1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	DIDECT TESTIMONY OF LAMES M. COVNE
10	On Bohalf of Arizona Dublia Souriaa Company
11	Desket No. E 01245A 25 0105
12	Docket No. E-01345A-25-0105
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	June 13, 2025

1		Table of Contents	
2			
3	I.	INTRODUCTION	1
4	II.	PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW	2
5	III.	REGULATORY PRINCIPLES	6
6	IV.	ECONOMIC AND CAPITAL MARKET CONDITIONS	9
7	V.	PROXY GROUP SELECTION	. 19
8	VI.	DETERMINATION OF THE APPROPRIATE COST OF EQUITY	. 24
9		A. Constant Growth DCF Model	. 24
10		B. CAPM Analysis	. 28
11		C. Risk Premium Analysis	. 32
12		D. Expected Earnings Analysis	. 36
13	VII.	BUSINESS RISKS	. 37
14		A. Capital Expenditure Program	. 38
15		B. Regulatory Environment	. 42
16		C. Generation Risk	. 44
17		D. Wildfire Risk	. 46
18		E. Formula Rate Adjustment Mechanism	. 49
19	VIII.	CAPITAL STRUCTURE	. 51
20	IX.	FAIR VALUE RATE OF RETURN	. 53
21 22	Х.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	. 58

#### 1 ATTACHMENTS 2 3 - Educational and Professional Background JMC-1DR 4 JMC-2DR - Proxy Group Selection 5 - Constant Growth DCF Model JMC-3DR - Beta Coefficients for CAPM Analysis 6 JMC-4.1DR 7 JMC-4.2DR - Total Market Return for S&P 500 8 JMC-4.3DR - Historical Market Risk Premium 9 - CAPM Analysis - Forward-Looking MRP JMC-4.4DR 10 JMC-4.5DR - CAPM Analysis - Historical MRP - Risk Premium Analysis 11 JMC-5DR 12 - Expected Earnings Analysis JMC-6DR 13 - Capex Analysis JMC-7.1DR - Capex Analysis Chart 14 JMC-7.2DR - Regulatory Risk Assessment 15 JMC-8DR 16 JMC-9DR - Nuclear Generation Analysis - Alternative Rate Plans 17 JMC-10DR - Capital Structure Analysis 18 JMC-11DR - Return on Fair Value Rate Base Increment 19 JMC-12DR

Direct-APS-Coyne-ii

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES M. COYNE ON BEHALF OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY (Docket No. E-01345A-25-0105)

### 1 I. INTRODUCTION

#### 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is James M. Coyne. My business address is 293 Boston Post Road West, Suite
500, Marlborough, MA 01752.

### 5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

6 A. I am employed by Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. (Concentric) as a Senior Vice
7 President.

#### 8 Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU SUBMITTING THIS DIRECT TESTIMONY?

- 9 A. I am submitting this Direct Testimony on behalf of Arizona Public Service Company
- 10 (APS or Company) to the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC or Commission).
- 11 APS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pinnacle West Capital Corporation (Pinnacle12 West).

#### 13 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.

A. I provide expert testimony before federal, state and Canadian provincial agencies on matters pertaining to economics, finance, and public policy in the energy industry. I regularly advise utilities, generating companies, public bodies, and private equity investors on business issues pertaining to the utility industry. This work includes calculating the cost of capital for the purpose of ratemaking and providing expert testimony and studies on matters pertaining to rate policy, valuation, capital costs, and fuels and power markets. I have authored numerous articles on the energy industry, lectured on utility regulation for regulatory commission staff, and provided testimony
on more than 50 occasions before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)
as well as state and provincial jurisdictions in the U.S. and Canada. I hold a Bachelor of
Science in Business Administration from Georgetown University and a Master of
Science in Resource Economics from the University of New Hampshire. My
educational and professional background is summarized more fully in Attachment JMC1DR.

#### 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE CONCENTRIC.

9 A. Concentric is a management consulting and economic advisory firm, focused on the
10 North American energy industry. Based in Marlborough, Massachusetts and with
11 offices in Washington, D.C. and Calgary, Alberta, Concentric specializes in regulatory
12 and litigation support, financial advisory services, energy market strategies, market
13 assessments, energy commodity contracting and procurement, economic feasibility
14 studies, and capital market analyses.

### 15 II. <u>PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW</u>

#### 16 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to present evidence to support my
 recommendation regarding an appropriate return on equity (ROE)<sup>1</sup> for the Company's
 regulated electric utility operations and to assess the reasonableness of the Company's
 proposed capital structure for rate-making purposes as proposed in the Direct Testimony

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I use the terms "ROE," "return on equity" and "cost of equity," interchangeably throughout my Direct Testimony.

of APS witness Chris R. Bauer. My Direct Testimony also provides evidence and a
 recommendation as to the appropriate return on the Fair Value Rate Base (FVRB)
 Increment and the Fair Value Rate of Return (FVROR). My analyses and conclusions
 are supported by the data presented in Attachment JMC-2DR through Attachment JMC 12DR, which were prepared by me or under my direction.

### 6 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE ANALYSES THAT YOU 7 CONDUCTED TO SUPPORT YOUR ROE RECOMMENDATION.

8 My ROE recommendation is based on the results from the Constant Growth form of the A. 9 Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) model, the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), the 10 Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium method (Risk Premium), and the Expected Earnings 11 approach. The advantage of using multiple models is to mitigate the impacts of market 12 factors that may unduly influence any one model's results. My application of the DCF 13 model is based on reputable third-party growth rate projections, as well as market-based 14 information on current annualized dividends and recent stock prices. The CAPM 15 analysis is based on a risk-free rate and market risk premium and betas from reputable 16 sources. The Risk Premium model is based on a projected risk-free rate and the 17 relationship between actual authorized ROEs in the U.S. and bond yields. The Expected 18 Earnings approach is based on projected returns from the Value Line Investment Survey 19 for the companies in my proxy group.

In addition to the analyses discussed above, I also consider the Company's capital expenditure program, its regulatory environment and cost recovery mechanisms relative to a set of proxy companies (described later in my testimony), its ownership of generation assets including nuclear, and its exposure to wildfire risk to assist with
 determining the appropriate ROE within the range of results.

## 3 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE APPROPRIATE 4 COST OF EQUITY AND FVROR FOR APS?

A. As summarized in Figure 1 below, the ROE analyses presented in my Direct Testimony
indicate a range of results from 10.20 percent to 11.60 percent from a combination of
models and alternative input assumptions. Based on the results of all four methods (i.e.,
DCF, CAPM, Risk Premium and Expected Earnings), and in light of the business risks
of APS relative to the proxy group, combined with my observations pertaining to capital
market conditions, my analyses support an authorized ROE of 10.70 percent for APS,
just below the mean of all methods

DCF – 90-day Average	Results
Constant Growth – Mean	10.16%
CAPM – Forward MRP, Forecast Bond Yield	
Value Line Beta	14.31%
Bloomberg Beta – 5-year	11.29%
Bloomberg Beta – 10-year	12.61%
CAPM – Historical MRP, Current Bond Yield	
Value Line Beta	11.51%
Bloomberg Beta – 5-year	9.42%
Bloomberg Beta – 10-year	10.33%
CAPM Average	11.58%
Risk Premium – 30 Yr. U.S. Treasury	
30-Yr. U.S. Treasury – LT Forecast	10.45%
Expected Earnings - Median	10.71%
Mean of All Methods	10.73%

#### **Figure 1: Summary of ROE Results**

In addition, the Company's proposed return on the Fair Value Rate Base (FVRB) Increment of 1.00 percent is significantly lower than reasonable estimates of the minimum rate of return that should be applied to the FVRB Increment and results in a FVROR of 4.84 percent.

### 6 Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

A. The balance of my Direct Testimony is organized as follows: Section III provides
background on the regulatory principles behind making an ROE determination in
general. Section IV presents a review of current and projected economic and capital
market conditions and their impacts on utility cost of capital. Section V describes the
criteria and approach for selecting a proxy group of comparable companies. Section VI
provides a description of the market data used to estimate the cost of equity, as well as

the results of the Constant Growth DCF, CAPM, Risk Premium, and Expected Earnings
 analyses. Section VII provides an assessment of the business risk factors I have
 considered in selecting an appropriate ROE for APS. Section VIII assesses the
 reasonableness of APS's proposed capital structure in the context of the proxy group.
 Section IX discusses my estimation of the return on the FVRB Increment and the
 FVROR. Lastly, Section X summarizes my results, conclusions, and recommendations.

7 III. <u>REGULATORY PRINCIPLES</u>

### 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES USED IN ESTABLISHING 9 THE COST OF CAPITAL FOR A REGULATED UTILITY.

A. Utilities are entitled by law to receive a fair rate of return sufficient to attract needed
 capital at reasonable rates. The basic tenets of this regulatory doctrine originate from
 several bellwether decisions by the United States Supreme Court, and that doctrine is
 followed to the same degree across the country with respect to state-level rate-making,
 including in Arizona.

## 15 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DISCUSS HOW THOSE PRINCIPLES APPLY IN THE 16 CONTEXT OF THE REGULATED RATE OF RETURN.

A. Regulated utilities rely primarily on common stock and long-term debt to finance their
permanent property, plant, and equipment. The allowed rate of return for a regulated
utility is based on its weighted average cost of capital, where the costs of the individual
sources of capital, debt, and equity are weighted by their respective book values. The
ROE represents the cost of raising and retaining equity capital, and is estimated through

one or more analytical techniques that use market data to quantify investor expectations
 regarding equity returns.

3 However, the ROE cannot be derived solely through quantitative metrics and models. To properly estimate the ROE, the financial, regulatory, and economic context in which 4 5 the analysis takes place must also be considered. The DCF, CAPM, Risk Premium, and 6 Expected Earnings approaches, while fundamental to the ROE determination, are still 7 only models. One should not assume that the results of these models can be 8 mechanistically applied without also considering informed judgment, the context of 9 capital market conditions, and the relative risk of APS as compared to the proxy group companies. 10

Also, it is important to note that the U.S. Supreme Court has held that, under the statutory standard of "just and reasonable," it is the result reached, not the method employed, which is controlling.<sup>2</sup> Consequently, it is appropriate to consider a variety of approaches and data sources when arriving at a recommended ROE.

Based on these widely recognized standards, the ACC's order in this case should
provide APS with the opportunity to earn a return on equity that is:

- Commensurate with returns on investments in enterprises having
  comparable risks;
- Adequate to attract capital on reasonable terms, thereby enabling APS to
   provide safe, reliable service; and

<sup>2</sup> Fed.l Power Com. v. Hope Nat. Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591, 602 (1944).

1

٠

Sufficient to ensure the financial soundness of the Company's operations.

Importantly, a fair return must satisfy all three of these standards. The allowed ROE should enable APS to finance capital expenditures on reasonable terms and provide the Company financial flexibility to access debt and equity capital under a variety of economic and capital market conditions over the period during which rates are expected to remain in effect.

### 7 Q. WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE REGULATORY 8 ENVIRONMENT AND CAPITAL MARKET EXPECTATIONS?

9 The ratemaking process is premised on the principle that, in order for investors and A. 10 companies to commit the capital needed to provide safe and reliable utility services, the 11 utility must have the opportunity to recover its invested capital, and the market-required 12 return on that capital. Because utility operations are capital intensive, regulatory 13 decisions should enable the utility to attract capital on reasonable terms. Such decisions 14 balance the long-term interests of customers and shareholders. The financial community 15 carefully monitors the current and expected financial condition of utility companies, as 16 well as the regulatory environment in which they operate. In that respect, the regulatory 17 environment is one of the most important factors considered in both debt and equity 18 investors' assessments of risk. It is therefore important for the ROE authorized in this 19 proceeding to take into consideration the current and expected capital market conditions 20 with which APS must contend, as well as investors' expectations and requirements 21 regarding both risks and returns.

#### 1 IV. ECONOMIC AND CAPITAL MARKET CONDITIONS

## 2 Q. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER THE EFFECTS OF PREVAILING 3 ECONOMIC AND CAPITAL MARKET CONDITIONS WHEN SETTING THE 4 ROE?

5 The required cost of capital, including the ROE, is a function of prevailing and expected Α. 6 conditions in the general economy and in capital markets. The standard ROE estimation 7 tools, such as the DCF, CAPM and Risk Premium models, each reflect the state of the 8 general economy and financial markets by incorporating specific economic and 9 financial data. These inputs are, however, only samples of the various economic and 10 market forces that may affect a utility's ROE going forward. Consideration must be 11 given to whether the assumptions relied on in the current or projected data are 12 sustainable over the period that the recommended ROE will be in effect. If investors do 13 not expect current market conditions to be sustained in the future, it is possible that the ROE estimation models will not provide an accurate estimate of investors' required 14 15 return. Therefore, an assessment of market conditions is integral to any ROE 16 recommendation.

## 17 Q. WHAT ARE THE KEY GLOBAL ECONOMIC AND CAPITAL MARKET 18 FACTORS AFFECTING THE RETURN ON EQUITY FOR REGULATED 19 UTILITIES?

A. The return on equity for regulated utilities is being affected by several key economic and market factors, including: (1) the general state of the economy; (2) the current and prospective interest rate environment; (3) the current and longer-term outlook for 1

2

inflation; and (4) evolving trade policy. In this section, I discuss each of these factors and how they affect the models used to estimate the equity return for regulated utilities.

3

### Q. PLEASE DISCUSS ECONOMIC CONDITIONS.

4 Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) grew at an annual rate of 2.8 percent in 2024 A. compared to 2.9 percent in 2023.<sup>3</sup> Figure 2 shows that real GDP has grown steadily 5 6 since the third quarter of 2022. Economic uncertainty has returned in 2025, as long-term 7 interest rates have remained elevated, the path of monetary policy has become more 8 uncertain, inflationary pressure has remained more persistent than expected, and U.S. 9 trade policy (i.e., tariffs) has cast a shadow over the rate of economic growth both 10 domestically and internationally. According to the "advance" estimate, real GDP contracted at an annualized rate of 0.3 percent in the first quarter of 2025.<sup>4</sup> 11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, accessed March 27, 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.*, as of April 30, 2025.





### 2

3

### Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PATH OF MONETARY POLICY.

4 A. Following the lead of other central banks around the world, the Federal Reserve voted 5 to reduce the federal funds rate three times in the second half of 2024, and it stands at 6 4.25 to 4.50 percent as of May 2025. The Federal Reserve has indicated that one or two 7 additional cuts in the federal funds rate of 25 basis points each are possible in 2025, 8 depending on the balance of economic data on inflation, unemployment, and economic 9 growth. Prior to the December 2024 Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meeting, 10 the Federal Reserve had been expecting to cut the federal funds rate by one full 11 percentage point in 2025. In his comments following the March 2025 FOMC meeting, 12 Chair Powell indicated that the Federal Reserve now projected inflation to be somewhat 13 higher than previously anticipated (i.e., 2.8 percent in 2025 vs. prior forecast of 2.5 14 percent) and economic growth to be somewhat lower than expected (i.e., real GDP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

1 growth of 1.7 percent in 2025 vs. prior forecast of 2.1 percent) because of the 2 "uncertainty around the economic outlook" due to tariffs.<sup>6</sup>

# 3 Q. HOW HAVE GOVERNMENT BOND YIELDS CHANGED SINCE APS'S 4 PREVIOUS RATE CASE IN 2022, AND WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS 5 FOR EQUITY INVESTORS CONSIDERING THE UTILITY SECTOR?

6 The 30-day average yield on 30-year Treasury bonds was 3.89 percent as of October 28, A. 7 2022 (when the Company's last rate case was filed) and 4.37 percent as of February 29, 8 2024 (immediately prior to when the Commission issued its decision in APS's last rate 9 case). As shown in Figure 3, as of March 31, 2025, the 30-day average 30-year Treasury 10 bond yield was 4.61 percent, or 72 basis points higher than at the time of APS's previous 11 rate case filing and 24 basis points higher than when the Commission made its decision 12 in that case. Yields on 30-year Treasury bonds are projected to remain near current levels, at 4.50 percent in the third quarter of 2026<sup>7</sup> and to average 4.30 percent over the 13 period from 2026-2030.<sup>8</sup> 14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Press Conference of Jerome Powell, Chair of U.S. Federal Reserve, (Mar. 19, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, Issue No. 4, (Mar. 31, 2025) at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, Issue No. 12, (Nov. 27, 2024) at 14.



Figure 3: Comparison of United States Treasury Bond Yields

3 Higher yields on government bonds indicate that the cost of capital has increased for all 4 companies, including utilities. Higher yields on long-term Treasury bonds present 5 investors with a more attractive return on a "risk-free" alternative, and utility dividend 6 yields must also increase in order for the companies to continue to attract equity capital. 7 Figure 3 shows that 30-year Treasury bond yields are projected to remain at 4.30 percent 8 or higher through 2030. While I have used both current and forecast yields on 9 government bonds as the risk-free rate in the CAPM and Risk Premium models, my 10 general approach is to place the most weight on the projected government bond yield 11 over the next five years in these two analyses.

### 12 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE STATUS OF INFLATION.

A. As shown in Figure 4, the Core Inflation Rate, which excludes volatile food and energy
 prices, was 2.8 percent for the 12-month period as of March 2025. While the Consumer
 Price Index (CPI) has declined from the extreme levels of June 2022 when it reached an

2

annualized rate of 9.1 percent, the Core Inflation Rate remains above the Federal
 Reserve's long-term inflation target of 2.0 percent.

### Figure 4: Core Inflation Rate<sup>9</sup>



US Core Inflation Rate - percent

3

4

Source: tradingeconomics.com | U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

5 Near-term inflation expectations have been increasing in more recent months, as shown in the University of Michigan's monthly consumer confidence survey, median long-6 7 term inflation expectations (which cover the next 5-10 years) have risen for three 8 consecutive months and stood at 4.1 percent as of March 2025. The University of Michigan survey commented as follows: "As of March 2025, long-run expectations 9 10 have climbed sharply for three consecutive months and are now comparable to the peak 11 readings from the post-pandemic inflationary episode. They exhibit substantial 12 uncertainty, particularly in light of frequent developments and changes with economic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Source: <u>https://tradingeconomics.com/united-states/core-inflation-rate</u>

policy."<sup>10</sup> Concerns over persistent inflationary pressure caused the Federal Reserve to
 pause its reduction in short-term interest rates at the January 2025 FOMC meeting and
 to signal that only one or two cuts in the federal funds rate are likely in 2025. Blue Chip
 Financial Forecasts commented on the inflation outlook as follows:

5 Increased tariffs are seen as a primary factor behind the worsening 6 inflation outlook. The FOMC raised its 2025 inflation outlook markedly 7 in the Summary of Economic Projections released after the mid-March 8 FOMC meeting. In the ensuing press conference, Fed Chair Powell noted 9 repeatedly that the near-term inflation outlook had been boosted by the 10 increase in tariffs. Similarly, the BCFF consensus estimates a 47% probability of a meaningful acceleration in inflation over the next six 11 12 months, up from 40% last month with increased tariffs a primary cause. Ninety-six percent of respondents think that increased tariffs will boost 13 14 inflation significantly although only 34% think that the impact on inflation will be lasting. The other 62% think that increased tariffs will 15 16 provide only a one-time boost to prices and inflation. Still, with inflation expectations already on the rise, there is a real risk that even a temporary 17 18 boost to inflation could raise expectations further and thereby provide a more extended fillip in inflation.<sup>11</sup> 19

### 20 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS RECENT CHANGES IN U.S. TRADE POLICY.

A. On February 1, 2025, President Trump issued an executive order implementing a 25

22 percent additional tariff on imports from Canada and Mexico, and a 10 percent

- 23 additional tariff on imports from China.<sup>12</sup> These tariffs were paused for 30 days and
- 24 restored on March 4, 2025. Two days later, President Trump paused tariffs temporarily
- 25 imposed on approximately half of imports from Canada and 38 percent of imports from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Source: University of Michigan Survey of Consumers, March 2025 Update: Current vs. Pre-Pandemic Long-Run Inflation Expectations (Mar. 28, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Volume 44, No. 4 (Apr. 1, 2025) at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/2025/02/fact-sheet-president-donald-j-trump-imposes-tariffs-on-imports-from-canada-mexico-and-china/</u>

Mexico until April 2.<sup>13</sup> Further, on February 10, President Trump restored a 25 percent 1 tariff on steel and increased the tariff on aluminum to 25 percent.<sup>14</sup> On March 24, 2025, 2 3 the White House announced it would implement a 25 percent tariff on all goods from any country that imports Venezuelan oil, whether directly or indirectly.<sup>15</sup> On April 2, 4 5 2025, President Trump announced the administration would impose a 10 percent base 6 tariff on all imports from nearly every country plus an additional "reciprocal" tariff customized for each of approximately 60 countries.<sup>16</sup> These reciprocal tariffs were 7 8 subsequently paused for 90 days, but significant uncertainty remains around the future 9 course of U.S. trade policy and how it will affect the economy. The current 10 administration is engaged in trade negotiations with various countries including Japan 11 and Viet Nam, and announced a trade deal with the United Kingdom in May 2025 and 12 a 90-day reduction in tariffs on Chinese imports and exports (i.e., 30 percent on Chinese 13 imports to the U.S. and 10 percent on U.S. exports to China) pending further efforts to 14 reach a comprehensive trade agreement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/03/amendment-to-duties-to-address-the-flow-of-illicit-drugs-across-our-southern-border/; https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/03/amendment-to-duties-to-address-the-flow-of-illicit-drugs-across-our-northern-border-0c3c/</u>

https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/2025/02/fact-sheet-president-donald-j-trump-restores-section-232tariffs/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/2025/03/fact-sheet-president-donald-j-trump-imposes-tariffs-oncountries-importing-venezuelan-

oil/#:~:text=President%20Trump%20is%20levying%20a,if%20officials%20deem%20it%20appropriate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/04/regulating-imports-with-a-reciprocal-tariff-torectify-trade-practices-that-contribute-to-large-and-persistent-annual-united-states-goods-trade-deficits/

## Q. HOW MIGHT THESE CHANGES IN U.S. TRADE POLICY AFFECT INFLATION AND INTEREST RATES?

A. Although the effect of these tariffs on the economy remains uncertain, economists
generally agree that higher tariffs increase inflation by increasing the cost of consumer
goods. The tariffs could lead to higher inflation and reduced overall demand, as well as
higher interest rates and a stronger dollar.<sup>17</sup> The Budget Lab at Yale University estimates
that these tariffs would raise consumer prices by 1.4 to 5.1 percent before substitution,
which would be equivalent to \$1,900 to \$7,600 in disposable income for the average
household.<sup>18</sup>

In a recent article published by S&P Global Market Intelligence, economists noted the "enormous uncertainty" associated with the effect of tariffs on inflation and the economy. The article projected that if President Trump's tariffs are imposed as proposed, they "would cause the core consumer price index<sup>19</sup> to run at a 6% annual pace on average over the next two years".<sup>20</sup>

Higher inflation could complicate the Federal Reserve's unwinding of restrictive monetary policies, <sup>21</sup> as well as increase long-term bond yields like the 30-year Treasury yield. The FOMC paused its cuts in the federal funds rate at its January 2025 meeting, citing elevated inflation and a stable labor market, and held rates steady at its March and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> J.P. Morgan Asset Management, Market Insights "2025 Year-Ahead Investment Outlook," November 20, 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Yale Budget Lab, "Fiscal, Macroeconomic, and Price Estimates of Tariffs Under Both Non-Retaliation and Retaliation Scenarios," (Oct. 16, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> As measured by the Personal Consumption Expenditures (PCE) price index.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> S&P Global Market Intelligence, *Tariffs projected to push US inflation near 2022 highs*, (Apr. 9, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See, e.g., S&P Global Market Intelligence, *Tariffs projected to push US inflation near 2022 highs* (Apr. 9, 2025).

1 May 2025 meetings. In an April 4, 2025 speech, Federal Reserve Chair Jerome Powell 2 stated that the outlook is "highly uncertain" and the tariff proposals are "significantly 3 larger than expected" and are likely to result in higher inflation and slower growth.<sup>22</sup>

Longer-term bonds like the 30-year Treasury bond are more sensitive to inflation expectations than shorter-term bonds because their value is influenced more by inflation due to their longer maturity holding period and reinvestment rate implications. Thus, as the value (price) of bonds declines due to higher inflation expectations, the yield increases. Because utilities are capital intensive enterprises, higher inflation and interest rates tend to have a negative effect on utility stocks. If realized, higher inflation and interest rates would suggest that the cost of capital for utilities may increase in the future.

#### 11 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION REGARDING HOW HIGHER INTEREST

# 12 RATES AND INFLATION ABOVE THE FEDERAL RESERVE'S TARGET 13 LEVEL HAVE AFFECTED THE COST OF EQUITY FOR UTILITIES SUCH 14 AS APS?

A. My primary conclusion is that the cost of capital for both debt and equity has increased for utilities, including APS. This increase is reflected in the results of the models used to estimate the cost of equity. In particular, the DCF model results are higher as utility dividend yields have increased, while the CAPM and Risk Premium results have increased as government bond yields have risen. Although the Federal Reserve has cut the level of short-term interest rates, yields on long-term government and utility bond

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Chair Powell's speech at the Society for Advancing Business Editing and Writing Annual Conference, Arlington, Virginia, (Apr. 4, 2025), <u>https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/powell20250404a.htm</u>

yields increased sharply in 2022 and 2023 and have remained elevated as compared to the very low-interest rate environment experienced in the prior decade. Further, core inflation has remained stubbornly above the Federal Reserve's target level of 2.0 percent, and tariffs could cause inflation to increase again as companies pass through these duties to end use customers. It is important to recognize that there has been a fundamental change in economic and capital market conditions, and the cost of equity capital for all companies, including utilities, has increased.

8

#### V. <u>PROXY GROUP SELECTION</u>

### 9 Q. WHY IS IT NECESSARY TO SELECT A PROXY GROUP TO ESTIMATE THE 10 FAIR RETURN ON EQUITY FOR APS?

11 Because the ROE is a market-based concept and APS is not publicly-traded, it is A. 12 necessary to select a group of companies that are both publicly-traded and comparable 13 to APS to serve as a "proxy" for purposes of the ROE estimation process. Even if APS's 14 regulated utility operations in Arizona made up the entirety of a publicly-traded entity, 15 it is possible that transitory events could bias the Company's market value in one way 16 or another over a given period of time. A significant benefit of using a proxy group is 17 the ability to mitigate the effects of company-specific events that may not be 18 representative of the industry or long-term trends. As a result of the screening criteria 19 used to select my proxy group, the companies used in my ROE analyses have similar 20 business and operating characteristics to APS's regulated utility operations and thus 21 provide a reasonable basis for the derivation and assessment of ROE estimates.

### 1 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF APS'S OPERATIONS.

2	A.	APS provides electric generation, transmission, and distribution service to
3		approximately 1.4 million customers located in 11 of the 15 counties in Arizona. APS
4		has experienced rapid customer growth in recent years, with the number of residential
5		customers increasing between 2.1 percent and 2.4 percent in each year between 2020
6		and 2024. That trend is expected to continue in 2025, with customer growth estimated
7		at 1.5 to 2.5 percent. <sup>23</sup> APS's long-term issuer ratings are BBB+ (Outlook: Stable) from
8		S&P, Baa1 (Outlook: Stable) from Moody's Ratings (Moody's), and BBB+ (Outlook:
9		Stable) from Fitch Ratings (Fitch). <sup>24</sup>
10	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SPECIFIC SCREENING CRITERIA YOU HAVE
11		UTILIZED IN SELECTING YOUR PROXY GROUP.
12	A.	I began with the 36 domestic U.S. companies that Value Line classifies as "Electric
13		Utilities" and then screened companies according to the following criteria:
14 15		1. Pays quarterly cash dividends that have not been reduced or omitted in the last two years;
16 17		<ol> <li>Maintains an investment grade long-term issuer rating (BBB- or higher from S&amp;P or Baa3 or higher from Moody's) from both S&amp;P and Moody's;</li> </ol>
18		3. Is covered by more than one equity analyst;
19 20 21		<ol> <li>Has positive earnings growth rates from at least two of the following sources: Value Line Investment Survey ("Value Line"), S&amp;P Capital IQ Pro, and Zacks Investment Research ("Zacks");</li> </ol>
22		5. Owns generation assets that are included in rate base;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Source: Pinnacle West, Investor Presentation, *Renewed, Reliable and Resilient* (Mar. 2025) at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Source: *Id.* at 25.

1 2 3		6. Regulated revenue and regulated net operating income make up more than 70 percent of the consolidated company's revenue and net operating income, respectively;
4 5 6		<ol> <li>Regulated electric revenue and regulated electric net operating income make up at least 80 percent of the consolidated company's regulated operations; and</li> </ol>
7 8		8. Is not involved in a merger or other transformative transaction for an approximate six-month period prior to my analysis.
9	Q.	DID YOU INCLUDE PINNACLE WEST IN YOUR PROXY GROUP?
10	А.	No. It is customary to exclude the subject company, or its parent holding company, from
11		the proxy group due to the circular logic that would occur by including those results. If
12		we were to include the parent company, the market data we rely on for analysis would
13		reflect the desisions of the nexulator of energy to eviding its desisions which is the
		reflect the decisions of the regulator as opposed to guiding its decisions, which is the
14		goal of an independent cost of capital analysis. By excluding the parent company, that
14 15		goal of an independent cost of capital analysis. By excluding the parent company, that direct conflict can be avoided.

### 16 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPOSITION OF YOUR PROXY GROUP?

- 17 A. Based on the screening criteria described above, I arrived at a proxy group consisting
- 18 of the companies shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5: 1	Proxy (	Group
-------------	---------	-------

Company	Ticker
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT
Ameren Corporation	AEE
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK
Entergy Corporation	ETR
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG
FirstEnergy Corporation	FE
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM
Portland General Electric Company	POR
PPL Corporation	PPL
Southern Company	SO
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL

2 Please refer to Attachment JMC-2DR for my proxy group screening data and results.

### 3 Q. HAVE YOU EXCLUDED ANY COMPANIES FROM THE PROXY GROUP 4 THAT MEET YOUR STATED SCREENING CRITERIA?

A. Yes, I have excluded Edison International (EIX) from the proxy group for APS even
though EIX meets all the screening criteria. EIX announced in April 2025 that there is
a high probability that the company's equipment was responsible for starting the Eaton
Fire in Los Angeles in January 2025 and that the company may face "material liability"
as a result. The share price of EIX has declined from about \$80 per share in early January
2025 to around \$55 per share in early May 2025. Although APS also has exposure to

wildfire risk, the extent of EIX's potential liability for this specific wildfire is much
greater at this point in time. If I had included EIX in the proxy group for APS, the mean
of all methods would have been higher. By excluding EIX from the proxy group, I am
providing a conservative estimate of the cost of equity for APS.

### 5 Q. DOES YOUR SCREENING CRITERIA RESULT IN A GROUP OF 6 COMPANIES THAT INVESTORS WOULD VIEW AS COMPARABLE TO 7 APS?

8 A. Yes. I have selected the above group to best align with the financial and operational 9 characteristics of APS. The screening criterion requiring an investment grade credit 10 rating ensures that the proxy companies, like APS, are generally in sound financial 11 condition. Additionally, I have screened on the percentage of revenues and net operating 12 income from regulated operations to differentiate utilities that derive the large majority 13 of their revenues and income from regulated operations from those with substantial 14 merchant or market-related risks. I have also screened on the basis of the percent 15 contribution of the electric segment to overall financial results in order to differentiate 16 utilities that, like APS, derive the predominant share of their revenues and operating 17 income from their electric segments. Further, the generation screen used to select the 18 proxy group companies identifies utilities that, like APS, own regulated generation in 19 rate base and bear the risk of generation ownership in their asset mix. These screens 20 collectively reflect the risk factors that investors consider in making their investment 21 decisions in utility companies.

### 1 VI. <u>DETERMINATION OF THE APPROPRIATE COST OF EQUITY</u>

### 2 Q. WHAT MODELS DID YOU USE IN YOUR ROE ANALYSES?

A. I have considered the results of four ROE estimation models, including the Constant
Growth DCF, CAPM, Risk Premium and Expected Earnings models. The formulas used
to derive the results of each model and the assumptions underlying each approach are
described below.

7 A. Cons

### A. Constant Growth DCF Model

### 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DCF MODEL.

A. The DCF approach, which is widely used in regulatory proceedings, is based on the
theory that a stock's price represents the present value of all future expected cash flows.
In its simplest form, the DCF model expresses the ROE as the sum of the expected
dividend yield and long-term growth rate, as reflected in the following formula, where
"k" equals the required return, "D" is the current dividend, "g" is the expected growth
rate, and "P" is the subject company's stock price:

15 
$$k = \frac{D(1+g)}{P} + g$$
 [1]

Assuming a constant growth rate in dividends, the model may be rearranged to compute
the ROE accordingly, as shown in the following formula:

18 
$$r = \frac{D}{P} + g \quad [2]$$

Stated in this manner, the cost of common equity is equal to the dividend yield plus thedividend growth rate.

1	Q.	WHAT ARE THE ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING THE CONSTANT
2		GROWTH FORM OF THE DCF MODEL?
3	A.	The Constant Growth DCF model is based on the following assumptions: (1) a constant
4		average growth rate for earnings and dividends; (2) a stable dividend payout ratio; (3) a
5		constant price-to-earnings multiple; and (4) a discount rate greater than the expected
6		growth rate.
7	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR APPLICATION OF THE CONSTANT
8		GROWTH DCF MODEL.
9	A.	I calculated DCF results for each of the proxy group companies using the following
10		inputs:
11 12		<ol> <li>Average stock prices for the historical period, over 30-, 90- and 180-trading days through March 31, 2025;</li> </ol>
13		2. Annualized dividends per share as of March 31, 2025; and
14		3. Company-specific earnings growth forecasts.
15		My application of the Constant Growth DCF model is provided in Attachment JMC-
16		3DR.
17	Q.	WHY DID YOU USE AVERAGING PERIODS OF 30-, 90- AND 180-TRADING
18		DAYS?
19	A.	It is important to use an average of recent trading days to calculate the subject
20		company's stock price in the DCF model to ensure that the calculated ROE is not
21		skewed by anomalous events that may affect stock prices on any given trading day. At
22		the same time, it is important to reflect the conditions that have defined the financial

markets over the recent past. In my view, consideration of these three averaging periods
 reasonably balances those considerations.

### 3 Q. DID YOU ADJUST THE DIVIDEND YIELD TO ACCOUNT FOR PERIODIC 4 GROWTH IN DIVIDENDS?

5 A. Yes. Utility companies tend to increase their quarterly dividends at different times 6 throughout the year, so it is reasonable to assume that such increases will be evenly 7 distributed over calendar quarters. Given that assumption, it is reasonable to apply one-8 half of the expected annual dividend growth for the purposes of calculating this 9 component of the DCF model. Accordingly, my DCF estimates reflect one-half of the 10 expected growth in the dividend yield.

### 11 Q. WHAT SOURCES OF GROWTH HAVE YOU USED IN YOUR DCF 12 ANALYSIS?

A. I have used the consensus analyst five-year growth estimates in earnings per share (EPS)
from S&P Capital IQ Pro and Zacks, as well as EPS growth rates published by Value
Line.

### 16 Q. WHY DID YOU RELY ON EARNINGS PER SHARE GROWTH?

A. The Constant Growth DCF model assumes that dividends grow at a single growth rate in perpetuity. Accordingly, in order to reduce the long-term growth rate to a single measure, one must assume a constant payout ratio, and that EPS, dividends per share and book value per share, will all grow at the same constant rate. It is therefore important to focus on measures of long-term earnings growth from credible sources as an appropriate measure of long-term growth in the DCF model.

### 1Q.ARE SOURCES OF ESTIMATED DIVIDEND GROWTH AVAILABLE TO2INVESTORS?

A. Yes, although that does not mean that investors incorporate such estimates into their
investment evaluations. Academic studies suggest that investors base their investment
decisions on analysts' expectations of growth in earnings.<sup>25</sup> In addition, the only
forward-looking growth rates that are available on a consensus basis are analysts' EPS
growth rates. The fact that earnings growth projections are the only widely reported
estimates of growth provides further support for using earnings growth as the most
meaningful measure of growth among the investment community.

### 10 Q. HOW DID YOU CALCULATE THE MEAN HIGH, MEAN LOW, AND MEAN 11 DCF RESULTS?

A. I calculated the Mean High DCF result using the maximum growth rate (i.e., the
maximum of the Value Line, Zacks and S&P Capital IQ EPS growth rates) in
combination with the expected dividend yield for each of the proxy group companies. I
used a similar approach to calculate the Mean Low DCF results, using the minimum
growth rate for each company. The Mean DCF results reflect the average growth rate
for each company in combination with the expected dividend yield.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See, e.g., Harris and Marston, Estimating Shareholder Risk Premia Using Analysts Growth Forecasts, Financial Management, 21 (Summer 1992), and Vander Weide and Carleton, Investor growth expectations: Analysts vs. history, The Journal of Portfolio Management (Spring 1988, 14 (3)) at 81. Please note that while the original study was published in 1988, it was updated in 2004 under the direction of Dr. Vander Weide. The results of that updated study are consistent with Vander Weide and Carleton's original conclusions.

## 1Q.WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF YOUR CONSTANT GROWTH DCF2ANALYSIS?

A. The results of my Constant Growth DCF analysis are provided in Attachment JMC3DR and summarized in Figure 6.

5

### Figure 6: Constant Growth DCF Results

	Mean Low	Mean	Mean High
30-day average	9.14%	10.05%	10.80%
90-day average	9.25%	10.16%	10.90%
180-day average	9.32%	10.23%	10.98%

6

7

### B. CAPM Analysis

### 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAPM APPROACH.

9 A. The CAPM is a risk premium approach that estimates the cost of equity for a given
10 security as a function of a risk-free return plus a risk premium (to compensate investors
11 for the non-diversifiable or "systematic" risk of that security).<sup>26</sup> As shown in the
12 following equation, the CAPM is defined by four components, each of which must
13 theoretically be a forward-looking estimate:

14 
$$K_e = r_f + \beta(r_m - r_f) [3]$$

15 where:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Systematic risks are fundamental market risks that reflect aggregate economic measures and therefore cannot be mitigated through diversification. Unsystematic risks reflect company-specific risks that can be mitigated and ultimately eliminated through investments in a portfolio of companies and/or market sectors.

1	$K_e$ = the required ROE for a given security;
2	$r_f$ = the risk-free rate of return;
3	$\beta$ = the Beta of an individual security; and
4	$r_m$ = the required return for the market as a whole.
5	The term $(r_m - r_f)$ represents the Market Risk Premium (MRP). According to the theory
6	underlying the CAPM, since unsystematic risk can be diversified away, investors should
7	be concerned only with systematic or non-diversifiable risk. Non-diversifiable risk is
8	measured by beta, which is defined as:
9	$\beta = \frac{Covariance(r_e, r_m)}{Variance(r_m)} $ [4]
10	where:
11	$r_e$ = the rate of return for the individual security or portfolio.
12	The variance of the market return, noted in the above equation, is a measure of the
13	uncertainty of the general market, and the covariance between the return on a specific
14	security and the market reflects the extent to which the return on that security will
15	respond to a given change in the market return. Thus, beta represents the risk of the
16	security relative to the market.
17	The market risk premium can be based on either forward-looking returns for the broad
18	market less the 30-year Treasury bond yield (i.e., the risk-free rate) or historical returns
19	for the broad market less the income only return on government bonds.

### 1 Q. WHAT RISK-FREE RATE DID YOU USE IN YOUR CAPM ANALYSIS?

A. Since both the DCF and CAPM models assume long-term investment horizons, I used
the Blue Chip forecast of the yield on 30-year Treasury bonds for 2026-2030 of 4.30
percent as my estimate of the risk-free rate.<sup>27</sup> Using the 5-year forecast of Treasury bond
yields as the risk-free rate in the CAPM formula appropriately reflects the market's
expectation for forward-looking interest rates. This long-term forecast is 31 basis points
lower than the current 30-day average yield on 30-year Treasury bonds of 4.61 percent
and is therefore conservative.

### 9 Q. WHAT MEASURES OF BETA DID YOU USE IN YOUR CAPM ANALYSIS?

A. I considered three measures of beta for the proxy group companies: (1) the reported beta
from Value Line (which is calculated using five years of weekly return data against the
NYSE Composite Index); and (2) the calculated betas from Bloomberg (using both five
years and ten years of weekly return data against the S&P 500 Index). The beta
coefficients in my CAPM analysis are shown in Attachment JMC-4.1DR.

### 15 Q. WHAT MARKET RISK PREMIUM (MRP) DID YOU USE IN YOUR CAPM 16 ANALYSIS?

A. The CAPM is inherently a forward-looking model since it is designed to estimate
investors' required equity return expectations. The MRP should, therefore, reflect
investors' expected equity market returns relative to expected returns on Treasury
securities. Therefore, I conducted a Constant Growth DCF analysis on all of the S&P
500 companies and calculated the expected total market return, weighted by market

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Volume 43, Issue No. 12 (Nov. 27, 2024) at 14.

1	capitalization, using earnings growth rates from Value Line. This total market return is
2	based on current dividend yields and projected earnings growth for each of these
3	companies in the index, as shown in Attachment JMC-4.2DR. The forward-looking
4	MRP is calculated by subtracting the risk-free rate (based on the 5-year forecast of the
5	30-year Treasury bond) from the total market return. This method is consistent with the
6	approach used by FERC in developing a forward-looking MRP. <sup>28</sup> This analysis results
7	in a 10.62 percent MRP, as shown in Attachment JMC-4.4DR, in the Long-Term
8	Projected Risk-Free Rate scenarios.

### 9 Q. DID YOU ALSO PERFORM A CAPM ANALYSIS USING A HISTORICAL 10 MRP?

A. Yes. Although the estimation of the cost of equity is a forward-looking analysis, I have
also performed a CAPM analysis using the current historical MRP of 7.31 percent based
on data published by Kroll (formerly Duff & Phelps) for the period from 1926-2024, as
shown in Attachment JMC-4.3DR, and the current average 30-year Treasury bond yield
of 4.61 percent.

### 16 Q. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF YOUR CAPM ANALYSES?

A. The CAPM results are shown in Attachment JMC-4.4DR and Attachment JMC-4.5DR,
and summarized in Figure 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> FERC Opinion No. 531(June 19, 2014) at para. 108.

	Forward MRP	Historical MRP
Value Line Betas	14.31%	11.51%
Bloomberg Betas – 5-year	11.29%	9.42%
Bloomberg Betas – 10-year	12.61%	10.33%
Mean Result	11.5	58%

**Figure 7: CAPM Results** 

#### 2 C. Risk Premium Analysis

#### **3 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RISK PREMIUM MODEL.**

A. In general terms, the Risk Premium approach recognizes that equity is riskier than debt
because equity investors bear the residual risk associated with ownership. Equity
investors, therefore, require a greater return (i.e., a premium) than a bondholder would.
The Risk Premium approach estimates the cost of equity as the sum of the equity risk
premium and the yield on a particular class of bonds, as reflected in the following
formula, in which RP = Risk Premium (difference between allowed ROE and the
respective bond yield); and Y = Applicable bond yield:

11 
$$ROE = RP + Y[5]$$

Since the equity risk premium is not directly observable, it typically is estimated using a variety of approaches, some of which incorporate ex-ante, or forward-looking estimates of the cost of equity, and others that consider historical, or ex-post, estimates. The analysis therefore relies on authorized returns from a large sample of United States integrated electric utilities. 1 To estimate the relationship between interest rates and the cost of equity using the risk 2 premium approach, a regression is conducted using the following equation, where a = 3 intercept term and b = slope term:

4

$$RP = a + (b x Y) [6]$$

### 5 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR APPLICATION OF THE RISK PREMIUM 6 ANALYSIS.

A. My Risk Premium analysis examines the relationship between quarterly average
authorized ROEs for vertically-integrated electric utilities and the respective 30-year
Treasury bond yield from the relevant quarter. Data regarding allowed ROEs was
provided by Regulatory Research Associates. The data includes about 780 integrated
electric utility rate cases from 1992 through March 31, 2025. The results of that
regression are detailed in Figure 8.



Figure 8: Risk Premium Regression Results vs. 30-Year Treasury Yield



Direct-APS-Coyne-33
1	As illustrated by the chart, the risk premium varies with the level of the bond yield, and
2	generally increases as bond yields decrease, and vice versa. My analysis considers three
3	estimates of the 30-year Treasury yield, including the current 30-day average, a "Near-
4	Term" Blue Chip consensus forecast for Q2 2025 toQ3 2026, and a "Long-Term" Blue
5	Chip consensus forecast for 2026 to2030. I find this "Long-Term" result to be most
6	applicable since it is consistent with the period during which APS's rates are expected
7	to remain in effect under the proposed Formula Rate Adjustment Mechanism (FRAM),
8	and also because investors typically have a multi-year view of their required returns on
9	long-lived assets. As shown in Attachment JMC-5DR, page 2, from 1992 through
10	March 31, 2025, the average implied risk premium over these Treasury yields is 6.02
11	percent. Based on the regression coefficients in Attachment JMC-5DR, page 2, which
12	allow for the estimation of the risk premium at varying bond yields, the results of my
13	analysis are shown in Figure 9. These results range from just below to just above the
14	historic average risk premium, mirroring three decades of regulatory decisions on the
15	risk premium in the allowed ROE.

	Using 30-Day Average Yield on 30-Year Treasury Bond <sup>29</sup>	Using Near-Term Forecast for Yield on 30-Year Treasury Bond <sup>30</sup>	Using Long-Term Forecast for Yield on 30-Year Treasury Bond <sup>31</sup>
Yield	4.61%	4.52%	4.30%
Risk Premium	5.98%	6.03%	6.15%
Resulting ROE	10.59%	10.55%	10.45%

Figure 9: Risk Premium Results Using 30-Year Treasury Yield

1

#### 2 Q. WHY ARE AUTHORIZED ROES IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS RELEVANT?

3 Authorized ROEs in other jurisdictions are a significant part of the market information A. that investors consider when evaluating their investment alternatives. The level of 4 5 authorized ROE also provides a signal to investors about the level of regulatory support 6 that a company can expect with regard to its ability to compete for capital and to ensure its financial integrity. An improperly depressed ROE for a given period may be an 7 8 impediment to the Company's ability to attract capital and invest in infrastructure 9 necessary to provide safe, reliable service to its customers. As discussed below in 10 Section VII.1 of my Direct Testimony, APS expects to invest approximately \$7.6 billion 11 in infrastructure in the 2025-2027 period, which, as shown in Figure 12, places the 12 Company's ratio of capital spending to net utility plant toward the upper end of the 13 proxy group. This underscores the importance of maintaining access to capital markets 14 on favorable terms for the benefit of both the Company and its customers, especially 15 during periods of significant capital investment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Blue Chip consensus forecast for 3Q 2025 - 3Q 2026, as of March 31, 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Blue Chip consensus forecast for 2026 – 2030, as of November 27, 2024.

#### 1 **D. Expected Earnings Analysis**

# 2 Q. HAVE YOU CONDUCTED ANY OTHER ANALYSIS TO ESTIMATE THE 3 COST OF EQUITY FOR APS?

4 A. Yes. I have also conducted an Expected Earnings analysis to estimate the cost of equity
5 for APS based on the projected ROEs for the proxy group companies.

#### 6 Q. WHAT IS AN EXPECTED EARNINGS ANALYSIS?

A. The Expected Earnings methodology is a comparable earnings analysis that calculates
the earnings that an investor expects to receive on the book value of a stock. The
Expected Earnings analysis is a forward-looking estimate of investors' expected returns.
The use of an Expected Earnings approach based on the proxy companies provides a
range of the expected returns on a group of risk-comparable companies to the subject
company. This range is useful in determining the opportunity cost of investing in the
subject company, which is relevant in determining a company's ROE.

### 14 Q. HOW DID YOU DEVELOP THE EXPECTED EARNINGS APPROACH?

I relied on the projected ROE for the proxy companies as reported by Value Line for 15 A. 16 the period from 2028 to 2030. I then adjusted those projected ROEs to account for the 17 fact that the ROEs reported by Value Line are calculated on the basis of common shares 18 outstanding at the end of the period, as opposed to average shares outstanding over the 19 entire period. As shown in Attachment JMC-6DR (and summarized in Figure 10), the 20 Expected Earnings analysis produces return estimates of 11.47 percent (mean) and 21 10.71 percent (median). I relied on the median result of this analysis in developing my 22 ROE estimate for APS.

#### **Figure 10: Expected Earnings Results**

Mean	11.47%
Median	10.71%

### 2 VII. <u>BUSINESS RISKS</u>

# Q. ARE THERE FACTORS SPECIFIC TO APS'S OPERATING ENVIRONMENT THAT YOU CONSIDERED IN YOUR ROE RECOMMENDATION?

A. Yes, there are several additional factors that have a direct bearing on APS's ability to
earn a fair return and on the Company's riskiness relative to the proxy group, including
APS's relatively high level of capital expenditures, the regulatory environment in which
the Company operates, generation risk, and wildfire risk. On balance, these factors
serve to increase APS's risk profile relative to the proxy group.

#### 10 Q. HAVE ANY OF THE CREDIT RATING AGENCIES COMMENTED ON THE

### 11 BUSINESS AND REGULATORY RISK OF APS?

A. Yes. While acknowledging the recent improvement in the regulatory environment in
 Arizona, S&P Global states that "Arizona has historically been a challenging regulatory
 jurisdiction, and we will continue to monitor APS's ability to effectively manage its
 regulatory risk."<sup>32</sup> With regard to the Company's business risk profile, S&P Global
 observes that:

# 17Pressuring our assessment of APS' business risk profile is its limited18regulatory diversity, Arizona's historically challenging regulatory19construct, exposure to wildfire risk, environmental risks associated with20the company's coal-fired generation, and operating risks associated with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Arizona Public Service Co., (April 1, 2025) at 3.

nuclear generation. As of Dec. 31, 2024, APS owns or leases 6,540 megawatts of generating capacity, about 38.2% of which is from nuclear and coal-fired fuel sources. We therefore assess APS' business risk profile at the very low end of its category relative to peers. We assess a negative comparable rating analysis modifier that we incorporate in this assessment.<sup>33</sup>

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

### A. Capital Expenditure Program

# 9 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN.

A. The Company estimates that from 2025-2027 it will invest approximately \$7.6 billion in capital, or about \$2.53 billion per year.<sup>34</sup> These investments are primarily related to electric generation, upgrades and improvements to the electric transmission system to move generation resources to load centers, and upgrades to the electric distribution system to accommodate continued customer growth. In total, these capital expenditures are equal to approximately 48.1 percent of the Company's total net utility plant in

16 service as of December 31, 2023, of \$15.8 billion.<sup>35</sup>

# 17 Q. WHAT FACTORS ARE DRIVING THE NEED FOR APS TO MAKE SUCH

# 18 SIGNIFICANT CAPITAL INVESTMENTS?

A, APS's capital spending plan is impacted by population growth and economic growth in
Arizona, both of which have generally trended above the nationwide average, which
leads to increased demand for electricity. As shown in Figure 11 below, Arizona's
growth in state domestic product has exceeded real GDP growth in the U.S. in most
quarters since before the COVID-19 pandemic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Arizona Public Service Co., (Apr. 1, 2025) at 3. [Emphasis added.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Source: Pinnacle Investor Presentation, *Renewed, Reliable and Resilient* (March 2025) at 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> 2024 SEC Form 10-K, Pinnacle West at 108.





2 3

# 4 Q. DOES THE INVESTMENT COMMUNITY RECOGNIZE THE RISKS 5 ASSOCIATED WITH ELEVATED LEVELS OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURES?

6 A. Yes. From a credit perspective, the additional pressure on cash flows associated with

7 high levels of capital expenditures exerts corresponding pressure on credit metrics and,

8 therefore, credit ratings. S&P Global has noted:

9 When applicable, a jurisdiction's willingness to support large capital 10 projects with cash during construction is an important aspect of our 11 analysis. This is especially true when the project represents a major 12 addition to rate base and entails long lead times and technological risks 13 that make it susceptible to construction delays. Broad support for all 14 capital spending is the most credit-sustaining. Support for only specific 15 types of capital spending, such as specific environmental projects or system integrity plans, is less so, but still favorable for creditors. 16 17 Allowance of a cash return on construction work-in-progress or similar 18 ratemaking methods historically were extraordinary measures for use in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, *State quarterly GDP summary*.

1 2 3 4 5		unusual circumstances, but when construction costs are rising, cash flow support could be crucial to maintain credit quality through the spending program. Even more favorable are those jurisdictions that present an opportunity for a higher return on capital projects as an incentive to investors. <sup>37</sup>
6	Q.	HAVE ANY CREDIT RATING AGENCIES COMMENTED ON THE
7		ELEVATED NATURE OF APS'S CAPITAL SPENDING PROGRAM?
8	А.	Yes. S&P Global has identified APS's capital spending program among the key risks
9		for the Company, stating that the "elevated capital spending program requires ongoing
10		funding and timely cost recovery to support its credit profile." <sup>38</sup> The rating agency goes
11		on to explain that:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		S&P Global Ratings expects capital spending will remain robust, requiring the company to manage these expenditures in a balanced manner to support credit quality. APS' capital plan averages roughly \$2.5 billion annually from 2025 to 2027. In 2025, the company expects its capital expenditure (capex) will be about \$2.4 billion, of which about 37.7% will be allocated for generation capex, about 27.7% for distribution, about 18.8% for transmission, and the remainder for other projects and company needs. APS is investing in projects to harden its system; enhance safety and reliability; and meet the future expected electricity demands of new and existing customers, particularly from residential and commercial customers. <sup>39</sup>

# 24 Q. HOW DO THE COMPANY'S EXPECTED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

- 25 COMPARE TO THE PROXY GROUP?
- 26 A. As shown in Attachment JMC-7.1DR, I calculated the ratio of expected capital
- 27 expenditures to net utility plant for APS and each of the companies in the proxy group

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Ratings Direct, "Assessing U.S. Investor-Owned Utility Regulatory Environments," (Aug. 10, 2016) at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Arizona Public Service Co., (Apr. 1, 2025) at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Arizona Public Service Co., (Apr. 1, 2025) at 2.

by dividing each company's projected capital expenditures for the period from 2025
 to2027 by its total net utility plant as of December 31, 2023.<sup>40</sup> As shown in Attachment
 JMC-7.2DR (see also Figure 12), APS's ratio of capital expenditures as a percentage of
 net utility plant is higher than all but two of the proxy group companies. Further, APS's
 ratio of 48.1 percent is approximately 1.61 times the proxy group median of 29.85
 percent.



Figure 12: Comparison of Capital Expenditures – Proxy Group Companies



# 11 CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN ON ITS COST OF EQUITY?

12 A. Because APS is projecting a substantial capital expenditure program over the next three

# 13 years, I conclude that it is reasonable to expect that the Company will require continued

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> I have used net utility plant in service at year end 2023 in this calculation because year end 2024 data was not available for all proxy group companies.

1 access to capital markets to finance these investments. The investment community 2 recognizes the additional risks associated with substantial capital expenditures. Capital spending is projected at record levels for the utility industry over the next several years 3 according to Regulatory Research Associates,<sup>41</sup> which means that APS will be 4 5 competing with other companies to obtain debt and equity financing. The relative 6 magnitude of the Company's capital expenditure plan suggests that APS has an above 7 average risk profile as compared to the proxy group, recognizing that a portion of that 8 risk is offset by the approval of the SRB. In summary, APS's capital investment program 9 emphasizes the importance of an authorized return sufficient to attract the necessary 10 debt and equity capital to meet its service obligations.

11

#### **B.** Regulatory Environment

# 12 Q. HAVE YOU EVALUATED THE REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT FOR APS 13 COMPARED TO THE PROXY GROUP COMPANIES?

A. Yes. I have conducted an analysis of the regulatory mechanisms that are in place for
APS compared with those for the operating utility companies held by the proxy group.
Specifically, I examined the following factors that affect the regulatory risk of APS and
the proxy group companies: (1) test year convention; (2) rate base convention; (3) fuel
and purchased power cost recovery; (4) revenue decoupling; (5) capital cost recovery;
and (6) construction work in progress (CWIP) in rate base. The results of my analysis
are presented in Attachment-JMC-8DR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Source: RRA Financial Focus, *Energy utility capex predicted to top \$1 trillion from 2025-2029* (Mar. 26, 2025).

1 As shown in that Attachment, 45 percent of the operating companies in the proxy group 2 provide service in jurisdictions that allow the use of a fully or partially forecasted test 3 year, while APS is required to base its rates on a historical test year adjusted for known 4 and measurable changes. Fifty-eight percent of the operating companies in the proxy 5 group use year-end rate base like APS, while 42 percent are required to use average rate 6 base, which results in less timely recovery of capital expenditures that are placed in 7 service during the second half of the test year. Like APS, all of the operating companies 8 with the distribution and procurement function have fuel and purchased power 9 adjustment clauses that allow them to recover variations between actual and forecast 10 fuel costs. APS has a Lost Fixed Cost Recovery (LFCR) mechanism that allows the 11 Company to recover revenue that is lost due to energy efficiency and conservation 12 programs. While the LFCR reduces APS's volumetric risk to some degree, it is 13 important to consider that approximately 47 percent of the operating companies held by 14 the proxy group have either full or partial revenue decoupling mechanisms that protect 15 against volumetric risk. On a relative basis, APS has less protection against volumetric 16 risk than approximately half of the operating utilities held by the proxy group 17 companies. In addition, approximately 48 percent of the operating companies in the 18 proxy group have a cost recovery mechanism for generation capacity and about 42 19 percent have cost recovery for generic infrastructure replacement. APS has the ability 20 to recover transmission capital investment between rate cases and for some of its generation with the System Reliability Benefit Surcharge, approved in 2024. Together 21 22 these account for approximately 40 percent of the Company's capital investment.

Finally, approximately 65 percent of the operating companies held by the proxy group
 are allowed to include CWIP in rate base, while APS is not.

# Q. BASED ON THIS RISK ASSESSMENT, WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION REGARDING THE LEVEL OF REGULATORY RISK FOR APS RELATIVE TO THAT OF THE PROXY GROUP COMPANIES?

- 6 As discussed above and as shown in Attachment JMC-8DR, APS has greater regulatory A. 7 risk than the proxy group companies in terms of test year convention and volumetric 8 risk, and slightly more risk in terms of capital cost recovery. My conclusion is that APS 9 currently has somewhat greater regulatory risk than the proxy group companies. The 10 use of a historical test year increases regulatory lag and inhibits APS's ability to earn its 11 authorized ROE, and the absence of a revenue decoupling mechanism exposes the 12 Company to greater risk due to fluctuations in sales. I have not, however, made any 13 adjustments to the recommended ROE to account for these risks. Rather, these risks 14 demonstrate that my recommended ROE may be conservative.
- 15 C. Generation Risk

# 16 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S GENERATION 17 PORTFOLIO.

A. APS's current energy fuel mix includes nuclear (24.5%), gas and oil (22.8%, principally
 gas), coal (18.2%), renewables (2.9%) and purchased power (31.6%).<sup>42</sup> While APS is
 planning to shift its generation portfolio over the next several years, including the
 incorporation of larger amounts of new renewable, energy storage, and natural gas-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Source: Pinnacle West, 2024 Statistical Report, Arizona Public Service Company, at p. 8.

powered resources,<sup>43</sup> over the long-term the APS generation portfolio remains
 dependent on nuclear generation, including the second-largest nuclear generating
 facility in the country — Palo Verde Generating Station.

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH APS'S GENERATION

4

5

Q.

# PORTFOLIO.

6 In general, nuclear generation assets are subject to heightened risks including the A. 7 recovery of investors' capital in the event of a change in market structure or a plant 8 failure, and recovery of replacement power and repair costs in the event of an extended 9 or unplanned outage, given their scale. In addition, federal safety regulations present a 10 substantial risk of requiring investors to commit new capital to comply with new 11 regulations or operational restrictions or possibly closure. APS and its investors are 12 faced with the risk that new federal regulations will require it to expend additional 13 capital or face closure, and investors consider these risks in establishing their return 14 requirements. As shown in Attachment JMC-9DR, the Company's exposure to the risks 15 associated with nuclear generation is above the proxy group average. Measured on a net 16 generation basis approximately 36.2 percent of APS's net generation (on a MWh basis) 17 was derived from nuclear generation assets, compared to the proxy group average of 18 approximately 15.9 percent. This demonstrates that APS has greater than average risk 19 from nuclear generation relative to the proxy group companies, and therefore greater 20 generation risk. APS's nuclear risk is extenuated by the fact that, while it only owns 29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Source: Arizona Public Service website, <u>https://www.aps.com/en/About/Our-Company/Clean-Energy</u>, accessed (Mar. 24, 2025).

1		percent% of the Palo Verde Generating Station, as the plant operator the Company faces
2		the added risks associated with direct accountability for operations of the entire plant.
3		D. Wildfire Risk
4	Q.	DOES APS HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL BUSINESS RISKS?
5	A.	Yes, in addition to the risks discussed above, APS also has potential exposure to wildfire
6		risk in its service territory. Although APS has taken important steps to mitigate this risk,
7		such as system hardening and vegetation management, the Company provides service
8		in an area that faces potential wildfire risk.
9	Q.	HAVE CREDIT RATING AGENCIES AND INVESTORS IDENTIFIED
10		WILDFIRE RISK AS ONE OF THE KEY RISKS FOR UTILITIES SUCH AS
11		APS?
12	A.	Yes, they have. For example, S&P Global has indicated that all electric utilities in the
13		Western United States have risk associated with wildfires. S&P Global commented on
14		the Company's wildfire risk as follows:
15 16 17		APS' service territory is exposed to wildfire risk. The company continues to invest in wildfire mitigation activities including vegetation management; system hardening; technology, such as drones for asset
18 19		inspection; weather station installation; and stakeholder engagement. In addition, APS implemented advanced fire modeling software and has
20		established a fire mitigation department. Furthermore, APS has a public
21		safety power shutoff program. To date, the company has not experienced
22		however, we believe there is elevated exposure to wildfires in some parts
24		of its service territory. <sup>44</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Arizona Public Service Company (Apr. 1, 2025), at 2.

1	
2	Similarly, Moody's has also commented on wildfire risk for utilities in western states,

3

1

while tempering its view of wildfire risk for APS to some degree:

4 The credit profile of APS incorporates its exposure to wildfires within 5 its service territory, a risk that we view as moderate due primarily to the 6 company's robust mitigation strategies. Arizona is one of several states 7 that experiences well over 1,000 wildfires annually - ranging from 8 minor to severe — because of its unique topography and arid climate 9 conditions. APS has an established history of managing wildfire events 10 in its service territory, and has invested in system improvements over time to lower the potential for devastating wildfires. The utility's 11 12 comprehensive wildfire mitigation plan outlines measures for grid 13 hardening, enhanced vegetation management, situational awareness and 14 emergency response and operation. The escalating risk exposure and 15 shifting weather patterns are shaping the company's mitigation strategy, which undergoes regular updates based on data analysis and seasonal 16 observations.45 17

18 Warren Buffett, the long-time head of Berkshire Hathaway Inc., commented on wildfire

19 risk for electric utilities from an equity investor's perspective at his recent annual

20 shareholders' meeting in May 2025, as follows: "The public utility business is not as

21 good a business as it was a couple of years ago," Buffett said. "If anybody doesn't

22 believe that, they can look at Hawaiian Electric and look at Edison in the current

23 wildfires situation in California."<sup>46</sup>

24 While APS has minimal history of wildfires to date, major credit rating agencies identify

- it as a key risk, and the Company has experienced a substantial increase in the cost of
- 26

liability insurance in recent years. APS is requesting to recover its incurred wildfire

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Moody's Ratings, Credit Opinion, Arizona Public Service Company (March 25, 2025), at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Yahoo Finance Business Insider, *Warren Buffett is warning investors against piling into a traditionally safe area of the stock market* (May 5, 2025).

mitigation costs, which include higher insurance costs, and costs for system hardening and vegetation management. In addition, approved legislation defines the liability for wildfires in Arizona and establishes prudence standards with regard to how utilities manage this risk. APS is required to file a wildfire mitigation plan every even numbered year with the State Forester. If the Company's plan is approved, its liability for negligence claims would be based upon compliance with that plan, which will still require the adjudication of wildfire-related claims.<sup>47</sup>

# 8 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION WITH RESPECT TO WILDFIRE RISK FOR 9 APS?

10 My conclusion is that investors perceive wildfire risk as a key consideration for APS, A. 11 even though there is minimal history of wildfires in the Company's service territory. 12 APS has taken important steps to manage and mitigate this risk, but in my view, it is not 13 possible to entirely eliminate wildfire risk. Passage of legislation in Arizona is positive 14 from an investor perspective, because it defines the standard of care for utilities in 15 relation to wildfire planning. Notwithstanding the provisions of HB 2201, the costs and 16 potential liabilities around wildfires are significant and exposure to this risk is 17 escalating. From the perspective of financial risk, until liability for a fire is adjudicated 18 and the protections under the law can be validated through the legal process, which can 19 be a number of years, a Company can suffer significant operational and financial 20 impacts until resolved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> <u>https://www.azleg.gov/legtext/57leg/1R/bills/HB2201S.pdf</u>

1		E. Formula Rate Adjustment Mechanism
2	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FORMULA RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM
3		APS IS PROPOSING IN THIS RATE CASE.
4	A.	As discussed in the Direct Testimony of APS witness Jessica E. Hobbick, APS is
5		proposing to implement a FRAM that will cover a five year period. Key elements of the
6		proposed FRAM include:
7		1) APS may file five Annual Updates to adjust rates to ensure that the Company
8		does not earn more or less than its authorized return;
9		2) After the fifth update, APS must file a full rate case;
10		3) APS may request 12 months of projected plant in the Annual Updates; and
11		4) The FRAM would include an ROE deadband of +/- 20 basis points around
12		the authorized ROE. If the ROE falls within the deadband, there will be no
13		change to the FRAM. If the ROE is outside the deadband, then the revenue
14		requirement will change as needed to meet the authorized ROE.
15	Q.	PLEASE DISCUSS HOW THE PROPOSED FRAM AFFECTS THE RISK
16		PROFILE OF APS.
17	A.	The proposed FRAM, if approved by the Commission, would provide the Company
18		with greater ability to match its expenses with revenue recovery and reduce the
19		Company's regulatory lag. The proposed FRAM would therefore improve APS's ability
20		to earn its authorized ROE. As shown in Attachment JMC-10DR, many of the operating
21		companies held by the proxy group have implemented either a formula rate plan or a
22		multi-year rate plan. In Concentric's experience, this trend is likely to continue as both

regulators and stakeholders see benefits from stayout periods with appropriate
 guardrails (e.g., earnings sharing bands and financial monitoring). Approval of the
 proposed FRAM for APS would align the Company with this trend and the proxy group
 companies which have implemented similar rate plans.

# 5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION REGARDING HOW THE PROPOSED FRAM 6 AFFECTS APS'S RISK PROFILE?

7 My conclusion is that the proposed FRAM has the potential to be a constructive A. 8 regulatory mechanism that balances the interests of the Company and customers. More 9 timely recognition of costs in rates sends customers valuable information regarding their 10 energy costs and allows the Company to better align its cash flow with expenditures. As 11 the program is new and its approval and implementation have yet to unfold, my 12 preliminary view is that the FRAM is credit positive from an investor's standpoint and 13 would bring APS's risk profile in closer alignment with the peer group companies that 14 have a variety of rate mechanisms to address regulatory lag. Approval of the FRAM 15 would not cause equity investors to reduce their return requirements for APS because 16 the regulatory compact is intended to give utilities a reasonable opportunity to earn the 17 authorized ROE, whether under formula rates or traditional base rate cases.

# 18

## 19

Q.

# WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL CONCLUSION REGARDING APS'S BUSINESS RISK RELATIVE TO THE PROXY GROUP?

A. My conclusion is that APS has greater business risk than the proxy group due to its elevated capital spending program, the requirement to use a historical test year in Arizona, its higher reliance on nuclear generation assets, and its exposure to wildfire related risk. Like the proxy group companies, APS has regulatory mechanisms that mitigate certain business risks. On balance, my risk analysis indicates that APS's
business risk is currently somewhat greater than the proxy group, although the FRAM
has the potential to mitigate some of this risk differential by reducing the Company's
regulatory lag and enhancing its ability to earn its authorized ROE.

5 VIII.

#### **CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

#### 6 Q. WHAT COMMON EQUITY RATIO IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING?

A. APS is proposing a capital structure that includes a 52.35 percent common equity ratio
on a regulatory (rate-making) basis, which is based on the Company's actual capital
structure at the end of its Test Year (12-month period ending December 31, 2024). The
proposed equity ratio will enable APS to maintain its financial strength and credit rating
during this period of elevated capital spending, when the Company will require ongoing
access to debt and equity markets to finance its capital program. Mr. Bauer discusses
the requested capital structure in more detail in his Direct Testimony.

# 14 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOU EVALUATED THE REASONABLENESS OF 15 APS'S PROPOSED CAPITAL STRUCTURE RELATIVE TO THE PROXY 16 GROUP COMPANIES.

A. The proxy group has been selected to reflect companies that are comparable to APS in terms of business and financial risks. Therefore, it is appropriate to compare the capital structures of the proxy group companies to that proposed by APS in order to assess whether the Company's capital structure is reasonable and consistent with industry standards for companies with commensurate risk. I calculated the weighted average capital structures for each of the proxy group operating companies on a quarterly basis for the four quarters through Q4 2024. As shown in Attachment JMC-11DR, APS's
proposed capital structure is consistent with the actual financial/accounting capital
structures of the operating utilities held by the proxy group companies, which have mean
and median common equity ratios of 53.13 percent and 53.33 percent, respectively,
within a range from 44.56 percent to 60.31 percent.

# 6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU PERFORMED YOUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE 7 COMPARISON AT THE OPERATING COMPANY LEVEL.

8 A. Because the authorized equity ratio should reflect the business and operating risks of
9 the utility for which the authorized return is being set, it is appropriate to perform this
10 comparison at the operating utility company level.

# 11 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION CONCERNING THE APPROPRIATE 12 CAPITAL STRUCTURE FOR APS?

13 My conclusion is that APS's proposed capital structure, which includes a common A. 14 equity ratio of 52.35 percent, is slightly lower than the mean and median equity ratios 15 of the operating utilities held by the proxy group companies and is therefore reasonable. 16 It is important for APS to continue to have access to capital on reasonable terms and 17 conditions for the benefit of both customers and the utility. As discussed in Mr. Bauer's 18 Direct Testimony, the Company will have external financing requirements to fund its 19 capital expenditure program, and maintaining APS's financial strength is important so 20 that the Company is able to access capital on reasonable terms under a variety of 21 economic and capital market conditions.

# 1 IX. FAIR VALUE RATE OF RETURN

# 2 Q. WHAT IS THE FAIR VALUE STANDARD IN ARIZONA?

- 3 A. As the Commission noted in its decision regarding Chaparral City Water Company,<sup>48</sup>
- 4 the Arizona Constitution requires the use of a fair value rate base in establishing rates.
- 5 Article XV, Section 14 of the Arizona Constitution states:

6 The corporation commission shall, to aid it in the proper discharge of its 7 duties, ascertain the fair value of the property within the state of every 8 public service corporation doing business therein; and every public 9 service corporation doing business within the state shall furnish to the 10 commission all evidence in its possession, and all assistance in its power, 11 requested by the commission in aid of the determination of the value of 12 the property within the state of such public service corporation.<sup>49</sup>

- 14 As interpreted by the Arizona Court of Appeals, this paragraph requires the Commission
- 15 to find the fair value of a public service corporation's property and to use that value to
- 16 set just and reasonable rates.<sup>50</sup>

# 17 Q. DOES THE FAIR VALUE STANDARD REQUIRE CONSIDERATION OF THE

# 18 FAIR RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY'S ASSETS?

- 19 A. Yes. As noted above, the Arizona Constitution requires that the Commission establish
- 20 just and reasonable rates using the fair value of the Company's property. In establishing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> In re App. of Chaparral City Water Co. for a Determination of the Current Fair Value of Its Utility Plant and Property, et al., Docket No. W-02113A-04-0616, Decision No. 70441 (Jul. 28, 2008) (Decision No. 70441) at 20-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Ariz. Const. art. XV, § 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> In re App. of UNS Electric, Inc. for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges, et al., Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142, Decision No. 75697 (Aug. 18, 2016) (Decision No. 75697); In re App. of UNS Electric, Inc. for Approval of a Rate Increase, Docket No. E-04204A-09-0206, Decision No. 71914 (Sep. 30, 2010) at 48-49. See also Decision No. 70441 at 20-21.

1

2

the revenue requirement, the Commission would also need to establish the appropriate ROE to apply to the equity component of the FVRB.

# **3 Q. HOW HAS THE COMMISSION ESTIMATED THE FVROR ON THE FVRB?**

4 In several recent cases, the Commission has determined the FVROR by applying the A. 5 market ROE and the cost of debt to the Company's Original Cost Rate Base (OCRB) 6 based on the percent of equity and debt in the Company's proposed capital structure. 7 The Commission then applies a different rate, traditionally one half of the risk-free rate, to what has been commonly referred to as the "fair value increment."<sup>51</sup> The FVRB 8 9 Increment is the difference between the OCRB and the Company's proposed FVRB. 10 The FVROR is then the sum of the returns on each of the three components: (1) equity 11 capital; (2) debt capital; and (3) the fair value increment, weighted by the percentage of 12 each in the FVRB.

13

### Q. WHAT DOES THE FVRB REPRESENT?

A. As described in Decision No. 75697, the fair value increment represents the appreciation
in the value of the assets to their current value due to inflation. The sum of the OCRB
and the fair value increment is the total fair value of the utility's property.<sup>52</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> In re App. of Southwest Gas Corp. for Approval of a Rate App., et al., Docket No. G-01551A-07-0504, Decision No. 70665 (Dec. 24, 2008) at 32 and Decision No. 75697 at 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Decision No. 70665 (Dec. 24, 2008) at 32 and Decision No. 75697 at 14.

# 1Q.WHAT RATE OF RETURN SHOULD BE APPLIED TO THE FVRB2INCREMENT?

A. Based on the risk differential between equity and debt investments, equity holders will
require a greater return than the risk-free rate. As such, the range of returns on the FVRB
Increment should be between the risk-free rate and the cost of equity established by the
results of the proxy group analysis.

# 7 Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE METHODOLOGY TRADITIONALLY USED 8 BY THE COMMISSION, I.E., HALF THE RISK-FREE INTEREST RATE.

9 I have concerns with the use of one-half of the risk-free rate as the FVRB Increment. A. 10 Since equity investors are the residual claimants after bondholders and preferred 11 stockholders, I cannot reconcile why an investor would accept a rate of return that is 12 less than the cost of debt for an equity position in any investment. At the very least, the 13 market expectation is that investments that are not risk-free should earn a rate of return 14 that exceeds the risk-free rate. Furthermore, the application of 50 percent of the risk-15 free rate as a measure of the cost of equity on the FVRB Increment is subjective and has 16 no evident basis in financial theory. The risk-free rate sets the low-end of the range of 17 returns that I believe would be appropriate to apply to the FVRB Increment.

## 18 Q. HOW HAVE YOU ESTIMATED THE RETURN ON THE FVRB INCREMENT

- 19 IN THIS CASE?
- A. I have estimated the return on the FVRB Increment using three approaches, all based
  generally on the methodology that has been relied on by the Commission in prior cases.

# Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE METHODOLOGIES YOU USED TO ESTIMATE THE RISK-FREE RATE OF RETURN.

A. As shown in Attachment JMC-12DR, in all three cases, the risk-free rate is estimated
based on a projection of the nominal risk-free rate and an interest rate assumption to
establish the real risk-free rate. In the first two scenarios, I relied on a projected nominal
risk-free rate of return as the average of the 2026 to2030 projected yield on 30-year U.S.
Treasury bonds of 4.30 percent and the 2031 to2035 projected yield on 30-year U.S.
Treasury bonds of 4.20 percent as reported in the Blue Chip Financial Forecasts.<sup>53</sup> I then
adjusted the average nominal risk-free rate of 4.25 percent by a measure of inflation.

10 In scenario 1, the nominal risk-free rate was adjusted based on a projected estimate of 11 inflation that was based on the growth in the CPI and the GDP Chain-type Price Index 12 over the period from 2026 to 2035 (see Attachment JMC-12DR). The rate of inflation of 13 2.21 percent is based on three measures: (1) the average 2026 to 2030 and 2031 to 2035 14 projected growth rate in the CPI of 2.20 percent, as reported by Blue Chip Financial Forecasts;<sup>54</sup> (2) the compound annual growth rate of the CPI for all urban consumers 15 16 for 2026-2035 of 2.17 percent as projected by the Energy Information Administration 17 (EIA) in the Annual Energy Outlook 2023; and (3) the compound annual growth rate of 18 the GDP Chain-Type Price Index for 2026 to 2035 of 2.27 percent, also reported by the EIA in the Annual Energy Outlook 2023.<sup>55</sup> Using these indexes, the estimate of inflation 19

<sup>54</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, (Nov. 27, 2024) at 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> U.S. Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2023, Table 20 Macroeconomic Indicators (Note: There was no 2024 publication of the Annual Energy Outlook.)

was 2.21 percent. Removing inflation from the nominal risk-free rate resulted in a real
 risk-free rate of 1.99 percent.

In scenario 2, the estimate of inflation was based on the 2025 average yield on the 30year U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Security (TIPS), updated through March 31, 2025. This resulted in an estimate of inflation of 2.31 percent, which is similar to the estimate that has been relied on in recent cases before the Commission.<sup>56</sup> The resulting real risk-free rate after adjusting for inflation is 1.94 percent.

### 8 Q. DID YOU CONSIDER OTHER ESTIMATES OF THE RISK-FREE RATE?

9 A. Yes, in scenario 3, I have relied on a normalized risk-free rate, as recommended by Kroll
in its March 2025 update. Kroll recommends "a U.S. normalized risk-free rate of 3.5%
or the spot 20-year U.S. Treasury yield prevailing as of the valuation date."<sup>57</sup> Through
March 31, 2025, the 20-year Treasury yield has exceeded 3.50 percent, and has been an
average of 4.76 percent. This normalized interest rate of 4.76 percent is then converted
to a real rate using the yield on the TIPS of 2.31 percent. The resulting real risk-free rate
is 2.45 percent.

# 16 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION ON THE APPROPRIATE REAL RISK-FREE

17

### **RATE IN THIS CASE?**

18 A. The range established by the three methodologies that I developed is from 1.94 percent
19 to 2.45 percent and the average is 2.13 percent. As described at the outset, the market

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> In re App. of EPCOR Water Ariz. Inc. for a Determination of the Current Fair Value of Its Utility Plant and Property, Docket No. WS-01303A-17-0257, Joint Notice of Filing Issues Matrix (Jul. 13, 2018), p. at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Kroll, Kroll Cost of Capital Recommendations and Potential Upcoming Changes – March 2025 Update (Mar. 19, 2025) at 2.

expectation is that investments that are not risk-free should earn a rate of return that
exceeds the risk-free rate. Nonetheless, recognizing Commission practice to apply onehalf of the real risk-free rate as the return on the fair value increment, I have estimated
the real risk-free rate to be 2.13 percent. One-half of that estimate is 1.06 percent.

### 5 X. <u>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</u>

#### 6 Q. WHAT IS THE RANGE OF RESULTS PRODUCED BY THE VARIOUS COST

## 7 **OF EQUITY ANALYSES?**

8 A. Figure 13 summarizes the results of my DCF, CAPM, Risk Premium and Expected
9 Earnings analyses.

10

### Figure 13: Summary of ROE Model Results

DCF – 90-day Average	Results
Constant Growth – Mean	10.16%
CAPM – Forward MRP, Forecast Bond Yield	
Value Line Beta	14.31%
Bloomberg Beta – 5-year	11.29%
Bloomberg Beta – 10-year	12.61%
CAPM – Historical MRP, Current Bond Yield	
Value Line Beta	11.51%
Bloomberg Beta – 5-year	9.42%
Bloomberg Beta – 10-year	10.33%
CAPM Average	11.58%
Risk Premium – 30 Yr. U.S. Treasury	
30-Yr. U.S. Treasury – LT Forecast	10.45%
Expected Earnings - Median	10.71%
Mean of All Methods	10.73%

11

# Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR ROE RECOMMENDATIONS BASED ON THIS RANGE OF RESULTS.

3 The ROE analyses presented in my Direct Testimony indicate a range of results from A. 4 10.20 percent to 11.60 percent from a combination of models and alternative input 5 assumptions. Based on the results of all four methods (i.e., DCF, CAPM, Risk Premium 6 and Expected Earnings), and in light of the business risks of APS relative to the proxy 7 group, combined with my observations pertaining to capital market conditions, my 8 analyses support an authorized ROE of 10.70 percent for APS, just below the mean of 9 all methods. On balance, I believe that an authorized ROE of 10.70 percent and a 10 ratemaking capital structure that includes a common equity ratio of 52.35 percent 11 represents a reasonable estimate of the fair rate of return for APS. My analysis also 12 indicates that a rate of return on the FVRB Increment of 1.00 percent is reasonable, if 13 not conservative, for APS. This results in a FVROR of 4.84 percent.

# 14

Q.

# DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes, it does.



JAMES M. COYNE

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Coyne provides financial, regulatory, strategic, and litigation support services to clients in the natural gas, power, and utilities industries. Drawing upon his industry and regulatory expertise, he regularly advises utilities, public agencies and investors on business strategies, investment evaluations, and matters pertaining to rate and regulatory policy. Prior to Concentric, Mr. Coyne worked in senior consulting positions focused on North American utilities industries, in corporate planning for an integrated energy company, and in regulatory and policy positions in Maine and Massachusetts. He has authored numerous articles on the energy industry and provided testimony and expert reports before federal, state and provincial jurisdictions in the U.S. and Canada. Mr. Coyne holds a B.S. in Business from Georgetown University and an M.S. in Resource Economics from the University of New Hampshire.

#### AREAS OF EXPERTISE

#### Energy Regulation

- Rate policy
- Cost of capital
- Incentive regulation
- Fuels and power markets

#### Management and Business Strategy

- Fuels and power market assessments
- Investment feasibility
- Corporate and business unit planning
- Benchmarking and productivity analysis

#### Financial and Economic Advisory

- Valuation analysis
- Due diligence
- Buy and sell-side advisory

#### Litigation Support and Expert Testimony

- Rate and regulatory policy
- Fuels and power markets
- Contract litigation
- Valuation and damages



#### **PROFESSIONAL HISTORY**

**Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. (2006 – Present)** Senior Vice President Vice President

**FTI Consulting (Lexecon) (2002 – 2006)** Senior Managing Director – Energy Practice

Arthur Andersen LLP (2000 – 2002) Managing Director, Andersen Corporate Finance – Energy and Utilities

### Navigant Consulting, Inc. (1996 – 2000)

Managing Director, Financial Services Practice Senior Vice President, Strategy Practice

### TotalFinaElf (1990 - 1996)

Manager, Corporate Planning and Development Manager, Investor Relations Manager of Strategic Planning and Vice President, Natural Gas Division

#### Arthur D. Little, Inc. (1989 – 1990)

Senior Consultant – International Energy Practice

#### DRI/McGraw-Hill (1984 - 1989)

Director, North American Natural Gas Consulting Senior Economist, U.S. Electricity Service

**Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Council (1982 – 1984)** Senior Economist – Gas and Electric Utilities

# Maine Office of Energy Resources (1981 - 1982)

State Energy Economist

#### **EDUCATION**

**University of New Hampshire** M.S., Resource Economics, *with honors*, 1981

**Georgetown University** B.S., Business Administration and Economics, *cum laude*, 1975

#### **DESIGNATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS**

- Community Rowing Inc., Board of Directors, 2015 2019
- Georgetown University, Alumni Admissions Interviewer, 1988 current



- NASD General Securities Representative and Managing Principal (Series 7, 63 and 24 Certifications), 2001
- American Petroleum Institute, CEO's Liaison to Management and Policy Committees, 1994-1996
- National Petroleum Council, Regulatory and Policy Task Forces, 1992
- President, International Association for Energy Economics, Dallas Chapter, 1995
- Gas Research Institute, Economics Advisory Committee, 1990-1993
- NARUC, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program, Michigan State University, 1984

### **ARTICLES AND PUBLICATIONS**

- "Advancing FERC's Methodology for Determining Allowed ROEs for Electric Transmission Companies," submitted to FERC on behalf of EEI, James Coyne, Joshua Nowak and Julie Lieberman, May, 2020.
- "Regulator Rationale for Ratepayer-Funded Electricity and Natural Gas Innovation", James M. Coyne, Robert C. Yardley, Jr. and Jessalyn G. Pryciak, Energy Regulation Quarterly, Volume 6, Issue 3, 2018.
- "Stimulating Innovation on Behalf of Canada's Electricity and Natural Gas Consumers" (with Robert Yardley), prepared for the Canadian Gas Association and Canadian Electricity Association, May 2015.
- "Autopilot Error: Why Similar U.S. and Canadian Risk Profiles Yield Varied Rate-making Results" (with John Trogonoski), Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 2010
- "A Comparative Analysis of Return on Equity of Natural Gas Utilities" (with Dan Dane and Julie Lieberman), prepared for the Ontario Energy Board, June 2007
- "Do Utilities Mergers Deliver?" (with Prescott Hartshorne), Public Utilities Fortnightly, June 2006
- "Winners and Losers: Utility Strategy and Shareholder Return" (with Prescott Hartshorne), Public Utilities Fortnightly, October 2004
- "Winners and Losers in Restructuring: Assessing Electric and Gas Company Financial Performance" (with Prescott Hartshorne), white paper distributed to clients and press, August 2003
- "The New Generation Business," commissioned by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and distributed to EPRI members to contribute to a series on the changes in the Power Industry, December 2001
- Potential for Natural Gas in the United States, Volume V, Regulatory and Policy Issues (coauthor), National Petroleum Council, December 1992
- "Natural Gas Outlook," articles on U.S. natural gas markets, published quarterly in the Data Resources Energy Review and Natural Gas Review, 1984-1989



#### SPEAKING ENGAGEMENTS

- "The Market Risk Premium: An In-Depth Review", Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts 53<sup>rd</sup> Financial Forum, Richmond, VA, April 28,2022
- "Energy Sector in Transition", Ontario Energy Association, Toronto, ON, September 24, 2018.
- "Understanding Regulated Utilities in Today's Capital Markets", NARUC Annual Meeting, La Quinta, CA, November 14, 2016.
- "Rate of Return: Where the Regulatory Rubber Meets the Road," CAMPUT Annual Conference, Montreal, Quebec, May 17, 2016.
- "Innovations in Utility Business Models and Regulation", The Canadian Association of Members of Public Utility Tribunals (CAMPUT) 2015 Energy Regulation Course, Queens University, Kingston, Ontario, June 2015
- "M&A and Valuations," Panelist at Infocast Utility Scale Solar Summit, September 2010
- "The Use of Expert Evidence," The Canadian Association of Members of Public Utility Tribunals (CAMPUT) 2010 Energy Regulation Course, Queens University, Kingston, Ontario, June 2010
- "A Comparative Analysis of Return on Equity for Utilities in Canada and the U.S.", The Canadian Association of Members of Public Utility Tribunals (CAMPUT) Annual Conference, Banff, Alberta, April 22, 2008
- "Nuclear Power on the Verge of a New Era," moderator for a client event co-hosted by Sutherland Asbill & Brennan and Lexecon, Washington D.C., October 2005
- "The Investment Implications of the Repeal of PUCHA," Skadden Arps Client Conference, New York, NY, October 2005
- "Anatomy of the Deal," First Annual Energy Transactions Conference, Newport, RI, May 2005
- "The Outlook for Wind Power," Skadden Arps Annual Energy and Project Finance Seminar, Naples, FL, March 2005
- "Direction of U.S. M&A Activity for Utilities," Energy and Mineral Law Foundation Conference, Sanibel Island, FL, February 2002
- "Outlook for U.S. Merger & Acquisition Activity," Utility Mergers & Acquisitions Conference, San Antonio, TX, October 2001
- "Investor Perspectives on Emerging Energy Companies," Panel Moderator at Energy Venture Conference, Boston, MA, June 2001
- "Electric Generation Asset Transactions: A Practical Guide," workshop conducted at the 1999 Thai Electricity and Gas Investment Briefing, Bangkok, Thailand, July 1999
- "New Strategic Options for the Power Sector," Electric Utility Business Environment Conference, Denver, CO, May 1999
- "Electric and Gas Industries: Moving Forward Together," New England Gas Association Annual Meeting, November 1998
- "Opportunities and Challenges in the Electric Marketplace," Electric Power Research Institute, July 1998



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
Alberta Beverage Container Management Board						
Alberta Beverage Container Management Board	2016 2019	Expert for the Board	N/A	Return Margin on Bottle Depots		
Alberta Utilities Comn	nission					
ATCO Utilities Group	2008 2009	ATCO Gas; ATCO Pipelines Ltd.; ATCO Electric Ltd.	Application No. 1578571 / Proceeding ID. 85	2009 Generic Cost of Capital Proceeding (Gas & Electric)		
Enmax Power Corporation	2017	Enmax	22570	Cost of Common Equity		
Enmax Power Corporation	2020	Enmax	24110	2021 Generic Cost of Capital		
Enmax Power Corporation	2023	Enmax	27084	2024 and Beyond Cost of Capital Parameters		
American Arbitration	Associati	ion				
TransCanada Corporation	2004	TransCanada Corporation	AAA Case No. 50T 1810018804	Valuation of Natural Gas Pipeline		
British Columbia Utili	ties Com	nission				
FortisBC	2012	FortisBC Utilities	G-20-12	Cost of Capital Adjustment Mechanisms		
FortisBC	2015 2016	FortisBC Utilities	G-129-16	Cost of Capital (Gas and Electric Distribution)		
FortisBC	2022	FortisBC Utilities	G-217-22	Cost of Capital (Gas and Electric Distribution)		
California Public Utilities Commission						
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	2019	San Diego Gas & Electric Company	A-19-04-014	Cost of Capital (Electric & Gas Distribution)		



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	2021	San Diego Gas & Electric Company	A-21-08-014	Cost of Capital (Electric & Gas Distribution)
Southern California Gas Company	2022	Southern California Gas Company	A-22-04-011	Cost of Capital (Gas Distribution)
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	2022	San Diego Gas & Electric Company	A-22-04-012	Cost of Capital (Electric & Gas Distribution)
Canada Energy Regula	tor			
Enbridge Pipelines Inc.	2021	Enbridge Pipelines Inc.	RH-001-2020	Cost of Capital (Oil Pipeline)
Connecticut Departme	ent of Pub	lic Utility Control		
Aquarion Water Company of CT/ Macquarie Securities	2007	Aquarion Water Company of CT	DPUC Docket No. 07- 05-19	Return on Equity (Water)
Federal Energy Regula	itory Con	mission	•	
Atlantic Power Corporation	2007	Atlantic Path 15, LLC	ER08-374-000	Return on Equity (Electric)
Atlantic Power Corporation	2010	Atlantic Path 15, LLC	ER11-2909-000	Return on Equity (Electric)
Atlantic Power Corporation	2011	Atlantic Path 15, LLC	ER11-2909 and EL11-29	Rate of Return (Electric Transmission)
Startrans IO, LLC	2012	Startrans IO, LLC	ER-13-272-000	Cost of Capital (Electric Transmission)
Startrans IO, LLC	2015	Startrans IO, LLC	ER-16-194-000 and EL16-25-000	Cost of Capital (Electric Transmission)
Northern States Power Company	2019	Northern States Power Company	ER20-26-000	Cost of Capital (Electric Transmission)



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	2020	PP&l Industrial Customer Alliance v. PPL Electric	EL20-48-000	Answering Testimony in Response to a Section 206 ROE Complaint
South First Energy Operating Companies	2020	South First Energy Operating Companies	ER21-253-000	Cost of Capital (Electric Transmission)
DCR Transmission, L.L.C.	2023	DCR Transmission, L.L.C.	ER23000	Cost of Capital (Electric Transmission)
Florida Public Service	Commiss	sion		
Florida Power & Light Company	2021	Florida Power & Light Company	Docket No. 20210015-EI	Cost of Capital (Electric)
Georgia Public Service	Commis	sion		
Georgia Power Company	2022	Georgia Power Company	44280	Cost of Capital (Electric)
Hawaii Public Utility C	ommissi	on		
The Gas Company	2017	The Gas Company	Docket No. 2017- 0105	Cost of Capital (Gas Distribution)
Maine Public Utilities	Commiss	ion		
Bangor Hydro Electric Company	1998	Bangor Hydro Electric Company	MPUC Docket No. 98- 820	Transaction-Related Financial Advisory Services, Valuation
Central Maine Power Company	2007	Central Maine Power Company	MPUC Docket No. 2007-215	Sales Forecast
Enmax Corporation	2019	Enmax Corporation	2019-00097	Regulatory Approval of Emera Maine Acquisition
Versant Power	2021	Versant Power	MPUC Docket No. 2020-00316	Cost of Capital (Electric)
Versant Power	2022	Versant Power	2022-00255	Cost of Capital (Electric)



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT			
Versant Power	2024	Versant Power	2023-00336	Cost of Capital (Electric)			
Maryland State Board	of Contra	act Appeals					
Green Planet Power Solutions	2018	Green Planet Power Solutions and Maryland Bio Energy LLC v. Maryland Department of General Services	MSBCA 3061	Contract Litigation, Power Purchase Agreement, Damages Analysis			
Massachusetts Superio	or Court						
Burncoat Pond Watershed District	2010	Central Water District v. Burncoat Pond Watershed District	WDCV 2001-0105	Valuation/Eminent Domain			
Minnesota Public Utili	ties Com	mission	•				
Northern States Power Company	2015 2016	Northern States Power Company	E-002-GR-15-826	Cost of Capital (Electric)			
Northern States Power Company	2017	Northern States Power Company	E002/M-17-797 G002/M-17-787 E002/M-17-818	Cost of Capital (Electric and Gas Rate Riders for Transmission, Renewable Generation and Gas Distribution)			
New Brunswick Energ	y and Uti	lities Board					
Liberty Utilities (Gas New Brunswick) LP	2021	Liberty Utilities (Gas New Brunswick) LP	491	Cost of Capital (Gas)			
Newfoundland and Labrador Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities							
Newfoundland Power	2016	Newfoundland Power	2016 GRA	Cost of Capital (Electric)			
Newfoundland Power	2018	Newfoundland Power	2018 GRA	Cost of Capital (Electric)			
Newfoundland Power	2021	Newfoundland Power	2021 GRA	Cost of Capital (Electric)			



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT			
Newfoundland Power	2024	Newfoundland Power	2025-2026 General Rate Application	Cost of Capital (Electric)			
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities							
Conectiv	2000- 2001	Atlantic City Electric Company	NJBPU Docket No. EM00020106	Transaction-Related Financial Advisory Services			
North Carolina Utilities Commission							
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	2023	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	E-7, Sub 1276	Return on Equity (Electric)			
Piedmont Natural Gas	2024	Piedmont Natural Gas	G-9, Sub 837	Return on Equity (Gas Distribution)			
Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board							
Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2012	Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2013 GRA	Return on Equity/Business Risk (Electric)			
Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2022	Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2022 GRA	Return on Equity/Business Risk (Electric)			
Eastward Energy Inc.	2023	Eastward Energy Inc.	M10960	Return on Equity/Business Risk (Gas)			
Public Utility Commission of Ohio							
Duke Ohio, Inc.	2022	Duke Ohio, Inc.	22-507-GA-AIR	Return on Equity (Gas)			
Public Utility Commission of Oregon							
Northwest Natural Gas	2023	Northwest Natural Gas	UG-490	Return on Equity (Gas)			



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT			
Ontario Energy Board							
Enbridge Gas Distribution and Hydro One Networks and the Coalition of Large Distributors	2009	Enbridge Gas Distribution and Hydro One Networks and the Coalition of Large Distributors	EB-2009-0084	Ontario Energy Board's 2009 Consultative Process on Cost of Capital Review (Gas & Electric)			
Enbridge Gas Distribution	2012	Enbridge Gas Distribution	EB-2011-0354	Industry Benchmarking Study and Cost of Capital (Gas Distribution)			
Enbridge Gas Distribution	2014	Enbridge Gas Distribution	EB-2012-0459	Incentive Regulation Plan and Industry Productivity Study			
Ontario Power Generation	2016	Ontario Power Generation	EB-2016-0152	Cost of Capital (Electric Generation)			
Ontario Power Generation	2020	Ontario Power Generation	EB-2020-0290	Capital Structure (Electric Generation)			
Enbridge Gas Distribution	2022	Enbridge Gas Distribution	EB-2022-0200	Capital Structure and Business Risk			
Ontario Energy Association	2024	Enbridge Gas, the Coalition of Large Distributors, Ontario Power Generation, and Upper Canada Transmission	EB-2024-0063	Cost of Capital (Electric, Gas, Transmission, Power Generation)			
Prince Edward Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission							
Maritime Electric Company	2015	Maritime Electric Company	UE20942	Return on Capital (Electric)			
Maritime Electric Company	2022	Maritime Electric Company	UE20946	Return on Capital (Electric)			
Maritime Electric Company	2024	Maritime Electric Company	UE21231	Weather Normalization Report			
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio							


SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	2022	Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	2022-00372	Cost of Capital (Gas Distribution)
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	2023	Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	22-507-GA-AIR	Cost of Capital (Gas)
Régie de l'énergie du (	<b>Juébec</b>			
Gaz Métro	2012	Gaz Métro	R-3809-2012	Return on Equity/Business Risk/ Capital Structure (Gas Distribution)
Hydro-Québec Distribution and Hydro- Québec TransÉnergie	2013	Hydro-Québec Distribution and Hydro- Québec TransÉnergie	R-3842-2013	Return on Equity/Business Risk (Electric)
Hydro-Québec Distribution	2014	Hydro-Québec Distribution	R-3905-2014	Remuneration of Deferral Accounts
Hydro-Québec Distribution and Hydro- Québec TransÉnergie	2015- 2017	Hydro-Québec Distribution and Hydro- Québec TransÉnergie	R-3897-2014	Performance-Based Ratemaking
South Carolina Public	Service C	ommission		•
Piedmont Natural Gas Company	2022	Piedmont Natural Gas Company	2022-89-G	Return on Equity (Gas Distribution)
Duke Energy Progress	2022	Duke Energy Progress	Docket No. 2022- 254-E	Return on Equity (Electric)
Duke Energy Carolinas	2024	Duke Energy Carolinas	2023-388-Е	Return on Equity (Electric)
South Dakota Public S	ervice Co	mmission		
Northern States Power Company-MN	2012	Northern States Power Company-MN	EL 11-019	Return on Equity



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Texas Public Utility Co	ommissio	n	1	
Texas New Mexico Power Company	2004	Texas New Mexico Power Company	PUC Docket No. 29206	Auction Process and Stranded Cost Recovery
U.S. Department of Co	mmerce			
Government of Québec	2017	Duty Investigation of Uncoated Groundwood Paper from Canada	PUC Docket No. 29206	Contracting for Renewable Resources, Market Analysis, Damages Analysis
Vermont Public Servic	e Board			
Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	2006	Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	VPSB Docket No. 7109	Models of Incentive Regulation
Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	2012	Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	Docket No. 7803A	Cost of Capital (Gas Distribution)
Green Mountain Power Corporation	2013	Green Mountain Power Corporation	Docket No. 8191	Return on Equity (Electric)
Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	2016	Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	Docket No. 8698/8710	Return on Equity (Gas Distribution)
Green Mountain Power Corporation	2017	Green Mountain Power Corporation	Docket No. Tariff-8677	Return on Equity (Electric)
Green Mountain Power Corporation	2018	Green Mountain Power Corporation	18-0974	Return on Equity (Electric)
Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	2023	Vermont Gas Systems, Inc.	23-0561	Return on Equity (Gas Distribution) Rebuttal
State Corporation of V	irginia			
Dominion Energy Virginia	2021	Virginia Electric and Power Company	PUR-2021-00058	Cost of Capital (Electric)
Wisconsin Public Serv	ice Comr	nission	•	
Wisconsin Power and Light Company	2007	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	PSCW Docket No. 6680-CE-170	Return on Equity (Electric)
Wisconsin Power and Light Company	2007	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	PSCW Docket No. 6680-CE-171	Return on Equity (Electric)



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Northern States Power Company	2011	Northern States Power Company	PSCW Docket No. 4220-UR-117	Return on Equity (Electric)
Northern States Power Company	2013	Northern States Power Company	PSCW Docket No. 4220-UR-119	Return on Equity (Gas & Electric)
Northern States Power Company	2015	Northern States Power Company	PSCW Docket No. 4220-UR-121	Return on Equity (Gas & Electric)
Northern States Power Company	2017 2019	Northern States Power Company	PSCW Docket No. 4220-UR-123, 4220-UR-124	Return on Equity (Gas & Electric)
Northern States Power Company	2021	Northern States Power Company	4220-UR-125	Cost of Capital (Electric, Affidavit)
Northern States Power Company	2023	Northern States Power Company	4220-UR-126	Cost of Capital (Electric & Gas)
Yukon Utilities Board				
ATCO Electric Yukon	2016	ATCO Electric Yukon	2016-2017 GRA	Return on Equity (Electric)

## PROXY GROUP SCREENING DATA AND RESULTS - FINAL PROXY GROUP

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]
					Positive						
					Growth						
					Rates from						
					at least two					%	
			S&P Credit		sources	Company		%	%	Regulated	Announced
			Rating		(Value Line,	Owns	%	Regulated	Regulated	Electric	Merger
			Between	Covered by	S&P Capital	Generation	Regulated	Operating	Electric	Operating	within 180
		Pays	BBB- and	More Than	IQ, and	Assets in	Revenue >	Income >	Revenue >	Income >	days from
Company	Ticker	Dividends	AAA	1 Analyst	Zacks)	Rate Base	70%	70%	80%	80%	3/31/25
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	98%	97%	85%	91%	No
Ameren Corporation	AEE	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	98%	87%	85%	No
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	97%	98%	100%	100%	No
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	95%	91%	90%	No
Entergy Corporation	ETR	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	97%	99%	98%	99%	No
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	Yes	BBB	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	Yes	BBB	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	Yes	A-	Yes	Yes	Yes	77%	88%	100%	100%	No
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	Yes	BBB	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
Portland General Electric Company	POR	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
PPL Corporation	PPL	Yes	A-	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	100%	94%	94%	No
Southern Company	SO	Yes	A-	Yes	Yes	Yes	91%	94%	79%	82%	No
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	Yes	BBB+	Yes	Yes	Yes	99%	100%	82%	86%	No

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[2] Source: S&P Capital IQ Pro

[3] Source: Yahoo! Finance and Zacks

[4] Source: Yahoo! Finance, Value Line Investment Survey, and Zacks

[5] Source: S&P Capital IQ Pro

[6] - [9] Source: Form 10-Ks; 2021-2023 three-year average

[7] - [9] Source: Form 10-Ks; 2021-2023 three-year average

[10] SNL Financial News Releases

## 30-DAY CONSTANT GROWTH DCF

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
Company		Annualized Dividend	Stock Price	Dividend Yield	Expected Dividend Yield	Value Line Earnings Growth	S&P Capital IQ Earnings Growth	Zacks Earnings Growth	Average Growth Rate	Mean Low ROE	Overall Mean ROE	Mean High ROE
Alliant Energy Corporation Ameren Corporation American Electric Power Company, Inc. Duke Energy Corporation Entergy Corporation Evergy, Inc. FirstEnergy Corp IDACORP, Inc. NextEra Energy, Inc. OGE Energy Corp. TXNM Energy, Inc. Portland General Electric Company PPL Corporation	LNT AEE DUK ETR EVRG FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL	\$2.03 \$2.84 \$3.72 \$4.18 \$2.40 \$2.67 \$1.70 \$3.44 \$2.27 \$1.69 \$1.63 \$2.00 \$1.09	\$63.18 \$99.12 \$105.20 \$17.26 \$84.52 \$67.44 \$39.86 \$114.74 \$71.07 \$44.89 \$52.10 \$44.13 \$34.71	3.21% 2.87% 3.54% 3.56% 4.27% 3.96% 4.27% 3.00% 3.19% 3.75% 3.13% 4.53% 3.14%	3.32% 2.96% 3.65% 3.68% 4.08% 4.40% 3.11% 3.31% 3.87% 3.20% 4.64% 3.25%	6.00% 6.50% 6.00% 3.00% 7.50% 5.50% 6.00% 8.50% 6.50% 4.00% 5.50% 7.50%	6.75% 7.00% 6.79% 6.30% 8.88% 5.71% 6.93% 8.61% 7.54% 6.00% 7.25% 6.00% 7.18%	6.70% 6.30% 6.30% 9.50% 5.70% 6.90% 8.50% 7.80% 6.10% 3.00% 3.40% 6.80%	6.48% 6.73% 6.53% 6.20% 6.44% 7.70% 7.95% 6.20% 4.75% 4.97% 7.16%	9.31% 9.46% 9.95% 9.67% 5.88% 9.77% 9.88% 9.09% 10.85% 9.87% 6.18% 8.01% 10.05%	9.80% 9.70% 10.18% 9.88% 10.07% 10.39% 10.85% 10.82% 11.26% 10.07% 7.95% 9.61% 10.41%	10.07% 9.97% 10.45% 9.98% 12.47% 11.61% 11.34% 11.74% 11.82% 10.38% 10.49% 10.67% 10.76%
Southern Company Xcel Energy Inc.	SO XEL	\$2.88 \$2.28	\$89.46 \$69.59	3.22% 3.28%	3.32% 3.39%	6.50% 6.50%	6.00% 7.00%	6.50% 6.90%	6.33% 6.80%	9.32% 9.88%	9.65% 10.19%	9.82% 10.39%
MEAN				3.43%	3.54%	6.13%	6.93%	6.47%	6.51%	9.14%	10.05%	10.80%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals 30-day average as of March 31, 2025

[3] Equals [1] / [2]

[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x [8])

[5] Source: Value Line

[6] Source: S&P Capital IQ

[7] Source: Zacks

[8] Equals Average ([5], [6], [7])

[9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Minimum ([5], [6], [7])) + Minimum ([5], [6], [7])

[10] Equals [4] + [8]

[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Maximum ([5], [6], [7])) + Maximum ([5], [6], [7])

## 90-DAY CONSTANT GROWTH DCF

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
Company		Annualized Dividend	Stock Price	Dividend Yield	Expected Dividend Yield	Value Line Earnings Growth	S&P Capital IQ Earnings Growth	Zacks Earnings Growth	Average Growth Rate	Mean Low ROE	Overall Mean ROE	Mean High ROE
Alliant Energy Corporation Ameren Corporation American Electric Power Company, Inc. Duke Energy Corporation Entrgy Corporation Evergy, Inc. FirstEnergy Corp IDACORP, Inc. NextEra Energy, Inc.	LNT AEE DUK ETR FE IDA NEE	\$2.03 \$2.84 \$3.72 \$4.18 \$2.40 \$2.67 \$1.70 \$3.44 \$2.27	\$61.10 \$94.60 \$99.20 \$113.12 \$80.08 \$64.54 \$40.14 \$112.77 \$71.90	3.32% 3.00% 3.75% 3.70% 3.00% 4.14% 4.24% 3.05% 3.15%	3.43% 3.10% 3.87% 3.81% 3.10% 4.27% 4.37% 3.17% 3.17%	6.00% 6.50% 6.00% 3.00% 7.50% 5.50% 6.00% 8.50%	6.75% 7.00% 6.79% 6.30% 8.88% 5.71% 6.93% 8.61% 7.54%	6.70% 6.70% 6.30% 9.50% 5.70% 6.90% 8.50% 7.80%	6.48% 6.73% 6.53% 6.20% 7.13% 6.30% 6.44% 7.70% 7.95%	9.42% 9.60% 10.17% 9.81% 6.04% 9.95% 9.85% 9.14% 10.81%	9.91% 9.84% 10.40% 10.01% 10.23% 10.57% 10.81% 10.87% 11.22%	10.18% 10.11% 10.67% 10.11% 12.64% 11.79% 11.31% 11.79%
OGE Energy Corp. TXNM Energy, Inc. Portland General Electric Company PPL Corporation Southern Company Xcel Energy Inc.	OGE TXNM POR PPL SO XEL	\$1.69 \$1.63 \$2.00 \$1.09 \$2.88 \$2.28	\$43.14 \$49.67 \$43.80 \$33.72 \$86.09 \$68.51	3.91% 3.28% 4.57% 3.23% 3.35% 3.35% 3.33%	4.03% 3.36% 4.68% 3.35% 3.45% 3.44%	6.50% 4.00% 5.50% 7.50% 6.50% 6.50%	6.00% 7.25% 6.00% 7.18% 6.00% 7.00%	6.10% 3.00% 3.40% 6.80% 6.50% 6.90%	6.20% 4.75% 4.97% 7.16% 6.33% 6.80%	10.02% 6.33% 8.04% 10.14% 9.45% 9.94%	10.23% 8.11% 9.65% 10.51% 9.78% 10.24%	10.53% 10.65% 10.70% 10.85% 9.95% 10.44%
MEAN				3.53%	3.65%	6.13%	6.93%	6.47%	6.51%	9.25%	10.16%	10.90%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals 90-day average as of March 31, 2025

[3] Equals [1] / [2]

[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x [8])

[5] Source: Value Line

[6] Source: S&P Capital IQ

[7] Source: Zacks

[8] Equals Average ([5], [6], [7])

[9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Minimum ([5], [6], [7])) + Minimum ([5], [6], [7])

[10] Equals [4] + [8]

[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Maximum ([5], [6], [7])) + Maximum ([5], [6], [7])

## 180-DAY CONSTANT GROWTH DCF

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
Company		Annualized Dividend	Stock Price	Dividend Yield	Expected Dividend Yield	Value Line Earnings Growth	S&P Capital IQ Earnings Growth	Zacks Earnings Growth	Average Growth Rate	Mean Low ROE	Overall Mean ROE	Mean High ROE
Alliant Energy Corporation Ameren Corporation American Electric Power Company, Inc. Duke Energy Corporation Entergy Corporation Evergy, Inc. FirstEnergy Corp IDACORP, Inc. NextEra Energy, Inc. OGE Energy Corp. TXNM Energy, Inc. Portland General Electric Company	LNT AEE DUK ETR EVRG FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR	\$2.03 \$2.84 \$3.72 \$4.18 \$2.40 \$2.67 \$1.70 \$3.44 \$2.27 \$1.69 \$1.63 \$2.00	\$59.77 \$89.26 \$98.96 \$113.36 \$71.72 \$62.13 \$41.39 \$107.83 \$75.70 \$41.52 \$45.96 \$45.57	3.40% 3.18% 3.69% 3.35% 4.30% 4.11% 3.19% 2.99% 4.06% 3.55% 4.39%	3.51% 3.29% 3.88% 3.47% 4.43% 4.24% 3.31% 3.11% 4.18% 3.63% 4.50%	6.00% 6.50% 6.00% 3.00% 7.50% 5.50% 6.00% 8.50% 4.00% 5.50%	6.75% 7.00% 6.79% 6.30% 8.88% 5.71% 6.93% 8.61% 7.54% 6.00% 7.25% 6.00%	6.70% 6.30% 6.30% 9.50% 5.70% 6.90% 8.50% 7.80% 6.10% 3.00% 3.40%	6.48% 6.73% 6.20% 7.13% 6.30% 6.44% 7.70% 7.95% 6.20% 4.75% 4.97%	9.50% 9.79% 10.18% 9.80% 6.40% 10.12% 9.72% 9.29% 10.64% 10.18% 6.60% 7.86%	9.99% 10.02% 10.41% 10.00% 10.59% 10.68% 11.02% 11.06% 10.38% 8.38% 9.46%	10.26% 10.29% 10.68% 10.10% 13.01% 11.96% 11.96% 11.94% 11.62% 10.69% 10.92% 10.52%
PPL Corporation Southern Company Xcel Energy Inc.	PPL SO XEL	\$1.09 \$2.88 \$2.28	\$32.67 \$86.95 \$65.28	3.34% 3.31% 3.49%	3.46% 3.42% 3.61%	7.50% 6.50% 6.50%	7.18% 6.00% 7.00%	6.80% 6.50% 6.90%	7.16% 6.33% 6.80%	10.25% 9.41% 10.11%	10.62% 9.75% 10.41%	10.96% 9.92% 10.61%
MEAN				3.61%	3.72%	6.13%	6.93%	6.47%	6.51%	9.32%	10.23%	10.98%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals 180-day average as of March 31, 2025

[3] Equals [1] / [2]

[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x [8])

[5] Source: Value Line

[6] Source: S&P Capital IQ

[7] Source: Zacks

[8] Equals Average ([5], [6], [7])

[9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Minimum ([5], [6], [7])) + Minimum ([5], [6], [7])

[10] Equals [4] + [8]

[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Maximum ([5], [6], [7])) + Maximum ([5], [6], [7])

		[1]	[2]	[3]
		Bloomberg - 5 yr	Bloomberg - 10 yr	Value Line
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	0.667	0.769	0.950
Ameren Corporation	AEE	0.663	0.734	0.900
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	0.598	0.732	0.850
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	0.562	0.705	0.900
Entergy Corporation	ETR	0.702	0.839	1.000
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	0.634	0.769	0.950
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	0.669	0.774	0.900
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	0.646	0.765	0.850
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	0.795	0.795	1.050
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	0.769	0.897	1.050
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	0.513	0.807	0.900
Portland General Electric Company	POR	0.670	0.766	0.950
PPL Corporation	PPL	0.738	0.917	1.100
Southern Company	SO	0.640	0.761	0.950
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	0.613	0.716	0.850
Average		0.659	0.783	0.943

BETA AS OF MARCH 31, 2025

Notes: [1] - [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, adjusted beta with five-year and ten-year weekly changes against SPX Index [3] Source: Value Line

[1] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Dividend Yield
[2] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Growth Rate



		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	Ticker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
LyondellBasell Industries NV	LYB	0.05%	7.61%	0.00%	-1.50%	0.00%
American Express Co	AXP	0.40%	1.22%	0.00%	9.00%	0.04%
Verizon Communications Inc	VZ	0.41%	5.97%	0.02%	0.50%	0.00%
Lexas Pacific Land Corp	IPL	0.06%	0.48%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Broadcom Inc	AVGO	1.68%	1.41%	0.02%	22.00%	0.37%
Boeing Co/The	BA	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Solvenium Corp	SOLV	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a 10.50%	n/a
December 2 Co		0.34%	1.71%	0.01%	10.50%	0.04%
Chowen Corn		0.62%	2.20%	0.03%	6.00%	0.12%
	602	0.62%	4.09%	0.03%	4.00%	0.02%
		0.00%	2.0370	0.02%	7.00%	0.05%
ADD VIE IIIC	ADDV	0.79%	3.1370	0.02%	7.00%	0.00%
	CPAV	0.30%	n/a	0.00%	15 50%	0.12 %
Extra Space Storage Inc.	EVP	0.03%	1 36%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%
Extra Space Storage Inc Extra Mobil Corp	XOM	1 10%	3 33%	0.00%	-2.50%	-0.03%
Philling 66	DSX	0.11%	3 73%	0.04%	-2.30%	-0.03%
General Electric Co	GE	0.46%	0.72%	0.00%	21.00%	0.00%
HP Inc		0.40%	1 18%	0.00%	5 50%	0.10%
Home Denot Inc/The		0.78%	2 51%	0.00%	6 50%	0.00%
Monolithic Power Systems Inc	MPWR	0.76%	1.08%	0.02%	12 00%	0.03%
International Business Machines Corp	IBM	0.00%	2.69%	0.00%	3.00%	0.01%
	IDIVI	0.45%	2.09%	0.01%	4.50%	0.01%
Jululomon Athlatica Inc.		0.03%	2.99%	0.03%	4.30%	0.04%
Ludiemon Athenica inc	LULU	0.07%	11/a 0.070/	0.019/	9 500%	0.01%
Morok & Collip	MDK	0.40%	2.2770	0.01%	0.00%	0.04%
		0.40%	3.0170	0.02%	13.30%	0.07%
JIVI CO American Water Warks Co. Inc.		0.17%	1.99%	0.00%	25.50%	0.04%
American water works to inc	AVVK	0.06%	2.07%	0.00%	4.50%	0.00%
Bank of America Corp	BAC	0.68%	2.49%	0.02%	7.00%	0.05%
Plizer inc	PFE	0.31%	0.79%	0.02%	7.50%	0.02%
Procter & Gamble Co/ I ne	PG	0.85%	2.36%	0.02%	5.00%	0.04%
		0.43%	3.93%	0.02%	6.50%	0.03%
Travelers Cos Inc/The	IRV	0.13%	1.59%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
RIX Corp	RIX	0.38%	1.90%	0.01%	12.00%	0.05%
Analog Devices Inc	ADI	0.21%	1.96%	0.00%	9.00%	0.02%
Walmart Inc	WMT	1.50%	1.07%	0.02%	9.50%	0.14%
Cisco Systems Inc	CSCO	0.52%	2.66%	0.01%	5.50%	0.03%
Intel Corp	INTC	0.21%	n/a	n/a	-2.00%	0.00%
General Motors Co	GM	0.10%	1.02%	0.00%	7.50%	0.01%
Microsoft Corp	MSFT	5.95%	0.88%	0.05%	14.50%	0.86%
Dollar General Corp	DG	0.04%	2.68%	0.00%	-0.50%	0.00%
Cigna Group/The	CI	0.19%	1.84%	0.00%	11.00%	0.02%
Kinder Morgan Inc	KMI	0.14%	4.03%	0.01%	9.00%	0.01%
Citigroup Inc	С	0.28%	3.16%	0.01%	3.00%	0.01%
American International Group Inc	AIG	0.11%	1.84%	0.00%	12.50%	0.01%
Altria Group Inc	MO	0.22%	6.80%	0.01%	6.00%	0.01%
HCA Healthcare Inc	HCA	0.18%	0.83%	0.00%	10.00%	0.02%
International Paper Co	IP	0.06%	3.47%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co	HPE	0.04%	3.37%	0.00%	5.50%	0.00%
Abbott Laboratories	ABT	0.49%	1.78%	0.01%	4.50%	0.02%
Aflac Inc	AFL	0.13%	2.09%	0.00%	8.50%	0.01%
Air Products and Chemicals Inc	APD	0.14%	2.43%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Super Micro Computer Inc	SMCI	0.04%	n/a	n/a	39.00%	0.02%
Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd	RCL	n/a	1.46%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hess Corp	HES	0.10%	1.25%	0.00%	7.50%	0.01%
Lennox International Inc	LII	0.04%	0.82%	0.00%	12.50%	0.01%
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co	ADM	0.05%	4.25%	0.00%	3.00%	0.00%
Automatic Data Processing Inc	ADP	0.26%	2.02%	0.01%	8.50%	0.02%
Verisk Analytics Inc	VRSK	0.09%	0.60%	0.00%	11.00%	0.01%
AutoZone Inc	AZO	0.14%	n/a	n/a	11.50%	0.02%
Linde PLC	LIN	0.47%	1.29%	0.01%	7.00%	0.03%
Avery Dennison Corp	AVY	0.03%	1.98%	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%
Enphase Energy Inc	ENPH	0.02%	n/a	n/a	6.50%	0.00%
MSCI Inc	MSCI	0.09%	1.27%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
Ball Corp	BALL	0.03%	1.54%	0.00%	10.50%	0.00%
Axon Enterprise Inc	AXON	0.09%	n/a	n/a	26.00%	0.02%
Dayforce Inc	DAY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Carrier Global Corp	CARR	0.12%	1.42%	0.00%	13.00%	0.02%
Bank of New York Mellon Corp/The	BK	0.13%	2.24%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Otis Worldwide Corp	OTIS	0.09%	1.51%	0.00%	10.00%	0.01%
Baxter International Inc	BAX	0.04%	1.99%	0.00%	3.50%	0.00%
Becton Dickinson & Co	BDX	0.14%	1.82%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
Berkshire Hathaway Inc	BRK/B	1.52%	n/a	n/a	9.00%	0.14%
Best Buy Co Inc	BBY	0.03%	5.16%	0.00%	1.00%	0.00%
Boston Scientific Corp	BSX	0.32%	n/a	n/a	12.50%	0.04%

[1] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Dividend Yield [2] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Growth Rate



		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	Ticker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co	BMY	0.26%	4.07%	0.01%	2 50%	0.01%
Brown-Forman Corp	BE/B	0.02%	2.67%	0.00%	14 00%	0.00%
Coterra Energy Inc	CTRA	0.05%	3.04%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc	HLT	n/a	0.26%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Carnival Corp	CCL	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Builders FirstSource Inc	BLDR	0.03%	n/a	n/a	4.50%	0.00%
UDR Inc	UDR	0.03%	3.81%	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
Clorox Co/The	CLX	0.04%	3.31%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Paycom Software Inc	PAYC	0.03%	0.69%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%
CMS Energy Corp	CMS	0.05%	2.89%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
EDAM Systems Inc.	EDAM	0.10%	2.22%	0.00%	10.00%	0.02%
Conagra Brands Inc	CAG	0.02 %	5 25%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%
Airbnb Inc	ABNB	0.11%	n/a	n/a	23.00%	0.03%
Consolidated Edison Inc	ED	0.08%	3.07%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Corning Inc	GLW	0.08%	2.45%	0.00%	23.00%	0.02%
GoDaddy Inc	GDDY	0.05%	n/a	n/a	12.50%	0.01%
Cummins Inc	CMI	0.09%	2.32%	0.00%	8.50%	0.01%
Caesars Entertainment Inc	CZR	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Danaher Corp	DHR	0.31%	0.62%	0.00%	2.00%	0.01%
Target Corp	TGT	0.10%	4.29%	0.00%	8.00%	0.01%
Williams-Sonoma Inc	WSM	0.04%	1.67%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Deere & Co	DE	0.27%	1.38%	0.00%	3.00%	0.01%
Dominion Energy inc		0.10%	4.70%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Alliant Energy Corp	LNT	0.03%	3 15%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Steel Dynamics Inc	STLD	0.04%	1.60%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%
Duke Energy Corp	DUK	0.20%	3.43%	0.01%	6.00%	0.01%
Regency Centers Corp	REG	0.03%	3.82%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Eaton Corp PLC	ETN	0.23%	1.53%	0.00%	11.00%	0.02%
Ecolab Inc	ECL	0.15%	1.03%	0.00%	10.50%	0.02%
Revvity Inc	RVTY	0.03%	0.26%	0.00%	-1.50%	0.00%
Dell Technologies Inc	DELL	0.07%	2.30%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%
Emerson Electric Co	EMR	0.13%	1.92%	0.00%	11.00%	0.01%
EOG Resources Inc	EOG	0.15%	3.04%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
Aon PLC	AON	0.18%	0.68%	0.00%	12.50%	0.02%
Entergy Corp		0.06%	2.0170	0.00%	3.00% 7.00%	0.00%
FOT Corp	FOT	0.00 %	1 18%	0.00 %	n/a	0.00 %
IQVIA Holdings Inc		0.07%	n/a	n/a	9.00%	0.01%
Gartner Inc	IT	0.07%	n/a	n/a	8.00%	0.01%
FedEx Corp	FDX	0.12%	2.26%	0.00%	9.00%	0.01%
Brown & Brown Inc	BRO	0.08%	0.48%	0.00%	12.50%	0.01%
Ford Motor Co	F	0.08%	1.50%	0.00%	17.00%	0.01%
NextEra Energy Inc	NEE	0.31%	3.20%	0.01%	8.50%	0.03%
Franklin Resources Inc	BEN	0.02%	6.65%	0.00%	4.00%	0.00%
Garmin Ltd	GRMN	0.09%	1.00%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
	FUX	0.12%	2.07%	0.00%	n/a	0.02%
Dexcom Inc	DXCM	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
General Dynamics Corp	GD	0.16%	2.20%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
General Mills Inc	GIS	0.07%	4.01%	0.00%	4.50%	0.00%
Genuine Parts Co	GPC	0.04%	3.46%	0.00%	3.50%	0.00%
Atmos Energy Corp	ATO	0.05%	2.25%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
WW Grainger Inc	GWW	0.10%	0.83%	0.00%	5.00%	0.01%
Halliburton Co	HAL	0.05%	2.68%	0.00%	16.00%	0.01%
L3Harris Technologies Inc	LHX	0.08%	2.29%	0.00%	9.00%	0.01%
Healthpeak Properties Inc	DOC	0.03%	6.03%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Fortive Corp	FODD	0.05%	0.44%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Hershev Co/The	HSY	0.05%	3 20%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
Synchrony Financial	SYF	0.04%	1.89%	0.00%	47.00%	0.02%
Hormel Foods Corp	HRL	0.04%	3.75%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Arthur J Gallagher & Co	AJG	0.19%	0.75%	0.00%	16.50%	0.03%
Mondelez International Inc	MDLZ	0.19%	2.77%	0.01%	7.50%	0.01%
CenterPoint Energy Inc	CNP	0.05%	2.43%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Humana Inc	HUM	0.07%	1.34%	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%
Willis Towers Watson PLC	WTW	0.07%	1.09%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
IIIInois I ool Works Inc	ITW	0.16%	2.42%	0.00%	9.00%	0.01%
Trane Technologies PLC		0.00%	1.00%	0.00%	1/ 00%	0.00%
Internublic Group of Cos Inc/The	IPG	0.10%	4.86%	0.00%	8.50%	0.02%
International Flavors & Fragrances Inc	IFF	0.04%	2.06%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%
Generac Holdings Inc	GNRC	0.02%	n/a	n/a	18.00%	0.00%
NXP Semiconductors NV	NXPI	0.10%	2.13%	0.00%	6.50%	0.01%

[1] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Dividend Yield [2] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Growth Rate



		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	Ticker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
Kellanova	K	0.06%	2.76%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%
Broadridge Financial Solutions Inc	BR	0.06%	1.45%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
Kimberly-Clark Corp	KMB	0.10%	3.54%	0.00%	6.50%	0.01%
Kimco Realty Corp	KIM	0.03%	4.71%	0.00%	27.50%	0.01%
Oracle Corp	URCL	0.84%	1.43%	0.01%	10.00%	0.08%
Kroger Co/The	KR	0.10%	1.89%	0.00%	5.00%	0.01%
	LEN	0.00%	1.74%	0.00%	4.00%	0.00%
Ell Lilly & Co		1.67%	0.73%	0.01%	26.50%	0.44%
Charter Communications Inc	CHIR	0.11%	n/a	n/a	9.00%	0.01%
Loews Corp		0.04%	0.27%	0.00%	15.50%	0.01%
Lowe's Cos Inc		0.28%	1.97%	0.01%	6.00%	0.02%
		0.04%	1.00%	0.00%	0.00% E E0%	0.00%
IDEA COIP March & Malionnan Cos Inc		0.03%	1.33%	0.00%	12 50%	0.00%
Massa Corp	MAS	0.20%	1.34 /0	0.00%	10.00%	0.03%
S&P Global Inc	SPGI	0.03%	0.76%	0.00%	8 50%	0.00%
S&F Global Inc	MDT	0.34 /0	3 12%	0.00%	6.00%	0.03%
Viatris Inc		0.23%	5.1270	0.01%	2.00%	0.01%
CVS Health Corp	CVS	0.02 /0	3.03%	0.00%	-2.00%	0.00%
DuPont do Nomours Inc	003	0.10%	2 20%	0.01%	10.50%	0.00%
Micron Tochnology Inc	MU	0.21%	0.53%	0.00%	30.00%	0.09%
Motorola Solutions Inc	MSI	0.21%	1.00%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Choe Global Markets Inc	CBOE	0.10%	1.00%	0.00%	12 50%	0.02%
Newmont Corp	NEM	0.03%	2.07%	0.00%	12.50%	0.01%
NIKE Inc		0.1270	2.07 %	0.00%	10 50%	0.01%
NiSource Inc	NI	0.10%	2.32 %	0.00%	8.00%	0.02%
Norfolk Southern Corp	NSC	0.04%	2.73%	0.00%	12 00%	0.00%
Principal Financial Group Inc	PEG	0.12 /0	3.56%	0.00%	12.00%	0.01%
	FS	0.04%	4.85%	0.00%	5.50%	0.00%
Northrop Grumman Corp	NOC	0.05%	4.03 %	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
Wolls Forge & Co	WEC	0.50%	2 220/	0.00%	0.50%	0.05%
Nucor Corp	NUE	0.30 /0	1.83%	0.01%	9.30 %	0.05 /0 n/a
Occidental Petroleum Corp		0.10%	1.03%	0.00%	6.00%	0.01%
Omnicom Group Inc	OMC	0.10%	3 38%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
	OKE	0.13%	4 15%	0.00%	14 50%	0.00%
Baymond Jamos Einangial Ing	DIE	0.06%	4.1370	0.00%	10.00%	0.0270
PG&F Corp	PCG	0.00%	0.58%	0.00%	9.00%	0.01%
Parker Hannifin Corn		0.17%	1 07%	0.00%	10.00%	0.01%
	ROI	0.17 %	1.07 %	0.00%	9.50%	0.02 %
PPI Corp	DDI	0.06%	3 02%	0.00%	7 50%	0.00%
Antiv PLC		0.00 /0 n/a	0.02 /0	0.0070 n/a	n/a	0.0070 n/a
ConocoPhilling	COP	0.28%	2 97%	0.01%	4.00%	0.01%
PulteGroup Inc	PHM	0.20%	0.86%	0.00%	8 50%	0.01%
Pinnacle West Canital Corn	PNW	0.02%	3 76%	0.00%	4 00%	0.00%
PNC Financial Services Group Inc/The	PNC	0.15%	3.64%	0.01%	7.00%	0.00%
PPG Industries Inc	PPG	0.05%	2 49%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
DoorDash Inc	DASH	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Progressive Corp/The	PGR	0.35%	0.14%	0.00%	23.50%	0.08%
Veralto Corp	VLTO	0.05%	0.45%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Public Service Enterprise Group Inc	PEG	0.09%	3.06%	0.00%	6.00%	0.01%
Cooper Cos Inc/The	000	0.04%	n/a	n/a	8.50%	0.00%
Edison International	EIX	0.05%	5.62%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Schlumberger NV	SLB	0.12%	2.73%	0.00%	18.00%	0.02%
Charles Schwab Corp/The	SCHW	0.30%	1.38%	0.00%	10.00%	0.03%
Sherwin-Williams Co/The	SHW	0.19%	0.90%	0.00%	12.00%	0.02%
West Pharmaceutical Services Inc	WST	0.03%	0.38%	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
J M Smucker Co/The	SJM	0.03%	3.65%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Snap-on Inc	SNA	0.04%	2.54%	0.00%	4.50%	0.00%
AMETEK Inc	AME	0.08%	0.72%	0.00%	10.00%	0.01%
Uber Technologies Inc	UBER	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Southern Co/The	SO	0.21%	3.13%	0.01%	6.50%	0.01%
Truist Financial Corp	TFC	0.11%	5.05%	0.01%	2.00%	0.00%
Southwest Airlines Co	LUV	n/a	2.14%	n/a	n/a	n/a
W R Berkley Corp	WRB	0.06%	0.45%	0.00%	14.50%	0.01%
Stanley Black & Decker Inc	SWK	0.03%	4.27%	0.00%	9.50%	0.00%
Public Storage	PSA	0.11%	4.01%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
Arista Networks Inc	ANET	0.21%	n/a	n/a	16.00%	0.03%
Sysco Corp	SYY	0.08%	2.72%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
Corteva Inc	CTVA	0.09%	1.08%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
Texas Instruments Inc	TXN	0.35%	3.03%	0.01%	6.50%	0.02%
Textron Inc	TXT	0.03%	0.11%	0.00%	12.00%	0.00%
Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc	TMO	0.40%	0.35%	0.00%	6.00%	0.02%
TJX Cos Inc/The	TJX	0.29%	1.40%	0.00%	12.50%	0.04%
Globe Life Inc	GL	0.02%	0.82%	0.00%	9.00%	0.00%
Johnson Controls International plc	JCI	0.11%	1.85%	0.00%	11.50%	0.01%

[1] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P	500 Dividend Yield



		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	licker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
Lite Reputy Inc.		0.04%	n/a	n/o	6.00%	0.00%
		0.04 %	2 27%	0.01%	8.00%	0.00%
Kevsight Technologies Inc	KEYS	0.06%	n/a	n/a	5.50%	0.02%
UnitedHealth Group Inc		1.02%	1.60%	0.02%	11.00%	0.00%
Blackstone Inc	BX	0.22%	4 12%	0.01%	16.00%	0.03%
Ventas Inc	VTR	0.06%	2 79%	0.00%	23.00%	0.01%
Labcorp Holdings Inc	LH	0.04%	1.24%	0.00%	1.50%	0.00%
Vulcan Materials Co	VMC	0.07%	0.84%	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
Weverhaeuser Co	WY	0.05%	2.87%	0.00%	-2.00%	0.00%
Williams Cos Inc/The	WMB	0.16%	3.35%	0.01%	9.50%	0.01%
Constellation Energy Corp	CEG	0.14%	0.77%	0.00%	17.50%	0.02%
WEC Energy Group Inc	WEC	0.07%	3.28%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Adobe Inc	ADBE	0.35%	n/a	n/a	13.50%	0.05%
Vistra Corp	VST	n/a	0.76%	n/a	n/a	n/a
AES Corp/The	AES	0.02%	5.67%	0.00%	14.00%	0.00%
Expeditors International of Washington Inc	EXPD	0.04%	1.21%	0.00%	-1.50%	0.00%
Amaen Inc	AMGN	0.36%	3.06%	0.01%	5.50%	0.02%
Apple Inc	AAPL	7.11%	0.45%	0.03%	11.00%	0.78%
Autodesk Inc	ADSK	0.12%	n/a	n/a	14.00%	0.02%
Cintas Corp	CTAS	0.18%	0.76%	0.00%	14.00%	0.02%
Comcast Corp	CMCSA	0.30%	3.58%	0.01%	7.50%	0.02%
Molson Coors Beverage Co	TAP	0.02%	3.09%	0.00%	11.50%	0.00%
KLA Corp	KLAC	0.19%	1.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.02%
Marriott International Inc/MD	MAR	0.14%	1.06%	0.00%	11.00%	0.02%
Fiserv Inc	FI	0.26%	n/a	n/a	9.50%	0.03%
McCormick & Co Inc/MD	MKC	0.04%	2.19%	0.00%	4.50%	0.00%
PACCAR Inc	PCAR	0.11%	1.36%	0.00%	14.50%	0.02%
Costco Wholesale Corp	COST	0.89%	0.49%	0.00%	11.50%	0.10%
Stryker Corp	SYK	0.30%	0.90%	0.00%	9.50%	0.03%
Tyson Foods Inc	TSN	0.04%	3.13%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Lamb Weston Holdings Inc	LW	0.02%	2.78%	0.00%	10.50%	0.00%
Applied Materials Inc	AMAT	0.25%	1.27%	0.00%	8.00%	0.02%
Cardinal Health Inc	CAH	0.07%	1.47%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Cincinnati Financial Corp	CINF	0.05%	2.36%	0.00%	14.00%	0.01%
Paramount Global	PARA	0.02%	1.67%	0.00%	3.00%	0.00%
DR Horton Inc	DHI	0.09%	1.26%	0.00%	3.50%	0.00%
Electronic Arts Inc	EA	0.08%	0.53%	0.00%	14.00%	0.01%
Erie Indemnity Co	ERIE	0.04%	1.30%	0.00%	18.00%	0.01%
Fair Isaac Corp	FICO	0.10%	n/a	n/a	20.50%	0.02%
Fastenal Co	FAST	0.09%	2.22%	0.00%	8.00%	0.01%
M&T Bank Corp	MTB	0.06%	3.02%	0.00%	5.50%	0.00%
Xcel Energy Inc	XEL	0.09%	3.22%	0.00%	6.50%	0.01%
Fifth Third Bancorp	FITB	0.06%	3.78%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Gilead Sciences Inc	GILD	0.30%	2.82%	0.01%	2.50%	0.01%
Hasbro Inc	HAS	0.02%	4.55%	0.00%	8.50%	0.00%
Huntington Bancshares Inc/OH	HBAN	0.05%	4.13%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
Welltower Inc	WELL	0.21%	1.75%	0.00%	22.00%	0.05%
Biogen Inc	BIIB	0.04%	n/a	n/a	1.00%	0.00%
Northern Trust Corp	NTRS	0.04%	3.04%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Packaging Corp of America	PKG	0.04%	2.52%	0.00%	9.00%	0.00%
Paychex Inc	PAYX	0.12%	2.54%	0.00%	8.00%	0.01%
QUALCOMM Inc	QCOM	0.36%	2.21%	0.01%	5.50%	0.02%
Ross Stores Inc	ROST	0.09%	1.27%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
IDEXX Laboratories Inc	IDXX	0.07%	n/a	n/a	10.50%	0.01%
Starbucks Corp	SBUX	0.24%	2.49%	0.01%	7.00%	0.02%
KeyCorp	KEY	0.04%	5.13%	0.00%	-1.50%	0.00%
Fox Corp	FOXA	0.03%	0.95%	0.00%	8.50%	0.00%
Fox Corp	FUX	n/a	1.02%	n/a	n/a	n/a
State Street Corp	511	0.06%	3.40%	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
Norwegian Cruise Line Holdings Lid	NCLH	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a 4.000/	n/a
US Bancorp	USB	0.14%	4.74%	0.01%	4.00%	0.01%
A O Smith Corp	AUS	0.02%	2.08%	0.00%	9.00%	0.00%
Gen Digital Inc	GEN	0.03%	1.88%	0.00%	10.50%	0.00%
Waste Management Inc	INUW	0.04%	0.03%	0.00%	0.00% 0 E00/	0.00%
waste management inc	VVIVI CT7	0.20%	1.43%	0.00%	0.5U%	0.02%
	512	0.07%	Z.ZU%	0.00%	1.50%	0.01%
Invesco Lla		0.01%	0.69%	0.00%	10.50%	0.00%
Morgon Stanlov	INTU	0.37%	0.00%	0.00%	13.50%	0.05%
worgan Stanley Microshin Tochnology Inc		0.40%	3.1/%	0.01%	13.50%	0.05%
microcrip recinology inc Crowdetrike Heldinge Inc	MCHP	U.Ub%	3.76%	0.00%	-0.50%	0.00%
Crowastrike Holaings Inc	CKWD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	CB	0.26%	1.21%	0.00%	11.00%	0.03%
Rologic Inc Citizene Einensiel Croup Inc	HULX	0.03%	n/a	ri/a	2.00%	0.00%
labil Inc		0.04%	4.10%	0.00%	0.00% 11 E0%	0.00%
	JDL	U,U.370	U.2470	0.0070	11.0070	0.0070

[1] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Dividend Yield



		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	Ticker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
		0				0
O'Reilly Automotive Inc	ORLY	0.17%	n/a	n/a	10.50%	0.02%
Allstate Corn/The		0.12%	1 93%	0.00%	29.00%	0.03%
Faulty Residential	FOR	0.06%	3.87%	0.00%	-3 50%	0.00%
Kourig Dr Depper Inc.	EQIT	0.10%	2.60%	0.00%	-0.50%	0.0070
Keung Dr Pepper Inc	KDP	0.10%	2.09%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
Host Hotels & Resorts Inc	HSI	0.02%	5.63%	0.00%	11.50%	0.00%
Incyte Corp	INCY	0.02%	n/a	n/a	33.50%	0.01%
Simon Property Group Inc	SPG	0.12%	5.06%	0.01%	3.50%	0.00%
Eastman Chemical Co	EMN	0.02%	3.77%	0.00%	3.50%	0.00%
AvalonBay Communities Inc	AVB	0.07%	3.26%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Prudential Financial Inc	PRU	0.08%	4.84%	0.00%	4.00%	0.00%
United Parcel Service Inc	UPS	0.17%	5 96%	0.01%	2 50%	0.00%
Walgreens Boots Alliance Inc	WBA	0.02%	n/a	n/a	_11.00%	0.00%
	STE	0.02%	1 0 1 0/	0.00%	9.009/	0.00%
Malfanan Cam	SIL	0.0378	0.400/	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Mickesson Corp	MCK	0.18%	0.42%	0.00%	10.00%	0.02%
Lockheed Martin Corp	LMI	0.22%	2.95%	0.01%	12.00%	0.03%
Cencora Inc	COR	0.11%	0.79%	0.00%	6.50%	0.01%
Capital One Financial Corp	COF	0.15%	1.34%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%
The Campbell's Company	CPB	0.03%	3.91%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
Waters Corp	WAT	0.05%	n/a	n/a	6.50%	0.00%
Nordson Corp	NDSN	0.02%	1.55%	0.00%	8.50%	0.00%
Dollar Tree Inc	DITR	0.03%	n/a	n/a	20.00%	0.01%
Dordon Rostaurants Inc	DRI	0.05%	2 70%	0.00%	10 50%	0.01%
		0.03%	2.70%	0.00%	7.50%	0.01%
Evergy Inc	EVRG	0.03%	3.87%	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
Match Group Inc	MICH	0.02%	2.44%	0.00%	11.00%	0.00%
NVR Inc	NVR	0.05%	n/a	n/a	1.50%	0.00%
NetApp Inc	NTAP	0.04%	2.37%	0.00%	9.00%	0.00%
Old Dominion Freight Line Inc	ODFL	0.07%	0.68%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
DaVita Inc	DVA	0.03%	n/a	n/a	10.50%	0.00%
Hartford Insurance Group Inc/The	HIG	0.08%	1 68%	0.00%	7.00%	0.01%
Iron Mountain Inc	IRM	0.05%	3.65%	0.00%	3 50%	0.00%
Estan Lauder Cos Inc/The	EI	0.03%	2 12%	0.00%	3 50%	0.00%
Cadanas Dasias Sustanas Inc	EL	0.03%	Z. 1Z 70	0.00%	3.30%	0.00%
Cadence Design Systems Inc	CDINS	0.15%	n/a	n/a	12.00%	0.02%
lyler lechnologies Inc	I YL	0.05%	n/a	n/a	8.00%	0.00%
Universal Health Services Inc	UHS	0.02%	0.43%	0.00%	13.00%	0.00%
Skyworks Solutions Inc	SWKS	n/a	4.33%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Quest Diagnostics Inc	DGX	0.04%	1.89%	0.00%	3.50%	0.00%
Rockwell Automation Inc	ROK	0.06%	2.03%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Kraft Heinz Co/The	KHC	0.08%	5.26%	0.00%	4.50%	0.00%
American Tower Corp	AMT	0.22%	3 13%	0.01%	11.00%	0.02%
Regeneren Pharmacouticals Inc	RECN	0.15%	0.56%	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%
Amozon com Inc		4 20%	0.0070	0.0070	2.00 /0	1.05%
	AWZIN	4.50 %	11/a 4.070/	0.000/	24.30%	1.03 /0
Jack Henry & Associates Inc	JKHT	0.03%	1.27%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%
Ralph Lauren Corp	RL	0.02%	1.49%	0.00%	12.00%	0.00%
BXP Inc	BXP	0.02%	5.83%	0.00%	1.50%	0.00%
Amphenol Corp	APH	0.17%	1.01%	0.00%	14.00%	0.02%
Howmet Aerospace Inc	HWM	0.11%	0.31%	0.00%	12.00%	0.01%
Valero Energy Corp	VLO	0.09%	3.42%	0.00%	-5.00%	0.00%
Synopsys Inc	SNPS	0.14%	n/a	n/a	12.00%	0.02%
CH Robinson Worldwide Inc	CHRW	0.03%	2.42%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Accenture PLC	ACN	0.42%	1 90%	0.01%	12 50%	0.05%
TransDiam Group Inc	TDG	0.17%	n/a	n/a	10.00%	0.03%
Yuml Brands Inc	VIM	0.00%	1 90%	0.00%	10.00%	0.01%
		0.09%	3 640/	0.00%	2 E00/	0.01%
	FLD	0.22%	3.0170	0.01%	2.30%	0.01%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	0.05%	4.40%	0.00%	5.50%	0.00%
VeriSign Inc	VRSN	0.05%	n/a	n/a	10.50%	0.01%
Quanta Services Inc	PWR	0.08%	0.16%	0.00%	17.50%	0.01%
Henry Schein Inc	HSIC	0.02%	n/a	n/a	8.00%	0.00%
Ameren Corp	AEE	0.06%	2.83%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
ANSYS Inc	ANSS	0.06%	n/a	n/a	9.50%	0.01%
FactSet Research Systems Inc	FDS	0.04%	0.92%	0.00%	9.00%	0.00%
NVIDIA Corp	NVDA	5.64%	0.04%	0.00%	41.00%	2.31%
Cognizant Technology Solutions Corn		0.08%	1 62%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%
Intuitive Curried Inc.	1000	0.0070	1.02 /0	0.0070	3.0070	0.01%
Take Two Internetive Cofference Inc.	ISKG	0.38%	n/a	n/a	14.00%	0.05%
Take-Two Interactive Software Inc	TIWO	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Republic Services Inc	RSG	0.16%	0.96%	0.00%	11.00%	0.02%
eBay Inc	EBAY	0.07%	1.71%	0.00%	11.50%	0.01%
Goldman Sachs Group Inc/The	GS	0.36%	2.20%	0.01%	11.50%	0.04%
SBA Communications Corp	SBAC	0.05%	2.02%	0.00%	19.00%	0.01%
Sempra	SRE	0.10%	3.62%	0.00%	6.00%	0.01%
Moody's Corp	MCO	0.18%	0.81%	0.00%	8,50%	0.02%
ON Semiconductor Corp		0.04%	n/9	n/9	4 50%	0.00%
Booking Holdings Inc		0.220/	0.83%	0.00%	22 00%	0.07%
EE Ino		0.02/0	0.0370	0.00 /0	10 000/	0.07 /0
FO IIIC Alexand Taskasla di sulta i	FEIV	0.03%	n/a	n/a	10.00%	0.00%
Akamal Technologies InC	AKAM	0.03%	n/a	n/a	0.00%	0.00%
Unaries River Laboratories International Inc	CRL	0.02%	n/a	n/a	4.50%	0.00%

[1] Cap. Weighted Estimate of the S&P 500 Dividend Yield



		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	Ticker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
MarketAxess Holdings Inc	MKTX	0.02%	1.41%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Devon Energy Corp	DVN	0.05%	2.57%	0.00%	1.50%	0.00%
Bio-Techne Corp	TECH	0.02%	0.55%	0.00%	11.00%	0.00%
Alphabet Inc	GOOGL	n/a	0.52%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Allegion plc	ALLE	0.02%	1.56%	0.00%	7.50%	0.00%
Netflix Inc	NFLX	0.85%	n/a	n/a	16.50%	0.14%
Agilent Technologies Inc	A	0.07%	0.85%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Warner Bros Discovery Inc	WBD	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	IRMB	0.03%	n/a	n/a	5.50%	0.00%
	ELV	0.21%	1.57%	0.00%	9.50%	0.02%
CME Group Inc	CME	0.20%	1.88%	0.00%	5.50%	0.01%
	JNPR	0.03%	2.43%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
DTE Energy Co		0.00%	3.13%	0.00%	8.50%	0.01%
Nasuay IIIC Dhilin Marria International Inc	NDAQ	0.09%	1.2770	0.00%	4.30%	0.00%
		0.03%	0 10%	0.02%	10 50%	0.03%
Selectore Inc		0.07%	0.10%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Banar Tachnalogias Inc		0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	24.30%	0.13%
Huntington Ingalls Industries Inc		0.13%	2.65%	0.00%	9.00 %	0.01%
Mething loc	MET	0.02 %	2.03%	0.00%	7 50%	0.00%
	TPR	0.12%	1 99%	0.00%	9.00%	0.01%
CSX Corp	CSY	0.03%	1.55%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Edwards Lifesciences Corp	EW/	0.12%	n/a	0.00%	6 50%	0.01%
Amerinrise Financial Inc		0.09%	1 22%	0.00%	10.00%	0.01%
		0.10%	1.22 /0	0.00 %	5 50%	0.01%
Zimmer Riomet Holdings Inc	ZBH	0.05%	0.85%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Camden Property Trust	CPT	0.03%	3 /3%	0.00%	-6 50%	0.00%
CBRE Group Inc	CBRE	0.03%	0.4570 n/a	0.00 %	6.00%	0.00%
Mastercard Inc	MA	1.06%	0.55%	0.01%	14 50%	0.15%
CarMax Inc	KMX	0.03%	n/a	n/a	3.00%	0.00%
Intercontinental Exchange Inc	ICE	0.00%	1 11%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Fidelity National Information Services Inc	FIS	0.08%	2 14%	0.00%	4.50%	0.00%
Smurfit WestRock PLC	SW	n/a	3.82%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chipotle Mexican Grill Inc	CMG	0 15%	n/a	n/a	20.50%	0.03%
Wynn Resorts I td	WYNN	0.02%	1 20%	0.00%	27.00%	0.01%
Live Nation Entertainment Inc	LYV	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Assurant Inc	AIZ	0.02%	1.53%	0.00%	9.50%	0.00%
NRG Energy Inc.	NRG	0.04%	1.84%	0.00%	18.50%	0.01%
Monster Beverage Corp	MNST	0.12%	n/a	n/a	11.50%	0.01%
Regions Financial Corp	RF	0.04%	4.60%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Baker Hughes Co	BKR	0.09%	2.09%	0.00%	30.00%	0.03%
Mosaic Co/The	MOS	0.02%	3.26%	0.00%	-9.00%	0.00%
Expedia Group Inc	EXPE	0.04%	0.95%	0.00%	39.00%	0.02%
CF Industries Holdings Inc	CF	n/a	2.56%	n/a	n/a	n/a
APA Corp	APA	0.02%	4.76%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Leidos Holdings Inc	LDOS	0.04%	1.19%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Alphabet Inc	GOOG	1.83%	0.51%	0.01%	13.50%	0.25%
TKO Group Holdings Inc	TKO	n/a	0.99%	n/a	n/a	n/a
First Solar Inc	FSLR	0.03%	n/a	n/a	34.50%	0.01%
Discover Financial Services	DFS	0.09%	1.64%	0.00%	4.00%	0.00%
Visa Inc	V	1.29%	0.67%	0.01%	13.50%	0.17%
Mid-America Apartment Communities Inc	MAA	0.04%	3.62%	0.00%	-13.50%	-0.01%
Xylem Inc/NY	XYL	0.06%	1.34%	0.00%	11.00%	0.01%
Marathon Petroleum Corp	MPC	0.10%	2.50%	0.00%	-6.00%	-0.01%
Tractor Supply Co	TSCO	0.06%	1.67%	0.00%	10.00%	0.01%
Advanced Micro Devices Inc	AMD	0.35%	n/a	n/a	17.00%	0.06%
ResMed Inc	RMD	0.07%	0.95%	0.00%	11.00%	0.01%
Mettler-Toledo International Inc	MTD	0.05%	n/a	n/a	9.50%	0.00%
VICI Properties Inc	VICI	0.07%	5.30%	0.00%	9.50%	0.01%
Copart Inc	CPRT	0.12%	n/a	n/a	9.00%	0.01%
Jacobs Solutions Inc	J	0.03%	1.06%	0.00%	11.00%	0.00%
Albemarle Corp	ALB	0.02%	2.25%	0.00%	-3.50%	0.00%
Fortinet Inc	FTNT	0.16%	n/a	n/a	18.00%	0.03%
Moderna Inc	MRNA	0.02%	n/a	n/a	-16.00%	0.00%
Essex Property Trust Inc	ESS	0.04%	3.35%	0.00%	3.00%	0.00%
CoStar Group Inc	CSGP	0.07%	n/a	n/a	11.50%	0.01%
Realty Income Corp	0	0.11%	5.55%	0.01%	5.00%	0.01%
Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corp	WAB	0.07%	0.55%	0.00%	16.00%	0.01%
Palantir Technologies Inc	PLTR	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pool Corp	POOL	0.03%	1.51%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%
Western Digital Corp	WDC	0.03%	n/a	n/a	13.50%	0.00%
PepsiCo Inc	PEP	0.44%	3.61%	0.02%	7.50%	0.03%
TE Connectivity PLC	TEL	0.09%	2.01%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Diamondback Energy Inc	FANG	0.10%	2.50%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%
Palo Alto Networks Inc	PANW	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

[1] Cap.	Weighted	Estimate of	the S&P	500 L	Jividend



# STANDARD AND POOR'S 500 INDEX

		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
					Value Line Long-	
			Estimated	Cap-Weighted	Term Growth	Cap. Weighted
Name	Ticker	Weight In Index	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	Estimate	Long-Term Growth
		0				0
ServiceNow Inc	NOW	0.35%	n/a	n/a	32.50%	0.11%
Church & Dwight Co Inc	CHD	0.06%	1.07%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Federal Realty Investment Trust	FRT	0.02%	4.50%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%
MGM Resorts International	MGM	0.02%	n/a	n/a	25.00%	0.00%
American Electric Power Co Inc	AEP	0.12%	3.40%	0.00%	6.50%	0.01%
Invitation Homes Inc	INVH	0.05%	3.33%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
PTC Inc	PTC	0.04%	n/a	n/a	29.00%	0.01%
JB Hunt Transport Services Inc	JBHT	0.03%	1.19%	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%
Lam Research Corp	LRCX	0.20%	1.27%	0.00%	11.00%	0.02%
Mohawk Industries Inc	МНК	0.02%	n/a	n/a	2.00%	0.00%
GE HealthCare Technologies Inc.	GEHC	n/a	0.17%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pentair PI C	PNR	0.03%	1 14%	0.00%	12.00%	0.00%
Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc	VRTX	0.27%	n/a	n/a	10.00%	0.03%
Amoor PLC	AMCR	0.03%	5 26%	0.00%	11.50%	0.00%
Meta Platforms Inc	META	2.69%	0.26%	0.01%	19.00%	0.51%
T-Mobile LIS Inc	TMUS	0.65%	1 32%	0.01%	18.00%	0.12%
United Rentals Inc	LIBI	0.00%	1.14%	0.01%	9.50%	0.12%
Alexandria Real Estate Equities Inc	ARE	0.03%	5 71%	0.00%	8 50%	0.01%
		0.00%	2 12%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%
Dolta Air Linos Inc		0.29%	2.1370	0.01%	28.00%	0.03%
United Airlines Holdings Inc	DAL	0.00.0	1.30 /0	0.0070	20.00 /0	0.02 /0
Seagete Technology Heldings DLC	UAL	0.049/	2 200/	0.00%	11/a 22 E0%	0.019/
News Corp	NIME	0.0470	0.66%	0.0070	22.30 /0	0.0170
Centene Corp		0.06%	0.00%	n/a	0.50%	0.019/
Analla Clabel Management Inc.		0.00%	11/a	0.00%	9.00%	0.01%
Apolio Giobal Management Inc	APO	0.17%	1.35%	0.00%	23.50%	0.04%
Martin Maneila Materials Inc	MLM	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	10.50%	0.01%
Teradyne Inc	IER	0.03%	0.58%	0.00%	15.00%	0.00%
PayPai Holdings Inc	PTPL	0.14%	n/a	n/a	11.50%	0.02%
lesia inc	ISLA	1.78%	n/a	n/a	16.50%	0.29%
Blackrock Inc	BLK	0.31%	2.20%	0.01%	9.50%	0.03%
KKR & Co Inc	KKR	0.22%	0.61%	0.00%	5.00%	0.01%
Arch Capital Group Ltd	ACGL	0.08%	n/a	n/a	15.00%	0.01%
Dow Inc	DOW	0.05%	8.02%	0.00%	6.50%	0.00%
Everest Group Ltd	EG	0.03%	2.20%	0.00%	14.50%	0.00%
Teledyne Technologies Inc	TDY	0.05%	n/a	n/a	8.00%	0.00%
Domino's Pizza Inc	DPZ	0.03%	1.51%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%
GE Vernova Inc	GEV	n/a	0.33%	n/a	n/a	n/a
News Corp	NWSA	0.02%	0.73%	0.00%	14.50%	0.00%
Exelon Corp	EXC	n/a	3.47%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Global Payments Inc	GPN	0.05%	1.02%	0.00%	13.50%	0.01%
Crown Castle Inc	CCI	n/a	6.01%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Align Technology Inc	ALGN	0.02%	n/a	n/a	17.00%	0.00%
Kenvue Inc	KVUE	n/a	3.42%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Targa Resources Corp	TRGP	0.09%	1.50%	0.00%	22.00%	0.02%
Bunge Global SA	BG	0.02%	3.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
LKQ Corp	LKQ	0.02%	2.82%	0.00%	7.00%	0.00%
Deckers Outdoor Corp	DECK	0.04%	n/a	n/a	16.00%	0.01%
Workday Inc	WDAY	0.11%	n/a	n/a	12.00%	0.01%
Zoetis Inc	ZTS	0.16%	1.21%	0.00%	7.50%	0.01%
Equinix Inc	EQIX	0.17%	2.30%	0.00%	15.00%	0.03%
Digital Realty Trust Inc	DLR	0.10%	3.41%	0.00%	8.00%	0.01%
Molina Healthcare Inc	MOH	0.04%	n/a	n/a	10.50%	0.00%
Las Vegas Sands Corp	LVS	n/a	2.59%	n/a	n/a	n/a

 Notes:

 [1] Equals sum of col. [6]

 [2] Equals sum of col. [9]

 [3] Equals ([1] x (1 + (0.5 x [2]))) + [2]

 [4] Equals weight in S&P 500 based on market capitalization

 [5] Source: Bloomberg Professional

 [6] Equals [4] x [5]

 [7] Source: Value Line

 [8] Equals [4] x [7]

# KROLL HISTORICAL MARKET RISK PREMIUM ESTIMATE

[1] Historical Equity Risk Premium

7.31%

Notes: [1] Source: Kroll Cost of Capital Navigator, United States Long-Horizon Equity Risk Premia, 1926-2024

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- CURRENT RISK-FREE RATE & VL BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Current 30-day				
		average of 30-year			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.61%	0.95	14.92%	10.31%	14.40%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.61%	0.90	14.92%	10.31%	13.89%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.61%	0.85	14.92%	10.31%	13.37%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.61%	0.90	14.92%	10.31%	13.89%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.61%	1.00	14.92%	10.31%	14.92%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.61%	0.95	14.92%	10.31%	14.40%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.61%	0.90	14.92%	10.31%	13.89%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.61%	0.85	14.92%	10.31%	13.37%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.61%	1.05	14.92%	10.31%	15.43%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.61%	1.05	14.92%	10.31%	15.43%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.61%	0.90	14.92%	10.31%	13.89%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.61%	0.95	14.92%	10.31%	14.40%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.61%	1.10	14.92%	10.31%	15.95%
Southern Company	SO	4.61%	0.95	14.92%	10.31%	14.40%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.61%	0.85	14.92%	10.31%	13.37%
Mean						14.33%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[2] Source: Value Line

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

[4] Equals [3] - [1]

[5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- NEAR-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & VL BETA

#### $\mathsf{K}=\mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}+\beta \;\mathsf{x}\;(\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}-\mathsf{R}\mathsf{f})$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Near-term projected				
		30-year U.S. Treasury			Market Risk	
		bond yield (Q3 2025 -		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	Q3 2026)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.52%	0.95	14.92%	10.40%	14.40%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.52%	0.90	14.92%	10.40%	13.88%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.52%	0.85	14.92%	10.40%	13.36%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.52%	0.90	14.92%	10.40%	13.88%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.52%	1.00	14.92%	10.40%	14.92%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.52%	0.95	14.92%	10.40%	14.40%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.52%	0.90	14.92%	10.40%	13.88%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.52%	0.85	14.92%	10.40%	13.36%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.52%	1.05	14.92%	10.40%	15.44%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.52%	1.05	14.92%	10.40%	15.44%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.52%	0.90	14.92%	10.40%	13.88%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.52%	0.95	14.92%	10.40%	14.40%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.52%	1.10	14.92%	10.40%	15.96%
Southern Company	SO	4.52%	0.95	14.92%	10.40%	14.40%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.52%	0.85	14.92%	10.40%	13.36%
Mean						14.33%

 

 [1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2

 [2] Source: Value Line

 [3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

 [4] Equate [2]

 Notes:

#### CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- LONG-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & VL BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Proiected 30-vear			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield (2026 - 2030)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.30%	0.95	14.92%	10.62%	14.39%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.30%	0.90	14.92%	10.62%	13.85%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.30%	0.85	14.92%	10.62%	13.32%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.30%	0.90	14.92%	10.62%	13.85%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.30%	1.00	14.92%	10.62%	14.92%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.30%	0.95	14.92%	10.62%	14.39%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.30%	0.90	14.92%	10.62%	13.85%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.30%	0.85	14.92%	10.62%	13.32%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.30%	1.05	14.92%	10.62%	15.45%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.30%	1.05	14.92%	10.62%	15.45%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.30%	0.90	14.92%	10.62%	13.85%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.30%	0.95	14.92%	10.62%	14.39%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.30%	1.10	14.92%	10.62%	15.98%
Southern Company	SO	4.30%	0.95	14.92%	10.62%	14.39%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.30%	0.85	14.92%	10.62%	13.32%
Mean						14 31%

#### Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14

[2] Source: Value Line

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

[4] Equals [3] - [1] [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

## CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- CURRENT RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 5-YEAR BETA

#### $\mathsf{K}=\mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}+\beta \;\mathsf{x}\;(\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}-\mathsf{R}\mathsf{f})$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Current 30-day				
		average of 30-year			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.61%	0.67	14.92%	10.31%	11.49%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.61%	0.66	14.92%	10.31%	11.45%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.61%	0.60	14.92%	10.31%	10.77%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.61%	0.56	14.92%	10.31%	10.40%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.61%	0.70	14.92%	10.31%	11.85%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.61%	0.63	14.92%	10.31%	11.14%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.61%	0.67	14.92%	10.31%	11.51%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.61%	0.65	14.92%	10.31%	11.27%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.61%	0.80	14.92%	10.31%	12.81%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.61%	0.77	14.92%	10.31%	12.53%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.61%	0.51	14.92%	10.31%	9.89%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.61%	0.67	14.92%	10.31%	11.51%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.61%	0.74	14.92%	10.31%	12.21%
Southern Company	SO	4.61%	0.64	14.92%	10.31%	11.20%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.61%	0.61	14.92%	10.31%	10.93%
Mean						11.40%

Notes: [1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- NEAR-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 5-YEAR BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ $K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x \beta x (Rm - Rf)$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Near-term projected				
		30-year U.S. Treasury			Market Risk	
		bond yield (Q3 2025 -		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	Q3 2026)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.52%	0.67	14.92%	10.40%	11.46%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.52%	0.66	14.92%	10.40%	11.42%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.52%	0.60	14.92%	10.40%	10.73%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.52%	0.56	14.92%	10.40%	10.36%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.52%	0.70	14.92%	10.40%	11.82%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.52%	0.63	14.92%	10.40%	11.11%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.52%	0.67	14.92%	10.40%	11.48%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.52%	0.65	14.92%	10.40%	11.23%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.52%	0.80	14.92%	10.40%	12.79%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.52%	0.77	14.92%	10.40%	12.51%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.52%	0.51	14.92%	10.40%	9.85%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.52%	0.67	14.92%	10.40%	11.48%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.52%	0.74	14.92%	10.40%	12.19%
Southern Company	SO	4.52%	0.64	14.92%	10.40%	11.17%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.52%	0.61	14.92%	10.40%	10.90%
Mean						11.37%

#### Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

[4] Equals [3] - [1] [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

## CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- LONG-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 5-YEAR BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Proiected 30-vear			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield (2026 - 2030)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.30%	0.67	14.92%	10.62%	11.38%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.30%	0.66	14.92%	10.62%	11.34%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.30%	0.60	14.92%	10.62%	10.64%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.30%	0.56	14.92%	10.62%	10.27%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.30%	0.70	14.92%	10.62%	11.76%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.30%	0.63	14.92%	10.62%	11.03%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.30%	0.67	14.92%	10.62%	11.41%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.30%	0.65	14.92%	10.62%	11.16%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.30%	0.80	14.92%	10.62%	12.74%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.30%	0.77	14.92%	10.62%	12.46%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.30%	0.51	14.92%	10.62%	9.74%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.30%	0.67	14.92%	10.62%	11.41%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.30%	0.74	14.92%	10.62%	12.13%
Southern Company	SO	4.30%	0.64	14.92%	10.62%	11.09%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.30%	0.61	14.92%	10.62%	10.81%
Mean						11.29%

Notes: [1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

#### CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- CURRENT RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 10-YEAR BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Current 30-day				
		average of 30-year			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.61%	0.77	14.92%	10.31%	12.54%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.61%	0.73	14.92%	10.31%	12.17%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.61%	0.73	14.92%	10.31%	12.15%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.61%	0.71	14.92%	10.31%	11.88%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.61%	0.84	14.92%	10.31%	13.26%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.61%	0.77	14.92%	10.31%	12.54%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.61%	0.77	14.92%	10.31%	12.58%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.61%	0.76	14.92%	10.31%	12.49%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.61%	0.79	14.92%	10.31%	12.80%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.61%	0.90	14.92%	10.31%	13.86%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.61%	0.81	14.92%	10.31%	12.93%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.61%	0.77	14.92%	10.31%	12.50%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.61%	0.92	14.92%	10.31%	14.06%
Southern Company	SO	4.61%	0.76	14.92%	10.31%	12.45%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.61%	0.72	14.92%	10.31%	11.99%
Mean						12.68%

#### Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

[4] Equals [3] - [1] [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

## CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- NEAR-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 10-YEAR BETA

# $$\begin{split} \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + \beta \; \mathsf{x} \; (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \\ \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + 0.25 \; \mathsf{x} \; (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}) + 0.75 \; \mathsf{x} \; \beta \; \mathsf{x} \; (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \end{split}$$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Near-term projected				
		30-year U.S. Treasury			Market Risk	
		bond yield (Q3 2025 -		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	Q3 2026)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.52%	0.77	14.92%	10.40%	12.52%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.52%	0.73	14.92%	10.40%	12.15%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.52%	0.73	14.92%	10.40%	12.13%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.52%	0.71	14.92%	10.40%	11.85%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.52%	0.84	14.92%	10.40%	13.24%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.52%	0.77	14.92%	10.40%	12.52%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.52%	0.77	14.92%	10.40%	12.56%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.52%	0.76	14.92%	10.40%	12.47%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.52%	0.79	14.92%	10.40%	12.78%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.52%	0.90	14.92%	10.40%	13.85%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.52%	0.81	14.92%	10.40%	12.91%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.52%	0.77	14.92%	10.40%	12.48%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.52%	0.92	14.92%	10.40%	14.06%
Southern Company	SO	4.52%	0.76	14.92%	10.40%	12.43%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.52%	0.72	14.92%	10.40%	11.96%
Mean						12.66%

Notes: [1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

## CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- LONG-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 10-YEAR BETA

# $$\begin{split} \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + \beta \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \\ \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + 0.25 \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}) + 0.75 \ \mathsf{x} \ \beta \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \end{split}$$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Proiected 30-vear			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield (2026 - 2030)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.30%	0.77	14.92%	10.62%	12.46%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.30%	0.73	14.92%	10.62%	12.09%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.30%	0.73	14.92%	10.62%	12.07%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.30%	0.71	14.92%	10.62%	11.79%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.30%	0.84	14.92%	10.62%	13.21%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.30%	0.77	14.92%	10.62%	12.47%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.30%	0.77	14.92%	10.62%	12.51%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.30%	0.76	14.92%	10.62%	12.42%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.30%	0.79	14.92%	10.62%	12.74%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.30%	0.90	14.92%	10.62%	13.83%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.30%	0.81	14.92%	10.62%	12.87%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.30%	0.77	14.92%	10.62%	12.43%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.30%	0.92	14.92%	10.62%	14.04%
Southern Company	SO	4.30%	0.76	14.92%	10.62%	12.37%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.30%	0.72	14.92%	10.62%	11.90%
Mean						12.61%

Notes:

 Notes:

 [1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14

 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

 [3] Source: Schedule 3.2 - SP 500 MRP

 [4] Equals [3] - [1]

 [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- CURRENT RISK-FREE RATE & VL BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Current 30-day average of 30-year			Historical Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.61%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.55%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.61%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.19%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.61%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.82%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.61%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.19%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.61%	1.00	n/a	7.31%	11.92%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.61%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.55%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.61%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.19%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.61%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.82%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.61%	1.05	n/a	7.31%	12.28%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.61%	1.05	n/a	7.31%	12.28%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.61%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.19%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.61%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.55%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.61%	1.10	n/a	7.31%	12.65%
Southern Company	SO	4.61%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.55%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.61%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.82%
Mean						11 51%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025 [2] Source: Value Line

[3] n/a [4] Source: Schedule 3.3 - Historical MRP [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- NEAR-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & VL BETA

# $$\begin{split} \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + \beta \; \mathsf{x} \; (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \\ \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + 0.25 \; \mathsf{x} \; (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}) + 0.75 \; \mathsf{x} \; \beta \; \mathsf{x} \; (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \end{split}$$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Near-term projected 30-year U.S. Treasury			Historical Market Risk	
		bond yield (Q3 2025 -		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	Q3 2026)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.52%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.46%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.52%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.10%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.52%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.73%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.52%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.10%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.52%	1.00	n/a	7.31%	11.83%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.52%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.46%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.52%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.10%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.52%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.73%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.52%	1.05	n/a	7.31%	12.20%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.52%	1.05	n/a	7.31%	12.20%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.52%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.10%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.52%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.46%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.52%	1.10	n/a	7.31%	12.56%
Southern Company	SO	4.52%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.46%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.52%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.73%
Mean						11.42%

Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2

[2] Source: Value Line

[3] n/a

[4] Source: Schedule 3.3 - Historical MRP

[5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

#### CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- LONG-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & VL BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ $K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x \beta x (Rm - Rf)$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
					Historical	
		Projected 30-vear			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield (2026 - 2030)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.30%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.24%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.30%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	10.88%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.30%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.51%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.30%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	10.88%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.30%	1.00	n/a	7.31%	11.61%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.30%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.24%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.30%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	10.88%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.30%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.51%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.30%	1.05	n/a	7.31%	11.98%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.30%	1.05	n/a	7.31%	11.98%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.30%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	10.88%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.30%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.24%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.30%	1.10	n/a	7.31%	12.34%
Southern Company	SO	4.30%	0.95	n/a	7.31%	11.24%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.30%	0.85	n/a	7.31%	10.51%
Mean						11.20%

# Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14 [2] Source: Value Line [3] n/a [4] Source: Schedule 3.3 - Historical MRP [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- CURRENT RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 5-YEAR BETA

#### $\mathsf{K}=\mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}+\beta \;\mathsf{x}\;(\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}-\mathsf{R}\mathsf{f})$ $K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x \beta x (Rm - Rf)$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Current 30-day average of 30-year		Manlant	Historical Market Risk	
Company	Ticker	vield	Beta (ß)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.61%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.49%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.61%	0.66	n/a	7.31%	9.46%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.61%	0.60	n/a	7.31%	8.98%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.61%	0.56	n/a	7.31%	8.72%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.61%	0.70	n/a	7.31%	9.74%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.61%	0.63	n/a	7.31%	9.24%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.61%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.50%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.61%	0.65	n/a	7.31%	9.33%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.61%	0.80	n/a	7.31%	10.42%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.61%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.23%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.61%	0.51	n/a	7.31%	8.36%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.61%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.51%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.61%	0.74	n/a	7.31%	10.00%
Southern Company	SO	4.61%	0.64	n/a	7.31%	9.28%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.61%	0.61	n/a	7.31%	9.09%
Mean						9.42%

Notes: [1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] n/a

#### CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- NEAR-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 5-YEAR BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ $K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x \beta x (Rm - Rf)$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Near-term projected			Historical	
		30-year U.S. Treasury			Market Risk	
		bond yield (Q3 2025 -		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	Q3 2026)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.52%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.40%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.52%	0.66	n/a	7.31%	9.37%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.52%	0.60	n/a	7.31%	8.89%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.52%	0.56	n/a	7.31%	8.63%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.52%	0.70	n/a	7.31%	9.65%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.52%	0.63	n/a	7.31%	9.15%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.52%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.41%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.52%	0.65	n/a	7.31%	9.24%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.52%	0.80	n/a	7.31%	10.33%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.52%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.14%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.52%	0.51	n/a	7.31%	8.27%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.52%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.42%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.52%	0.74	n/a	7.31%	9.91%
Southern Company	SO	4.52%	0.64	n/a	7.31%	9.20%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.52%	0.61	n/a	7.31%	9.00%
Mean						9.33%

# Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] n/a

[4] Source: Schedule 3.3 - Historical MRP

[5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- LONG-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 5-YEAR BETA

## $\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + \beta \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f})$ $K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x \beta x (Rm - Rf)$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
					Historical	
		Projected 30-year			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield (2026 - 2030)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.30%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.18%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.30%	0.66	n/a	7.31%	9.15%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.30%	0.60	n/a	7.31%	8.67%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.30%	0.56	n/a	7.31%	8.41%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.30%	0.70	n/a	7.31%	9.43%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.30%	0.63	n/a	7.31%	8.93%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.30%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.19%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.30%	0.65	n/a	7.31%	9.02%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.30%	0.80	n/a	7.31%	10.11%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.30%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	9.92%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.30%	0.51	n/a	7.31%	8.05%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.30%	0.67	n/a	7.31%	9.20%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.30%	0.74	n/a	7.31%	9.69%
Southern Company	SO	4.30%	0.64	n/a	7.31%	8.98%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.30%	0.61	n/a	7.31%	8.78%
Mean						9.11%

Mean

Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] n/a

#### CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- CURRENT RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 10-YEAR BETA

#### $K = Rf + \beta x (Rm - Rf)$ K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x β x (Rm – Rf)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Current 30-day average of 30-year			Historical Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	yield	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm - Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.61%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.23%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.61%	0.73	n/a	7.31%	9.97%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.61%	0.73	n/a	7.31%	9.96%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.61%	0.71	n/a	7.31%	9.77%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.61%	0.84	n/a	7.31%	10.74%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.61%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.23%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.61%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.26%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.61%	0.76	n/a	7.31%	10.20%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.61%	0.79	n/a	7.31%	10.42%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.61%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.17%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.61%	0.81	n/a	7.31%	10.51%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.61%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.21%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.61%	0.92	n/a	7.31%	11.32%
Southern Company	SO	4.61%	0.76	n/a	7.31%	10.17%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.61%	0.72	n/a	7.31%	9.84%
Mean						10.33%

Notes: [1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025 [3] n/a [4] Source: Schedule 3.3 - Historical MRP [5] Equals [1] + [2] x [4]

# CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- NEAR-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 10-YEAR BETA

#### $\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + \beta \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f})$ $K = Rf + 0.25 x (Rm) + 0.75 x \beta x (Rm - Rf)$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Near-term projected 30-year U.S. Treasury			Historical Market Risk	
		bond yield (Q3 2025 -		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	Ticker	Q3 2026)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.52%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.14%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.52%	0.73	n/a	7.31%	9.89%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.52%	0.73	n/a	7.31%	9.87%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.52%	0.71	n/a	7.31%	9.68%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.52%	0.84	n/a	7.31%	10.65%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.52%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.14%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.52%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.18%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.52%	0.76	n/a	7.31%	10.11%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.52%	0.79	n/a	7.31%	10.33%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.52%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	11.08%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.52%	0.81	n/a	7.31%	10.42%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.52%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	10.12%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.52%	0.92	n/a	7.31%	11.23%
Southern Company	SO	4.52%	0.76	n/a	7.31%	10.08%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.52%	0.72	n/a	7.31%	9.75%
Mean						10.24%

Notes:

[1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2

[2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] n/a

## CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL -- LONG-TERM PROJECTED RISK-FREE RATE & BLOOMBERG 10-YEAR BETA

# $$\begin{split} \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + \beta \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \\ \mathsf{K} &= \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f} + 0.25 \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m}) + 0.75 \ \mathsf{x} \ \beta \ \mathsf{x} \ (\mathsf{R}\mathsf{m} - \mathsf{R}\mathsf{f}) \end{split}$$

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
		Decidente d 20 years			Historical	
		Projected 30-year			Market Risk	
		U.S. Treasury bond		Market	Premium	CAPM ROE
Company	licker	yield (2026 - 2030)	Beta (β)	Return (Rm)	(Rm – Rf)	(K)
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	4.30%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	9.92%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	4.30%	0.73	n/a	7.31%	9.67%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	4.30%	0.73	n/a	7.31%	9.65%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	4.30%	0.71	n/a	7.31%	9.46%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	4.30%	0.84	n/a	7.31%	10.43%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	4.30%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	9.92%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	4.30%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	9.96%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	4.30%	0.76	n/a	7.31%	9.89%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	4.30%	0.79	n/a	7.31%	10.11%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	4.30%	0.90	n/a	7.31%	10.86%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	4.30%	0.81	n/a	7.31%	10.20%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	4.30%	0.77	n/a	7.31%	9.90%
PPL Corporation	PPL	4.30%	0.92	n/a	7.31%	11.01%
Southern Company	SO	4.30%	0.76	n/a	7.31%	9.86%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	4.30%	0.72	n/a	7.31%	9.53%
Mean						10.02%

Notes: [1] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, as of March 31, 2025

[3] n/a

	[·]	1-1	[-]
	Average		
	Authorized		
	Integrated	U.S. Govt.	
	Electric	30-year	Risk
	ROE	Treasury	Premium
1992.1	12.38%	7.80%	4.58%
1992.2	11.83%	7.89%	3.93%
1992.3	12.03%	7.45%	4.59%
1992.4	12.14%	7.52%	4.62%
1993.1	11.84%	7.07%	4.77%
1993.2	11.64%	6.86%	4.79%
1993.3	11.15%	6.31%	4.84%
1993.4	11.04%	6.14%	4.90%
1994 1	11.07%	6.57%	4 49%
1004 2	11 13%	7 35%	3 78%
1004.2	12 75%	7 58%	5 17%
1004.0	11 24%	7.06%	3 28%
1005 1	11.24/0	7.50%	1 240/
1995.1	11.90%	6.04%	4.34 %
1995.2	11.3270	0.94%	4.37 %
1995.3	11.37%	0.71%	4.00%
1995.4	11.58%	6.23%	5.35%
1996.1	12.25%	6.29%	5.96%
1996.2	11.46%	6.92%	4.54%
1996.3	10.70%	6.96%	3.74%
1996.4	11.56%	6.62%	4.94%
1997.1	11.08%	6.81%	4.27%
1997.2	11.62%	6.93%	4.68%
1997.3	12.00%	6.53%	5.47%
1997.4	11.06%	6.14%	4.92%
1998.1	11.31%	5.88%	5.43%
1998.2	12.20%	5.85%	6.35%
1998.3	11.65%	5.47%	6.18%
1998.4	12.30%	5.10%	7.20%
1999 1	10.40%	5.37%	5.03%
1000.1	10.04%	5 70%	5 15%
1000.3	10.34%	6.04%	4 71%
1000.0	11 10%	6 25%	4.95%
2000 1	11 21%	6 20%	4.03%
2000.1	11.2170	5.07%	4.32 /0
2000.2	11.00%	5.97%	5.03%
2000.3	11.68%	5.79%	5.89%
2000.4	12.50%	5.69%	6.81%
2001.1	11.38%	5.44%	5.93%
2001.2	11.00%	5.70%	5.30%
2001.3	10.76%	5.52%	5.23%
2001.4	11.99%	5.30%	6.70%
2002.1	10.05%	5.51%	4.54%
2002.2	11.41%	5.61%	5.79%
2002.3	11.65%	5.08%	6.57%
2002.4	11.57%	4.93%	6.64%
2003.1	11.72%	4.85%	6.87%
2003.2	11.16%	4.60%	6.56%
2003.3	10.50%	5 11%	5.39%
2003.4	11 34%	5 1 1%	6.23%
2000.4	11.04%	4.88%	6.12%
2004.1	10.64%	5 220/	E 220/
2004.2	10.04%	5.32%	5.52%
2004.3	10.75%	5.06%	5.69%
2004.4	11.24%	4.80%	0.38%
2005.1	10.63%	4.69%	5.93%
2005.2	10.31%	4.47%	5.85%
2005.3	11.08%	4.44%	6.65%
2005.4	10.63%	4.68%	5.95%
2006.1	10.70%	4.63%	6.06%
2006.2	10.79%	5.14%	5.65%
2006.3	10.35%	4.99%	5.35%
2006.4	10.65%	4.74%	5.91%

	[1]	[2]	[3]
	Average		
	Integrated	U.S. Govt	
	Electric	30-year	Risk
	ROE	Treasury	Premium
2007.1	10.59%	4.80%	5.80%
2007.2	10.33%	4.99%	5.34%
2007.4	10.45%	4.61%	6.04%
2008.1	10.62%	4.41%	6.21%
2008.2	10.54%	4.57%	5.97%
2008.3	10.43%	4.44%	5.98%
2008.4	10.39%	3.65%	6.74% 7.31%
2009.2	10.75%	4.17%	6.58%
2009.3	10.50%	4.32%	6.18%
2009.4	10.59%	4.34%	6.26%
2010.1	10.59%	4.62%	5.97%
2010.2	10.16%	4.30%	5.82% 6.55%
2010.4	10.38%	4.17%	6.21%
2011.1	10.09%	4.56%	5.53%
2011.2	10.26%	4.34%	5.92%
2011.3	10.57%	3.69%	6.88%
2011.4	10.39%	3.04%	7.35%
2012.1	9.95%	2.93%	7.02%
2012.3	9.90%	2.74%	7.16%
2012.4	10.16%	2.86%	7.30%
2013.1	9.85%	3.13%	6.72%
2013.2	9.86%	3.14%	6.72%
2013.3	10.12%	3.71%	6.41%
2013.4	9.97%	3.69%	6.17%
2014.2	10.10%	3.44%	6.66%
2014.3	9.90%	3.26%	6.64%
2014.4	9.94%	2.96%	6.98%
2015.1	9.64%	2.55%	7.08%
2015.2	9.83%	2.88%	6.44%
2015.4	9.86%	2.96%	6.90%
2016.1	9.70%	2.72%	6.98%
2016.2	9.48%	2.57%	6.91%
2016.3	9.74%	2.28%	7.46%
2016.4	9.83%	2.83%	7.00%
2017.1	9.72%	2.90%	6.75%
2017.2	10.00%	2.82%	7.18%
2017.4	9.91%	2.82%	7.09%
2018.1	9.69%	3.02%	6.66%
2018.2	9.75%	3.09%	6.66%
2018.3	9.69%	3.06%	6.63%
2018.4	9.52%	3.01%	6 71%
2019.2	9.58%	2.78%	6.79%
2019.3	9.53%	2.29%	7.24%
2019.4	9.89%	2.25%	7.63%
2020.1	9.72%	1.89%	7.83%
2020.2	9.56%	1.30%	0.20% 7.93%
2020.4	9.56%	1.62%	7.94%
2021.1	9.45%	2.07%	7.38%
2021.2	9.47%	2.25%	7.21%
2021.3	9.27%	1.93%	7.34%
2021.4	9.69%	1.94%	7.75%
2022.1	9.45%	2.25%	6.47%
2022.3	9.14%	3.26%	5.88%
2022.4	9.94%	3.88%	6.06%
2023.1	9.73%	3.74%	5.99%
2023.2	9.67%	3.80%	5.86%
2023.3	9.79%	4.23%	5.56%
2023.4	9.67%	4.30%	5.35%
2024.2	9.90%	4.58%	5.32%
2024.3	9.88%	4.23%	5.65%
2024.4	9.90%	4.50%	5.40%
2025.1	9.83%	4.71%	5.11%
AVERAGE MEDIAN	10.56% 10.43%	4.54% 4.57%	6.02% 6.06%
	10.4070		0.0070

TREASURY BOND YIELD PLUS RISK PREMIUM VERTICALLY INTEGRATED ELECTRIC UTILITIES



## SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statis	tics
Multiple R	0.891893529
R Square	0.795474067
Adjusted R Square	0.7939128
Standard Error	0.00454352
Observations	133

#### ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	0.010518016	0.010518016	509.5055722	5.56347E-47
Residual	131	0.002704308	2.06436E-05		
Total	132	0.013222325			

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	0.085476258	0.001185933	72.07512215	2.8232E-107	0.0831302	0.087822316	0.083282674	0.087905913
X Variable 1	-0.556744494	0.024665019	-22.57223011	5.56347E-47	-0.605537786	-0.507951202	-0.608735442	-0.512589839

	[7]	[8]	[9]
	U.S. Govt.		
	30-year	Risk	
	Treasury	Premium	ROE
Current 30-day average of 30-year U.S. Treasury bond yield [4]	4.61%	5.98%	10.59%
Blue Chip Near-Term Projected Forecast (Q3 2025 - Q3 2026) [5]	4.52%	6.03%	10.55%
Blue Chip Long-Term Projected Forecast (2026-2030) [6]	4.30%	6.15%	10.45%
AVERAGE			10.53%

 Notes:

 [1] Source: Regulatory Research Associates, rate cases through March 31, 2025

 [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional, quarterly bond yields are the average of each trading day in the quarter

 [3] Equals Column [1] - Column [2]

 [4] Source: Bloomberg Professional, 30-day average as of March 31, 2025

 [5] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 44, No. 4, April 1, 2025 at 2

 [6] Source: Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024 at 14

 [7] See notes [4], [5] & [6]

 [8] Equals 0.085476 + (-0.556744 x Column [7])

 [9] Equals Column [7] + Column [8]

# EXPECTED EARNINGS ANALYSIS

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]
		Value Line	Value Line	Value Line Common		Value Line	Value Line Common		Compund		Adjusted Return on
		ROE 2027-2029	Total Capital 2023	Equity Ratio 2023	Total Equity 2023	Total Capital 2027-2029	Equity Ratio 2027-2029	Total Equity 2027-2029	Annual Growth Rate	Adjustment Factor	Common Equity
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	12.00%	15,681	44.70%	7,009	17,070	48.00%	8,194	3.17%	1.016	12.19%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	10.00%	25,432	45.30%	11,521	29,500	48.50%	14,308	4.43%	1.022	10.22%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	11.00%	67,528	42.00%	28,362	75,900	42.50%	32,258	2.61%	1.013	11.14%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	10.50%	127,650	38.00%	48,507	160,500	37.00%	59,385	4.13%	1.020	10.71%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	9.50%	41,917	36.00%	15,090	55,915	36.50%	20,409	6.22%	1.030	9.79%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	10.00%	21,250	48.50%	10,306	23,400	46.50%	10,881	1.09%	1.005	10.05%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	14.50%	31,900	34.00%	10,846	40,500	37.50%	15,188	6.97%	1.034	14.99%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	9.00%	5,683	51.20%	2,910	7,500	50.50%	3,788	5.41%	1.026	9.24%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	14.00%	122,486	41.00%	50,219	189,400	42.00%	79,548	9.64%	1.046	14.64%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	13.00%	9,727	49.20%	4,786	10,400	50.00%	5,200	1.67%	1.008	13.11%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	10.00%	6,602	35.60%	2,350	10,400	30.50%	3,172	6.18%	1.030	10.30%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	9.50%	7,513	44.20%	3,321	10,750	46.00%	4,945	8.29%	1.040	9.88%
PPL Corporation	PPL	9.50%	29,875	49.00%	14,639	34,280	50.50%	17,311	3.41%	1.017	9.66%
Southern Company	SO	14.50%	85,000	36.00%	30,600	93,500	37.00%	34,595	2.48%	1.012	14.68%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	11.00%	42,529	41.40%	17,607	64,225	39.00%	25,048	7.30%	1.035	11.39%
Mean											11.47%

Median

10.71%

# Notes:

[1] Source: Value Line [2] Source: Value Line [3] Source: Value Line [4] Equals [2] x [3] [5] Source: Value Line [6] Source: Value Line [7] Equals [5] x [6] [8] Equals ([7] / [4]) ^ (1/5) - 1 [9] Equals 2 x (1 + [8]) / (2 + [8]) [10] Equals [1] x [9]

# 2025-2027 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AS A PERCENT OF 2023 NET PLANT (\$ Millions)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
						2025-2027
						Cap. Ex. / 2023
		2023	2025	2026	2027	Net Plant
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT					
Capital Spending per Share			\$5.60	\$5.50	\$5.40	
Common Shares Outstanding			257.00	257.00	257.00	22 60%
Net Plant		\$18,701.0	φ1, <del>4</del> 39.2	φ1,413.3	φ1,307.0	22.00 /0
Ameren Corporation	AEE					
Capital Spending per Share Common Shares Outstanding			\$12.85 275.00	\$12.93 280.00	\$13.00 285.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$3,533.8	\$3,619.0	\$3,705.0	29.85%
Net Plant		\$36,376.0				
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP		\$14.10	\$14.05	\$14.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			540.00	545.00	550.00	
Capital Expenditures		¢00.440.0	\$7,614.0	\$7,657.3	\$7,700.0	27.87%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$82,416.0				
Capital Spending per Share	Don		\$18.00	\$18.38	\$18.75	
Common Shares Outstanding			774.00	775.50	777.00	24.000/
Net Plant		\$122,425.0	\$13,932.0	\$14,249.8	\$14,568.8	34.92%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	•,				
Capital Spending per Share			\$12.50	\$13.25	\$14.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$4,884.0	\$4,717.8	\$4,542.5	32.27%
Net Plant		\$47,423.0				
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG		¢0.35	¢0.42	¢0.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			230.00	\$9.43 230.00	230.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$2,150.5	\$2,167.8	\$2,185.0	26.87%
Net Plant		\$24,200.0				
Capital Spending per Share	FE		\$6.30	\$6.40	\$6.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			584.00	589.50	595.00	
Capital Expenditures		¢20.275.0	\$3,679.2	\$3,772.8	\$3,867.5	28.82%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	φ <b>39,275.</b> 0				
Capital Spending per Share			\$16.60	\$15.00	\$13.40	
Common Shares Outstanding			54.00	55.00	\$6.00 \$750.4	12 0.2%
Net Plant		\$5,745.2	<del></del> <del>3</del> 090.4	φo∠0.0	\$750.4	43.02%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE					
Capital Spending per Share			\$11.00	\$11.50	\$12.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$23,100.0	\$24,725.0	\$26,400.0	53.46%
Net Plant		\$138,852.0				
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE		\$4.75	\$4.75	¢4 75	
Common Shares Outstanding			200.20	200.20	200.20	
Capital Expenditures			\$951.0	\$951.0	\$951.0	24.73%
Net Plant TXNM Energy Inc	TXNM	\$11,538.0				
Capital Spending per Share	170100		\$13.85	\$13.68	\$13.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			92.00	93.50	95.00	
Capital Expenditures Net Plant		\$7 609 9	\$1,274.2	\$1,278.6	\$1,282.5	50.40%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	<i>.,</i>				
Capital Spending per Share			\$11.00	\$11.13	\$11.25	
Common Snares Outstanding Capital Expenditures			\$1.210.0	\$1.279.4	\$1.350.0	40.22%
Net Plant		\$9,546.0	ψ., <b>_</b> 10.0	ψ., <b>2</b> 10.4	÷.,555.0	
Southern Company	PPL		eo 75	<b>#</b> 0.00	<b>64</b> 00	
Capital Spending per Share Common Shares Outstanding			\$3.75 737.50	\$3.88 737.75	\$4.00 738.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$2,765.6	\$2,858.8	\$2,952.0	26.89%
Net Plant	80	\$31,900.0				
Capital Spending per Share	50		\$8,70	\$8.60	\$8.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			1,095.00	1,095.00	1,095.00	
Capital Expenditures		¢100 000 0	\$9,526.5	\$9,417.0	\$9,307.5	28.25%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	<b>φ</b> 100,000.0				
Capital Spending per Share			\$15.00	\$14.00	\$13.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			580.00	587.50	595.00	47 750/
Capital Expenditures		\$51,642.0	\$8,700.0	\$8,225.0	\$7,735.0	47.75%
Arizona Public Service Company	APS		£0.400.0	¢0.550.0	¢0.050.0	49.000/
ບapitai Expenditures [6] Net Plant [6]		\$15,803.0	<b>ఫ∠,400.0</b>	\$∠,550.0	\$∠,650.0	48.09%
6-3					APS CapEx To	\$7,600.0
					APS CapEx An	\$2,533.3
					ALC NALU LUP	1.01

 Notes:
 Image: Constraint of the state of th



# 2025-2027 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AS A PERCENT OF 2023 NET PLANT

# Projected CAPEX / 2023 Net Plant

Company		2025-2027
1 Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	22.68%
2 OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	24.73%
3 Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	26.87%
4 Southern Company	PPL	26.89%
5 American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	27.87%
6 PPL Corporation	SO	28.25%
7 FirstEnergy Corp	FE	28.82%
8 Ameren Corporation	AEE	29.85%
9 Entergy Corporation	ETR	32.27%
10 Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	34.92%
11 Portland General Electric Company	POR	40.22%
12 IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	43.02%
13 Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	47.75%
14 Arizona Public Service Company	APS	48.09%
15 TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	50.40%
16 NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	53.46%
Proxy Group Median		29.85%
APS/Proxy Group		1.61

# Notes:

Source: Schedule 6.1 page 1 col. [7]

# COMPARISON OF PROXY GROUP COMPANIES REGULATORY FRAMEWORK - ADJUSTMENT CLAUSES

-		[1]			[2]					[3]		[4]	[5]	[6]
							-	Deco	oupling	New	Capital			
							Fuel and Purchased			Generation	Delivery	CWIP in	Current Authorize	d Current Authorized
Proxy Group Company	Operating Company	Operation State	Operation		Test Year	Rate Base	Power Adjustment	Full	Partial	Capacity	Infrastructure	Rate Base	ROE	Equity Ratio
Alliant Energy Corporation	Interstate Power & Light Co.	lowa	Electric	1	Historical	Average	х			x		Known & measurable	9.87%	51.00%
	Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	Wisconsin	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	х					No	9.80%	53.70%
Ameren Corporation	Ameren Illinois Co.	Illinois	Electric	1	Historical	Average			х	х		Partial	8.72%	50.00%
	Union Electric Co.	Missouri	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		x	x	x	NO	NA	NA
American Electric Power Company	Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Arkansas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		x	×		Known & measurable	9.50%	NA
	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Indiana	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	X		x	x	x	Yes	9.85%	NA 41.25%
	Kentucky Power Co.	Kentucky	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	X		x			res	9.75%	41.25%
	Indiana Michigan Rower Co.	Louisiana-PSC Michigan	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	X		×	~		Voc	9.50%	NA NA
	Obio Power Co.	Ohio	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Average Voor End	X		×	×	~	Voc	9.00%	54 42%
	Public Service Co. of Oklahoma	Oklahoma	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	v		×	×	×	Portial	9.70%	54.43%
	Kingsport Power Co.	Toppossoo	Electric	1	Fully Forecast		÷		^	^	^	Vac	9.50%	49.00%
	AEP Toyon Inc.	Toyoc	Electric	1	Historical	Voor End	*				~	No	9.30%	40.50%
	Southwestern Electric Power Co	Texas	Electric	1	Historical	Vear End	*				Ŷ	No	9.70%	42.30%
	Appalachian Power Co	Virginia	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	Ŷ			~	^	No	9.75%	48.24%
	Appalachian Power Co. Wheeling Power Co.	West Virginia	Electric	1	Historical	Average	×			^		l arge projects only	5.7570 NA	40.24 /0 NA
Duke Energy Corporation	Duke Energy Florida LLC	Florida	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	Ŷ			~		Vec	10 30%	NΔ
Build Energy Corporation	Duke Energy Indiana LLC	Indiana	Electric	1	Historical	Vear End	Ŷ		~	Ŷ	×	Vec	9 75%	NΔ
	Duke Energy Kentucky Inc	Kontucky	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Voor End	<u> </u>		÷	^	~	Voc	0.75%	52 15%
	Duke Energy Carolinas LLC	North Carolina	Electric	1	Historical	Voor End	÷		^	~		Portial	10 10%	52.10%
	Duke Energy Progress LLC	North Carolina	Electric	1	Historical	Vear End	×			×		Partial	9.80%	53.00%
	Duke Energy Obio Inc	Obio	Electric	1	Partially Earocast	Voor End	*		~	÷	~	Voc	9.00%	50.50%
	Duke Energy Progress LLC	South Carolina	Electric	1	Historical	Vear End	*		^	^	^	Vec	9.50%	52 43%
	Duke Energy Carolinas LLC	South Carolina	Electric	1	Historical	Vear End	Ŷ					Vec	9.00%	51 21%
Entergy Corporation	Entergy Arkanese LLC	Arkaneae	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Vear End	Ŷ		~	~	×	Known & measurable	NA	NA
Energy corporation	Entergy New Orleans LLC	Louisiana-NOCC	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Vear End	Ŷ		^	Ŷ	~	Partial	0.35%	50.00%
	Entergy Louisiana LLC	Louisiana-PSC	Electric	1	Historical	Average	Ŷ		~	^		Partial	9.05%	NA NA
	Entergy Mississioni LLC	Mieejeejnni	Electric	1	Historical	Average	Ŷ		Ŷ			Partial	10.07%	NΔ
	Entergy Texas Inc	Техае	Electric	1	Historical	Vear End	Ŷ		^	~	*	No	9.57%	51 21%
Everay Inc.	Everav Kansas Central Inc.	Kansas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		¥	Ŷ	^	Yes	NA	NA
	Everav Kansas South Inc.	Kansas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		Ŷ	Ŷ		Yes	10 40%	50 13%
	Everay Metro Inc	Kansas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		^	^	×	Yes	NA	NA
	Everay Metro Inc.	Missouri	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		¥		Ŷ	No	NA	NA
F	Everay Missouri West Inc	Missouri	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x		Ŷ	×	Ŷ	No	NA	NA
FirstEnergy Corp	Potomac Edison Co	Maryland	Electric	1	Historical	Average	~		~	~	~	Yes	9.50%	53.00%
r notznolgy oolp	Jersey Central Power & Light Co.	New Jersev	Flectric	1	Partially Forecast	Year End			x			No	9.60%	51.90%
	Cleveland Elec. Illum /Ohio Edison/Toledo Ed	li Ohio	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Year End			x	x	¥	No	10.50%	49.00%
	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Pennsylvania	Flectric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End			~	~	x	No	NA	NA
	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Pennsylvania	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End					x	No	NA	NA
	Pennsylvania Power Co.	Pennsylvania	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End					x	No	NA	NA
	West Penn Power Co.	Pennsylvania	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End					x	No	NA	NA
	Monongahela Power Co.	West Virginia	Electric	1	Historical	Average	×					Large projects only	9.80%	NA
	Potomac Edison Co.	West Virginia	Electric	1	Historical	Average	×					Large projects only	10.85%	46.42%
IDACORP	Idaho Power Co	Idaho	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Average	×	¥				No	9.60%	NA
15/100/1	Idaho Power Co	Oregon	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Average	Ŷ	~				No	9.50%	50.00%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	Florida Power & Light Co.	Florida	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	×			×		Yes	10.80%	59.60%
OGE Energy Corp.	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co.	Arkansas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	×		×	×	×	Known & measurable	NA	NA
	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co.	Oklahoma	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	×		×		x	No	9.50%	53.50%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	New Mexico	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	×			×		Yes	9.26%	49.61%
	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Texas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End					x	No	9.65%	45.00%
Portland General Electric Company	Portland General Electric Co.	Oregon	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Average	×			x		No	9.34%	50.00%
PPL Corporation	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Kentucky	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End	×		x			Yes	9.43%	NA
	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Kentucky	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End	×		x			Yes	9.43%	NA
	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Pennsvlvania	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End					x	No	NA	NA
	Narragansett Electric Co.	Rhode Island	Electric	1	Historical	Average		х			x	No	9.28%	50.95%
	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Virginia	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	x					Rider	NA	NA
Southern Company	Alabama Power Co.	Alabama	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Year End	x			x		No	NA	NA
	Georgia Power Co.	Georgia	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	x			x		Partial	10.50%	56.00%
	Mississippi Power Co.	Mississippi	Electric	1	Historical	Average	х		х			Partial	NA	53.00%
Xcel Energy Inc.	Public Service Co. of Colorado	Colorado	Electric	1	Historical	Average	x		x	x	x	Yes	9.30%	55.69%
	Northern States Power Co Minnesota	Minnesota	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	x		x	x	х	Partial	9.25%	52.50%
	Southwestern Public Service Co.	New Mexico	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	х			x	x	Yes	9.50%	54.70%
	Northern States Power Co Minnesota	North Dakota	Electric	1	Partially Forecast	Average	х			х	х	Rider	9.50%	52.50%
	Northern States Power Co Minnesota	South Dakota	Electric	1	Historical	Average	х		х	х	х	No	NA	NA
	Southwestern Public Service Co.	Texas	Electric	1	Historical	Year End	х					Surcharge	NA	NA
	Northern States Power Co Wisconsin	Wisconsin	Electric	1	Fully Forecast	Average	х					Rider	9.80%	52.50%
					Historical: 36	Average: 28								
Proxy Company Totals					Forecast: 30	Year End: 38		2	29	32	28	43		
Total Jurisdictions				66										
Percent of Jurisdictions					Forecast: 45%	Year End: 58%		3%	44%	48%	42%	65%	9.71%	51.08%
Arizona Public Service Company		Arizona	Electric		Historical	Year End	х		х	x		No	9.55%	51.93%

Notes: [1] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Regulatory Focus: Adjustment Clauses, dated July 18, 2022. Operating subsidiaries not covered in this report were excluded from this exhibit. [2] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Regulatory Focus: Adjustment Clauses, dated July 18, 2022. [3] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Regulatory Focus: Adjustment Clauses, dated July 18, 2022. [4] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Regulatory Research Associates, Commission Profiles [5] - [6] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Past Rate Cases [6] Excludes equito ratios from Arkansas, Forida, Indiana and Michigan, which include zero cost of capital items in rate base such as ADIT.

# NUCLEAR GENERATION ANALYSIS

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
Regulated 2023 Y		Net Generation of Reporting Plants: Operations (MWh) Uranium	Net Generation of Reporting Plants: Operations (MWh) Total	Net Generation % Nuclear	Operating Capacity (MW) Uranium	Operating Capacity (MW) Total	Operating Capacity % Nuclear
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	NA	\$27,305,735.00	0.0%	NA	\$8,118.14	0.0%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	\$9,179,744.00	\$32,360,874.00	28.4%	\$1,236.00	\$10,753.80	11.5%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	\$18,640,118.00	\$72,647,843.00	25.7%	\$2,278.00	\$23,230.41	9.8%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$74,994,563.00	\$206,077,488.00	36.4%	\$9,195.48	\$54,779.51	16.8%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	\$27,183,938.00	\$103,276,104.00	26.3%	\$4,000.70	\$25,380.99	15.8%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	\$9,683,754.00	\$33,373,325.00	29.0%	\$1,179.70	\$10,966.85	10.8%
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	NA	\$16,263,950.00	0.0%	NA	\$3,580.71	0.0%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	NA	\$12,705,288.00	0.0%	NA	\$3,780.78	0.0%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	\$28,723,529.00	\$142,769,983.00	20.1%	\$3,589.92	\$36,343.75	9.9%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	NA	\$13,377,693.00	0.0%	NA	\$7,339.31	0.0%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	\$2,417,006.00	\$7,441,320.00	32.5%	\$306.93	\$1,570.48	19.5%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	NA	\$16,289,052.00	0.0%	NA	\$3,558.41	0.0%
PPL Corporation	PPL	NA	\$29,892,871.00	0.0%	NA	\$8,112.71	0.0%
Southern Company	SO	\$32,682,565.00	\$134,386,105.00	24.3%	\$4,233.37	\$32,921.00	12.9%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	\$11,923,652.00	\$76,167,245.00	15.7%	\$1,738.00	\$22,259.18	7.8%
Average				15.9%			7.6%
Arizona Public Service Company		\$9,173,074.00	\$25,326,539.00	36.2%	\$1,164.90	\$6,634.20	17.6%

Notes: [1] – [6] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Regulated Energy Companies Screener. Accessed April 29, 2025.

# COMPARISON OF PROXY GROUP COMPANIES REGULATORY FRAMEWORK - ALTERNATIVE RATE PLANS

		[1]			[2]			
Proxy Group Company	Operating Company	Operation State	Operation		Formula Rate Plan	Multi-Year Rate Plan		
Alliant Energy Corporation	Interstate Power & Light Co.	lowa	Electric	1				
	Wisconsin Power & Light Co.	Wisconsin	Electric	1				
Ameren Corporation	Ameren Illinois Co.	Illinois	Electric	1		х		
American Electric Power Company	Union Electric Co. Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Arkansas	Electric	1	*			
American Electric Fower company	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Indiana	Flectric	1	^			
	Kentucky Power Co.	Kentucky	Electric	1				
	Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Louisiana-PSC	Electric	1	х			
	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Michigan	Electric	1				
	Ohio Power Co.	Ohio	Electric	1				
	Public Service Co. of Oklahoma	Oklahoma	Electric	1				
	Kingsport Power Co.	Tennessee	Electric	1				
	AEP Texas Inc. Southwestern Electric Bower Co.	Texas	Electric	1				
	Annalachian Power Co	Virginia	Electric	1				
	Appalachian Power Co./Wheeling Power Co.	West Virginia	Electric	1		x		
Duke Energy Corporation	Duke Energy Florida LLC	Florida	Electric	1		x		
	Duke Energy Indiana LLC	Indiana	Electric	1				
	Duke Energy Kentucky Inc.	Kentucky	Electric	1				
	Duke Energy Carolinas LLC	North Carolina	Electric	1		х		
	Duke Energy Progress LLC	North Carolina	Electric	1		х		
	Duke Energy Onio Inc.	Onio	Electric	1				
	Duke Energy Progress LLC	South Carolina	Electric	1				
Entergy Corporation	Entergy Arkansas LLC	Arkanese	Electric	1	*			
Energy corporation	Entergy New Orleans LLC	Louisiana-NOCC	Electric	1	Ŷ			
	Entergy Louisiana LLC	Louisiana-PSC	Flectric	1	x			
	Entergy Mississippi LLC	Mississippi	Electric	1	x			
	Entergy Texas Inc.	Texas	Electric	1				
Evergy, Inc.	Evergy Kansas Central Inc.	Kansas	Electric	1				
	Evergy Kansas South Inc.	Kansas	Electric	1				
	Evergy Metro Inc.	Kansas	Electric	1				
	Evergy Metro Inc.	Missouri	Electric	1				
F. (F	Evergy Missouri West Inc.	Missouri	Electric	1				
FirstEnergy Corp	Potomac Edison Co.	Maryland	Electric	1				
	Jersey Central Power & Light Co.	New Jersey	Electric	1				
	Metropolitan Edison Co	Bonneylyania	Electric	1		x		
	Pennsylvania Electric Co	Pennevlvania	Electric	1				
	Pennsylvania Power Co.	Pennsylvania	Flectric	1				
	West Penn Power Co.	Pennsvlvania	Electric	1				
	Monongahela Power Co.	West Virginia	Electric	1				
	Potomac Edison Co.	West Virginia	Electric	1				
IDACORP	Idaho Power Co.	Idaho	Electric	1				
	Idaho Power Co.	Oregon	Electric	1				
NextEra Energy, Inc.	Florida Power & Light Co.	Florida	Electric	1		х		
OGE Energy Corp.	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co.	Arkansas	Electric	1				
	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co.	Oklahoma	Electric	1				
i Aniwi Energy, Inc.	Tevas-New Mexico Power Co	Tevas	Electric	1				
Portland General Electric Company	Portland General Flectric Co	Oregon	Flectric	1				
PPL Corporation	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Kentucky	Electric	1				
	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Kentucky	Electric	1				
	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Pennsylvania	Electric	1				
	Narragansett Electric Co.	Rhode Island	Electric	1				
	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Virginia	Electric	1				
Southern Company	Alabama Power Co.	Alabama	Electric	1	х			
	Georgia Power Co.	Georgia	Electric	1		x		
Mark Franziska	Mississippi Power Co.	Mississippi	Electric	1	x			
Acei Energy Inc.	Public Service Co. of Colorado	Colorado	Electric	1				
	Southwestern Public Service Co.	New Mexico	Electric	1		x		
	Northern States Power Co Minnecoto	North Dakota	Electric	1				
	Northern States Power Co Minnesota	South Dakota	Electric	1				
	Southwestern Public Service Co.	Texas	Electric	1				
	Northern States Power Co Wisconsin	Wisconsin	Electric	1				
					FRP: 8	MYRP: 9		
Proxy Company Totals								
Total Jurisdictions				66				
Percent of Jurisdictions					FRP: 12%	MYRP: 14%		
Arizona Public Service Company		Arizona	Electric		Proposed	No		
anzona i ubilo ocivide company		, . 120110	21000110		rioposeu	140		

Notes: [1] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Regulatory Focus: Adjustment Clauses, dated July 18, 2022. Operating subsidiaries not covered in this report were excluded from this exhibit. [2] Source: EEI Pacific Economics Group, Innovative Regulatory Tools for Addressing an Increasingly Complex Energy Landscape, February 2024, Table A3 and Table A6

# CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

COMMC	N EQUIT	Y RATIO [1]				
Proxy Group Company	Ticker	2024Q4	2024Q3	2024Q2	2024Q1	Average
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	52.71%	50.96%	51.76%	51.59%	51.75%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	53.52%	53.89%	53.10%	53.64%	53.54%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	48.58%	48.74%	48.26%	49.16%	48.68%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	53.08%	53.53%	53.00%	52.16%	52.94%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	51.30%	51.62%	50.83%	50.77%	51.13%
FirstEnergy Corporation	FF	58 94%	59.32%	57 33%	54 18%	57 44%
Everav Inc	EVRG	57 57%	57 50%	56.83%	57 95%	57 46%
		40.05%	10 62%	51 57%	10 46%	50 15%
NextEre Energy Inc.		49.9370	49.02 /0	50.420/	49.40%	50.1570
Nextera Energy, Inc.	NEE	59.98%	59.47%	59.13%	62.08%	50.00%
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	53.25%	53.33%	53.08%	53.67%	53.33%
TXNM Energy, Inc.	TXNM	50.10%	50.60%	49.30%	50.73%	50.18%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	45.57%	44.96%	43.94%	43.76%	44.56%
PPL Corporation	PPL	56.61%	56.40%	56.04%	55.53%	56.15%
Southern Company	SO	55.54%	56.14%	54.84%	54.37%	55.23%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	54.24%	54.08%	53.47%	54.29%	54.02%
MEAN		53.39%	53.34%	52.83%	52.93%	53.13%
MEDIAN		53 25%	53 53%	53 08%	53 64%	53 33%
LOW		45 57%	44 96%	43 94%	43 76%	44 56%
LICH		50.08%	50 47%	50 13%	62.68%	60.31%
nion		39.90 /0	35.47 /0	39.1370	02.00 /0	00.3170
	- UTILITY	UPERAI IN		111E3 [2]	202424	A
Company Name	IICKER	202404	202403	2024Q2	2024Q1	Average
Interstate Power and Light Company	LNI	51.73%	48.71%	50.39%	50.13%	50.24%
Wisconsin Power and Light Company	LNT	53.82%	53.67%	53.25%	53.17%	53.48%
Ameren Illinois Company	AEE	55.36%	54.88%	54.57%	56.98%	55.44%
Union Electric Company	AEE	51.92%	53.02%	51.76%	50.64%	51.83%
AEP Texas, Inc.	AEP	43.47%	44.02%	44.11%	46.12%	44.43%
Appalachian Power Company	AEP	50.26%	49.65%	49.46%	49.16%	49.63%
Indiana Michigan Power Company	AFP	50 18%	50 16%	49 13%	49 14%	49.65%
Kentucky Power Company		11 93%	11 19%	12 57%	12 54%	13.63%
Kingsport Power Company		52 00%	52 73%	52 51%	51 80%	52 51%
Chia Dawar Company		52.99%	52.73%	32.31%	51.00%	52.5170
Unio Power Company	AEP	50.95%	50.23%	49.47%	51.79%	50.61%
Public Service Company of Oklahoma	AEP	48.32%	52.78%	52.12%	52.10%	51.33%
Southwestern Electric Power Company	AEP	51.55%	50.85%	50.60%	51.71%	51.18%
Wheeling Power Company	AEP	44.66%	44.24%	43.74%	44.17%	44.20%
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	DUK	51.08%	52.36%	51.57%	51.05%	51.51%
Duke Energy Florida, LLC	DUK	53.67%	54.45%	53.94%	51.75%	53.45%
Duke Energy Indiana, LLC	DUK	53.41%	53.13%	52.85%	51.31%	52.67%
Duke Energy Kentucky Inc	DUK	54 31%	53 68%	56 26%	62 20%	56 61%
Duke Energy Obio Inc	אווס	62 72%	62 21%	61 98%	61 55%	62 12%
Duke Energy Drogross LLC	DUK	02.7270 51 710/	61 200/	50 60%	E0 199/	50 000/
Duke Energy Progress, LLC	DUK	51.71%	51.39%	50.69%	50.18%	50.99%
Entergy Arkansas, Inc.	EIR	47.15%	48.46%	47.46%	46.64%	47.43%
Entergy Louisiana, LLC	EIR	54.22%	54.14%	52.62%	52.61%	53.40%
Entergy Mississippi, Inc.	ETR	49.50%	48.94%	48.19%	48.57%	48.80%
Entergy New Orleans, LLC	ETR	48.50%	52.44%	51.21%	52.81%	51.24%
Entergy Texas, Inc.	ETR	49.74%	49.89%	51.74%	51.03%	50.60%
Evergy Metro	EVRG	50.97%	51.21%	50.40%	52.25%	51.21%
Everav Missouri West, Inc.	EVRG	52.08%	52.18%	51.40%	56.08%	52.93%
Great Plains Energy Incorporated	EVRG	51 34%	51 53%	50 74%	53 49%	51 77%
Westar Energy (KPL)	EVRG	57 12%	56 86%	56 31%	55 72%	56 50%
Wester Energy Inc	EVPC	66 78%	66 56%	65.00%	65 52%	66 21%
Claveland Electric Illuminating Company		67 10%	67.00%	65.5370 EE E 40/	65.52 /0 EE E00/	61 250/
Cieveland Electric Indriniating Company		07.19%	07.00%	33.34%	10.05%	01.33%
FirstEnergy Pennsylvania Electric Company	FE	52.71%	49.41%	46.72%	46.05%	49.87%
Jersey Central Power & Light Company	FE	67.97%	75.34%	74.92%	66.51%	71.19%
Metropolitan Edison Company	FE					
Monongahela Power Company	FE	53.58%	53.16%	52.12%	46.10%	51.24%
Ohio Edison Company	FE	54.89%	54.30%	53.27%	53.33%	53.95%
Pennsylvania Electric Company	FE					
Pennsylvania Power Company	FE					
Potomac Edison Company	FE	51.72%	50.90%	50.56%	50.31%	50.87%
Toledo Edison Company	FF	55 44%	55 41%	54 83%	54 98%	55 17%
West Penn Power Company	FF	00.1170	00	01.0070	00070	00.1170
Idaha Power Co		10.05%	10 62%	51 57%	10 16%	50 15%
Flarida Dawar & Linkt Company		49.9370	49.02 /0	51.57 /0	49.40%	50.1570
Fionda Power & Light Company	NEE	59.96%	59.47%	59.13%	62.08%	60.31%
Okianoma Gas and Electric Company	OGE	53.25%	53.33%	53.08%	53.67%	53.33%
Public Service Company of New Mexico	IXNM	50.10%	50.60%	49.30%	50.73%	50.18%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	45.57%	44.96%	43.94%	43.76%	44.56%
Kentucky Utilities Company	PPL	54.48%	54.14%	54.17%	53.54%	54.08%
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	PPL	53.69%	53.32%	53.22%	52.87%	53.28%
Narragansett Electric Company	PPL	62.90%	62.48%	61.15%	60.03%	61.64%
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	PPL	56.29%	56.34%	56.15%	55.92%	56.18%
Alabama Power Company	SO	53.88%	53.97%	53,56%	53,33%	53.69%
Georgia Power Company	SO	56.53%	57.47%	55.57%	55.14%	56.18%
Mississippi Power Company	so	55 31%	55 62%	55 25%	52 95%	54 78%
Northern States Power Company MN	XEI	53 37%	52 00%	52 220/0	51 71%	52 55%
Northern States Power Company - Will		53.31 70 E3 330/	52.3070	JZ.ZZ70	51.7170 E4.000/	JZ.JJ70
Dublic Company of Colored		JJ.23%	JZ.91%	JZ.U3%	J4.00%	JJ.43%
Fublic Service Company of Colorado	AEL	00.21%	00.21%	34.38%	30.13%	55.38%
Southwestern Public Service Company	<b>XEL</b>	54.17%	54.28%	54.29%	<b>ɔ</b> 4.∠1%	o4.24%

Notes: [1] Ratios are weighted by actual common capital, preferred capital, and long-term debt of Operating Subsidiaries. [2] Operating Subsidiaries with data listed as N/A from SNL Financial have been excluded from the analysis.
### CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

Proxy Group Company Alliant Energy Corporation	RM DEBI	RATIO [1]				
Alliant Energy Corporation	Ticker	2024Q4	2024Q3	2024Q2	2024Q1	Average
Ameren Corporation	LNT	47.29%	49.04%	48.24%	48.41%	48.25%
	AEE	46.48%	46.11%	46.90%	46.36%	46.46%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	51.42%	51.26%	51.74%	50.84%	51.32%
Duke Energy Corporation		46.92%	46.47%	47.00%	47.84%	47.06%
Entergy Corporation		48.70%	48.38%	49.17%	49.23%	48.87%
FirstEnergy Corporation		41.06%	40.68%	42.67%	45.82%	42.56%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	42.43%	42.50%	43.17%	42.05%	42.54%
IDACORP, INC.		50.05%	50.36%	40.43%	50.54%	49.00%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	40.02%	40.53%	40.87%	37.32%	39.69%
OGE Energy Corporation	UGE	46.75%	40.07%	46.92%	40.33%	40.07%
I XNM Energy, Inc.		49.90%	49.40%	50.70%	49.27%	49.82%
Portiand General Electric Company		54.43%	55.04%	10.00%	00.24%	55.44%
PPL Corporation	PPL	43.39%	43.60%	43.96%	44.47%	43.85%
Southern Company	SO	44.46%	43.86%	45.16%	45.63%	44.77%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	45.76%	45.92%	46.53%	45.71%	45.98%
MEAN		46.61%	40.00%	47.17%	47.07%	46.81%
MEDIAN		46.75%	46.47%	46.92%	46.36%	46.67%
LUW		40.02%	40.53%	40.87%	37.32%	40.47%
HIGH		54.43%	55.04%	56.06%	56.24%	55.18%
LUNG-TERM DEBT RATIO -	Ticker		202402	NES [2]	202404	Avorage
Interstate Rower and Light Company		19 270/	2024Q3	202402	10 970/	Average
Missensia Bower and Light Company		46.27%	51.29%	49.01%	49.87%	49.70%
Ameron Illinois Compony		40.18%	40.33%	40.75%	40.03%	40.52%
Ameren minois Company		44.04%	40.1270	40.43%	40.02%	44.30%
	AEE	48.08%	40.90%	40.24%	49.30%	46.17%
AEP Texas, Inc.	AEP	56.53%	55.98%	55.89%	53.88%	55.57%
Appaiachian Power Company	AEP	49.74%	50.35%	50.54%	50.84%	50.37%
Indiana Michigan Power Company	AEP	49.82%	49.84%	50.87%	50.86%	50.35%
Kentucky Power Company	AEP	55.07%	55.51%	57.43%	57.46%	56.37%
Kingsport Power Company	AEP	47.01%	47.27%	47.49%	48.20%	47.49%
Ohio Power Company	AEP	49.05%	49.77%	50.53%	48.21%	49.39%
Public Service Company of Oklahoma	AEP	51.68%	47.22%	47.88%	47.90%	48.67%
Southwestern Electric Power Company	AEP	48.45%	49.15%	49.40%	48.29%	48.82%
Wheeling Power Company	AEP	55.34%	55.76%	56.26%	55.83%	55.80%
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	DUK	48.92%	47.64%	48.43%	48.95%	48.49%
Duke Energy Florida, LLC	DUK	46.33%	45.55%	46.06%	48.25%	46.55%
Duke Energy Indiana, LLC	DUK	46.59%	46.87%	47.15%	48.69%	47.33%
Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.	DUK	45.69%	46.32%	43.74%	37.80%	43.39%
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	DUK	37.28%	37.79%	38.02%	38.45%	37.88%
Duke Energy Progress, LLC	DUK	48.29%	48.61%	49.31%	49.82%	49.01%
Entergy Arkansas, Inc.	ETR	52.85%	51.54%	52.54%	53.36%	52.57%
Entergy Louisiana, LLC	ETR	45.78%	45.86%	47.38%	47.39%	46.60%
Entergy Mississippi, Inc.	ETR	50.50%	51.06%	51.81%	51.43%	51.20%
Entergy New Orleans, LLC	ETR	51.50%	47.56%	48.79%	47.19%	48.76%
Entergy Texas, Inc.	ETR	50.26%	50.11%	48.26%	48.97%	49.40%
Evergy Metro	EVRG	49.03%	48.79%	49.60%	47.75%	48.79%
Evergy Missouri West, Inc.	EVRG	47.92%	47.82%	48.60%	43.92%	47.07%
Great Plains Energy Incorporated	EVRG	48.66%	48.47%	49.26%	46.51%	48.23%
Westar Energy (KPL)	EVRG	42.88%	43.14%	43.69%	44.28%	43.50%
Westar Energy, Inc.	EVRG	33.22%	33.44%	34.01%	34.48%	33.79%
Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company	FE	32.81%	32.92%	44.46%	44.41%	38.65%
FirstEnergy Pennsylvania Electric Company	FE	47.29%	50.59%	51.28%	51.35%	50.13%
Jersey Central Power & Light Company	FE	32.03%	24.66%	25.08%	33.49%	28.81%
Metropolitan Edison Company	FE					
Monongahela Power Company	FE	46.42%	46.84%	47.88%	53.90%	48.76%
Ohio Edison Company	FE	45.11%	45.70%	46.73%	46.67%	46.05%
Pennsylvania Electric Company	FE					
	FE					
Pennsylvania Power Company						
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company	FE	48.28%	49.10%	49.44%	49.69%	49.13%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company	FE FE	48.28% 44.56%	49.10% 44.59%	49.44% 45.17%	49.69% 45.02%	49.13% 44.83%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company	FE FE FE	48.28% 44.56%	49.10% 44.59%	49.44% 45.17%	49.69% 45.02%	49.13% 44.83%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co.	FE FE FE IDA	48.28% 44.56% 50.05%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company	FE FE IDA NEE	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company	FE FE IDA NEE OGE	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68% 37.52%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 38.85%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13% 39.97%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL PPL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10% 43.71%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68% 37.52% 43.66%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 38.85% 43.85%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13% 39.97% 44.08%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Alabama Power Company	FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL PPL SO	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10% 43.71% 46.12%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68% 37.52% 43.66% 46.03%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 38.85% 43.85% 46.44%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13% 39.97% 44.08% 46.67%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82% 46.31%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Alabama Power Company Georgia Power Company	FE FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL SO SO	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10% 43.71% 46.12% 43.47%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68% 37.52% 43.66% 46.03% 42.53%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 38.85% 46.44% 44.43%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13% 39.97% 44.08% 46.67% 44.86%	49.13% 44.83% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82% 46.31% 43.82%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Alabama Power Company Georgia Power Company Mississippi Power Company	FE FE FE IDA NEE OGGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL SO SO SO SO	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10% 43.71% 46.12% 43.47%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68% 37.52% 43.66% 46.03% 42.53%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 38.85% 43.85% 46.44% 44.43% 44.43%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 56.24% 49.6% 44.48% 44.86% 44.86% 47.05%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82% 46.31% 43.82% 45.22%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Alabama Power Company Georgia Power Company Mississippi Power Company - MN	FE FE FE NGE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL SO SO SO XEL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 46.31% 46.12% 43.47% 44.69%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 45.86% 45.86% 43.66% 46.03% 42.53% 44.38% 44.38%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.92% 50.70% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 43.85% 46.44% 44.43% 44.75%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 46.33% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13% 99.97% 44.08% 44.08% 44.08% 44.86% 48.29%	49.13% 44.83% 49.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82% 46.31% 43.82% 45.22% 47.45%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Alabama Power Company Georgia Power Company Mississippi Power Company - MN Northern States Power Company - WI	FE FE FE IDA NGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL SO SO SO SO XEL XEL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10% 43.71% 46.12% 46.12% 46.62% 46.63% 46.63%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 45.86% 46.68% 37.52% 43.66% 43.66% 42.53% 44.38% 47.10%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 46.92% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 38.85% 46.44% 44.43% 44.43% 44.75% 47.77%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 46.33% 46.46% 47.13% 39.97% 44.08% 44.08% 44.86% 47.05% 48.29% 45.14%	49.13% 44.83% 99.85% 39.69% 46.67% 49.82% 55.44% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82% 45.22% 47.45%
Pennsylvania Power Company Potomac Edison Company Toledo Edison Company West Penn Power Company Idaho Power Co. Florida Power & Light Company Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Public Service Company of New Mexico Portland General Electric Company Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company Narragansett Electric Company PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Alabama Power Company Georgia Power Company Mississippi Power Company - MN Northern States Power Company - WI Public Service Company of Colorado	FE FE FE IDA NEE OGE TXNM POR PPL PPL PPL PPL SO SO SO SO SO XEL XEL	48.28% 44.56% 50.05% 40.02% 46.75% 49.90% 54.43% 45.52% 46.31% 37.10% 43.71% 46.12% 43.47% 44.69% 46.63% 46.677%	49.10% 44.59% 50.38% 40.53% 46.67% 49.40% 55.04% 45.86% 46.68% 46.68% 46.68% 42.53% 42.53% 44.38% 47.10% 47.03%	49.44% 45.17% 48.43% 40.87% 50.70% 56.06% 45.83% 46.78% 43.85% 46.44% 44.43% 44.43% 44.43% 47.78% 47.37%	49.69% 45.02% 50.54% 37.32% 46.33% 49.27% 56.24% 46.46% 47.13% 39.97% 44.08% 46.67% 44.86% 47.65% 48.29% 45.14% 43.27%	49.13% 44.83% 99.85% 39.69% 46.67% 45.92% 46.72% 38.36% 43.82% 43.82% 45.22% 47.45% 46.57%

Notes: [1] Ratios are weighted by actual common capital, preferred capital, and long-term debt of Operating Subsidiaries. [2] Operating Subsidiaries with data listed as N/A from SNL Financial have been excluded from the analysis.

## Scenario 1: Real Risk Free Rate -- Projected Estimate Step 1

Consumer Price Index (YoY % Change) [1]	
2026-2030	2.20%
2031-2035	2.20%
Average	2.20%

Consumer Price Index (All-Urban) [2]	
2026	3.27
2035	3.96
Compound Annual Growth Rate	2.17%
GDP Chain-type Price Index (2009=1.000) [2]	
2026	1.41
2035	1.73
Compound Annual Growth Rate	2.27%
Average Inflation Forecast	2.21%
Step 2	
Naminallio Transvers David Middle 20 sea as [4]	
Nominal U.S. Treasury Bond Yield, 30-year [1]	
2026-2030	4.30%
2026-2030 2031-2035	4.30% 4.20%
Nominal U.S. Treasury Bond Yield, 30-year [1] 2026-2030 2031-2035	4.30% 4.20% 4.25%

### Notes:

[1] Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024, at 14

[2] Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Outlook 2023, Table 20

[3] Equals (4.25% + 1) / (1 + 2.21%) - 1

1.94%

# Scenario 2: Real Risk Free Rate -- Projected Estimate

Nominal U.S. Treasury Bon	d Yield, 30-year [1]		
	Projection period:	2026-2030	4.30%
	Projection period:	2031-2035	4.20%
			4.25%
2025 average yield on 30-ye	ear U.S. Treasury Bor	nds [2]	4.71%
2025 average yield on 30-year U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities [3]		2.40%	
		2.31%	

#### Notes:

[1] Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 43, No. 12, November 27, 2024, at 14

[2] Source: https://home.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interestrates/TextView?type=daily\_treasury\_yield\_curve&field\_tdr\_date\_value=2025

[3] Source: https://home.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interest-rates/TextView?type=daily\_treasury\_real\_yield\_curve&field\_tdr\_date\_value=2025

As of April 11, 2025 [4] Equals 4.25% - 2.31%

## Scenario 3: Real Risk Free Rate -- Normalized Risk-Free Rate

Nominal Risk Free Rate [1]	4.76%
2025 average yield on 30-year U.S. Treasury Bonds [2]	4.71%
2025 average yield on 30-year U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities [3]	2.40%
	2.31%
Real Risk-Free Rate [4]	2.45%

### Notes:

[1] Kroll Cost of Capital Recommendations and Potential Upcoming Changes – March 2025 Update, and [2]

[2] Source: https://home.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interestrates/TextView?type=daily\_treasury\_yield\_curve&field\_tdr\_date\_value=2025

[3] Source: https://home.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interest-rates/TextView?type=daily\_treasury\_real\_yield\_curve&field\_tdr\_date\_value=2025

As of April 11, 2025 [4] Equals 4.76% - 2.31%

Real Risk-Free Rate Estimates

Scenario 1	1.99%
Scenario 2	1.94%
Scenario 3	2.45%
Mean	2.13%

# **FVI Cost Rate Recommendations**

Recommended rate [1]	2.13%

APS requested rate 1.00%

Notes:

[1] Equals the mean of the three scenarios