$0.09**
Cash Generated by Operating Activities	$M	**$35.4**

Notes: Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Selected Financial Results for 2016 and 2017

	Units	2017	2016
Gold Sales	oz	**286,279**	254,761
Total Silver Sales	Moz	**6.0**	11.4
Total Gold Equivalent Sales	oz	**367,950**	408,860
Gold Equivalent Production	oz	**370,486**	393,325
Revenue	$M	**$448.8**	$491.0
Income from Mine Operations	$M	**$113.3**	$154.0
Net Income	$M	**$71.5**	$65.0
Basic Attributable Earnings per share	$	**$0.58**	$0.63
Adjusted Attributable Net Income	$M	**$40.1**	$100.3
Adjusted Basic Attributable EPS	$	**$0.34**	$0.97
Cash Generated by Operating Activities	$M	**$144.7**	$170.7
Cash and Cash Equivalents	$M	**$459.9**	$327.1
Return on Invested Capital	%	**13%**	14%

Notes: Silver sales and gold equivalent sales are on a 100% basis. Gold equivalent sales are based on total gold and silver sales and the realized silver and gold prices for each corresponding period. Realized metal prices, adjusted attributable net income and adjusted basic attributable earnings per share are non-GAAP financial measures. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation. "Return on Invested Capital" based on CIBC World Markets estimates, all other data sourced from SSR Mining public disclosures.

SSR Mining Executive Team and Board of Directors

Depth of experience and a top governance rating



Paul Benson
President and CEO



Nadine J. Block
SVP, Human Resources



W. John DeCooman, Jr.
SVP, Business Development and Strategy



Gregory J. Martin
SVP and CFO



Kevin O'Kane
COO



Michael Anglin
Chairman



Brian Booth
Director



Gustavo Herrero
Director



Richard Paterson
Director



Steven Reid
Director



Simon Fish
Director



Beverlee Park
Director



Elizabeth Wademan
Director




Paul Benson
Director, President and CEO

ISS QualityScore GOVERNANCE
Highest Rating By INSTITUTIONAL SHAREHOLDER SERVICES

First decile corporate governance rating leads peer group

Marigold Mine: Heap Leach Process

- Once ore is loaded on the heap leach pad …
  - Average time to achieve primary recovery of +50% is 90 to 120 days
  - Average time to achieve overall recovery of 73% is seven to nine months
- Most important factor to leach recovery time is loaded ore to ‘plastic’ distance
  - Every 100 feet of pad height extends leach recovery time by ~120 days



Aerial View of Marigold Mine



Seabee: Preliminary Economic Assessment

Expanded margins from higher throughput and grade

- Increases mining rate by 21% to 1,050 tpd by 2019, compared to 2016
- Mines 62% of Inferred Mineral Resources
- Increases estimated LOM average gold production by 29% to 100,000 ounces per year *(for the period 2018 to 2023, compared to 2016)*
- Utilizes current infrastructure to allow for lower project capital of $90M over seven years
- LOM estimated cash costs of $548 per payable ounce gold sold
- Pre-tax NPV(5%) of $364M *($1,300 gold price)*
- After-tax NPV(5%) of $292M *($1,300 gold price)*

Notes: The Seabee Gold Operation PEA is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Seabee Gold Operation PEA will be realized. Please refer to our news release dated September 7, 2017 for further details. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Seabee: PEA Financial Summary and Sensitivity Analysis

Cash Flows ($M)	
Net Revenue	**$893.5**
Operating Costs	$(346.0)
Royalties and Other	$(28.5)
Δ in Working Capital	$10.3
Operating Cash Flow	**$529.3**
Capital Costs	$(89.5)
Reclamation	$(7.2)
Pre-Tax Cash Flow	**$432.7**
Tax	$(86.0)
Post-tax Cash Flow	**$346.7**
NPV5% (pre-tax)	**$363.5**
NPV5% (post-tax)	**$292.0**
Gold price	$1,300 per ounce
Exchange rate (2019 onwards)	C$1.25:US$1.00

Pre-tax NPV (5%) Sensitivities ($M)

		Gold Price ($/oz)		
		$1,200	$1,300	$1,400
Canadian Exchange Rate	1.20:1	$289	$346	$403
	1.25:1	$307	**$364**	$420
	1.30:1	$319	$376	$433

Pre-tax NPV (5%) Sensitivities ($M)

		Site Costs (% change)		
		-10%	0%	10%
Infrastructure Capital (% change)	10%	$392	$359	$326
	0%	$396	**$364**	$331
	-10%	$401	$368	$335

Notes: The Seabee Gold Operation PEA is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Seabee Gold Operation PEA will be realized. Please refer to our news release dated September 7, 2017 for further details. The Canadian exchange rate is assumed to be 1.275:1 in 2017-2018 and 1.25:1 thereafter. Cash costs is a non-GAAP financial measure. Please see "Cautionary Note Regarding Non-GAAP Measures" in this presentation.

Chinchillas Project Delivered On Budget / Schedule



Pre-stripping activities advancing as planned



Stockpile dome construction complete



Road by-passes operational



Tailings pumping infrastructure

Chinchillas Project: Data Sheet (100% Basis)

Near-term Production with Positive Pre-Feasibility Results

Mine life:	8 years
Total material mined:	66.6 M tonnes
Strip ratio:	4.7
Processing rate:	4,000 tpd
Average annual production (8 years active mining):	6.1 Moz Silver 35.0 Mlb Lead 12.3 Mlb Zinc 8.4 Moz Silver Eq
Total production:	51.0 Moz Silver 71.0 Moz Silver Eq
Operating costs:	$2.88 / t mined, mining costs $15.34 / t milled, mining costs $14.72 / t milled, processing cost $7.00 / t milled, G&A costs $8.29 / t milled, ore transport & other
Cash costs:	$7.40 / oz Silver *(net of by-products)*
AISC:	$9.75 / oz Silver *(net of by-products)*
Development capital:	$81 M
Sustaining capital:	$44 M
NPV:	$178 M *(post-tax, 5%)*
IRR:	29% *(post-tax)*



Mineral Reserves and Resources

	Tonnes	Ag	Pb	Zn	Ag	Pb	Zn
	Mt	g/t	%	%	Moz	Mlb	Mlb
P&P	11.7	154	1.20	0.49	58	310	127
M&I	29.3	101	0.90	0.60	96	581	386
Inf	20.9	50	0.54	0.81	34	250	374

Notes: All data is as reported in the technical report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report Pre-feasibility Study of the Chinchillas Silver-Lead-Zinc Project Jujuy Province, Argentina" filed on May 31, 2017 and available under our profile on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com. Cash costs are net of estimated capitalized stripping over the life of mine. Metal price assumptions include $19.50/oz silver, $0.95/lb lead and $1.00/lb zinc. Silver equivalent values are based on these metal price assumptions. Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Cash costs and AISC are non-GAAP measures. Please refer to "Cautionary Notes" and "Reserves and Resources: Notes to Table" in this presentation.

San Luis Project: A unique high-grade gold reserve with exploration upside
Pitarrilla Project: Large undeveloped silver resource

San Luis Project
Feasibility Study Results *(June 2010)*

Mine life:	3.5 years
Average annual production:	1.9M oz Ag 78,000 oz Au
Cash costs:	$313 / oz Au
Resources (M+I):	9.0M oz Ag at 578.1 g/t 0.35M oz Au at 22.4 g/t
Capital:	$90 -$100M
Mill throughput:	400 tonnes per day
NPV:	$39M *(base case)*
IRR:	26.5% *(base case)*
Deposit type:	Volcanic hosted, low sulphidation, epithermal quartz vein deposit
Opportunities:	Identify additional veins and following on existing exploration targets

Note: See “Cautionary Notes” and “Reserves & Resources: Notes to Tables” in this presentation. Also see “Technical Report for the San Luis Project Feasibility Study, Ancash Department, Peru” dated June 4, 2010 and available under our profile on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com.

Pitarrilla Project
Feasibility Study Results *(December 2012)*

Mine life:	32 years
Average annual production:	15M oz Ag *(1st 18 years)*
Cash costs:	$10.01 / oz Ag
Resources (M+I):	496.5M oz Ag at 96.7 g/t (open pit) 28.8M oz Ag at 173.5 g/t (U/G)
Capital:	$741M
Strip ratio:	6:1
Mill throughput:	16,000 tonnes per day
NPV *(after tax)*:	$737M *($25/oz Ag price)*
IRR *(after tax)*:	12.8% *(base case)*
Deposit type:	Silver-lead-zinc deposit open pit / UG project
Opportunities:	U/G start-up operation potential

Note: See “Cautionary Notes” and “Reserves & Resources: Notes to Tables” in this presentation. Also see “NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Pitarrilla Project Durango State, Mexico” dated December 14, 2012 and available under our profile on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com.

Mineral Reserves
(as of December 31, 2017)

	Location	Tonnes millions	Silver g/t	Gold g/t	Lead %	Zinc %	SSRM % Interest	SSRM Interest Silver million oz	SSRM Interest Gold million oz
Proven Mineral Reserves									
Seabee	Canada	0.26		7.58			100		0.06
Chinchillas	Argentina	1.64	180		0.75	0.42	75	7.1	
Total								**7.1**	**0.06**
Probable Mineral Reserves									
Marigold	U.S.	205.10		0.46			100		3.00
Marigold Leach Pad Inventory	U.S.						100		0.19
Seabee	Canada	1.12		10.41			100		0.37
Chinchillas	Argentina	10.07	150		1.27	0.50	75	36.3	
Pirquitas Stockpiles	Argentina	1.05	90			0.69	75	2.3	
San Luis	Peru	0.51	447	18.06			100	7.2	0.29
Total								**45.8**	**3.85**
Total Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves									
Marigold	U.S.	205.10		0.46			100		3.00
Marigold Leach Pad Inventory	U.S.						100		0.19
Seabee	Canada	1.37		9.88			100		0.44
Chinchillas	Argentina	11.71	154		1.20	0.49	75	43.4	
Pirquitas Stockpiles	Argentina	1.05	90			0.69	75	2.3	
San Luis	Peru	0.51	447	18.06			100	7.2	0.29
Total Proven and Probable								**52.9**	**3.92**

Mineral Resources: Measured and Indicated
(as of December 31, 2017)

	Location	Tonnes millions	Silver g/t	Gold g/t	Lead %	Zinc %	SSRM % Interest	SSRM Interest Silver million oz	SSRM Interest Gold million oz
Measured Mineral Resources (Inclusive of Proven Mineral Reserves)									
Seabee	Canada	0.57		9.29			100		0.17
Chinchillas	Argentina	3.09	128		0.60	0.41	75	9.5	
Pitarrilla	Mexico	12.35	90		0.70	1.22	100	35.7	
Total								**45.3**	**0.17**
Indicated Mineral Resources (Inclusive of Probable Mineral Reserves)									
Marigold	U.S.	370.20		0.46			100		5.47
Marigold Leach Pad Inventory	U.S.						100		0.19
Seabee	Canada	1.40		11.33			100		0.51
Chinchillas	Argentina	26.20	98		0.94	0.62	75	62.1	
Pirquitas UG	Argentina	2.63	292			4.46	75	18.6	
Pirquitas Stockpiles	Argentina	1.05	90			0.69	75	2.3	
Pitarrilla	Mexico	147.02	97		0.32	0.87	100	460.7	
Pitarrilla UG	Mexico	5.43	165		0.68	1.34	100	28.8	
San Luis	Peru	0.48	578	22.40			100	9.0	0.35
Amisk	Canada	30.15	6	0.85			100	6.0	0.83
Total								**587.5**	**7.34**
Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (Inclusive of Mineral Reserves)									
Marigold	U.S.	370.20		0.46			100		5.47
Marigold Leach Pad Inventory	U.S.						100		0.19
Seabee	Canada	1.97		10.74			100		0.68
Chinchillas	Argentina	29.29	101		0.90	0.60	75	71.6	
Pirquitas UG	Argentina	2.63	292			4.46	75	18.6	
Pirquitas Stockpiles	Argentina	1.05	90			0.69	75	2.3	
Pitarrilla	Mexico	159.36	97		0.35	0.89	100	496.5	
Pitarrilla UG	Mexico	5.43	165		0.68	1.34	100	28.8	
San Luis	Peru	0.48	578	22.40			100	9.0	0.35
Amisk	Canada	30.15	6	0.85			100	6.0	0.83
Total Measured and Indicated								**632.7**	**7.52**

Mineral Resources: Inferred
(as of December 31, 2017)

	Location	Tonnes millions	Silver g/t	Gold g/t	Lead %	Zinc %	SSRM % Interest	SSRM Interest Silver million oz	SSRM Interest Gold million oz
Inferred Mineral Resources									
Marigold	U.S.	49.70		0.41			100		0.63
Seabee	Canada	2.26		9.29			100		0.67
Chinchillas	Argentina	20.92	50		0.54	0.81	75	25.4	
Pirquitas UG	Argentina	1.08	207			7.45	75	5.4	
Pitarrilla	Mexico	8.52	77		0.18	0.58	100	21.2	
Pitarrilla UG	Mexico	1.23	138		0.89	1.25	100	5.5	
San Luis	Peru	0.02	270	5.60			100	0.2	0.00
Amisk	Canada	28.65	4	0.64			100	3.7	0.59
Total Inferred								**61.4**	**1.90**

Reserves and Resources
Notes to Tables

All estimates set forth in the Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources table have been prepared in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 - Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101"). The estimates of Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources for each property other than the Marigold mine, the Seabee Gold Operation and the Amisk project have been reviewed and approved by Bruce Butcher, P.Eng., our Director, Mine Planning, and F. Carl Edmunds, P.Geo., our Chief Geologist, each of whom is a Qualified Person.

Mineral Resources are reported inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Due to the uncertainty that may be attached to Inferred Mineral Resources, it cannot be assumed that all or any part of an Inferred Mineral Resource will be upgraded to an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource as a result of continued exploration. Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves estimates of silver ounces for Puna Operations are reported on a 75% attributable basis. Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves figures have some rounding applied, and thus totals may not sum exactly. All ounces reported herein represent troy ounces, and "g/t" represents grams per tonne. All $ references are in U.S. dollars. All Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources estimates are as of December 31, 2017. Metal prices utilized for Mineral Reserves estimates are $1,250 per ounce of gold, $18.00 per ounce of silver, $0.90 per pound of lead and $1.00 per pound of zinc, except as noted below for the San Luis project. Metal prices utilized for Mineral Resources estimates are $1,400 per ounce of gold, $20.00 per ounce of silver, $1.10 per pound of lead and $1.30 per pound of zinc, except as noted below for each of the Chinchillas project, the San Luis project and the Amisk project. All technical reports for the properties are available under our profile on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com or on our website at www.ssrmining.com.

Marigold: All key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources and the data verification procedures followed are set out in the technical report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Marigold Mine, Humboldt County, Nevada, USA" dated July 31, 2018 (the "Marigold Technical Report"). For additional information about the Marigold mine, readers are encouraged to review the Marigold Technical Report. Mineral Reserves estimate was prepared under the supervision of Thomas Rice, SME Registered Member, and a Qualified Person, and is reported at a cut-off grade of 0.065 g/t payable gold. Trevor J. Yeomans, ACSM, P. Eng, our Director, Metallurgy, is the Qualified Person who provided metallurgical parameters that were incorporated in the Mineral Reserves estimate. Mineral Resources estimate was prepared under the supervision of James N. Carver, SME Registered Member, our Chief Geologist at the Marigold mine, and Karthik Rathnam, MAusIMM (CP), our Chief Engineer at the Marigold mine, each of whom is a Qualified Person. Mineral Resources estimate is reported based on an optimized pit shell at a cut-off grade of 0.065g/t payable gold, and includes an estimate of Mineral Resources for mineralized stockpiles. Mineral Resources for mineralized stockpiles were estimated using Inverse Distance cubed.

Seabee Gold Operation: Except for updates to cost parameters, metal price assumptions noted above, mill recovery and dilution to include recent operating results, and resource modeling techniques based on recommendations set forth in the technical report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report for the Seabee Gold Operation, Saskatchewan, Canada" dated October 20, 2017 (the "Seabee Gold Operation Technical Report"), all other key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources and the data verification procedures followed are set out in the Seabee Gold Operation Technical Report. For additional information about the Seabee Gold Operation, readers are encouraged to review the Seabee Gold Operation Technical Report. Mineral Reserves estimate was prepared under the supervision of Kevin Fitzpatrick, P.Eng., a Qualified Person and our Engineering Supervisor at the Seabee Gold Operation. Mineral Reserves estimate for the Seabee mine is reported at a cut-off grade of 4.55 g/t gold, and for the Santoy mine is reported at a cut-off grade of 3.68 g/t gold. Mineral Resources estimate was prepared under the supervision of Jeffrey Kulas, P.Geo., a Qualified Person and our Manager Geology, Mining Operations at the Seabee Gold Operation. Mineral Resources estimate for the Seabee mine is reported at a cut-off grade of 4.06 g/t gold, and for the Santoy mine is reported at a cut-off grade of 3.29 g/t gold. Block modelling techniques were used for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves evaluation for the Santoy mine and the majority of the Seabee mine. Polygonal techniques were used in areas of historical mining at the Seabee mine. The preliminary economic assessment set forth in the Seabee Technical Report is preliminary in nature, and it includes Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.

Puna Operations: Chinchillas Mineral Reserves estimate is reported at a cut-off grade of $32.56 per tonne net smelter return ("NSR"). For additional information on the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate Chinchillas Mineral Reserves and the data verification procedures followed, readers are encouraged to review the technical report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report Pre-feasibility Study of the Chinchillas Silver-Lead-Zine Project Jujuy Province, Argentina" dated May 15, 2017 (the "Chinchillas Technical Report"). Chinchillas Mineral Resources estimate is reported at a base case cut-off grade, which reflects the transport to and processing of ore at the Pirquitas property, of 60.00 grams per tonne silver equivalent based on projected operating costs and using metal price assumptions of $22.50 per ounce of silver, $1.00 per pound of lead and $1.10 per pound of zinc. For additional information on the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate Chinchillas Mineral Resources and the data verification procedures followed, readers are encouraged to review the Chinchillas Technical Report. Pirquitas underground Mineral Resources (Pirquitas UG) estimate is reported below the completed open pit shell; Mineral Resources estimate for the Mining Area (which includes San Miguel, Chocaya, Oploca and Potosí zones) is reported at a cut-off grade of $100.00 per tonne NSR for San Miguel, Oploca and Potosi, and $90.00 per tonne NSR for Cortaderas. Pirquitas Mineral Reserves and Pirquitas Mineral Resources estimates in surface stockpiles are reported at a cut-off grade of $16.93 per tonne NSR, respectively, and were determined based on grade, rehandling costs and recovery estimates from metallurgical testing.

San Luis: Mineral Reserves estimate is reported at a cut-off grade of 6.9 g/t gold equivalent, using metal price assumptions of $800 per ounce of gold and $12.50 per ounce of silver. Mineral Resources estimate is reported at a cut-off grade of 6.0 g/t gold equivalent, using metal price assumptions of $600 per ounce of gold and $9.25 per ounce of silver.

Pitarrilla: Mineral Resources estimate for the open pit is reported at a cut-off grade of $16.38 per tonne NSR for direct leach material, and $16.40 per tonne NSR for flotation/leach material. Underground Mineral Resources (Pitarrilla UG) estimate is reported below the constrained open pit resource shell above a cut-off grade of $80.00 per tonne NSR, using grade shells that have been trimmed to exclude distal and lone blocks that would not support development costs.


Amisk: Mineral Resources estimate was prepared by Sebastien Bernier, P.Geo., Principal Consultant (Resource Geology), SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc., a Qualified Person. Mineral Resources estimate is reported at a cut-off grade of 0.40 grams of gold equivalent per tonne using metal price assumptions of $1,100 per ounce of gold and $16.00 per ounce of silver inside conceptual pit shells optimized using metallurgical and process recovery of 87%, overall ore mining and processing costs of $15.00 per tonne and overall pit slope of fifty-five degrees..

Notes


SSR Mining Inc.

Share capital structure, convertible note and top shareholders overview

Top 10 Shareholders	% of Shares Outstanding
Van Eck	15.1%
Dimensional Fund Advisors, L.P.	5.2%
Renaissance Technologies	4.8%
Investec Asset Management	3.0%
Norges Bank Investment Management	2.6%
Sun Valley Gold	2.4%
The Vanguard Group Inc.	2.4%
Ruffer LLP	2.4%
Connor Clark & Lunn Investment Management	2.0%
Global X Management Company	1.5%



Institutional Holdings by Country



Holding by Investor Class: 63% Institutional
37% Retail and Other

	$ Million
Cash and Cash Equivalents	$475
Marketable Securities	$7
Convertible Notes	$265
Credit Facility *($75M, undrawn)*	-
Market Capitalization	$1466

Total Shares Outstanding: 120.2 million

Source: Capital IQ, Ipreo; as at January 11, 2019. Cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, convertible notes, revolving credit facility and total shares outstanding as at January 11, 2019. Market capitalization as at January 11, 2019.

SSR Mining Inc.
www.ssrmining.com

Toll-free: +1 888.338.0046
Telephone: +1 604.689.3846
Email: invest@ssrmining.com