



TREMONT
MORTGAGE TRUST

2019 Annual Report

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-38199

Tremont Mortgage Trust

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland
(State of Organization)

82-1719041
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Suite 300, Newton, MA
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

02458-1634
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code **617-796-8317**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Trading Symbol	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share	TRMT	The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value, or common shares, of the registrant held by non-affiliates was approximately \$26.9 million based on the \$4.13 closing price per common share on The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC on June 28, 2019. For purposes of this calculation, an aggregate of 1,671,619 common shares held directly by, or by affiliates of, the trustees and the executive officers of the registrant have been included in the number of common shares held by affiliates.

Number of the registrant's common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, outstanding as of February 14, 2020: 8,239,226.

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the Company, TRMT, we, us or our mean Tremont Mortgage Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries unless otherwise expressly stated or the context indicates otherwise.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.

Warning Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and other securities laws. Also, whenever we use words such as “believe”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “intend”, “plan”, “estimate”, “will”, “may” and negatives or derivatives of these or similar expressions, we are making forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based upon our present intent, beliefs or expectations, but forward-looking statements are not guaranteed to occur and may not occur. Forward-looking statements in this report relate to various aspects of our business, including:

- Our ability to carry out our business strategy and take advantage of opportunities for our business that we believe exist,
- Our ability to obtain additional capital to enable us to make additional investments or to increase our potential returns, including by using available leverage,
- Our ability to pay distributions to our shareholders and to sustain the amount of such distributions,
- Our operating and investment targets, investment and financing strategies and leverage policies,
- Our expected operating results,
- The amount and timing of cash flows we receive from our investments,
- The ability of our manager, Tremont Realty Advisors LLC, or our Manager, to locate suitable investments for us, to monitor, service and administer our existing investments and to otherwise implement our investment strategy,
- Our ability to maintain and increase the net interest spread between the interest we earn on our investments and the interest we pay on our borrowings,
- The origination, extension, exit, prepayment or other fees we may earn from our investments,
- Yields that may be available to us from mortgages on middle market and transitional commercial real estate,
- The duration and other terms of our loan agreements with borrowers,
- The credit qualities of our borrowers,
- The ability and willingness of our borrowers to repay our investments in a timely manner or at all,
- Our projected leverage,
- The cost and availability of additional advancements under our master repurchase facility with Citibank, N.A., or our Master Repurchase Facility, or other debt financing under additional repurchase or bank facilities we may obtain from time to time, and our ability to obtain such additional debt financing,
- Our qualification for taxation as a real estate investment trust, or REIT,
- Our ability to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the Investment Company Act,
- Our understanding of the competitive nature of our industry and our ability to successfully compete under such circumstances,
- Market trends in our industry or with respect to interest rates, real estate values, the debt securities markets or the economy generally,

- Regulatory requirements and the effect they may have on us or our competitors, and
- Other matters.

Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by our forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that could have a material adverse effect on our forward-looking statements and upon our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, cash flow, prospects and ability to make distributions include, but are not limited to:

- The impact of conditions in the economy, the Commercial Real Estate, or CRE, industry and the capital markets on us and our borrowers,
- Competition within the CRE lending industry,
- Changes in the availability, sourcing and structuring of CRE lending,
- Defaults by our borrowers,
- Compliance with, and changes to, federal, state or local laws or regulations, accounting rules, tax laws or similar matters,
- Limitations imposed on our business and our ability to satisfy complex rules in order for us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes,
- Actual and potential conflicts of interest with our related parties, including our Managing Trustees, Tremont Realty Advisors LLC, or our Manager, The RMR Group LLC, or RMR LLC, and others affiliated with them,
- Acts of terrorism, outbreaks of so called pandemics or other manmade or natural disasters beyond our control, and
- Additional factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For example:

- We have a limited operating history, and we may not be able to operate our business successfully or generate sufficient revenue to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders,
- To make additional investments and continue to grow our business, we will need to obtain additional capital. We cannot be sure that we will be successful in obtaining any such additional capital. If we are unable to obtain such additional capital, we may not be able to further grow our business by making additional investments,
- Our current cash distribution rate to common shareholders is \$0.22 per share per quarter, or \$0.88 per share per year. Our distribution rate is set and reset from time to time by our Board of Trustees. The timing, amount and form of future distributions will be determined at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend upon various factors that our Board of Trustees deems relevant, including our historical and projected income, our Core Earnings (Loss), the then-current and expected needs and availability of cash to pay our obligations and fund our investments, distributions which may be required to be paid by us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT, limitations on distributions contained in our financing arrangements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Trustees in its discretion. Therefore, we cannot be sure that we will continue to pay distributions in the future or that the amount of any distributions we do pay will not decrease,

- Competition may limit our ability to identify and make desirable investments with any additional capital we may obtain or with any proceeds we may receive from repayments of our investments,
- Our belief that there continues to be strong demand for alternative sources of CRE debt capital may not be correct; further, any demand that now exists could be reduced. Reduced demand for alternative sources of CRE debt capital would further increase competition for investments in the CRE debt market,
- Contingencies related to loans that we may enter applications with borrowers for but have not closed may not be satisfied,
- The value of our loans depends upon our borrowers' ability to generate cash flows from operating the assets that serve as the collateral for our loans. Our borrowers may not have sufficient cash flows to repay our loans according to their terms, which may result in delinquency and foreclosure on our loans,
- Prepayment of our loans may adversely affect the value of our loan portfolio and our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders,
- Loans secured by properties in transition involve a greater risk of loss than loans secured by stabilized properties,
- Our Manager's and RMR LLC's only experience managing or servicing a mortgage REIT is with respect to us, and we have a limited operating history,
- We may incur significant debt, and our governing documents contain no limit on the amount of debt we may incur,
- Continued availability of additional advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility is subject to us identifying suitable loans to invest in and our satisfying certain financial covenants and other conditions, as applicable, that we may be unable to satisfy,
- Financing for floating rate mortgages and other related assets that we may seek to sell pursuant to our Master Repurchase Facility is subject to approval by the lender under our Master Repurchase Facility, whose approval we may not obtain,
- Actual costs under our Master Repurchase Facility will be higher than LIBOR plus a premium because of fees and expenses associated with our debt,
- As of February 18, 2020, we closed two additional loans and we have fully invested the capital available to us. Our ability to obtain additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility is contingent upon our making additional advances to our existing borrowers or our ability to effectively reinvest any additional capital, including any loan repayment proceeds, that we may obtain or receive. However, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain additional capital or additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility. It may take an extended period for us to reinvest any additional capital we may receive, and any reinvestments we may be able to make may not provide us with similar returns or comparable risks as those of our current investments,
- Any phase out of LIBOR may have an impact on our investments and our debt financing arrangements,
- We believe that the market price for our common shares may need to increase to approximately book value for us to practically access additional capital in the public market. We believe this because of expected negative market reactions, among other reasons, if we were to complete an equity offering at a price that is below approximately book value. However, we are not

prohibited from selling our common shares at less than book value and could do so if we determined it to be in our interests,

- We are dependent upon our Manager, its affiliates and their personnel. We may be unable to find suitable replacements if our management agreement is terminated,
- We believe that our relationships with our related parties, including our Managing Trustees, our Manager, RMR LLC and others affiliated with them may benefit us and provide us with competitive advantages in operating and growing our business. However, the advantages we believe we may realize from these relationships may not materialize,
- Our intention to remain exempt from registration under the Investment Company Act imposes limits on our operations, and we may fail to remain exempt from registration under the Investment Company Act, and
- Our failure to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT could have significant adverse consequences.

Currently unexpected results could occur due to many different circumstances, some of which are beyond our control, such as acts of terrorism, natural disasters or changes in capital markets or the economy generally.

The information contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including under the caption “Risk Factors” herein or therein, or incorporated herein or therein, identifies other important factors that could cause differences from our forward-looking statements. Our filings with the SEC are available on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov.

You should not place undue reliance upon our forward-looking statements.

Except as required by law, we do not intend to update or change any forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Statement Concerning Limited Liability

The Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Tremont Mortgage Trust, a copy of which, together with any amendments or supplements thereto, is duly filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland, provide that the name Tremont Mortgage Trust refers to the trustees collectively as trustees, but not individually or personally. No trustee, officer, shareholder, employee or agent of Tremont Mortgage Trust shall be held to any personal liability, jointly or severally, for any obligation of, or claim against, Tremont Mortgage Trust. All persons or entities dealing with Tremont Mortgage Trust, in any way, shall look only to the assets of Tremont Mortgage Trust for the payment of any sum or the performance of any obligation.

**TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
2019 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT**

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	7
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	39
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	72
Item 2.	Properties	72
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	72
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	72
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	73
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	73
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	73
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	87
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	89
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	89
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	89
Item 9B.	Other Information	90
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	91
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	91
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	91
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions, and Director Independence . .	92
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	92
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	93

PART I

Item 1. Business

Our Company. We are a real estate investment trust, or REIT, that was organized under Maryland law in 2017. Our business strategy is focused on originating and investing in first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional commercial real estate, or CRE. We define middle market CRE as commercial properties that have values of up to \$75.0 million and transitional CRE as commercial properties subject to redevelopment or repositioning activities that are expected to increase the value of the properties.

We completed our initial public offering, or our IPO, on September 18, 2017. We sold 2,500,000 of our common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.01 per share, or our common shares, at a price of \$20.00 per share in our IPO. Concurrently with our IPO, we sold an additional 600,000 of our common shares at a price of \$20.00 per share to Tremont Realty Advisors LLC, or our Manager, in a private placement. The aggregate proceeds to us from these sales were \$62.0 million. Our Manager paid the initial organizational costs related to our formation and the other costs of our IPO and concurrent private placement, including the underwriting discounts and commissions.

In May 2019, we completed an underwritten public offering, or the Offering. In the Offering, we issued and sold 5,000,000 of our common shares at a price of \$5.65 per share for total net proceeds of \$26.1 million, after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses. Our Manager purchased 1,000,000 of our common shares in the Offering at the public offering price, without the payment of any underwriting discounts. We used the net proceeds of the Offering to repay the approximate \$14.2 million balance then outstanding under our former credit agreement with our Manager as lender, or the RMR Credit Agreement, and to reduce amounts outstanding under our master repurchase facility, or our Master Repurchase Facility, with Citibank, N.A., or Citibank, by approximately \$11.9 million.

As of February 18, 2020, our investable cash was fully utilized. Our common shares are currently trading significantly below book value. We believe that the market price of our common shares may need to increase to approximately book value in order for us to practically access additional capital in the public market or otherwise. We believe this because of expected negative market reactions, among other reasons, if we were to complete an equity offering at a price that is below approximately book value. Until we are able to obtain additional capital in order to make additional investments, our focus will be on asset managing our existing loan portfolio.

We operate our business in a manner consistent with our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the IRC. As such, we generally are not subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that we meet certain distribution and other requirements. We also conduct our operations so that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries are required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the Investment Company Act.

Our principal executive offices are located at Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Suite 300, Newton, Massachusetts 02458-1634, and our telephone number is 617-796-8230.

Our Manager. Our Manager is an investment adviser registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, that is owned by The RMR Group LLC, or RMR LLC, the majority owned operating subsidiary of The RMR Group Inc., or RMR Inc., a holding company listed on The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, or Nasdaq, under the symbol “RMR”. We believe that our Manager provides us with significant experience and expertise in investing in middle market and transitional CRE.

Our Manager also advises a newly formed private debt fund known as Centre Street Finance LLC, or CSF, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of ABP Trust, the controlling shareholder of RMR Inc.

CSF's business strategy is similar to ours, targeting first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional CRE. We believe that our Manager's relationship with CSF may benefit us in the future as it enables our Manager to remain active in our industry, including by continuing to build and to maintain a pipeline of potential investments that we will be able to take advantage of when and if we obtain additional capital.

In addition, an affiliate of our Manager, RMR Advisors LLC, currently provides management services to the RMR Real Estate Income Fund, or RIF. In December 2019, RIF filed a preliminary proxy statement with the SEC to hold a special meeting of shareholders to vote to change its investment focus to originating and investing in first mortgage whole loans generally of \$50.0 million or less secured by middle market and transitional CRE. This change is subject to conditions; including the approval of RIF's shareholders. We believe this potential conversion demonstrates RMR Inc.'s belief in middle market and transitional CRE debt opportunities. For further information about these and other such relationships and related person transactions, see "Risk Factors—Risks Relating to our Manager and its Affiliates" and Notes 8 and 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Business Strategy. We believe that having a business strategy which focuses on originating and investing in first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional CRE provides us with an opportunity to achieve higher returns relative to first mortgage whole loans secured by properties that have values of greater than \$75.0 million or stabilized properties.

There continues to be strong demand for alternative sources of CRE debt capita and alternative lenders, like us, have gained considerable market share. According to Real Capital Analytics (market data and/or forecasts obtained from Real Capital Analytics are ©2019 Real Capital Analytics, Inc. all rights reserved), now comprise approximately 12.6% of the overall CRE debt market (compared to 10.3% in 2015). Alternative lenders operate with fewer regulatory constraints than traditional CRE debt providers. This flexibility has allowed alternative CRE lenders to create customized loan structures tailored to borrowers' specific business plans for the underlying collateral properties. For example, the reduction of the credit spreads charged in addition to LIBOR seen in 2019 as a result of increased competition among alternative CRE lenders may have resulted in some lenders to consider construction lending and higher loan to value ratio, or LTV, loans in order to satisfy investor's return expectations. Credit spread compression in 2019 has also allowed alternative CRE lenders to seize on the opportunity to provide capital for the refinancing of higher priced bridge loans where business plans are near or at completion and borrowers seek lower overall cost of capital while maintaining the flexibility not offered by traditional commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, or permanent financing sources.

The decrease in traditional CRE debt providers as a result of added regulation in response to the most recent financial crisis experienced in the United States was the primary catalyst for the initial growth of the alternative CRE lender segment; however, we believe that the willingness of the traditional debt capital providers to finance alternative CRE lenders will allow the alternative CRE lending segment to continue to grow. Rather than compete directly with alternative CRE lenders on transactions, more banks and insurance companies have shifted focus to providing credit to alternative CRE lenders in the form of warehouse lines of credit, senior participations and repurchase facilities. In addition, the continued growth and maturation of the CRE collateralized loan obligation, or CLO, market has provided even more liquidity to the sector which has allowed alternative CRE lenders to offer solutions for a wider array of circumstances.

Capital for private CRE debt funds continues to be raised and there continues to be new entrants to the market; however, the pace of new entrants has declined. The largest, most established alternative CRE debt providers continue to compete for loans greater than \$50.0 million. In addition to reduced credit spreads, the competition to lend to the highest quality borrowers in top tier markets has at times

resulted in more borrower-friendly loan covenants and overall deal terms. Like the debt capital markets, there continues to be a great deal of competition amongst equity investors for the acquisition of larger CRE assets valued at \$75.0 million or greater, as the amount of capital for private equity real estate funds continues to grow. We believe that in order to achieve targeted returns for investors, these private equity funds will continue to take advantage of higher returns found in middle market real estate assets. In fact according to Real Capital Analysis, approximately 80% of all sales transactions are for property values between \$15.0 million and \$75.0 million. We believe that we are well positioned to lend to these private equity sponsors of CRE transactions seeking loans in the \$10.0 to \$50.0 million range.

Our business strategies may be changed, amended, supplemented or waived at any time by our Board of Trustees without shareholder approval. We cannot be sure that our business strategies will be successful.

Our Investment and Leverage Strategies. Our primary investment strategy is to balance capital preservation with generating attractive, risk adjusted returns on our investments. To this end, the first mortgage whole loans that we target for origination and investment generally have the following characteristics:

- principal balances of less than \$50.0 million;
- stabilized LTV ratios of 75% or less;
- terms of five years or less;
- floating interest rates tied to LIBOR, with premiums of 300 to 400 basis points over LIBOR;
- limited recourse to sponsors and secured by middle market and transitional CRE across the United States; and
- equity owned by well capitalized sponsors with experience in the relevant real estate property type.

We believe that our investment strategy will be successful in the current market environment. However, to capitalize on investment opportunities at different times in the economic and CRE investment cycle, we may change our investment strategy. We believe that the flexibility of our investment strategy and the experience and resources of our Manager will allow us to take advantage of changing market conditions to preserve capital and generate attractive risk adjusted returns on our investments.

In order to seek to increase the returns on our investments, we plan to employ both direct and structural leverage on our first mortgage whole loans which generally will not exceed, on a debt to equity basis, a ratio of three to one. Structural leverage may also involve the sale of senior interests in first mortgage whole loans, such as A-Notes, to third parties and our retention of B-Notes and other subordinated interests in our first mortgage whole loans.

As of December 31, 2019, we had established a portfolio of 12 loans held for investment with a total commitment of approximately \$260.2 million, of which \$17.3 million remained unfunded. For further information regarding our loans held for investment, see Note 4 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

LIBOR is currently expected to be phased out in 2021. We do not know what standard, if any, will replace LIBOR if it is phased out. We currently expect that, as a result of any phase out of LIBOR, the interest rates under our loan agreements with borrowers would be revised as provided under the agreements or amended as necessary to provide for an interest rate that approximates the existing interest rate as calculated in accordance with LIBOR. In addition, we currently expect that the interest rates we pay under our Master Repurchase Facility and any other then existing debt financing

arrangements would be similarly revised as provided under the agreement or amended as necessary for that same purpose.

Our investment and leverage strategies may be changed, amended, supplemented or waived at any time by our Board of Trustees without shareholder approval. We cannot be sure that our investment and leverage strategies will be successful.

Our Financing Policies. To maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) and satisfy a number of organizational and operational requirements. Accordingly, we generally will not be able to retain sufficient cash from operations to fund our loan originations or investments or to repay our debts. Instead, we expect to fund our loan originations or investments and to repay our debts by utilizing our Master Repurchase Facility or other future financing arrangements, issuing debt or equity securities or using retained cash from operations that may exceed any distributions we make. We will decide when and whether to issue equity or new debt depending upon market conditions and other factors. Because our ability to raise capital depends, in large part, upon market conditions, we cannot be sure that we will be able to raise sufficient capital to repay our debts or to fund our growth strategies.

We funded our loan originations to date, using cash on hand, advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility, borrowings under our former term loan facility, in the form of a note payable, with Texas Capital Bank, National Association, or Texas Capital Bank, or the TCB note payable and advancements under the former RMR Credit Agreement. For further information regarding our debt agreements, see Note 5 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For further information regarding our financing sources and activities, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Board of Trustees may change our financing policies at any time without a vote of, or notice to, our shareholders.

Competition. The financial services industry and CRE markets are highly competitive. We compete with a variety of institutional investors, including other REITs, commercial and investment banks, specialty finance companies, public and private funds, including funds or investors that our Manager, RMR LLC or their affiliates may sponsor, advise or manage, commercial finance and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Some of our competitors may have a lower cost of funds and greater financial and other resources than we have. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT tax or SEC reporting compliance or maintenance of an exemption from registration as an investment company under the Investment Company Act.

For additional information about competition and other risks associated with our business, see “Risk Factors—We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and competition may limit our ability to originate or acquire our target investments on attractive terms and could also affect the pricing of these investment opportunities” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Manager and RMR LLC. Our Manager is an SEC registered investment adviser that is owned by RMR LLC, the majority owned operating subsidiary of RMR Inc., a holding company listed on Nasdaq, under the symbol “RMR”.

In 2016, RMR LLC acquired substantially all of the business of Tremont Realty Capital, LLC and its affiliates, a business that was based in Boston, MA and specialized in middle market CRE finance. In connection with this acquisition, a number of real estate finance professionals with significant CRE credit and capital markets experience, including loan originators in offices across the United States,

most of whom had worked together since the historical Tremont business was founded in 2000 joined RMR. Prior to the RMR acquisition, the Tremont business had originated for its clients over 430 real estate financing transactions totaling approximately \$4.5 billion, primarily composed of middle market CRE loans, secured by properties located across the United States.

Substantially all of RMR Inc.'s business is conducted by its majority owned operating subsidiary, RMR LLC, which is an alternative asset management company that was founded in 1986 to manage investments in real estate and real estate related businesses.

Most of the CRE assets under management by RMR Inc. are middle market properties owned by four publicly traded equity REITs that are managed by RMR LLC: Service Properties Trust (formerly known as Hospitality Properties Trust), or SVC, a REIT that owns a diverse portfolio of hotels and net lease service and necessity-based retail properties, Industrial Logistics Properties Trust, or ILPT, a REIT that owns industrial and logistics properties, Office Properties Income Trust, or OPI, a REIT that owns properties primarily leased to single tenants and those with high credit quality characteristics such as government entities, and Diversified Healthcare Trust (formerly known as Senior Housing Properties Trust), or DHC, a REIT that owns high-quality, private-pay healthcare properties like medical office and life science properties, senior living communities and wellness centers. RMR LLC also provides management services to Five Star Senior Living Inc., or FVE, a publicly traded operator of senior living communities, Sonesta International Hotels Corporation, a privately owned operator and franchisor of hotels and cruise boats, and TravelCenters of America Inc., or TA, a publicly traded operator and franchisor of travel centers along the U.S. Interstate Highway System, standalone truck service facilities and restaurants. A subsidiary of RMR LLC is an investment adviser to the RMR Real Estate Income Fund, or RIF, a closed end investment company listed on the NYSE American LLC, which invests in publicly traded securities of real estate companies that are not managed by RMR LLC. RMR LLC also manages the RMR Office Property Fund LP, a private, open end core plus fund focused on the acquisition, ownership and leasing of a diverse portfolio of multi-tenant office properties throughout the United States.

As of December 31, 2019, RMR Inc. had \$32.2 billion of real estate assets under management and the combined RMR Inc. managed companies had approximately \$12.0 billion of annual revenues, over 2,100 properties and nearly 50,000 employees. In addition, RMR LLC on behalf of its managed companies, manages significant capital expenditure budgets for building improvements and property redevelopment, which experience enhances our Manager's ability to evaluate business plans for transitional real estate owned by our borrowers. As of December 31, 2019, RMR LLC employed more than 600 real estate professionals located in more than 30 offices across the United States, and RMR LLC provided management services to CRE business operations located across the United States.

We believe that our Manager's relationship with RMR LLC provides us with a depth of market knowledge that may allow us to identify high quality investment opportunities and to evaluate them more thoroughly than many of our competitors, including other commercial mortgage REITs. We also believe that RMR LLC's broad platform provides us with access to RMR LLC's extensive network of real estate owners, operators, intermediaries, sponsors, financial institutions and other real estate related professionals and businesses with which RMR LLC has historical relationships. We also believe that our Manager provides us with significant experience and expertise in investing in middle market and transitional CRE.

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the executive officers of RMR are: Adam D. Portnoy, President and Chief Executive Officer; David M. Blackman, Executive Vice President; Jennifer B. Clark, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary; Matthew P. Jordan, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; John G. Murray, Executive Vice President; and Jonathan M. Pertchik, Executive Vice President. Mr. Portnoy is one of our Managing

Trustees. Mr. Blackman is our other Managing Trustee and our President and Chief Executive Officer. G. Douglas Lanois, our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, is a Senior Vice President of RMR LLC. Mr. Blackman and other officers of RMR also serve as officers of other companies to which RMR provides management services.

Employees. We have no employees. All services which would otherwise be provided to us by employees are provided to, or arranged by, us by our Manager, which is a subsidiary of RMR LLC. As of December 31, 2019, RMR LLC had more than 600 full time employees, including our Manager's employees, in its headquarters and regional offices located throughout the United States.

Government Regulation. Our operations are subject, in certain instances, to supervision and regulation by state and federal governmental authorities, and may be subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing various requirements and restrictions, which, among other things: (a) regulate credit granting activities; (b) establish maximum interest rates, finance charges and other charges; (c) require disclosures to customers; (d) govern secured transactions; (e) set collection, foreclosure, repossession and claims handling procedures and other trade practices; (f) govern privacy of customer information; and (g) regulate anti-terror and anti-money laundering activities.

In our judgment, existing statutes and regulations have not had a material adverse effect on our business. While we expect that additional new regulations in these areas will be adopted and existing regulations may change in the future, it is not possible at this time to forecast the exact nature of any future legislation, regulations, judicial decisions, orders or interpretations, nor their impact upon our future business, financial condition or our results of operations or prospects.

Internet Website. Our internet website address is www.trmtreit.com. Copies of our governance guidelines, our code of business conduct and ethics, or our Code of Conduct, and the charters of our audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees are posted on our website and also may be obtained free of charge by writing to our Secretary, Tremont Mortgage Trust, Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Suite 300, Newton, Massachusetts 02458-1634. We also have a policy outlining procedures for handling concerns or complaints about accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and a governance hotline accessible on our website that shareholders can use to report concerns or complaints about accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters or violations or possible violations of our Code of Conduct. We make available, free of charge, through the "Investors" section of our website, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after these forms are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. Any material we file with or furnish to the SEC is also maintained on the SEC website, www.sec.gov. Security holders may send communications to our Board of Trustees or individual Trustees by writing to the party for whom the communication is intended at c/o Secretary, Tremont Mortgage Trust, Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Suite 300, Newton, Massachusetts 02458-1634 or by email at secretary@trmtreit.com. Our website address and the website address of one or more unrelated third parties are included several times in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as textual references only and the information in any such website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or other documents we file with, or furnish to, the SEC. We intend to use our website as a means of disclosing material non-public information and for complying with our disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Those disclosures will be included on our website in the "Investors" section. Accordingly, investors should monitor our website, in addition to following our press releases, SEC filings and public conference calls and webcasts.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following summary of material United States federal income tax considerations is based on existing law and is limited to investors who own our shares as investment assets rather than as inventory or as property used in a trade or business. The summary does not discuss all of the particular tax considerations that might be relevant to you if you are subject to special rules under federal income tax law, for example if you are:

- a bank, insurance company or other financial institution;
- a regulated investment company or REIT;
- a subchapter S corporation;
- a broker, dealer or trader in securities or foreign currencies;
- a person who marks-to-market our shares for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- a U.S. shareholder (as defined below) that has a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar;
- a person who acquires or owns our shares in connection with employment or other performance of services;
- a person subject to alternative minimum tax;
- a person who acquires or owns our shares as part of a straddle, hedging transaction, constructive sale transaction, constructive ownership transaction or conversion transaction, or as part of a “synthetic security” or other integrated financial transaction;
- a person who owns 10% or more (by vote or value, directly or constructively under the IRC) of any class of our shares;
- a U.S. expatriate;
- a non-U.S. shareholder (as defined below) whose investment in our shares is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States;
- a nonresident alien individual present in the United States for 183 days or more during an applicable taxable year;
- a “qualified shareholder” (as defined in Section 897(k)(3)(A) of the IRC);
- a “qualified foreign pension fund” (as defined in Section 897(l)(2) of the IRC) or any entity wholly owned by one or more qualified foreign pension funds;
- a person subject to special tax accounting rules as a result of their use of applicable financial statements (within the meaning of Section 451(b)(3) of the IRC); or
- except as specifically described in the following summary, a trust, estate, tax-exempt entity or foreign person.

The sections of the IRC that govern the federal income tax qualification and treatment of a REIT and its shareholders are complex. This presentation is a summary of applicable IRC provisions, related rules and regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. Future legislative, judicial or administrative actions or decisions could also affect the accuracy of statements made in this summary. We have not received a ruling from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, with respect to any matter described in this summary, and we cannot be sure that the IRS or a court will agree with all of the statements made in this summary. The IRS could, for example, take a different position from that described in this summary with respect to our acquisitions, operations, valuations, restructurings or other matters, which, if a court agreed,

could result in significant tax liabilities for applicable parties. In addition, this summary is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations, and does not discuss any estate, gift, state, local or foreign tax considerations. For all these reasons, we urge you and any holder of or prospective acquiror of our shares to consult with a tax advisor about the federal income tax and other tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our shares. Our intentions and beliefs described in this summary are based upon our understanding of applicable laws and regulations that are in effect as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. If new laws or regulations are enacted which impact us directly or indirectly, we may change our intentions or beliefs.

Your federal income tax consequences generally will differ depending on whether or not you are a “U.S. shareholder.” For purposes of this summary, a “U.S. shareholder” is a beneficial owner of our shares that is:

- an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States, including an alien individual who is a lawful permanent resident of the United States or meets the substantial presence residency test under the federal income tax laws;
- an entity treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes that is created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;
- an estate the income of which is subject to federal income taxation regardless of its source; or
- a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, or, to the extent provided in Treasury regulations, a trust in existence on August 20, 1996 that has elected to be treated as a domestic trust;

whose status as a U.S. shareholder is not overridden by an applicable tax treaty. Conversely, a “non-U.S. shareholder” is a beneficial owner of our shares that is not an entity (or other arrangement) treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes and is not a U.S. shareholder.

If any entity (or other arrangement) treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes holds our shares, the tax treatment of a partner in the partnership generally will depend upon the tax status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Any entity (or other arrangement) treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes that is a holder of our shares and the partners in such a partnership (as determined for federal income tax purposes) are urged to consult their own tax advisors about the federal income tax consequences and other tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our shares.

Taxation as a REIT

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the IRC, commencing with our 2017 taxable year. Our REIT election, assuming continuing compliance with the then applicable qualification tests, has continued and will continue in effect for subsequent taxable years. Although we cannot be sure, we believe that from and after our 2017 taxable year we have been organized and have operated, and will continue to be organized and to operate, in a manner that qualified us and will continue to qualify us to be taxed as a REIT under the IRC.

As a REIT, we generally are not subject to federal income tax on our net income distributed as dividends to our shareholders. Distributions to our shareholders generally are included in our shareholders’ income as dividends to the extent of our available current or accumulated earnings and profits. Our dividends are not generally entitled to the preferential tax rates on qualified dividend income, but a portion of our dividends may be treated as capital gain dividends or as qualified dividend income, all as explained below. In addition, for taxable years beginning before 2026 and pursuant to the deduction-without-outlay mechanism of Section 199A of the IRC, our noncorporate U.S. shareholders

are generally eligible for lower effective tax rates on our dividends that are not treated as capital gain dividends or as qualified dividend income. No portion of any of our dividends is eligible for the dividends received deduction for corporate shareholders. Distributions in excess of our current or accumulated earnings and profits generally are treated for federal income tax purposes as returns of capital to the extent of a recipient shareholder's basis in our shares, and will reduce this basis. Our current or accumulated earnings and profits are generally allocated first to distributions made on our preferred shares, of which there are none outstanding at this time, and thereafter to distributions made on our common shares. For all these purposes, our distributions include cash distributions, any in kind distributions of property that we might make, and deemed or constructive distributions resulting from capital market activities (such as some redemptions), as described below.

Our counsel, Sullivan & Worcester LLP, is of the opinion that we have been organized and have qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC for our 2017 through 2019 taxable years, and that our current and anticipated investments and plan of operation will enable us to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the IRC. Our counsel's opinions are conditioned upon the assumption that our declaration of trust and all other legal documents to which we have been or are a party have been and will be complied with by all parties to those documents, upon the accuracy and completeness of the factual matters described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and upon representations made by us to our counsel as to certain factual matters relating to our organization and operations and our expected manner of operation. If this assumption or a description or representation is inaccurate or incomplete, our counsel's opinions may be adversely affected and may not be relied upon. The opinions of our counsel are based upon the law as it exists today, but the law may change in the future, possibly with retroactive effect. Given the highly complex nature of the rules governing REITs, the ongoing importance of factual determinations, and the possibility of future changes in our circumstances, neither Sullivan & Worcester LLP nor we can be sure that we will qualify as or be taxed as a REIT for any particular year. Any opinion of Sullivan & Worcester LLP as to our qualification or taxation as a REIT will be expressed as of the date issued. Our counsel will have no obligation to advise us or our shareholders of any subsequent change in the matters stated, represented or assumed, or of any subsequent change in the applicable law. Also, the opinions of our counsel are not binding on either the IRS or a court, and either could take a position different from that expressed by our counsel.

Our continued qualification and taxation as a REIT will depend upon our compliance with various qualification tests imposed under the IRC and summarized below. While we believe that we have satisfied and will satisfy these tests, our counsel does not review compliance with these tests on a continuing basis. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any year, we will be subject to federal income taxation as if we were a corporation taxed under subchapter C of the IRC, or a C corporation, and our shareholders will be taxed like shareholders of regular C corporations, meaning that federal income tax generally will be applied at both the corporate and shareholder levels. In this event, we could be subject to significant tax liabilities, and the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders could be reduced or eliminated.

If we continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT and meet the tests described below, we generally will not pay federal income tax on amounts we distribute to our shareholders. However, even if we continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may still be subject to federal tax in the following circumstances, as described below:

- We will be taxed at regular corporate income tax rates on any undistributed "real estate investment trust taxable income," determined by including our undistributed ordinary income and net capital gains, if any.
- If we have net income from "prohibited transactions"—that is, dispositions at a gain of inventory or property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business

other than dispositions of foreclosure property and other than dispositions excepted by statutory safe harbors—we will be subject to tax on this income at a 100% rate.

- If we elect to treat property that we acquire in connection with a foreclosure of a mortgage loan as “foreclosure property,” as described in Section 856(e) of the IRC, we may thereby avoid both (a) the 100% tax on gain from a resale of that property (if the sale would otherwise constitute a prohibited transaction) and (b) the inclusion of any income from such property not qualifying for purposes of the REIT gross income tests discussed below, but in exchange for these benefits we will be subject to tax on the foreclosure property income at the highest regular corporate income tax rate.
- If we fail to satisfy the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test discussed below, due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, but nonetheless maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT because of specified cure provisions, we will be subject to tax at a 100% rate on the greater of the amount by which we fail the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test, with adjustments, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability for the taxable year.
- If we fail to satisfy any of the REIT asset tests described below (other than a de minimis failure of the 5% or 10% asset tests) due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, but nonetheless maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT because of specified cure provisions, we will be subject to a tax equal to the greater of \$50,000 or the highest regular corporate income tax rate multiplied by the net income generated by the nonqualifying assets that caused us to fail the test.
- If we fail to satisfy any provision of the IRC that would result in our failure to qualify for taxation as a REIT (other than violations of the REIT gross income tests or violations of the REIT asset tests described below) due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, we may retain our qualification for taxation as a REIT but will be subject to a penalty of \$50,000 for each failure.
- If we fail to distribute for any calendar year at least the sum of 85% of our REIT ordinary income for that year, 95% of our REIT capital gain net income for that year and any undistributed taxable income from prior periods, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the excess of the required distribution over the amounts actually distributed.
- If we acquire a REIT asset where our adjusted tax basis in the asset is determined by reference to the adjusted tax basis of the asset in the hands of a C corporation, under specified circumstances we may be subject to federal income taxation on all or part of the built-in gain (calculated as of the date the property ceased being owned by the C corporation) on such asset. We generally do not expect to sell assets if doing so would result in the imposition of a material built-in gains tax liability; but if and when we do sell assets that may have associated built-in gains tax exposure, then we expect to make appropriate provision for the associated tax liabilities on our financial statements.
- Our subsidiaries that are C corporations, including our “taxable REIT subsidiaries”, as defined in Section 856(l) of the IRC, or TRSs, generally will be required to pay federal corporate income tax on their earnings, and a 100% tax may be imposed on any transaction between us and one of our TRSs that does not reflect arm’s length terms.

If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any year, then we will be subject to federal income tax in the same manner as a regular C corporation. Further, as a regular C corporation, distributions to our shareholders will not be deductible by us, nor will distributions be required under the IRC. Also, to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, all distributions to our shareholders will generally be taxable as ordinary dividends potentially eligible for the preferential tax rates discussed

below under the heading “—Taxation of Taxable U.S. Shareholders” and, subject to limitations in the IRC, will be potentially eligible for the dividends received deduction for corporate shareholders. Finally, we will generally be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the taxable year in which the termination of our REIT status is effective. Our failure to qualify for taxation as a REIT for even one year could result in us reducing or eliminating distributions to our shareholders, or in us incurring substantial indebtedness or liquidating substantial investments in order to pay the resulting corporate-level income taxes. Relief provisions under the IRC may allow us to continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT even if we fail to comply with various REIT requirements, all as discussed in more detail below. However, it is impossible to state whether in any particular circumstance we would be entitled to the benefit of these relief provisions.

We do not intend to acquire or otherwise own assets or to conduct financing or other activities if doing so would produce “excess inclusion” or similar income for us or our shareholders, except that we may own assets or conduct activities through a TRS such that no excess inclusion or similar income results for us and our shareholders. However, if we own assets or conduct activities contrary to this expectation—e.g., if we were to (a) acquire or otherwise own a residual interest in a real estate mortgage investment conduit, or a REMIC, or (b) sponsor a non-REMIC collateralized mortgage pool to issue multiple class debt instruments related to the underlying mortgage loans, in each case other than through a TRS—then a portion of our income will be treated as excess inclusion income and a portion of the dividends that we pay to our shareholders will also be considered to be excess inclusion income. Generally, a shareholder’s dividend income from a REIT corresponding to the shareholder’s share of the REIT’s excess inclusion or similar income: (a) cannot be offset by any net operating losses otherwise available to the shareholder; (b) is subject to tax as “unrelated business taxable income” as defined by Section 512 of the IRC, or UBTI, in the hands of most types of shareholders that are otherwise generally exempt from federal income tax; and (c) results in the application of federal income tax withholding at the maximum statutory rate of 30% (and any otherwise available rate reductions under income tax treaties do not apply). IRS guidance indicates that if we were to generate excess inclusion or similar income, then that income would be allocated among our shareholders in proportion to our dividends paid. Even so, the manner in which this income would be allocated to dividends attributable to a taxable year that are not paid until a subsequent taxable year (or to dividends attributable to a portion of a taxable year when no assets or operations were held or conducted that produced excess inclusion or similar income), as well as the manner of reporting these special tax items to shareholders, is not clear under current law, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will not challenge our method of making any such determinations. If the IRS were to disagree with any such determinations made or with the method used by us, the amount of any excess inclusion or similar income required to be taken into account by one or more of our shareholders could be significantly increased.

In addition, if we own a residual interest in a REMIC, we will be taxed at the highest corporate income tax rate on the percentage of our excess inclusion income that corresponds to the percentage of our shares of beneficial interest that are held in record name by “disqualified organizations.” Although the law is unsettled, the IRS asserts that similar rules apply to a REIT that generates income similar to excess inclusion income as a result of owning specified non-REMIC collateralized mortgage pools. If we become subject to tax on excess inclusion or similar income as a consequence of one or more “disqualified organizations” owning our shares, we are entitled under our declaration of trust (but not required) to reduce the amount of distributions that we pay to those shareholders whose ownership gives rise to the tax liability. If we do not specifically allocate this tax burden to the applicable shareholders, then as a practical matter it will be borne by us and all of our shareholders. Disqualified organizations include: (a) the United States; (b) any state or political subdivision of the United States; (c) any foreign government; (d) any international organization; (e) any agency or instrumentality of any of the foregoing; (f) any other tax-exempt organization, other than a farmer’s cooperative described in section 521 of the IRC, that is exempt both from income taxation and from taxation under the UBTI

provisions of the IRC; and (g) any rural electrical or telephone cooperative. To the extent that our shares owned by disqualified organizations are held in street name by a broker-dealer or other nominee, the IRS asserts that the broker-dealer or nominee is liable for a tax at the highest corporate income tax rate on the portion of our excess inclusion or similar income allocable to the shares held on behalf of the disqualified organizations. A regulated investment company or other pass-through entity owning our shares would, according to the IRS, also be subject to tax at the highest corporate income tax rate on any excess inclusion or similar income from us that is allocated to their record name owners that are disqualified organizations.

In sum, although we do not intend to own assets or conduct activities if doing so would produce “excess inclusion” or similar income for us or our shareholders, tax-exempt investors, foreign investors, taxpayers with net operating losses, regulated investment companies, pass-through entities and broker-dealers and other nominees should carefully consider the tax consequences described above and are urged to consult their tax advisors in connection with their decision to invest in or hold our shares.

REIT Qualification Requirements

General Requirements. Section 856(a) of the IRC defines a REIT as a corporation, trust or association:

- (1) that is managed by one or more trustees or directors;
- (2) the beneficial ownership of which is evidenced by transferable shares or by transferable certificates of beneficial interest;
- (3) that would be taxable, but for Sections 856 through 859 of the IRC, as a domestic C corporation;
- (4) that is not a financial institution or an insurance company subject to special provisions of the IRC;
- (5) the beneficial ownership of which is held by 100 or more persons;
- (6) that is not “closely held,” meaning that during the last half of each taxable year, not more than 50% in value of the outstanding shares are owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer “individuals” (as defined in the IRC to include specified tax-exempt entities);
- (7) that does not have (and has not succeeded to) the post-December 7, 2015 tax-free spin-off history proscribed by Section 856(c)(8) of the IRC; and
- (8) that meets other tests regarding the nature of its income and assets and the amount of its distributions, all as described below.

Section 856(b) of the IRC provides that conditions (1) through (4) must be met during the entire taxable year and that condition (5) must be met during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months, or during a proportionate part of a taxable year of less than 12 months. Although we cannot be sure, we believe that we have met conditions (1) through (8) during each of the requisite periods ending on or before the close of our most recently completed taxable year, and that we will continue to meet these conditions in our current and future taxable years.

To help comply with condition (6), our declaration of trust restricts transfers of our shares that would otherwise result in concentrated ownership positions. These restrictions, however, do not ensure that we have previously satisfied, and may not ensure that we will in all cases be able to continue to satisfy, the share ownership requirements described in condition (6). If we comply with applicable Treasury regulations to ascertain the ownership of our outstanding shares and do not know, or by exercising reasonable diligence would not have known, that we failed condition (6), then we will be treated as having met condition (6). Accordingly, we have complied and will continue to comply with

these regulations, including by requesting annually from holders of significant percentages of our shares information regarding the ownership of our shares. Under our declaration of trust, our shareholders are required to respond to these requests for information. A shareholder that fails or refuses to comply with the request is required by Treasury regulations to submit a statement with its federal income tax return disclosing its actual ownership of our shares and other information.

For purposes of condition (6), an “individual” generally includes a natural person, a supplemental unemployment compensation benefit plan, a private foundation, or a portion of a trust permanently set aside or used exclusively for charitable purposes, but does not include a qualified pension plan or profit-sharing trust. As a result, REIT shares owned by an entity that is not an “individual” are considered to be owned by the direct and indirect owners of the entity that are individuals (as so defined), rather than to be owned by the entity itself. Similarly, REIT shares held by a qualified pension plan or profit-sharing trust are treated as held directly by the individual beneficiaries in proportion to their actuarial interests in such plan or trust. Consequently, five or fewer such trusts could own more than 50% of the interests in an entity without jeopardizing that entity’s qualification for taxation as a REIT.

The IRC provides that we will not automatically fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT if we do not meet conditions (1) through (7), provided we can establish that such failure was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect. Each such excused failure will result in the imposition of a \$50,000 penalty instead of REIT disqualification. This relief provision may apply to a failure of the applicable conditions even if the failure first occurred in a year prior to the taxable year in which the failure was discovered.

Our Wholly Owned Subsidiaries and Our Investments Through Partnerships. Except in respect of a TRS as discussed below, Section 856(i) of the IRC provides that any corporation, 100% of whose stock is held by a REIT and its disregarded subsidiaries, is a qualified REIT subsidiary and shall not be treated as a separate corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit of a qualified REIT subsidiary are treated as the REIT’s. We believe that each of our direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries, other than the TRSs discussed below (and entities owned in whole or in part by the TRSs), will be either a qualified REIT subsidiary within the meaning of Section 856(i)(2) of the IRC or a noncorporate entity that for federal income tax purposes is not treated as separate from its owner under Treasury regulations issued under Section 7701 of the IRC, each such entity referred to as a QRS. Thus, in applying all of the REIT qualification requirements described in this summary, all assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit of our QRSs are treated as ours, and our investment in the stock and other securities of such QRSs will be disregarded.

We may in the future invest in one or more entities that are treated as partnerships for federal income tax purposes. In the case of a REIT that is a partner in a partnership, Treasury regulations under the IRC provide that, for purposes of the REIT qualification requirements regarding income and assets described below, the REIT is generally deemed to own its proportionate share, based on respective capital interests (including any preferred equity interests in the partnership), of the income and assets of the partnership (except that for purposes of the 10% value test, described below, the REIT’s proportionate share of the partnership’s assets is based on its proportionate interest in the equity and specified debt securities issued by the partnership). In addition, for these purposes, the character of the assets and items of gross income of the partnership generally remains the same in the hands of the REIT. In contrast, for purposes of the distribution requirements discussed below, we would be required to take into account as a partner our share of the partnership’s income as determined under the general federal income tax rules governing partners and partnerships under Subchapter K of the IRC.

Taxable REIT Subsidiaries. As a REIT, we are permitted to own any or all of the securities of a TRS, provided that no more than 20% of the total value of our assets, at the close of each quarter, is comprised of our investments in the stock or other securities of our TRSs. Very generally, a TRS is a subsidiary corporation other than a REIT in which a REIT directly or indirectly holds stock and that has made a joint election with its affiliated REIT to be treated as a TRS. Our ownership of stock and other securities in our TRSs is exempt from the 5% asset test, the 10% vote test and the 10% value test discussed below.

In addition, any corporation (other than a REIT) in which a TRS directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value of the outstanding securities is automatically a TRS. Subject to the discussion below, we believe that we and each of our TRSs have complied with, and will continue to comply with, the requirements for TRS status at all times during which we intend for the subsidiary's TRS election to be in effect, and we believe that the same will be true for any TRS that we later form or acquire.

Our TRSs are taxed as C corporations that are separate from us, and their assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit generally are not imputed to us for purposes of the REIT qualification requirements described in this summary. Therefore, our TRSs may generally conduct activities that would be treated as prohibited transactions or would give rise to nonqualified income if conducted by us directly. As regular C corporations, TRSs may generally utilize net operating losses and other tax attribute carryforwards to reduce or otherwise eliminate federal income tax liability in a given taxable year. Net operating losses and other carryforwards are subject to limitations, however, including limitations imposed under Section 382 of the IRC following an "ownership change" (as defined in applicable Treasury regulations) and a limitation providing that carryforwards of net operating losses arising in taxable years beginning after 2017 generally cannot offset more than 80% of the current year's taxable income. Moreover, net operating losses arising in taxable years beginning after 2017 may not be carried back, but may be carried forward indefinitely. As a result, we cannot be sure that our TRSs will be able to utilize, in full or in part, any net operating losses or other carryforwards that they may generate in the future.

Restrictions and sanctions are imposed on TRSs and their affiliated REITs to ensure that the TRSs will be subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, if a TRS pays interest, rent or other amounts to its affiliated REIT in an amount that exceeds what an unrelated third party would have paid in an arm's length transaction, then the REIT generally will be subject to an excise tax equal to 100% of the excessive portion of the payment. The 100% excise tax also applies to the underpricing of services provided by a TRS to its affiliated REIT or the REIT's tenants. We cannot be sure that arrangements involving our TRSs will not result in the imposition of one or more of these restrictions or sanctions, but we do not believe that we or our TRSs are or will be subject to these impositions.

As discussed above, we may utilize a TRS to own assets or conduct activities that would otherwise result in excess inclusion income for us and our shareholders.

Income Tests. We must satisfy two gross income tests annually to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT. First, at least 75% of our gross income for each taxable year must be derived from investments relating to real property, including "rents from real property" within the meaning of Section 856(d) of the IRC, interest and gain from mortgages on real property or on interests in real property (generally including CMBS), amounts (other than amounts the determination of which depends in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person) received or accrued as consideration for entering into agreements (i) to make loans secured by mortgages on real property or on interests in real property or (ii) to purchase or lease real property (including interests in real property and interests in mortgages on real property), income derived from a REMIC in proportion to the real estate assets held by the REMIC (unless at least 95% of the REMIC's assets are real estate

assets, in which case all of the income derived from the REMIC), income and gain from foreclosure property, gain from the sale or other disposition of real property (including specified ancillary personal property treated as real property under the IRC), or dividends on and gain from the sale or disposition of shares in other REITs (but excluding in all cases any gains subject to the 100% tax on prohibited transactions). When we receive new capital in exchange for our shares or in a public offering of our five-year or longer debt instruments, income attributable to the temporary investment of this new capital in stock or a debt instrument, if received or accrued within one year of our receipt of the new capital, is generally also qualifying income under the 75% gross income test. Second, at least 95% of our gross income for each taxable year must consist of income that is qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test, other types of interest and dividends, gain from the sale or disposition of stock or securities, or any combination of these. Gross income from our sale of property that we hold primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business, income and gain from specified “hedging transactions” that are clearly and timely identified as such, and income from the repurchase or discharge of indebtedness is excluded from both the numerator and the denominator in both gross income tests. In addition, specified foreign currency gains will be excluded from gross income for purposes of one or both of the gross income tests.

Interest Income. Interest income that we receive will satisfy the 75% gross income test (as described above) to the extent that it is derived from a loan that is adequately secured by a mortgage on real property or on interests in real property (including, in the case of a loan secured by both real property and personal property, such personal property to the extent that it does not exceed 15% of the total fair market value of all of the property securing the loan). If a loan is secured by both real property and other property (to the extent such other property is not treated as real property as described above), and the highest principal amount of the loan outstanding during a taxable year exceeds the fair market value of the real property securing the loan, determined as of (a) the date we agreed to acquire or originate the loan or (b) as discussed further below, in the event of a “significant modification,” the date we modified the loan, then a part of the interest income from such loan equal to the percentage amount by which the loan exceeds the value of the real property will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test, but may be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test. Although we cannot be sure, we expect that the interest, original issue discount, and market discount income that we will receive from our mortgage related assets will generally be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

If we receive contingent interest that is based on the cash proceeds realized upon the sale of the property securing the loan (a “shared appreciation provision”), then the income attributable to the participation feature will be treated as gain from the sale of the underlying real property and will satisfy both the 75% and 95% gross income tests provided that the property is not held by the borrower as inventory or dealer property. Interest income that we receive from a mortgage loan in which all or a portion of the interest income payable is contingent on the earnings of the borrower will generally be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests if it is based upon the gross receipts or sales, and not the net income or profits, of the borrower. This limitation does not apply, however, where the borrower leases substantially all of its interest in the property to tenants or subtenants, to the extent that the rental income derived by the borrower or lessee, as the case may be, would qualify as “rents from real property,” as described below under “—Rents from Real Property,” had we earned the income directly.

We may invest in CMBS or specified securities backed by mortgages and issued by government sponsored enterprises, including Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Bank (such government issued securities, “agency securities”) that are either pass-through certificates or collateralized mortgage obligations. We expect that the CMBS and agency securities will be treated either as interests in a grantor trust or as interests in a REMIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes and that all interest income from our CMBS and agency securities will be qualifying income for the 95% gross income test. In some circumstances, payments we receive with respect to CMBS that we own may be made by affiliated entities pursuant to credit enhancement provided by those entities. We believe that any such payments constituting gross income to us will be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests, but we cannot be sure that the IRS will agree with that characterization of such payments. In the case of CMBS treated as interests in grantor trusts, we will be treated as owning an undivided beneficial ownership interest in the mortgage loans held by the grantor trust. The interest on such mortgage loans will be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test to the extent that such loans are secured by real property or interests in real property, as discussed above. In the case of CMBS or agency securities treated as interests in a REMIC, income derived from REMIC interests will generally be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests. If less than 95% of the assets of the REMIC are real estate assets, however, then only a proportionate part of our interest in the REMIC and income derived from the interest will qualify for purposes of the 75% gross income test. In addition, some REMIC regular interests are benefited by interest swap or cap contracts or other derivative instruments that could produce some nonqualifying income for the holder of the REMIC regular interests. Although we cannot be sure, we expect that our income from mortgage related securities will generally be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

We may invest in mezzanine loans, which are loans secured by equity interests in an entity that directly or indirectly owns real property, rather than by a mortgage on the real property. Under IRS guidance, if a mezzanine loan meets specified safe harbor requirements, (a) the mezzanine loan will be treated by the IRS as a real estate asset for purposes of the asset tests described below, and (b) interest derived from the mezzanine loan will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the 75% income test. Although the IRS guidance provides a safe harbor on which taxpayers may rely, it does not prescribe rules of substantive tax law. We generally intend to structure our investments in mezzanine loans in a manner that complies with the requirements applicable to our qualification for taxation as a REIT, and as much as practicable with the IRS safe harbor requirements. To the extent that any of our mezzanine loans do not meet all of the requirements for reliance on the IRS safe harbor, however, we cannot be sure that the IRS will not challenge the tax treatment of these loans.

There is limited case law or administrative guidance addressing the treatment of mezzanine loans and preferred equity investments as debt or equity for federal income tax purposes. We expect that our mezzanine loans generally will be treated as debt for federal income tax purposes, and our preferred equity investments generally will be treated as equity for federal income tax purposes. If a mezzanine loan is treated as equity for federal income tax purposes, we will be treated as owning the assets held by the partnership or limited liability company that issued the mezzanine loan. As a result, we will not be treated as receiving interest income from the mezzanine loan, but rather we will be treated as receiving our proportionate share of the income of the entity that issued the mezzanine loan (including any income generated by the entity that does not satisfy the 75% and 95% gross income tests). Similarly, if the IRS successfully asserts that a preferred equity investment is debt for federal income tax purposes, then that investment may be treated as producing interest income that will be qualifying income for the 95% gross income test, but not for the 75% gross income test.

We may hold participation interests, including B-Notes, in mortgage loans and mezzanine loans. Such interests in an underlying loan are created by virtue of an agreement to which the originator of

the loan is a party, along with one or more participants. The borrower on the underlying loan is typically not a party to the participation agreement. The performance of this investment depends upon the performance of the underlying loan, and if the borrower defaults, then a participant typically has no recourse against the originator of the loan. The originator often retains a senior position in the underlying loan, and grants junior participations which absorb losses first in the event of a default by the borrower. Although we cannot be sure, we expect that the interest that we will receive from such investments will generally be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

Fee Income. We expect to receive fee income in a number of circumstances, including from loans that we originate. Fee income, including prepayment penalties, loan assumption fees and late payment charges that are not compensation for services, generally will be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests if it is received in consideration for our entering or having entered into an agreement to make a loan secured by real property or an interest in real property and the fees are not determined by income and profits of the borrower. Other fees generally are not qualifying income for purposes of either gross income test. Fees earned by our TRSs are not included in computing the 75% and 95% gross income tests, and thus neither assist nor hinder our compliance with these tests.

Foreclosure Property. From time to time, we may find it necessary to foreclose on loans that we originate or acquire. In such instances, we intend to do so in a manner that maintains our qualification for taxation as a REIT and, if possible, minimizes our liability for foreclosure property income taxes, all as described below. As a general matter, we will not be considered to have foreclosed on a property if we merely take control of the property as a mortgagee-in-possession and cannot receive any profit or sustain any loss except as a creditor of the mortgagor.

Following a foreclosure, we will generate income that satisfies the 75% and 95% gross income tests if existing tenants at the real property or new tenants that we place at the property begin paying us rents that satisfy the requirements for “rents from real property” as described below under “—Rents from Real Property.” Such qualifying rents will not be subject to the foreclosure property income taxes described below. In order to qualify the rental payments that we receive as “rents from real property,” we may find it useful or necessary in such circumstances to utilize our TRSs to provide services to our tenants at these properties or, in the case of lodging facilities or health care facilities, utilize our TRSs as our captive tenants and engage eligible independent contractors as managers for our TRSs. To the extent possible, our goal would be to deploy one or more of these tax efficient solutions in respect of property that we acquire through foreclosure. While we cannot be sure, we believe that our Manager, through RMR, is positioned to leverage its established relationships with tenants and operators across a wide variety of real estate asset sectors, and in particular its established relationships with managers of lodging facilities and health care facilities, to facilitate our goals in this regard.

In other circumstances where real property is reduced to possession after a foreclosure action, we may choose to treat such property as “foreclosure property” pursuant to Section 856(e) of the IRC. Foreclosure property is generally any real property, including interests in real property, and any personal property incident to such real property:

- that is acquired by a REIT as a result of the REIT having bid on such property at foreclosure, or having otherwise reduced such property to ownership or possession by agreement or process of law, after there was a default or when default was imminent on a lease of such property or on indebtedness that such property secured;
- for which any related loan acquired by the REIT was acquired at a time when the default was not imminent or anticipated; and
- for which the REIT makes a proper election to treat the property as foreclosure property.

For purposes of the 75% and 95% gross income tests, all income from the property will be qualifying income as long as the property qualifies as foreclosure property. In particular, any gain from the sale of the foreclosure property will be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% and 95% gross income tests and will be exempt from the 100% tax on gains from prohibited transactions described below under “—Prohibited Transactions.” But, in exchange for these benefits, any gain that a REIT recognizes on the sale of foreclosure property held as inventory or primarily for sale to customers, plus any income it receives from foreclosure property that would not otherwise qualify under the 75% gross income test in the absence of foreclosure property treatment, reduced by expenses directly connected with the production of those items of income, would be subject to income tax at the highest regular corporate income tax rate under the foreclosure property income tax rules of Section 857(b)(4) of the IRC. Thus, if a REIT should lease foreclosure property in exchange for rent that qualifies as “rents from real property,” which is our goal described above, then that rental income is not subject to the foreclosure property income tax.

Property generally ceases to be foreclosure property at the end of the third taxable year following the taxable year in which the REIT acquired the property, or longer if an extension is obtained from the IRS. However, this grace period terminates and foreclosure property ceases to be foreclosure property on the first day:

- on which a lease is entered into for the property that, by its terms, will give rise to income that does not qualify for purposes of the 75% gross income test (disregarding income from foreclosure property), or any nonqualified income under the 75% gross income test is received or accrued by the REIT, directly or indirectly, pursuant to a lease entered into on or after such day;
- on which any construction takes place on the property, other than completion of a building or any other improvement where more than 10% of the construction was completed before default became imminent and other than specifically exempted forms of maintenance or deferred maintenance; or
- which is more than 90 days after the day on which the REIT acquired the property and the property is used in a trade or business which is conducted by the REIT, other than through an independent contractor from whom the REIT itself does not derive or receive any income or a TRS.

We may have the option to foreclose on mortgage loans when a borrower is in default. The foregoing rules related to foreclosure property, and our goal to foreclose in a tax efficient manner when possible, could affect our decision of whether and when to foreclose on a particular mortgage loan.

Rents from Real Property. Rents received by us, if any, will qualify as “rents from real property” in satisfying the gross income requirements described above only if several conditions are met. If rent is partly attributable to personal property leased in connection with a lease of real property, the portion of the rent that is attributable to the personal property will not qualify as “rents from real property” unless it constitutes 15% or less of the total rent received under the lease. In addition, the amount of rent received generally must not be based on the income or profits of any person, but may be based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales. Moreover, for rents received to qualify as “rents from real property,” we generally must not operate or manage the property or furnish or render services to the tenants of such property, other than through an “independent contractor” from which we derive no revenue or through a TRS. We are permitted, however, to perform services that are “usually or customarily rendered” in connection with the rental of space for occupancy only and which are not otherwise considered rendered to the occupant of the property. In addition, we may directly or indirectly provide noncustomary services to tenants of our properties without disqualifying all of the rent from the property if the payments for such services do not exceed 1% of the total gross income

from the property. Finally, with the exception of specified rental arrangements with our TRSs (including in respect of lodging facilities or health care facilities), rental income will qualify as “rents from real property” only to the extent that we do not directly or constructively hold a 10% or greater interest, as measured by vote or value, in the lessee’s equity. We expect that all or substantially all the rents and related service charges that we may receive will be “rents from real property” and will to that extent be qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

Prohibited Transactions. Other than sales of foreclosure property, any gain that we realize on the sale of property (including a deemed sale that occurs as a result of a “significant modification” of a debt investment) held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business, together known as dealer gains, may be treated as income from a prohibited transaction that is subject to a penalty tax at a 100% rate. The 100% tax does not apply to gains from the sale of property that is held through a TRS, although such income will be subject to tax in the hands of the TRS at regular corporate income tax rates; we may therefore utilize our TRSs in transactions in which we might otherwise recognize dealer gains. Whether property is held as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business is a question of fact that depends on all the facts and circumstances surrounding each particular transaction. Sections 857(b)(6)(C) and (E) of the IRC provide safe harbors pursuant to which limited sales of real property held for at least two years and meeting specified additional requirements will not be treated as prohibited transactions. However, compliance with the safe harbors is not always achievable in practice. We intend to structure our activities to avoid transactions that are prohibited transactions, or otherwise conduct such activities through TRSs; but, we cannot be sure whether or not the IRS might successfully assert that one or more of our dispositions is subject to the 100% penalty tax. Gains subject to the 100% penalty tax are excluded from the 75% and 95% gross income tests, whereas real property gains that are not dealer gains or that are exempted from the 100% penalty tax on account of the safe harbors are considered qualifying gross income for purposes of the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

If we fail to satisfy one or both of the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test in any taxable year, we may nevertheless qualify for taxation as a REIT for that year if we satisfy the following requirements: (a) our failure to meet the test is due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect; and (b) after we identify the failure, we file a schedule describing each item of our gross income included in the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test for that taxable year. Even if this relief provision does apply, a 100% tax is imposed upon the greater of the amount by which we failed the 75% gross income test or the amount by which we failed the 95% gross income test, with adjustments, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability for the taxable year. This relief provision may apply to a failure of the applicable income tests even if the failure first occurred in a year prior to the taxable year in which the failure was discovered.

Based on the discussion above, we believe that we have satisfied, and will continue to satisfy, the 75% and 95% gross income tests outlined above on a continuing basis beginning with our first taxable year as a REIT.

Asset Tests. At the close of each calendar quarter of each taxable year, we must also satisfy the following asset percentage tests in order to qualify for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes:

- At least 75% of the value of our total assets must consist of “real estate assets,” defined as real property (including interests in real property and interests in mortgages on real property or on interests in real property), ancillary personal property to the extent that rents attributable to such personal property are treated as rents from real property in accordance with the rules described above, cash and cash items, most interests in CMBS, shares in other REITs, debt instruments issued by “publicly offered REITs” as defined in Section 562(c)(2) of the IRC,

government securities, regular or residual interests in a REMIC (however, if less than 95% of the assets of a REMIC consists of assets that are qualifying real estate related assets under the federal income tax laws, determined as if we held such assets directly, we will be treated as holding directly our proportionate share of the assets of such REMIC), and any stock or debt instruments attributable to the temporary investment of new capital.

- Not more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be represented by securities other than those securities that count favorably toward the preceding 75% asset test.
- Of the investments included in the preceding 25% asset class, the value of any one non-REIT issuer's securities that we own may not exceed 5% of the value of our total assets. In addition, we may not own more than 10% of the vote or value of any one non-REIT issuer's outstanding securities, unless the securities are "straight debt" securities or otherwise excepted as discussed below. Our stock and other securities in a TRS are exempted from these 5% and 10% asset tests.
- Not more than 20% of the value of our total assets may be represented by stock or other securities of our TRSs.
- Not more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be represented by "nonqualified publicly offered REIT debt instruments" as defined in Section 856(c)(5)(L)(ii) of the IRC.

Our counsel, Sullivan & Worcester LLP, is of the opinion that, although the matter is not free from doubt, our investments in the equity or debt of a TRS of ours, to the extent that and during the period in which they qualify as temporary investments of new capital, will be treated as real estate assets, and not as securities, for purposes of the above REIT asset tests.

We believe that our holdings of securities and other assets comply with the foregoing asset tests, and we intend to monitor compliance on an ongoing basis. However, we have not obtained, and do not expect to obtain, independent appraisals to support our conclusions as to the value of our total assets, or the value of any particular security or securities. Moreover, values of some assets, including instruments issued in securitization transactions, may not be susceptible to a precise determination, and values are subject to change in the future. Furthermore, the proper classification of an instrument as debt or equity for U.S. federal income tax purposes may be uncertain in some circumstances, which could affect the application of the REIT asset requirements. As described above, the IRS has promulgated a safe harbor pursuant to which mezzanine loans secured by a first priority security interest in ownership interests in a partnership or limited liability company will be treated as qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test, the 5% asset test and the 10% asset tests. We may make some mezzanine loans that do not qualify for that safe harbor and that do not qualify as "straight debt" securities or for one of the other exclusions from the definition of "securities" for purposes of the 5% asset test and 10% asset tests; nevertheless, we expect that these investments will not impact our ability to satisfy the applicable REIT asset tests.

As discussed above under "—Interest Income," where a loan is secured by real property and other property and the highest principal amount of the loan outstanding during a taxable year exceeds the fair market value of the real property securing the loan as of (a) the date we agreed to acquire or originate the loan or (b) in the event of a significant modification, the date we modified the loan, then a portion of the interest income from such a loan will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test but will be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test. Although the law is not entirely clear, a portion of the loan will also likely be a nonqualifying asset for purposes of the 75% asset test. The nonqualifying portion of such a loan would be subject to, among other requirements, the 5% asset test and the 10% asset tests. The IRS has promulgated a safe harbor under which it has stated that it will not challenge a REIT's treatment of a loan as being, in part, a qualifying real estate asset in an amount equal to the lesser of: (a) the fair market value of the loan on

the relevant quarterly REIT asset testing date; or (b) the greater of (i) the fair market value of the real property securing the loan on the relevant quarterly REIT asset testing date or (ii) the fair market value of the real property securing the loan determined as of the date the REIT committed to originate or acquire the loan. Moreover, pursuant to this IRS guidance, a REIT is not required to redetermine the fair market value of the real property securing a loan for purposes of the REIT asset tests in connection with a loan modification that is: (a) occasioned by a borrower default; or (b) made at a time when we reasonably believe that the modification to the loan will substantially reduce a significant risk of default on the original loan. It is unclear how the above safe harbors are affected by recent legislative changes that have liberalized the treatment of personal property as real property for various purposes under Section 856 of the IRC. It is possible that the safe harbor is improved in circumstances where a loan is secured by both real property and personal property where the fair market value of the personal property does not exceed 15% of the sum of the fair market values of the real property and the personal property securing the loan. We have not invested in distressed mortgage loans and we do not currently intend to. If we do invest in distressed mortgage loans, we intend to invest in distressed mortgage loans in a manner consistent with maintaining our qualification for taxation as a REIT.

Pursuant to our Master Repurchase Facility, we nominally sell assets to the counterparty and simultaneously agree to repurchase those assets. We believe that we will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as the owner of the assets that are subject to our Master Repurchase Facility, notwithstanding that we may transfer record ownership of the subject assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we did not own those assets during the term of the applicable repurchase agreement, which characterization could jeopardize our qualification for taxation as a REIT.

The above REIT asset tests must be satisfied at the close of each calendar quarter of each taxable year as a REIT. After a REIT meets the asset tests at the close of any quarter, it will not lose its qualification for taxation as a REIT in any subsequent quarter solely because of fluctuations in the values of its assets. This grandfathering rule may be of limited benefit to a REIT such as us that makes periodic acquisitions of both qualifying and nonqualifying REIT assets. When a failure to satisfy the above asset tests results from an acquisition of securities or other property during a quarter, the failure can be cured by disposition of sufficient nonqualifying assets within 30 days after the close of that quarter.

In addition, if we fail the 5% asset test, the 10% vote test or the 10% value test at the close of any quarter and we do not cure such failure within 30 days after the close of that quarter, that failure will nevertheless be excused if (a) the failure is de minimis and (b) within six months after the last day of the quarter in which we identify the failure, we either dispose of the assets causing the failure or otherwise satisfy the 5% asset test, the 10% vote test and the 10% value test. For purposes of this relief provision, the failure will be de minimis if the value of the assets causing the failure does not exceed the lesser of (a) 1% of the total value of our assets at the end of the relevant quarter or (b) \$10.0 million. If our failure is not de minimis, or if any of the other REIT asset tests have been violated, we may nevertheless qualify for taxation as a REIT if (a) we provide the IRS with a description of each asset causing the failure, (b) the failure was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect, (c) we pay a tax equal to the greater of (1) \$50,000 or (2) the highest regular corporate income tax rate imposed on the net income generated by the assets causing the failure during the period of the failure, and (d) within six months after the last day of the quarter in which we identify the failure, we either dispose of the assets causing the failure or otherwise satisfy all of the REIT asset tests. These relief provisions may apply to a failure of the applicable asset tests even if the failure first occurred in a year prior to the taxable year in which the failure was discovered.

The IRC also provides an excepted securities safe harbor to the 10% value test that includes among other items (a) "straight debt" securities, (b) specified rental agreements in which payment is to

be made in subsequent years, (c) any obligation to pay “rents from real property,” (d) securities issued by governmental entities that are not dependent in whole or in part on the profits of or payments from a nongovernmental entity, and (e) any security issued by another REIT. In addition, any debt instrument issued by an entity classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, and not otherwise excepted from the definition of a security for purposes of the above safe harbor, will not be treated as a security for purposes of the 10% value test if at least 75% of the partnership’s gross income, excluding income from prohibited transactions, is qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test.

We have maintained and will continue to maintain records of the value of our assets to document our compliance with the above asset tests and intend to take actions as may be required to cure any failure to satisfy the tests within 30 days after the close of any quarter or within the six-month periods described above.

Based on the discussion above, we believe that we have satisfied, and will continue to satisfy, the REIT asset tests outlined above on a continuing basis beginning with our first taxable year as a REIT.

Annual Distribution Requirements. In order to qualify for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, we are required to make annual distributions other than capital gain dividends to our shareholders in an amount at least equal to the excess of:

- (1) the sum of 90% of our “real estate investment trust taxable income” and 90% of our net income after tax, if any, from property received in foreclosure, over
- (2) the amount by which our noncash income (e.g., original issue discount on our mortgage loans) exceeds 5% of our “real estate investment trust taxable income.”

For these purposes, our “real estate investment trust taxable income” is as defined under Section 857 of the IRC and is computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gain and will generally be reduced by specified corporate-level income taxes that we pay (e.g., taxes on foreclosure property income).

The IRC generally limits the deductibility of net interest expense paid or accrued on debt properly allocable to a trade or business to the sum of the business interest income of such taxpayer for such taxable year and 30% of the taxpayer’s “adjusted taxable income,” subject to specified exceptions. Any deduction in excess of the limitation is carried forward and may be used in a subsequent year, subject to that year’s 30% limitation. We expect our income to predominantly consist of business interest income in amounts in excess of the net interest expense we will be required to pay or accrue. Accordingly, we do not expect the foregoing interest deduction limitations to apply to us or to the calculation of our real estate investment trust taxable income.

Distributions must be paid in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year if declared before we timely file our federal income tax return for the earlier taxable year and if paid on or before the first regular distribution payment after that declaration. If a dividend is declared in October, November or December to shareholders of record during one of those months and is paid during the following January, then for federal income tax purposes such dividend will be treated as having been both paid and received on December 31 of the prior taxable year to the extent of any undistributed earnings and profits.

The 90% distribution requirements may be waived by the IRS if a REIT establishes that it failed to meet them by reason of distributions previously made to meet the requirements of the 4% excise tax discussed below. To the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gain and all of our “real estate investment trust taxable income,” as adjusted, we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate income tax rates on undistributed amounts. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax to the extent we fail within a calendar year to make required distributions to

our shareholders of 85% of our ordinary income and 95% of our capital gain net income plus the excess, if any, of the “grossed up required distribution” for the preceding calendar year over the amount treated as distributed for that preceding calendar year. For this purpose, the term “grossed up required distribution” for any calendar year is the sum of our taxable income for the calendar year without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and all amounts from earlier years that are not treated as having been distributed under the provision. We will be treated as having sufficient earnings and profits to treat as a dividend any distribution by us up to the amount required to be distributed in order to avoid imposition of the 4% excise tax.

Due to timing differences between the actual receipt of cash and the inclusion of items of income by us for U.S. federal income tax purposes, it is possible that, from time to time, we may not have sufficient cash to meet our distribution requirements. For instance, we may experience these timing issues as a result of:

- accrued market discount that we might recognize periodically if we acquire debt instruments at a discount in the secondary market;
- taxable gain we might recognize if we “significantly modify” a distressed debt investment;
- accrued original issue discount; or
- accrued interest income with respect to debt instruments where the obligor defaults on payments to us.

Under the IRC, we are generally required to accrue income no later than when it is taken into account on applicable financial statements. The application of this rule may require the accrual of income with respect to our debt instruments or other assets, such as original issue discount or market discount, earlier than would otherwise be the case under the IRC, although the precise application of this rule is unclear at this time.

In addition, we may be required under the terms of indebtedness that we incur to use cash that we receive to make principal payments on that indebtedness, with the possible effect of recognizing income but not having a corresponding amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders. It is also possible that our deductions for U.S. federal income tax purposes may accrue more slowly than, or will not otherwise correspond to, our cash expenditure outlays.

As a result of all these potential timing differences between income recognition or expense deduction and cash receipts or disbursements, we may have substantial taxable income in excess of cash available for distribution. In that event, we may find it necessary or desirable to arrange for a taxable distribution paid in a mix of cash and our shares or to arrange for additional capital to provide funds for required distributions in order to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT. We cannot be sure that financing would be available for these purposes on favorable terms, or at all.

We may be able to rectify a failure to pay sufficient dividends for any year by paying “deficiency dividends” to shareholders in a later year. These deficiency dividends may be included in our deduction for dividends paid for the earlier year, but an interest charge would be imposed upon us for the delay in distribution. While the payment of a deficiency dividend will apply to a prior year for purposes of our REIT distribution requirements and our dividends paid deduction, it will be treated as an additional distribution to the shareholders receiving it in the year such dividend is paid.

Distributions to our Shareholders

As described above, we expect to make distributions to our shareholders from time to time. These distributions may include cash distributions, in kind distributions of our shares or property, and deemed or constructive distributions resulting from capital market activities. The U.S. federal income tax treatment of our distributions will vary based on the status of the recipient shareholder as more fully described below under the headings “—Taxation of Taxable U.S. Shareholders,” “—Taxation of Tax-Exempt U.S. Shareholders,” and “—Taxation of Non-U.S. Shareholders.”

Section 302 of the IRC treats a redemption of our shares for cash only as a distribution under Section 301 of the IRC, and hence taxable as a dividend to the extent of our available current or accumulated earnings and profits, unless the redemption satisfies one of the tests set forth in Section 302(b) of the IRC enabling the redemption to be treated as a sale or exchange of the shares. The redemption for cash only will be treated as a sale or exchange if it (a) is “substantially disproportionate” with respect to the surrendering shareholder’s ownership in us, (b) results in a “complete termination” of the surrendering shareholder’s entire share interest in us, or (c) is “not essentially equivalent to a dividend” with respect to the surrendering shareholder, all within the meaning of Section 302(b) of the IRC. In determining whether any of these tests have been met, a shareholder must generally take into account shares considered to be owned by such shareholder by reason of constructive ownership rules set forth in the IRC, as well as shares actually owned by such shareholder. In addition, if a redemption is treated as a distribution under the preceding tests, then a shareholder’s tax basis in the redeemed shares generally will be transferred to the shareholder’s remaining shares in us, if any, and if such shareholder owns no other shares in us, such basis generally may be transferred to a related person or may be lost entirely. Because the determination as to whether a shareholder will satisfy any of the tests of Section 302(b) of the IRC depends upon the facts and circumstances at the time that our shares are redeemed, we urge you to consult your own tax advisor to determine the particular tax treatment of any redemption.

Taxation of Taxable U.S. Shareholders

For noncorporate U.S. shareholders, to the extent that their total adjusted income does not exceed applicable thresholds, the maximum federal income tax rate for long-term capital gains and most corporate dividends is generally 15%. For those noncorporate U.S. shareholders whose total adjusted income exceeds the applicable thresholds, the maximum federal income tax rate for long-term capital gains and most corporate dividends is generally 20%. However, because we are not generally subject to federal income tax on the portion of our “real estate investment trust taxable income” distributed to our shareholders, dividends on our shares generally are not eligible for these preferential tax rates, except that any distribution of C corporation earnings and profits and taxed built-in gain items will potentially be eligible for these preferential tax rates. As a result, our ordinary dividends generally are taxed at the higher federal income tax rates applicable to ordinary income (subject to the lower effective tax rates applicable to qualified REIT dividends via the deduction-without-outlay mechanism of Section 199A of the IRC, which is generally available to our noncorporate U.S. shareholders for taxable years before 2026). To summarize, the preferential federal income tax rates for long-term capital gains and for qualified dividends generally apply to:

- (1) long-term capital gains, if any, recognized on the disposition of our shares;
- (2) our distributions designated as long-term capital gain dividends;
- (3) our dividends attributable to dividend income, if any, received by us from C corporations such as TRSs;
- (4) our dividends attributable to earnings and profits that we inherit from C corporations; and

- (5) our dividends to the extent attributable to income upon which we have paid federal corporate income tax (such as taxes on foreclosure property), net of the corporate income taxes thereon.

As long as we qualify for taxation as a REIT, a distribution to our U.S. shareholders that we do not designate as a capital gain dividend generally will be treated as an ordinary income dividend to the extent of our available current or accumulated earnings and profits (subject to the lower effective tax rates applicable to qualified REIT dividends via the deduction-without-outlay mechanism of Section 199A of the IRC, which is available to our noncorporate U.S. shareholders for taxable years before 2026). Distributions made out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits that we properly designate as capital gain dividends generally will be taxed as long-term capital gains, as discussed below, to the extent they do not exceed our actual net capital gain for the taxable year. However, corporate shareholders may be required to treat up to 20% of any capital gain dividend as ordinary income under Section 291 of the IRC.

In addition, we may elect to retain net capital gain income and treat it as constructively distributed. In that case:

- (1) we will be taxed at regular corporate capital gains tax rates on retained amounts;
- (2) each of our U.S. shareholders will be taxed on its designated proportionate share of our retained net capital gains as though that amount was distributed and designated as a capital gain dividend;
- (3) each of our U.S. shareholders will receive a credit or refund for its designated proportionate share of the tax that we pay;
- (4) each of our U.S. shareholders will increase its adjusted basis in our shares by the excess of the amount of its proportionate share of these retained net capital gains over the U.S. shareholder's proportionate share of the tax that we pay; and
- (5) both we and our corporate shareholders will make commensurate adjustments in our respective earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes.

If we elect to retain our net capital gains in this fashion, we will notify our U.S. shareholders of the relevant tax information within 60 days after the close of the affected taxable year.

If for any taxable year we designate capital gain dividends for our shareholders, then a portion of the capital gain dividends we designate will be allocated to the holders of a particular class of shares on a percentage basis equal to the ratio of the amount of the total dividends paid or made available for the year to the holders of that class of shares to the total dividends paid or made available for the year to holders of all outstanding classes of our shares. We will similarly designate the portion of any dividend that is to be taxed to noncorporate U.S. shareholders at preferential maximum rates (including any qualified dividend income) so that the designations will be proportionate among all outstanding classes of our shares.

Distributions in excess of our current or accumulated earnings and profits will not be taxable to a U.S. shareholder to the extent that they do not exceed the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in our shares, but will reduce the shareholder's basis in such shares. To the extent that these excess distributions exceed a U.S. shareholder's adjusted basis in such shares, they will be included in income as capital gain, with long-term gain generally taxed to noncorporate U.S. shareholders at preferential maximum rates. No U.S. shareholder may include on its federal income tax return any of our net operating losses or any of our capital losses. In addition, no portion of any of our dividends is eligible for the dividends received deduction for corporate shareholders.

If a dividend is declared in October, November or December to shareholders of record during one of those months and is paid during the following January, then for federal income tax purposes the

dividend will be treated as having been both paid and received on December 31 of the prior taxable year.

A U.S. shareholder will generally recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the shareholder's adjusted basis in our shares that are sold or exchanged. This gain or loss will be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the shareholder's holding period in our shares exceeds one year. In addition, any loss upon a sale or exchange of our shares held for six months or less will generally be treated as a long-term capital loss to the extent of any long-term capital gain dividends we paid on such shares during the holding period.

U.S. shareholders who are individuals, estates or trusts are generally required to pay a 3.8% Medicare tax on their net investment income (including dividends on our shares (without regard to any deduction allowed by Section 199A of the IRC) and gains from the sale or other disposition of our shares), or in the case of estates and trusts on their net investment income that is not distributed, in each case to the extent that their total adjusted income exceeds applicable thresholds. U.S. shareholders are urged to consult their tax advisors regarding the application of the 3.8% Medicare tax.

If a U.S. shareholder recognizes a loss upon a disposition of our shares in an amount that exceeds a prescribed threshold, it is possible that the provisions of Treasury regulations involving "reportable transactions" could apply, with a resulting requirement to separately disclose the loss-generating transaction to the IRS. These Treasury regulations are written quite broadly, and apply to many routine and simple transactions. A reportable transaction currently includes, among other things, a sale or exchange of our shares resulting in a tax loss in excess of (a) \$10.0 million in any single year or \$20.0 million in a prescribed combination of taxable years in the case of our shares held by a C corporation or by a partnership with only C corporation partners or (b) \$2.0 million in any single year or \$4.0 million in a prescribed combination of taxable years in the case of our shares held by any other partnership or an S corporation, trust or individual, including losses that flow through pass through entities to individuals. A taxpayer discloses a reportable transaction by filing IRS Form 8886 with its federal income tax return and, in the first year of filing, a copy of Form 8886 must be sent to the IRS's Office of Tax Shelter Analysis. The annual maximum penalty for failing to disclose a reportable transaction is generally \$10,000 in the case of a natural person and \$50,000 in any other case.

Noncorporate U.S. shareholders who borrow funds to finance their acquisition of our shares could be limited in the amount of deductions allowed for the interest paid on the indebtedness incurred. Under Section 163(d) of the IRC, interest paid or accrued on indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase or carry property held for investment is generally deductible only to the extent of the investor's net investment income. A U.S. shareholder's net investment income will include ordinary income dividend distributions received from us and, only if an appropriate election is made by the shareholder, capital gain dividend distributions and qualified dividends received from us; however, distributions treated as a nontaxable return of the shareholder's basis will not enter into the computation of net investment income.

Taxation of Tax-Exempt U.S. Shareholders

The rules governing the federal income taxation of tax-exempt entities are complex, and the following discussion is intended only as a summary of material considerations of an investment in our shares relevant to such investors. If you are a tax-exempt shareholder, we urge you to consult your own tax advisor to determine the impact of federal, state, local and foreign tax laws, including any tax return filing and other reporting requirements, with respect to your acquisition of or investment in our shares.

Our distributions made to shareholders that are tax-exempt pension plans, individual retirement accounts or other qualifying tax-exempt entities will not constitute UBTI, provided that the shareholder

has not financed its acquisition of our shares with “acquisition indebtedness” within the meaning of the IRC and the shares are not otherwise used in an unrelated trade or business of the tax-exempt entity.

Taxation of Non-U.S. Shareholders

The rules governing the U.S. federal income taxation of non-U.S. shareholders are complex, and the following discussion is intended only as a summary of material considerations of an investment in our shares relevant to such investors. If you are a non-U.S. shareholder, we urge you to consult your own tax advisor to determine the impact of U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax laws, including any tax return filing and other reporting requirements, with respect to your acquisition of or investment in our shares.

For most non-U.S. investors, investment in a REIT that invests principally in mortgage loans and CMBS may not be the most tax efficient way to invest in such assets. That is because receiving distributions of income derived from such assets in the form of REIT dividends subjects most non-U.S. investors to withholding taxes that direct investment in those asset classes, and the direct receipt of interest and principal payments with respect to them, would not. The principal exceptions are foreign sovereigns and their agencies and instrumentalities, which may be exempt from withholding taxes on REIT dividends under the IRC, and specified foreign pension funds or similar entities able to claim an exemption from withholding taxes on REIT dividends under the terms of a bilateral income tax treaty between their country of residence and the United States.

We expect that a non-U.S. shareholder’s receipt of (a) distributions from us, and (b) proceeds from the sale of our shares, will not be treated as income effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business and a non-U.S. shareholder will therefore not be subject to the often higher federal tax and withholding rates, branch profits taxes and increased reporting and filing requirements that apply to income effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business. This expectation and a number of the determinations below are predicated on our shares being listed on a U.S. national securities exchange, such as Nasdaq. Each class of our shares has been listed on a U.S. national securities exchange; however, we cannot be sure that our shares will continue to be so listed in future taxable years or that any class of our shares that we may issue in the future will be so listed.

Distributions. A distribution by us to a non-U.S. shareholder that is not designated as a capital gain dividend will be treated as an ordinary income dividend to the extent that it is made out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits. A distribution of this type will generally be subject to U.S. federal income tax and withholding at the rate of 30%, or at a lower rate if the non-U.S. shareholder has in the manner prescribed by the IRS demonstrated to the applicable withholding agent its entitlement to benefits under a tax treaty. Because we cannot determine our current and accumulated earnings and profits until the end of the taxable year, withholding at the statutory rate of 30% or applicable lower treaty rate will generally be imposed on the gross amount of any distribution to a non-U.S. shareholder that we make and do not designate as a capital gain dividend. Notwithstanding this potential withholding on distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, these excess portions of distributions are a nontaxable return of capital to the extent that they do not exceed the non-U.S. shareholder’s adjusted basis in our shares, and the nontaxable return of capital will reduce the adjusted basis in these shares. To the extent that distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits exceed the non-U.S. shareholder’s adjusted basis in our shares, the distributions will give rise to U.S. federal income tax liability only in the unlikely event that the non-U.S. shareholder would otherwise be subject to tax on any gain from the sale or exchange of these shares, as discussed below under the heading “—Dispositions of Our Shares.” A non-U.S. shareholder may seek a refund from the IRS of amounts withheld on distributions to it in excess of such shareholder’s allocable share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits.

For so long as a class of our shares is listed on a U.S. national securities exchange, capital gain dividends that we declare and pay to a non-U.S. shareholder on those shares, as well as dividends to a non-U.S. shareholder on those shares attributable to our sale or exchange of “United States real property interests” within the meaning of Section 897 of the IRC, or USRPIs, will not be subject to withholding as though those amounts were effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business, and non-U.S. shareholders will not be required to file U.S. federal income tax returns or pay branch profits tax in respect of these dividends. Instead, these dividends will generally be treated as ordinary dividends and subject to withholding in the manner described above.

Tax treaties may reduce the withholding obligations on our distributions. Under some treaties, however, rates below 30% that are applicable to ordinary income dividends from U.S. corporations may not apply to ordinary income dividends from a REIT or may apply only if the REIT meets specified additional conditions. A non-U.S. shareholder must generally use an applicable IRS Form W-8, or substantially similar form, to claim tax treaty benefits. If the amount of tax withheld with respect to a distribution to a non-U.S. shareholder exceeds the shareholder’s U.S. federal income tax liability with respect to the distribution, the non-U.S. shareholder may file for a refund of the excess from the IRS. Treasury regulations also provide special rules to determine whether, for purposes of determining the applicability of a tax treaty, our distributions to a non-U.S. shareholder that is an entity should be treated as paid to the entity or to those owning an interest in that entity, and whether the entity or its owners are entitled to benefits under the tax treaty.

If, contrary to our expectation, a class of our shares was not listed on a U.S. national securities exchange and we made a distribution on those shares that was attributable to gain from the sale or exchange of a USRPI, then a non-U.S. shareholder holding those shares would be taxed as if the distribution was gain effectively connected with a trade or business in the United States conducted by the non-U.S. shareholder. In addition, the applicable withholding agent would be required to withhold from a distribution to such a non-U.S. shareholder, and remit to the IRS, up to 21% of the maximum amount of any distribution that was or could have been designated as a capital gain dividend. The non-U.S. shareholder also would generally be subject to the same treatment as a U.S. shareholder with respect to the distribution (subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax and a special alternative minimum tax in the case of a nonresident alien individual), would be subject to fulsome U.S. federal income tax return reporting requirements, and, in the case of a corporate non-U.S. shareholder, may owe the up to 30% branch profits tax under Section 884 of the IRC (or lower applicable tax treaty rate) in respect of these amounts.

Dispositions of Our Shares. If as expected our shares are not USRPIs, then a non-U.S. shareholder’s gain on the sale of these shares generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxation or withholding.

Our shares will not constitute USRPIs if we are not, at relevant testing dates in the preceding five years, a “United States real property holding corporation.” Whether we are a United States real property holding corporation depends upon whether the fair market value of USRPIs owned by us equals or exceeds 50% of the sum of the fair market value of these interests, any interests in real estate outside of the United States, and our other trade and business assets. Because USRPIs do not generally include mortgage loans or mortgage backed securities, we do not expect to be a United States real property holding corporation, although we cannot be sure that we will not become one at some later date.

Even if we were to become a United States real property holding corporation in the future, we still expect that our shares would not be USRPIs because one or both of the following exemptions will be available at all times. First, for so long as a class of our shares is listed on a U.S. national securities exchange, a non-U.S. shareholder’s gain on the sale of those shares will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxation as a sale of a USRPI. Second, our shares will not constitute USRPIs if we are a

“domestically controlled” REIT. We will be a “domestically controlled” REIT if less than 50% of the value of our shares (including any future class of shares that we may issue) is held, directly or indirectly, by non-U.S. shareholders at all times during the preceding five years, after applying specified presumptions regarding the ownership of our shares as described in Section 897(h)(4)(E) of the IRC. For these purposes, we believe that the statutory ownership presumptions apply to validate our status as a “domestically controlled” REIT. Accordingly, we believe that we are and will remain a “domestically controlled” REIT.

Information Reporting, Backup Withholding, and Foreign Account Withholding

Information reporting, backup withholding, and foreign account withholding may apply to distributions or proceeds paid to our shareholders under the circumstances discussed below. If a shareholder is subject to backup or other U.S. federal income tax withholding, then the applicable withholding agent will be required to withhold the appropriate amount with respect to a deemed or constructive distribution or a distribution in kind even though there is insufficient cash from which to satisfy the withholding obligation. To satisfy this withholding obligation, the applicable withholding agent may collect the amount of U.S. federal income tax required to be withheld by reducing to cash for remittance to the IRS a sufficient portion of the property that the shareholder would otherwise receive or own, and the shareholder may bear brokerage or other costs for this withholding procedure.

Amounts withheld under backup withholding are generally not an additional tax and may be refunded by the IRS or credited against the shareholder’s federal income tax liability, provided that such shareholder timely files for a refund or credit with the IRS. A U.S. shareholder may be subject to backup withholding when it receives distributions on our shares or proceeds upon the sale, exchange, redemption, retirement or other disposition of our shares, unless the U.S. shareholder properly executes, or has previously properly executed, under penalties of perjury an IRS Form W-9 or substantially similar form that:

- provides the U.S. shareholder’s correct taxpayer identification number;
- certifies that the U.S. shareholder is exempt from backup withholding because (a) it comes within an enumerated exempt category, (b) it has not been notified by the IRS that it is subject to backup withholding, or (c) it has been notified by the IRS that it is no longer subject to backup withholding; and
- certifies that it is a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person.

If the U.S. shareholder has not provided and does not provide its correct taxpayer identification number and appropriate certifications on an IRS Form W-9 or substantially similar form, it may be subject to penalties imposed by the IRS, and the applicable withholding agent may have to withhold a portion of any distributions or proceeds paid to such U.S. shareholder. Unless the U.S. shareholder has established on a properly executed IRS Form W-9 or substantially similar form that it comes within an enumerated exempt category, distributions or proceeds on our shares paid to it during the calendar year, and the amount of tax withheld, if any, will be reported to it and to the IRS.

Distributions on our shares to a non-U.S. shareholder during each calendar year and the amount of tax withheld, if any, will generally be reported to the non-U.S. shareholder and to the IRS. This information reporting requirement applies regardless of whether the non-U.S. shareholder is subject to withholding on distributions on our shares or whether the withholding was reduced or eliminated by an applicable tax treaty. Also, distributions paid to a non-U.S. shareholder on our shares will generally be subject to backup withholding, unless the non-U.S. shareholder properly certifies to the applicable withholding agent its non-U.S. shareholder status on an applicable IRS Form W-8 or substantially similar form. Information reporting and backup withholding will not apply to proceeds a non-U.S. shareholder receives upon the sale, exchange, redemption, retirement or other disposition of our

shares, if the non-U.S. shareholder properly certifies to the applicable withholding agent its non-U.S. shareholder status on an applicable IRS Form W-8 or substantially similar form. Even without having executed an applicable IRS Form W-8 or substantially similar form, however, in some cases information reporting and backup withholding will not apply to proceeds that a non-U.S. shareholder receives upon the sale, exchange, redemption, retirement or other disposition of our shares if the non-U.S. shareholder receives those proceeds through a broker's foreign office.

Non-U.S. financial institutions and other non-U.S. entities are subject to diligence and reporting requirements for purposes of identifying accounts and investments held directly or indirectly by U.S. persons. The failure to comply with these additional information reporting, certification and other requirements could result in a 30% U.S. withholding tax on applicable payments to non-U.S. persons, notwithstanding any otherwise applicable provisions of an income tax treaty. In particular, a payee that is a foreign financial institution that is subject to the diligence and reporting requirements described above must enter into an agreement with the U.S. Department of the Treasury requiring, among other things, that it undertake to identify accounts held by "specified United States persons" or "United States owned foreign entities" (each as defined in the IRC and administrative guidance thereunder), annually report information about such accounts, and withhold 30% on applicable payments to noncompliant foreign financial institutions and account holders. Foreign financial institutions located in jurisdictions that have an intergovernmental agreement with the United States with respect to these requirements may be subject to different rules. The foregoing withholding regime generally applies to payments of dividends on our shares. In general, to avoid withholding, any non-U.S. intermediary through which a shareholder owns our shares must establish its compliance with the foregoing regime, and a non-U.S. shareholder must provide specified documentation (usually an applicable IRS Form W-8) containing information about its identity, its status, and if required, its direct and indirect U.S. owners. Non-U.S. shareholders and shareholders who hold our shares through a non-U.S. intermediary are encouraged to consult their own tax advisors regarding foreign account tax compliance.

Other Tax Considerations

Our tax treatment and that of our shareholders may be modified by legislative, judicial or administrative actions at any time, which actions may have retroactive effect. The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by the U.S. Congress, the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury, and statutory changes, new regulations, revisions to existing regulations and revised interpretations of established concepts are issued frequently. Likewise, the rules regarding taxes other than U.S. federal income taxes may also be modified. No prediction can be made as to the likelihood of passage of new tax legislation or other provisions, or the direct or indirect effect on us and our shareholders. Revisions to tax laws and interpretations of these laws could adversely affect our ability to qualify and be taxed as a REIT, as well as the tax or other consequences of an investment in our shares. We and our shareholders may also be subject to taxation by state, local or other jurisdictions, including those in which we or our shareholders transact business or reside. These tax consequences may not be comparable to the U.S. federal income tax consequences discussed above.

ERISA PLANS, KEOGH PLANS AND INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS

General Fiduciary Obligations

The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, or ERISA, the IRC and similar provisions to those described below under applicable foreign or state law, individually and collectively, impose certain duties on persons who are fiduciaries of any employee benefit plan subject to Title I of ERISA, or an ERISA Plan, or an individual retirement account or annuity, or an IRA, a Roth IRA, a tax-favored account (such as an Archer MSA, Coverdell education savings account or health savings account), a Keogh plan or other qualified retirement plan not subject to Title I of ERISA, each a Non-ERISA Plan. Under ERISA and the IRC, any person who exercises any discretionary authority or control over the administration of, or the management or disposition of the assets of, an ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan, or who renders investment advice for a fee or other compensation to an ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan, is generally considered to be a fiduciary of the ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan.

Fiduciaries of an ERISA Plan must consider whether:

- their investment in our shares or other securities satisfies the diversification requirements of ERISA;
- the investment is prudent in light of possible limitations on the marketability of our shares;
- they have authority to acquire our shares or other securities under the applicable governing instrument and Title I of ERISA; and
- the investment is otherwise consistent with their fiduciary responsibilities.

Fiduciaries of an ERISA Plan may incur personal liability for any loss suffered by the ERISA Plan on account of a violation of their fiduciary responsibilities. In addition, these fiduciaries may be subject to a civil penalty of up to 20% of any amount recovered by the ERISA Plan on account of a violation. Fiduciaries of any Non-ERISA Plan should consider that the Non-ERISA Plan may only make investments that are authorized by the appropriate governing instrument and applicable law.

Fiduciaries considering an investment in our securities should consult their own legal advisors if they have any concern as to whether the investment is consistent with the foregoing criteria or is otherwise appropriate. The sale of our securities to an ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan is in no respect a representation by us or any underwriter of the securities that the investment meets all relevant legal requirements with respect to investments by the arrangements generally or any particular arrangement, or that the investment is appropriate for arrangements generally or any particular arrangement.

Prohibited Transactions

Fiduciaries of ERISA Plans and persons making the investment decision for Non-ERISA Plans should consider the application of the prohibited transaction provisions of ERISA and the IRC in making their investment decision. Sales and other transactions between an ERISA Plan or a Non-ERISA Plan and disqualified persons or parties in interest, as applicable, are prohibited transactions and result in adverse consequences absent an exemption. The particular facts concerning the sponsorship, operations and other investments of an ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan may cause a wide range of persons to be treated as disqualified persons or parties in interest with respect to it. A non-exempt prohibited transaction, in addition to imposing potential personal liability upon ERISA Plan fiduciaries, may also result in the imposition of an excise tax under the IRC or a penalty under ERISA upon the disqualified person or party in interest. If the disqualified person who engages in the transaction is the individual on behalf of whom an IRA, Roth IRA or other tax-favored account is maintained (or his beneficiary), the IRA, Roth IRA or other tax-favored account may lose its

tax-exempt status and its assets may be deemed to have been distributed to the individual in a taxable distribution on account of the non-exempt prohibited transaction, but no excise tax will be imposed. Fiduciaries considering an investment in our securities should consult their own legal advisors as to whether the ownership of our securities involves a non-exempt prohibited transaction.

“Plan Assets” Considerations

The U.S. Department of Labor has issued a regulation defining “plan assets.” The regulation, as subsequently modified by ERISA, generally provides that when an ERISA Plan or a Non-ERISA Plan otherwise subject to Title I of ERISA and/or Section 4975 of the IRC acquires an interest in an entity that is neither a “publicly offered security” nor a security issued by an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act, the assets of the ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan include both the equity interest and an undivided interest in each of the underlying assets of the entity, unless it is established either that the entity is an operating company or that equity participation in the entity by benefit plan investors is not significant. We are not an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act.

Each class of our equity (that is, our common shares and any other class of equity that we may issue) must be analyzed separately to ascertain whether it is a publicly offered security. The regulation defines a publicly offered security as a security that is “widely held,” “freely transferable” and either part of a class of securities registered under the Exchange Act, or sold under an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, provided the securities are registered under the Exchange Act within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year of the issuer during which the offering occurred. Each class of our outstanding shares has been registered under the Exchange Act within the necessary time frame to satisfy the foregoing condition.

The regulation provides that a security is “widely held” only if it is part of a class of securities that is owned by 100 or more investors independent of the issuer and of one another. However, a security will not fail to be “widely held” because the number of independent investors falls below 100 subsequent to the initial public offering as a result of events beyond the issuer’s control. Although we cannot be sure, we believe our common shares have been and will remain widely held, and we expect the same to be true of any future class of equity that we may issue.

The regulation provides that whether a security is “freely transferable” is a factual question to be determined on the basis of all relevant facts and circumstances. The regulation further provides that, where a security is part of an offering in which the minimum investment is \$10,000 or less, some restrictions on transfer ordinarily will not, alone or in combination, affect a finding that these securities are freely transferable. The restrictions on transfer enumerated in the regulation as not affecting that finding include:

- any restriction on or prohibition against any transfer or assignment that would result in a termination or reclassification for federal or state tax purposes, or would otherwise violate any state or federal law or court order;
- any requirement that advance notice of a transfer or assignment be given to the issuer and any requirement that either the transferor or transferee, or both, execute documentation setting forth representations as to compliance with any restrictions on transfer that are among those enumerated in the regulation as not affecting free transferability, including those described in the preceding clause of this sentence;
- any administrative procedure that establishes an effective date, or an event prior to which a transfer or assignment will not be effective; and
- any limitation or restriction on transfer or assignment that is not imposed by the issuer or a person acting on behalf of the issuer.

We believe that the restrictions imposed under our declaration of trust on the transfer of shares do not result in the failure of our shares to be “freely transferable.” Furthermore, we believe that there exist no other facts or circumstances limiting the transferability of our shares that are not included among those enumerated as not affecting their free transferability under the regulation, and we do not expect or intend to impose in the future, or to permit any person to impose on our behalf, any limitations or restrictions on transfer that would not be among the enumerated permissible limitations or restrictions.

Assuming that each class of our shares will be “widely held” and that no other facts and circumstances exist that restrict transferability of these shares, our counsel, Sullivan & Worcester LLP, is of the opinion that our shares will not fail to be “freely transferable” for purposes of the regulation due to the restrictions on transfer of our shares in our declaration of trust and that under the regulation each class of our currently outstanding shares is publicly offered and our assets will not be deemed to be “plan assets” of any ERISA Plan or Non-ERISA Plan that acquires our shares in a public offering. This opinion is conditioned upon certain assumptions and representations, as discussed above in “Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations—Taxation as a REIT.”

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Investors and prospective investors should carefully consider the risks described below, together with all of the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risks described below may not be the only risks we face but are risks we believe may be material at this time. Additional risks that we do not yet know of, or that we currently think are immaterial, may also impair our business operations or financial results. If any of the events or circumstances described below occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations and the value of our securities could decline. Investors and prospective investors should consider the following risks, the information contained under the heading “Warning Concerning Forward-Looking Statements” and the risks described elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K before deciding whether to invest in our securities.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

We have limited capital to invest, and we may not obtain additional capital sufficient to enable us to grow our loan portfolio or to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders.

Our capital resources are limited and we may not have sufficient capital to acquire investments that we determine are attractive. This could limit our ability to grow our loan portfolio, including by pursuing opportunities that may from time to time be available in our loan origination pipeline, and adversely affect our ability to make or sustain our distributions to our shareholders. Our ability to further grow our loan portfolio over time will depend, to a significant degree, upon our ability to access additional capital. We cannot be sure that we will have access to such debt or equity capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to reduce or suspend our investment activities or dispose of assets at an inopportune time or price, which could negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make or sustain our distributions to our shareholders.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and competition may limit our ability to originate or acquire our target investments on attractive terms and could also affect the pricing of these investment opportunities.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to originate or acquire our target investments on attractive terms. In originating or acquiring our investments, we compete with a variety of institutional investors, including

other REITs, specialty finance companies, public and private funds (including funds or investors that our Manager, RMR LLC or their subsidiaries may sponsor, advise or manage), banks, and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Some of our competitors, including other REITs and alternative CRE lenders, have recently raised, or are expected to raise, significant amounts of capital, and may have investment objectives that overlap with our investment objectives, which may create additional competition for lending and other investment opportunities. Many of our competitors are significantly larger than we are and have considerably greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we have. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT tax or SEC reporting compliance or maintenance of an exemption from registration as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. Some of our competitors may have a lower cost of capital and access to funding sources that may not be available to us, such as the U.S. Government, or are only available to us on substantially less attractive terms. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or make different risk assessments than us, which could lead them to consider a wider variety of investments, offer more attractive pricing or other terms than us, for example, higher LTV ratios or lower interest rates than we are willing to offer or accept, or establish more relationships than us. In addition, recent and possible future changes in financial regulations and enforcement under the current U.S. presidential administration could decrease the current restrictions on banks and other financial institutions. If this occurs, these banks and financial institutions may increase or commence their pursuit of investments that are within our target investments either because they would no longer be restricted in making these investments or because the regulatory burdens resulting from these investments would be removed or significantly reduced. Furthermore, competition for our target investments may lead to the price for these investments increasing, which may further limit our ability to generate desired returns. The competitive pressures we face may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and we cannot be sure that we will be able to identify and originate or acquire our target investments.

The lack of liquidity of certain of our investments may adversely affect our business.

The lack of liquidity of certain of our investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need or desire arises. Certain investments such as mortgages, in particular, are relatively illiquid investments due to their short life, their potential unsuitability for securitization and the difficulty of recovery in the event of a borrower's default. In addition, certain of our investments may become less liquid after we have made them as a result of delinquencies or defaults, turbulent market conditions or the unavailability to borrowers of refinancing capital, which may make it more difficult for us to dispose of such investments at advantageous prices or in a timely manner. Moreover, the loans and securities we invest in are not registered under relevant securities laws, resulting in limitations or prohibitions against their transfer, sale, pledge or disposition except in transactions that are exempt from registration requirements or are otherwise in accordance with such laws. As a result, many of our investments are or are expected to be illiquid, and if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our loan portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. Further, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment to the extent that we or our Manager has or could be attributed as having material, non-public information regarding the borrower entity. As a result, our ability to adjust our loan portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The limited number of investments within our loan portfolio may subject us to losses.

As of December 31, 2019, we had a portfolio of 12 investments. A consequence of this limited number of investments is that the aggregate returns we realize may be adversely affected if a small number of investments perform poorly, if we need to write down the value of any one investment or if

an investment is repaid prior to maturity and we are not able to promptly redeploy the proceeds in a manner that provides us with comparable returns.

Loans secured by properties in transition or requiring significant renovation involve a greater risk of loss than loans secured by stabilized properties.

We originate transitional bridge loans to borrowers who are seeking shorter term capital to be used in acquisitions, construction or repositioning of properties. In a typical transitional loan, the borrower has usually identified a property that the borrower believes has been under-managed, is located in a recovering market or requires renovation. The renovation, refurbishment or expansion of a property by a borrower involves risks of cost overruns, construction risks and noncompletion risks, among others. Estimates of the costs of property improvements may be inaccurate. Other risks may include rehabilitation costs exceeding original estimates, possibly making a project uneconomical, environmental risks, delays in legal and other approvals and rehabilitation and subsequent leasing of the property not being completed on schedule. If the borrower fails to improve the quality of the property's management or the market in which the property is located fails to improve as expected, or the renovation is not completed in a timely manner or such costs are more than expected, then the borrower may not generate sufficient cash flow to make payments on or refinance the transitional loan, and we may not recover some or all of our investment.

In addition, borrowers often use the proceeds of a conventional mortgage to repay a transitional loan. Transitional loans therefore are subject to the risk of the borrowers' inability to obtain financing to repay the loan. Losses we suffer with respect to our transitional loans could be material.

Our Manager's diligence process for investment opportunities may not reveal all facts that may be relevant for an investment, and if we incorrectly evaluate the risks of our investments, we may experience losses.

Prior to our making any investment, our Manager conducts diligence that it considers reasonable based upon the facts and circumstances of the investment. When conducting diligence on our behalf, our Manager may be required to evaluate important and complex business, financial, tax, accounting, environmental and legal issues. Outside consultants, legal advisors, accountants and investment banks may be involved in the diligence process to varying degrees depending on the type of potential investment. Nonetheless, our diligence may not reveal all of the risks associated with our investments. We evaluate our potential investments based upon criteria our Manager deems appropriate for the relevant investment. Our underwriting assumptions and loss estimates may not prove accurate, and actual results may vary from estimates. If we underestimate the risks and potential losses associated with an investment we originate or acquire, we may experience losses from the investment.

Moreover, investment analyses and decisions by our Manager may frequently be required to be undertaken on an expedited basis to take advantage of investment opportunities. In such cases, the information available to our Manager at the time of making an investment decision may be limited. Therefore, we cannot be sure that our Manager will have knowledge of all circumstances that may adversely affect such investment.

Prepayment rates may adversely affect the value of certain of our investments which could negatively impact our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders.

The prepayment rates at which our borrowers prepay our investments, where contractually permitted, will be influenced by changes in current interest rates, significant changes in the performance of underlying real estate assets and a variety of economic and other factors beyond our control. Prepayment rates cannot be predicted with certainty and no strategy can completely insulate us from increases in such rates. In periods of declining interest rates, prepayments on investments generally increase and the proceeds of prepayments received during these periods are likely to be

reinvested by us in comparable assets at reduced yields. Conversely, in periods of rising interest rates, prepayments on investments, where contractually permitted, generally decrease, in which case we would not have the prepayment proceeds available to invest in comparable assets at higher yields. We may invest in loans and other assets secured or supported by transitional real estate assets; significant improvement in the performance of such assets may result in prepayments as other financing alternatives become available to the borrower. In addition, it may take an extended period for us to reinvest any repayments we may receive, and any reinvestments we may be able to make may not provide us with similar returns or comparable risks as those of our current investments. We expect to be entitled to fees upon the prepayment of our investments, although we cannot be sure that such fees will adequately compensate us as the functional equivalent of a “make whole” payment. Furthermore, we may not be able to structure future investments to impose a make whole obligation upon a borrower in the case of an early prepayment. As a result, our income will be reduced, which will have a negative impact on our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders.

A prolonged economic slowdown, a recession or declining real estate values could materially and adversely affect us.

We believe that the risks associated with our investments will be more severe during periods of economic slowdown or recession, especially if these periods are accompanied by declining real estate values. Consequently, our investment strategy may be adversely affected by prolonged economic downturns or recessions where declining real estate values would likely reduce the level of new mortgage and other real estate related loan originations since borrowers often use the appreciation in the value of their existing properties to support the purchase or investment in additional properties. Borrowers may also be less able to pay principal and interest on our loans if the value of their real estate declines. Further, declining real estate values significantly increase the likelihood that we will incur losses on our loans in the event of default because the value of our collateral may be insufficient to cover our cost on the loan. Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses could adversely affect our ability to originate or acquire loans, which would materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and business and our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders.

The CRE loans and other CRE related investments that we originate or acquire are subject to the ability of the property owner to generate net income from operating the property as well as the risks of delinquency and foreclosure.

CRE loans and other CRE related investments that we originate or acquire are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of the property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower’s ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income producing property can be affected by, among other things:

- tenant mix and tenant bankruptcies;
- success of tenant businesses;
- property management decisions, including with respect to capital improvements, particularly in older building structures;
- property location, condition and design;
- competition from comparable properties;
- changes in national, regional or local economic conditions and/or specific industry segments;

- declines in regional or local real estate values;
- declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates;
- changes in interest rates, and in the state of the debt and equity capital markets, including diminished availability or lack of debt financing for CRE;
- changes in real estate tax rates, tax credits and other operating expenses;
- costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions;
- the potential for uninsured or underinsured property losses;
- changes in laws and regulations, including fiscal policies, zoning ordinances and environmental legislation and the related costs of compliance; and
- acts of God, terrorist attacks, social unrest and civil disturbances.

In the event of any default under any CRE loan or other CRE related investment held directly by us, we will bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the collateral (net of our costs to enforce our rights with respect to that collateral) and the principal and accrued interest of the loan or investment, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow from operations and limit amounts available for distribution to our shareholders. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy (as determined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under applicable law. Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process, which could have a substantial negative effect on our anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan.

We may need to foreclose on loans that are in default, which could result in losses.

We may find it necessary to foreclose on loans that are in default. Foreclosure processes are often lengthy and expensive. Results of foreclosure processes may be uncertain, as claims may be asserted by borrowers or by other lenders or investors in the borrowers that interfere with enforcement of our rights, such as claims that challenge the validity or enforceability of our loan or the priority or perfection of our mortgage or other security interests. Borrowers may resist foreclosure actions by asserting numerous claims, counterclaims and defenses against us, including, without limitation, lender liability claims and defenses, even when the assertions may have no merit, in an effort to prolong the foreclosure action and seek to force us into a modification of the loan or a buy-out of the loan for less than we are owed. At any time prior to or during the foreclosure proceedings, the borrower may file for bankruptcy, which would have the effect of staying the foreclosure actions and delaying the foreclosure processes and potentially result in reductions or discharges of borrower's debt. Foreclosure may create a negative public perception of the collateral property, resulting in a diminution of its value. Even if we are successful in foreclosing on a mortgage loan, the liquidation proceeds upon sale of the underlying real estate may not be sufficient to recover our investment. Any costs or delays involved in the foreclosure of the loan or a liquidation of the underlying property will reduce the net proceeds realized and, thus, increase the potential for loss.

The CRE loans and other CRE related investments that we originate and acquire expose us to risks associated with real estate investments generally.

In addition to the other risks discussed herein, the CRE loans and other CRE related investments that we originate and acquire expose us to risks associated with real estate investment, generally, including:

- economic and market fluctuations;
- political instability or changes;
- changes in environmental, zoning and other laws;
- casualty or condemnation losses;
- regulatory limitations on rents;
- decreases in property values;
- changes in the appeal of properties to tenants;
- changes in supply and demand for CRE properties and debt resulting from the recent growth in CRE debt funds or otherwise;
- changes in valuation of collateral underlying CRE properties and CRE loans, resulting from inherently subjective and uncertain valuations;
- energy supply shortages;
- various uninsured or uninsurable risks;
- natural disasters;
- changes in government regulations, such as rent control;
- changes in the availability of debt financing and/or mortgage funds, which may render the sale or refinancing of properties difficult or impracticable;
- increases in mortgage defaults;
- increases in borrowing rates; and
- negative developments in the economy and/or adverse changes in real estate values generally and other factors that are beyond our control.

We cannot predict the degree to which economic conditions generally, and the conditions for CRE debt investing in particular, will improve or decline. Any declines in the performance of the United States or global economies or in the real estate debt markets could have a material adverse effect on us.

REIT distribution requirements and limitations on our ability to access reasonably priced capital may adversely impact our ability to carry out our business plan.

To maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, we are required to satisfy distribution requirements imposed by the IRC. See “Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations—REIT Qualification Requirements—Annual Distribution Requirements” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, we may not be able to retain sufficient cash to fund our operations, repay our debts or make investments. Our business strategies therefore depend, in part, upon our ability to raise additional capital at reasonable costs. The volatility in the availability of capital to businesses on a global basis in most debt and equity markets generally may limit our ability to raise reasonably priced capital. We may also be unable to raise reasonably priced capital because of reasons

related to our business, market perceptions of our prospects, the terms of our indebtedness, the extent of our leverage or for reasons beyond our control, such as market conditions. Because the earnings we are permitted to retain are limited by the rules governing REIT qualification and taxation, if we are unable to raise reasonably priced capital, we may not be able to carry out our business plan.

B-Notes are subordinated and have individually negotiated terms, which may result in losses to us.

We may originate or acquire B-Notes. A B-Note is a mortgage loan typically (a) secured by a first mortgage on a single commercial property or group of related properties and (b) subordinated to an A-Note secured by the same first mortgage on the same collateral. The rights associated with the B-Note are subordinate to the rights associated with the A-Note. If the borrower whose mortgage is divided into an A-Note and a B-Note defaults, there may not be sufficient value in the collateral property remaining for B-Note holders after payment to the A-Note holders. Because each transaction is individually negotiated, B-Notes can vary in their structural characteristics and risks. For example, the rights of holders of B-Notes to control the process following a borrower default vary from transaction to transaction. Losses we suffer investing in B-Notes could be material.

Subordinated and mezzanine loans involve greater risks of loss than first mortgage whole loans.

We may originate or acquire subordinated and mezzanine loans, which are loans secured by junior mortgages on the underlying collateral property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests in the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. Subordinated loans have less priority and rights than senior or first mortgages. Mezzanine loans secured by a pledge of ownership interests in an entity are by their nature structurally subordinated to financings that are secured directly by the collateral property. Subordinated and mezzanine loans involve a higher degree of risk than first mortgage whole loans because they rank behind senior loans and may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by senior lenders. In the event of a bankruptcy of an entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If borrowers default on our subordinated loans, mezzanine loans or debt senior to our loans, or in the event of borrowers' bankruptcies, our subordinated loans and mezzanine loans will be satisfied only after the senior debts, and we may not recover some or all of our subordinated loans and mezzanine investments. In addition, subordinated loans and mezzanine loans may have higher LTV ratios than other CRE mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the collateral properties and increasing the risk of loss of principal. Losses we suffer with respect to our subordinated loans and mezzanine loans could be material.

We may not have control over certain of our investments.

Our ability to manage our investments may be limited by the form in which they are made. In certain situations, we may:

- acquire or retain investments subject to rights of senior classes and servicers under intercreditor or servicing agreements;
- acquire or retain only a minority and/or a non-controlling participation in an underlying investment;
- pledge our investments as collateral for financing arrangements;
- co-invest with others through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, thereby acquiring non-controlling interests; or
- rely on independent third party management or servicing with respect to the management of a particular investment.

We may not be able to exercise control over all aspects of our investments. For example, our rights to control the process following a borrower default may be subject to the rights of senior or junior creditors or servicers whose interests may not be aligned with ours. A partner or co-venturer may have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with ours, or may be in a position to take action contrary to our investment objectives. In addition, in certain circumstances we may be liable for the actions of our partners or co-venturers.

Changes in market interest rates may significantly reduce our revenues or impede our growth.

Since the most recent U.S. recession, the Board of Governors of the U.S. Federal Reserve System, or the U.S. Federal Reserve, has taken actions which have resulted in low interest rates prevailing in the marketplace for a historically long period of time. The U.S. Federal Reserve steadily increased the targeted federal funds rate over the last several years, but recently took action to decrease its federal funds rate and may continue to make adjustments in the near future. If market interest rates increase, those increases may materially and negatively affect us in several ways, including:

- Changes in interest rates may affect our net interest income from our investments, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest earning investments and the interest expense we incur in financing our investments.
- Changes in interest rates may affect our ability to make investments as well as borrower default rates. In a period of rising interest rates, our interest expense could increase, while the interest we earn on any fixed rate debt investments would not change, adversely affecting our profitability. Our operating results depend in large part on differences between the income from our investments, net of credit losses and our financing costs. Even when our investments and borrowings are match funded, the income from our investments may respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings.
- Investors may consider whether to buy or sell our common shares based upon the then distribution rate on our common shares relative to the then prevailing market interest rates. If market interest rates go up, investors may expect a higher distribution rate than we are able to pay, which may increase our cost of capital, or they may sell our common shares, if any, and seek alternative investments that offer higher distribution rates. Sales of our common shares may cause a decline in the value of our common shares.
- Amounts outstanding under our Master Repurchase Facility require interest to be paid at floating interest rates. When interest rates increase, our interest costs will increase, which could adversely affect our cash flows, our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt, our cost of refinancing our fixed rate debts when they become due and our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders. Additionally, if we choose to hedge our interest rate risk, we cannot be sure that the hedge will be effective or that our hedging counterparty will meet its obligations to us.

We may be subject to lender liability claims and, if we are held liable under such claims, we could be subject to losses.

A number of judicial decisions have recognized the rights of borrowers to sue lending institutions on the basis of various evolving legal theories, collectively termed “lender liability”. Generally, lender liability is founded on the premise that a lender has either violated a duty, whether implied or contractual, of good faith and fair dealing owed to the borrower or has assumed a degree of control over the borrower resulting in the creation of a fiduciary duty owed to the borrower or its other creditors or shareholders. We cannot be sure that such claims will not arise or that we will not be subject to significant liability and losses if claims of this type arise.

If the loans that we originate or acquire do not comply with applicable laws, we may be subject to material penalties.

Loans that we originate or acquire may be subject to U.S. federal, state or local laws. Real estate lenders and borrowers may be responsible for compliance with a wide range of laws intended to protect the public interest, including, without limitation, the Americans with Disabilities Act and local zoning laws. If we or our Manager fail to comply with such laws in relation to a loan that we have originated or acquired, legal penalties may be imposed, which could materially and adversely affect us. Jurisdictions with “one action,” “security first” and/or “antideficiency rules” may limit our ability to foreclose on a collateral property or to realize on obligations secured by a collateral property. In the future, new laws may be enacted or imposed by U.S. federal, state or local governmental entities, and such laws could have a material adverse effect on us and our operations.

Insurance may not adequately cover losses and the cost of obtaining such insurance may continue to increase.

Losses of a catastrophic nature, such as those caused by hurricanes, flooding, volcanic eruptions and earthquakes, among other things, or losses from terrorism, may be uninsurable or not commercially insurable or may be covered by insurance policies with limitations such as large deductibles or co-payments that property owners may not be able to pay. Recently, the costs of such insurance have increased, and these increased costs could reduce the ability of borrowers to satisfy their obligations to us. Inflation, changes in zoning and building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also might result in insurance proceeds being inadequate to restore an affected property to its condition prior to a loss or to compensate for related losses. The insurance proceeds we receive as a result of losses to our collateral properties may not be adequate to restore our economic position after losses affecting our investments. Any uninsured or underinsured loss could result in the loss of cash flow from, and reduce the value of, our investments related to such properties and the ability of the borrowers under such investments to satisfy their obligations to us. Further, there is no assurance that certain types of risks that are currently insurable will continue to be insurable on an economically feasible basis, and certain insurance coverage may be discontinued on some or all of our collateral properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any of these policies exceeds the value of the coverage. In addition, future changes in the insurance industry’s risk assessment approach and pricing structure could further increase the cost of insuring our collateral properties or decrease the scope of insurance coverage, either of which could result in the loss of cash flow from, and reduce the value of, our investments related to such properties and the ability of the borrowers under such investments to satisfy their obligations to us.

Liability relating to environmental matters may adversely impact the value of our investments.

Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, an owner or operator of real property may be liable for environmental hazards at, or migrating from, its properties, including those created by prior owners or occupants, existing tenants, abutters or other persons. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such hazardous substances. The presence of hazardous substances may adversely affect our borrowers’ ability to refinance or sell, and the value of, our collateral. If an owner of property underlying one of our investments becomes liable for costs of removal of hazardous substances, the ability of the owner to make payments to us may be reduced. If we foreclose on a property underlying our investments, the presence of hazardous substances on the property may adversely affect our ability to sell the property and we may incur substantial remediation costs, causing us to experience losses.

The phase out or transitioning of LIBOR may negatively impact our business, financial results and cash flows.

Our existing investments require the borrowers to pay us interest at floating rates based upon LIBOR. We expect that future debt investments we make will similarly provide for interest to be paid to us at floating rates based upon LIBOR. In addition, our Master Repurchase Agreement requires us to pay interest on amounts we borrow under those agreements at floating rates based upon LIBOR. Future debt financing arrangements that we may enter may also require interest based upon LIBOR. LIBOR is currently expected to be phased out in 2021. Our loan agreements with our borrowers generally provide that if LIBOR is not able to be determined, interest will be calculated using a floating base rate equal to the greater of the Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points or the Prime Rate. Further, we may negotiate with our borrowers new interest rate indices and other provisions to maintain the intent of the original loan arrangements. We currently expect that the determination of interest under our loan agreements with our borrowers would be revised as provided under the agreements or amended as necessary to provide for an interest rate that approximates the existing interest rate as calculated in accordance with LIBOR. In addition, our Master Repurchase Facility provides that the interest rate will be based on federal funds rate plus an applicable spread. Similar to our loan agreements with our borrowers, we currently expect that the determination of interest under our Master Repurchase Agreement would be revised as provided under the agreements or amended as necessary to provide for an interest rate that approximates the existing interest rate as calculated in accordance with LIBOR. We also currently expect that the determination of interest under any other then existing debt financing arrangements would be similarly revised or amended as necessary for this same purpose. Despite our current expectations, we cannot be sure that, if LIBOR is phased out or transitioned, the changes to the determination of interest under our agreements would approximate the current calculation in accordance with LIBOR. We do not know what standard, if any, will replace LIBOR if it is phased out or transitioned. If the determination of interest does not, or if we cannot forecast with sufficient confidence that it will, approximate the current calculation in accordance with LIBOR, we may incur additional costs, our investment income, net of interest expense, may decline, we may lose investment opportunities or make unsuccessful investments due to not being able to accurately price our proposed investments and our cash flows may be negatively impacted.

Investments in CMBS and other structured finance investments pose additional risks, including the sensitivity of such investments to economic downturns, the illiquidity of such investments, the risk that the servicer or manager may take actions that could adversely affect our interests and the possibility that the CMBS market will be significantly affected by current or future regulation.

We generally do not expect to make investments in senior CMBS classes, but we may invest in CMBS and similar structured finance investments which are subordinated classes of securities in a structure of securities secured by a pool of mortgages or loans. Such subordinated securities are the first, or among the first, to bear the loss upon a restructuring or liquidation of the underlying collateral and the last to receive payment of interest and principal. There is generally only a nominal amount of equity or other debt securities junior to such positions, if any, issued in such structures. The values of such subordinated interests tend to be much more sensitive to adverse economic downturns and underlying borrower developments than more senior securities. A projection of an economic downturn, for example, could cause a decline in the price of lower status CMBS or other similar securities because the ability of borrowers to make principal and interest payments on the mortgages or loans underlying such securities may be expected to become impaired.

Subordinate CMBS classes are generally not actively traded and are relatively illiquid investments, and volatility in the trading markets for those investments may cause their value to decline materially and quickly. In addition, if the underlying mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral value is available to satisfy interest and

principal payments and any other fees in connection with the trust or other conduit arrangement for such securities, we may incur significant losses. Also, with respect to the CMBS and similar structured finance investments in which we may invest, control over the related underlying loans will be exercised through a special servicer or collateral manager designated by a “directing certificateholder” or a “controlling class representative,” or otherwise pursuant to the related securitization documents. We may not have the right to appoint the directing certificateholder or otherwise direct the special servicing or collateral management of classes of existing series of CMBS or similar structured finance investments that we acquire. With respect to the management and servicing of these loans, the related special servicers or collateral managers may take actions that could adversely affect our interests.

The CMBS market may be significantly affected by current or future regulation. The risk retention rules under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which generally require a sponsor of a CMBS transaction to retain, directly or indirectly, at least 5% of the credit risk of the securitized assets collateralizing the CMBS, went into effect in December 2016. It is unclear as to how these requirements will be interpreted and implemented and what their implementation will mean in practice and what their impact may be.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPANY

We have a limited operating history and have made a limited number of target investments to date.

We were organized in June 2017; we have a limited operating history and have made a limited number of target investments to date. Our ability to achieve our investment objectives depends on our ability to make investments that generate attractive, risk adjusted returns, as well as on our access to financing on terms that permit us to realize net interest income from our investments. In general, the availability of favorable investment opportunities will be affected by the level and volatility of interest rates in the market generally, the availability of adequate short and long term real estate financing and the competition for investment opportunities. We cannot be sure that we will be successful in obtaining additional capital to enable us to make new investments, that any investments we have made or may make will satisfy our targeted rate of return or other investment objectives, or that we will be able to successfully operate our business, or implement our operating policies and investment strategies. If we fail to make additional investments within a reasonable time or on acceptable terms, such failure may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, ability to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, and ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders, and could cause the value of our securities to decline.

State licensing requirements may cause us to incur expenses and our failure to be properly licensed may have a material adverse effect on our operations.

We or our Manager may be required to hold licenses in a number of U.S. states to conduct lending activities. State licensing statutes vary from state to state and may prescribe or impose, among other things:

- various recordkeeping requirements;
- restrictions on loan origination and servicing practices, including limits on finance charges and the type, amount and manner of charging fees;
- disclosure requirements;
- requirements that licensees submit to periodic examination;
- surety bond and minimum specified net worth requirements;
- periodic financial reporting requirements;

- notification requirements for changes in principal officers, share ownership or corporate control;
- restrictions on advertising; and
- requirements that loan forms be submitted for review.

There is no guarantee that we or our Manager will be able to obtain these licenses, and efforts to obtain and maintain such licenses may cause us to incur significant expenses. Any failure to be properly licensed under state law or otherwise may have a material adverse effect on us and our operations.

Changes in laws or regulations could increase competition for CRE debt financing or require changes to our business practices and adversely affect us.

Various laws and regulations currently exist that restrict the investment activities of banks and certain other financial institutions but do not apply to us. We believe this regulatory difference may create opportunities for us to successfully grow our business. However, recent and possible future changes in financial regulations and enforcement under the current U.S. presidential administration could decrease the current restrictions on banks and other financial institutions, which may allow them to compete more effectively with us for investment opportunities.

The laws and regulations governing our operations, as well as their interpretation, may change from time to time, and new laws and regulations may be enacted. Such changes or new laws or regulations could require changes to certain of our business practices, negatively impact our operations, impose additional costs on us or otherwise adversely affect our business. There has been increasing commentary amongst regulators and intergovernmental institutions on the role of nonbank institutions in providing credit and, particularly, so-called “shadow banking,” a term generally taken to refer to credit intermediation involving entities and activities outside the regulated banking system. For example, in August 2013, the Financial Stability Board issued a policy framework for strengthening oversight and regulation of shadow banking entities. That report outlined initial steps to define the scope of the shadow banking system and proposed governing principles for a regulatory framework. A number of other regulators and international organizations are studying the shadow banking system. At this time, it is too early to assess whether any new rules or regulations will be adopted or what impact such rules or regulations will have on us, if any. In an extreme eventuality, it is possible that such regulations could cause us to cease operations.

Any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of the information technology networks and systems on which we rely could materially and adversely affect us.

Our Manager and RMR LLC rely on information technology and systems, including the Internet and cloud-based infrastructures, commercially available software and their internally developed applications, to process, transmit, store and safeguard information and to manage or support a variety of their business processes, including financial transactions and maintenance of records, which may include personal identifying information of employees and investment data. If RMR LLC or our Manager experiences material security or other failures, inadequacies or interruptions of their information technology, they could incur material costs and losses, and our operations could be disrupted as a result. Further, third party vendors could experience similar events with respect to their information technology and systems that impact the products and services they provide to RMR LLC, our Manager or us. RMR LLC and our Manager rely on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring, as well as their internally developed applications and internal procedures and personnel, to provide security for processing, transmitting, storing and safeguarding confidential borrower and vendor information, such as personally identifiable information related to their employees, guarantors, tenants and others and information regarding their and our financial accounts. RMR LLC and our Manager take various actions, and incur significant costs, to maintain and protect the operation and security of their information technology and systems, including the data maintained

in those systems. However, it is possible that these measures will not prevent the systems' improper functioning or a compromise in security, such as in the event of a cyberattack or the improper disclosure of personally identifiable information.

Security breaches, computer viruses, attacks by hackers, online fraud schemes and similar breaches can create significant system disruptions, shutdowns, fraudulent transfer of assets or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. The cybersecurity risks to RMR LLC, our Manager, us and third party vendors are heightened by, among other things, the evolving nature of the threats faced, advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography and new and increasingly sophisticated methods used to perpetuate illegal or fraudulent activities against RMR LLC or our Manager, including cyberattacks, email or wire fraud and other attacks exploiting security vulnerabilities in RMR LLC's, our Manager's or other third parties' information technology networks and systems or operations. Any failure to maintain the security, proper function and availability of RMR LLC's or our Manager's information technology and systems, or certain third party vendors' failure to similarly protect their information technology and systems that are relevant to RMR LLC's, our Manager's or our operations, or to safeguard RMR LLC's, our Manager's or our business processes, assets and information could result in financial losses, interrupt RMR LLC's or our Manager's operations, damage RMR LLC's or our Manager's reputations, cause RMR LLC or our Manager to be in default of material contracts and subject RMR LLC or our Manager to liability claims or regulatory penalties, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business and the value of our securities.

Our business could be adversely impacted if there are deficiencies in our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting.

The design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent all errors, misstatements or misrepresentations. While management will continue to review the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting, we cannot guarantee that our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will be effective in accomplishing all control objectives all of the time. Deficiencies, including any material weaknesses, in our disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting could result in misstatements of our results of operations or our financial statements or could otherwise materially and adversely affect our business, reputation, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

We are an "emerging growth company," and we cannot be certain if the reduced reporting requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common shares less attractive to investors.

We are an "emerging growth company" as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act. We may take advantage of exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies, including but not limited to, not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements. In addition, as permitted for an "emerging growth company," we have elected to delay the adoption of new or revised financial accounting standards, and, as a result, we may not comply with new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for publicly owned companies that are not emerging growth companies. As a result, our financial statements may not be comparable to companies that comply with new or revised accounting pronouncements.

We could be an emerging growth company for up to five years following the completion of our IPO in September 2017, although circumstances could cause us to lose that status earlier, including if we have more than \$1.07 billion in annual revenues as of the end of our fiscal year, we have more than \$700.0 million in market value of our common shares held by non-affiliates as of the end of our second

fiscal quarter or we issue more than \$1.0 billion of non-convertible debt over a three year period. We cannot predict if investors will find our common shares less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common shares less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common shares and our per share trading price may be adversely affected and more volatile.

RISKS RELATED TO FINANCING

We may be unable to access capital.

Our access to capital depends upon a number of factors, some of which we have little or no control over, including:

- general economic, market or industry conditions;
- the market's view of the quality of our assets;
- the market's perception of our growth potential;
- our current and potential future earnings and distributions to our shareholders; and
- the value of our securities.

If regulatory capital requirements imposed on our lenders change, they may be required to limit, or increase the cost of, financing they provide to us. This could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity or require us to sell assets at an inopportune time or price.

As of February 18, 2020, our investable cash was fully utilized, and \$195.1 million of the \$213.5 million currently available under our Master Repurchase Facility had been advanced. If we do not access additional capital, our future investable cash may be limited to proceeds we receive from repayments of our loan investments from borrowers or from other investments we may make. Therefore, in order to grow our business, we may have to rely on additional equity issuances, which may be dilutive to our shareholders, or on debt financings which may require us to use a large portion of our cash flow from operations to fund our debt service obligations, thereby reducing funds available for our operations, future business opportunities, distributions to our shareholders or other purposes. We cannot be sure that we will have access to such debt or equity capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our investment activities or dispose of assets at an inopportune time or price, which could negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make or sustain our distributions to our shareholders.

If the market value of our common shares declines, our cost of equity capital will increase, and we may not be able to raise equity capital by issuing additional equity securities.

We may incur significant debt, and our governing documents contain no limit on the amount of debt we may incur.

Subject to market conditions and availability, we may incur significant debt through our Master Repurchase Facility or other repurchase or credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), warehouse facilities and structured financing arrangements, public and private debt issuances or otherwise. The amount of leverage we use will vary depending on our available investment opportunities, our available capital, our ability to obtain and access financing arrangements with lenders and the lenders' and our estimate of the stability of our loan portfolio's cash flow. Our governing documents contain no limit on the amount of debt we may incur, and we may significantly increase the amount of leverage we utilize at any time without approval of our shareholders. The amount of leverage on individual assets may vary, with leverage on some assets substantially higher than others. Leverage can enhance our potential returns but can also exacerbate our losses.

Incurring substantial debt could subject us to many risks that, if realized, would materially and adversely affect us, including the risk that:

- our cash flow from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal of and interest on the debt or we may fail to comply with covenants contained in our debt instruments, including our Master Repurchase Agreement, which would likely result in (a) acceleration of such debt (and any other debt arrangements containing a cross default or cross acceleration provision) that we may be unable to repay from internal funds or to refinance on favorable terms, or at all, (b) our inability to borrow unused or undrawn amounts under our Master Repurchase Facility or our other financing arrangements, even if we are current in payments on borrowings under those arrangements and/or (c) the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosures or forced sales;
- our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic, market and industry conditions with no assurance that our investment yields will increase to match our higher financing costs;
- we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, future business opportunities, distributions to our shareholders or other purposes; and
- we may not be able to refinance maturing debts.

We cannot be sure that our leverage strategies will be successful.

The duration of our debt leverage and our investments may not match.

We generally intend to structure our debt leverage so that we minimize the difference between the term of our investments and the term of the leverage we use to finance them; however, we may not succeed in doing so. In the event that our leverage is for a shorter term than our investments, we may not be able to extend or find appropriate replacement leverage, which could require us to sell certain investments before we otherwise might. In the event that our leverage is for a longer term than our investments, we may not be able to replace our investments as they mature with new investments or at all, which could negatively impact our earnings.

We intend to structure our leverage so that we minimize the difference between the index of our investments and the index of our debt leverage, by financing floating rate investments with floating rate leverage and fixed rate investments with fixed rate leverage. If such a floating rate or fixed rate product is not available to us on reasonable terms, we may use hedging instruments to create such a match. Our attempts to mitigate the risk of a mismatch with the duration or index of our investments and leverage will be subject to factors outside of our control, such as the availability to us of favorable financing and hedging options, and we may not be successful.

The risks of duration mismatches are magnified by the potential for the extension of loans in order to maximize the likelihood and magnitude of their recovery value in the event the loans experience credit or performance challenges; use of these asset management practices would effectively extend the duration of our investments, while our liabilities may have set maturity dates.

A failure to comply with restrictive covenants in our Master Repurchase Agreement or our other financing arrangements would have a material adverse effect on us, and any future financings may require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt.

We are subject to various restrictive covenants contained in our Master Repurchase Agreement and we may be subject to additional covenants in connection with future financing arrangements. Our Master Repurchase Agreement requires us to maintain compliance with various financial covenants, including a minimum tangible net worth and cash liquidity, and specified financial ratios, such as total

debt to tangible net worth and a minimum interest coverage ratio. Financing arrangements that we may enter into in the future may contain similar or more restrictive covenants. These covenants may limit our flexibility to pursue certain investments or incur additional debt. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we may be in default under the agreements governing the applicable arrangements, and our lenders could elect to accelerate our obligation to repurchase certain assets, declare outstanding amounts due and payable, terminate their commitments, require the posting of additional collateral or enforce their rights against existing collateral. We may also be subject to cross default and acceleration rights and, with respect to collateralized debt, the posting of additional collateral or foreclosure upon default. These covenants and restrictions could also make it difficult for us to satisfy the qualification requirements necessary to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC.

Our Master Repurchase Agreement requires, and the agreements governing any additional repurchase facilities or bank credit facilities that we may enter will likely require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt.

Repurchase facilities such as our Master Repurchase Facility, bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities) or other repurchase facilities we may enter to finance some of our investments, may involve the risk that the value of the loans sold by us or pledged to the provider of the repurchase agreement or bank credit facility may decline, and, in such circumstances, likely would require us to provide additional collateral or to repay all or a portion of the funds advanced. Posting additional collateral would reduce our liquidity and limit our ability to leverage our assets. If we cannot meet our lender's requirements, the lender might accelerate our indebtedness, increase the interest rate on advanced funds or terminate our ability to borrow additional funds, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and ability to implement our investment strategy. The providers of repurchase agreement financing and bank credit facilities may also require us to maintain a certain amount of cash or set aside assets sufficient to maintain a specified liquidity position that would allow us to satisfy our collateral obligations.

Any default in a repurchase agreement will likely cause us to experience a loss.

If any counterparty to a repurchase transaction under our Master Repurchase Facility or the counterparty to any other repurchase financing arrangement we may enter defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying asset back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying asset has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under such repurchase agreement, we will likely incur a loss on such repurchase transactions.

Any warehouse facilities that we enter may limit our ability to originate or acquire assets and we may incur losses if the collateral is liquidated.

If securitization financings become available to us, we may utilize warehouse facilities pursuant to which we would accumulate CRE mortgage loans in anticipation of a securitization financing, which assets would be pledged as collateral for such facilities until the securitization transaction is consummated. In order to borrow funds to originate or acquire assets under any future warehouse facilities, we expect that our lenders would have the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing. We may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to originate or acquire assets that we believe would be beneficial to us, and we may be unable to obtain alternate financing for such assets. In addition, we cannot be sure that a securitization transaction would be consummated with respect to the assets being warehoused. If the securitization is not consummated, we expect that the lender would demand repayment of the facility, and in the event that we are unable to timely repay such facility, the lender would liquidate the warehoused collateral and we would then be required to pay to the lender any amount by which our borrowings to purchase the collateral assets exceeds their

sale price, subject in some instances to negotiated caps on our exposure. In addition, regardless of whether the securitization is consummated, if any of the warehoused collateral is sold before the completion, we generally would have to bear any resulting loss to our lender from such sale. We cannot be sure that we would be able to obtain one or more warehouse facilities on favorable terms, or at all.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR MANAGER AND ITS AFFILIATES

We are dependent on our Manager, its affiliates and their personnel. We may be unable to find suitable replacements if our management agreement is terminated.

We do not have an office separate from our Manager and do not have any employees. Our executive officers also serve as officers of our Manager and its affiliates, including RMR LLC. Our Manager itself has limited resources and is dependent upon facilities and services available to our Manager under its shared services agreement with RMR LLC. Our Manager is not obligated to dedicate any specific personnel exclusively to us, and RMR LLC is not obligated to dedicate any specific personnel to our Manager for services for us or otherwise. Our officers are not obligated to dedicate any specific portion of their time to our business. Several of our officers have significant responsibilities for RMR LLC managed companies. As a result, these individuals may not always be able to devote sufficient time to the management of our business, and we may not receive the level of support and assistance that we would receive if we were internally managed or if we had different management arrangements. In addition, the initial term of our management agreement extends only until December 31, 2020. If our management agreement or our Manager's shared services agreement with RMR LLC is terminated and no suitable replacements are found, we may not be able to continue in business.

Our Manager has broad discretion in operating our day-to-day business.

Our Manager is authorized to follow broad operating and investment guidelines and, therefore, has broad discretion in implementing our business plan and day-to-day activities. Our Board of Trustees periodically reviews our operating and investment guidelines and our operating activities, investments and financing arrangements, but it does not review or approve each decision made by our Manager on our behalf. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our Board of Trustees relies primarily on information provided to it by our Manager. Our Manager may exercise its discretion in a manner that results in investment returns that are substantially below expectations or that result in losses.

Our management structure and agreements and relationships with our Manager and RMR LLC and RMR LLC's and its controlling shareholder's relationships with others may create conflicts of interest, or the perception of such conflicts, and may restrict our investment activities.

We are subject to conflicts of interest arising out of our relationship with our Manager, RMR LLC, their affiliates and entities to which they provide management services. Our Manager is a subsidiary of RMR LLC, which is a majority-owned operating subsidiary of RMR Inc. One of our Managing Trustees, Adam Portnoy, as the sole trustee of ABP Trust, is the controlling shareholder of RMR Inc., a managing director and the president and chief executive officer of RMR Inc., an officer and employee of RMR LLC and a director of our Manager. He is also a managing director or managing trustee of all the other public companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services, including us.

David M. Blackman, our other Managing Trustee and our President and Chief Executive Officer, is a director, president and chief executive officer of our Manager, an officer and employee of RMR LLC and a managing trustee of OPI. Our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Douglas G. Lanois, is also an officer and employee of our Manager and RMR LLC. Messrs. Blackman and Lanois have duties to RMR LLC and our Manager, and Mr. Blackman has duties to OPI, as well as to us, and we do not

have their undivided attention. They and other RMR LLC personnel may have conflicts in allocating their time and resources between us and RMR LLC and other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide services. Our Independent Trustees also serve as independent directors or independent trustees of other public companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services.

Our Manager, RMR LLC, their affiliates and the entities to which they provide management services are generally not prohibited from competing with us. In addition, our Manager currently manages CSF, another entity which is a wholly owned subsidiary of ABP Trust and which actively invests or seeks to invest primarily in first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional CRE located in the United States and has discussions with other investors from time to time about originating and managing real estate mortgage investments for them, and may undertake such activities. In addition, RIF, an entity managed by RMR Advisors LLC, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of RMR LLC, is currently proposing to change its investment focus to originating and investing in first mortgage whole loans generally of \$50.0 million or less secured by middle market and transitional CRE. Also, our Manager and certain of its affiliates regularly provide mortgage brokerage services, originating and arranging CRE loans between borrowers and other lenders. In addition, our Manager, RMR LLC and their subsidiaries may sponsor or manage other funds, REITs or other entities, including entities that make investments like the investments we intend to make, and including entities in which our Manager, its affiliates or personnel may have a controlling, sole or substantial economic interest. As a result, conflicts of interests may exist with respect to the allocation of investment opportunities. In our management agreement, we specifically acknowledge these conflicts of interest and agree that our Manager, RMR LLC and their subsidiaries may resolve such conflicts in good faith and in their fair and reasonable discretion and may allocate investments, including those within our investment objectives, to RMR LLC and its other clients, including clients in which our Manager, its affiliates or their personnel may have a controlling, substantial economic or other interest. Accordingly, we may lose investment opportunities to, and may compete for investment opportunities with, other businesses managed by our Manager, RMR LLC or their subsidiaries. In addition to the fees payable to our Manager under our management agreement, our Manager and its affiliates may benefit from other fees paid to it in respect of our investments. For example, if we securitize some of our CRE loans, our Manager or its affiliates may act as the collateral manager for such securitizations. In any of these or other capacities, our Manager and its affiliates may receive fees for their services if approved by a majority of our Independent Trustees.

In addition, we may in the future enter into additional transactions with our Manager, RMR LLC, their affiliates or entities managed by them or their subsidiaries. In particular, we may provide financing to entities managed by our Manager, RMR LLC and their subsidiaries or co-invest with, purchase assets from, sell assets to or arrange financing from any such entities. In addition to his investments in RMR Inc. and RMR LLC, Adam Portnoy holds equity investments in other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services and some of these companies have significant cross ownership interests, including, for example: as of December 31, 2019, Adam Portnoy beneficially owned, in aggregate, approximately 35.3% (6.3% as of January 1, 2020) of FVE's outstanding common stock (including through ABP Trust), 1.2% of ILPT's outstanding common shares, 1.5% of OPI's outstanding common shares, 2.3% of RIF's, outstanding common shares, 1.1% of DHC's outstanding common shares, 1.1% of SVC's outstanding common shares, 19.4% of our outstanding common shares (including through our Manager) and 4.0% of TA's outstanding common shares (including through RMR LLC). Additionally, ABP Trust, through CSF, a subsidiary that it currently wholly owns, expects to begin investing in mortgage origination opportunities similar to those we intend to pursue. Our executive officers may also own equity investments in other companies to which our Manager, RMR LLC or their subsidiaries provide management services. These multiple responsibilities, relationships and cross ownerships could give rise to conflicts of interest or the perception of such conflicts of interest with respect to matters involving us, RMR Inc., RMR LLC, our Managing Trustees,

the other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services and their related parties. Conflicts of interest or the perception of conflicts of interest could have a material adverse impact on our reputation, business and the market price of our common shares and other securities and we may be subject to increased risk of litigation as a result.

We cannot be sure that our Code of Conduct, our Governance Guidelines, our investment allocation policy or other procedural protections we adopt will be sufficient to enable us to identify, adequately address or mitigate actual or alleged conflicts of interest or ensure that our transactions with related persons are made on terms that are at least as favorable to us as those that would have been obtained with an unrelated person. Conflicts of interest or the perception of conflicts of interest could have a material adverse impact on our reputation and business and the market price of our common shares and other securities.

Conflicts of interest as a result of our management structure and relationships may provoke dissident shareholder activities that result in significant costs.

Companies with business dealings with related persons and entities may more often be the target of dissident trustee nominations, dissident shareholder proposals and shareholder litigation alleging conflicts of interest in their business dealings. Our relationships with our Manager and its affiliates, with the other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services and with our Managing Trustees may precipitate such activities. These activities, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention, even if such actions are without merit.

Our management agreement's fee and expense structure may not create proper incentives for our Manager, which may increase the risk of an investment in our common shares.

While our Manager has waived any base management fees otherwise due and payable pursuant to our management agreement for the period beginning July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2020, after that period we will pay our Manager base management fees regardless of the performance of our loan portfolio. Our Manager's entitlement to a base management fee that is not based upon our performance or results might reduce its incentive to devote its time and effort to seeking investments that provide attractive, risk adjusted returns for us. Because the base management fees are also based in part on our outstanding equity, our Manager may be incentivized to advance strategies that increase our equity. Our increasing our equity capital by selling common shares will usually be dilutive to existing shareholders and may not improve returns for our shareholders or the market price of our common shares. In addition, although our Manager has agreed that no incentive fee would be paid or payable by us for the 2018 or 2019 calendar years, in future years our Manager may earn incentive fees each quarter based on our Core Earnings, which generally stated, are our earnings in a specified period in excess of a specified return. This may create an incentive for our Manager to invest in assets with higher yield potential, which are generally riskier or more speculative, or to sell an asset prematurely for a gain in an effort to increase our near term net income and thereby increase the incentive fees to which our Manager is entitled. This incentive fee formula may encourage our Manager to recommend investments or take other actions which cause us losses. In addition, we are required to pay or to reimburse our Manager for all costs and expenses of our operations (other than the costs of our manager's employees who provide services to us), including but not limited to, the costs of rent, utilities, office furniture, equipment, machinery and other overhead type expenses, the costs of legal, accounting, auditing, tax planning and tax return preparation, consulting services, diligence costs related to our investments, investor relations expenses and other professional services, and other costs and expenses not specifically required under our management agreement to be borne by our Manager. Some of these overhead, professional and other services are provided by RMR LLC pursuant to a shared services agreement between our Manager and RMR LLC. We are also obligated to pay our pro

rata share of RMR LLC's costs for providing our internal audit function. Our obligation to reimburse our Manager for certain shared services costs may reduce our Manager's incentive to efficiently manage those costs, which may increase our costs.

Our management agreement is between related parties and may be less favorable to us than if it had been negotiated on an arm's length basis with an unrelated party.

Our management agreement was entered between related parties and its terms, including fees payable to our Manager, may be less favorable to us than if they had been negotiated on an arm's length basis between unrelated parties. Pursuant to the terms of our management agreement, we have agreed to reimburse our Manager for the fees and other costs it pays to RMR LLC. Because of the relationships among us, our Manager and RMR LLC, the terms of our management agreement were not negotiated on an arm's length basis, and we can provide no assurance that these terms are as favorable to us as they would have been if our management agreement had been negotiated on an arm's length basis between unrelated parties.

Terminating our management agreement may be difficult and will require our payment of a substantial termination fee.

Termination of our management agreement without a cause event will be difficult and costly. We may not terminate our management agreement during its initial term through December 31, 2020, except upon a cause event. Our Independent Trustees will review our Manager's performance and the management fees annually and, following the initial term ending December 31, 2020, our management agreement may be terminated annually without a cause event upon the affirmative vote of at least 2/3 of our Independent Trustees based upon a determination that (a) our Manager's performance is unsatisfactory and materially detrimental to us or (b) the base management fee and incentive fee, taken as a whole, payable to our Manager are not fair to us (provided that in the instance of (b), our Manager will be afforded the opportunity to renegotiate the base management fee and incentive fee prior to termination). We will be required to provide our Manager with 180 days' prior written notice of any such termination. Additionally, in the event our management agreement is terminated by us without a cause event or by our Manager for a material breach, we will be required to pay our Manager a termination fee equal to (a) three times the sum of (i) the average annual base management fee and (ii) the average annual incentive fee, in each case paid or payable to our Manager during the 24 month period immediately preceding the most recently completed calendar quarter prior to the date of termination or, if such termination occurs within 24 months of its initial commencement, the base management fee and the incentive fee will be annualized for such 24 month period based on such fees earned by our Manager during such period, plus (b) an amount equal to the initial organizational costs related to our formation and the costs of our IPO. These provisions will increase the cost to us of terminating our management agreement and adversely affect our ability to terminate our Manager or not renew our management agreement without a cause event. These terms of our management agreement may discourage a change in our control, including a change of control which might result in payment of a premium for our common shares.

Our Manager owns a significant number of our outstanding common shares and as a result you may have less influence over us than shareholders of most other publicly owned companies.

Our Manager owns approximately 19.4% of our common shares outstanding. If our Manager maintains a significant ownership stake in us, our Manager may have significant influence over the composition of our Board of Trustees and other matters involving a shareholder vote. A significant ownership stake in us by our Manager may discourage transactions involving a change of control of us, including transactions in which you as a holder of our common shares might otherwise receive a premium for your common shares over the then current market price. In addition, if our Manager

retains an ownership stake in us above 9.8%, then our Board of Trustees in the future may determine that it is appropriate to reduce the ownership limit applicable to ownership of our common shares by others to below 9.8% in order to assist us in qualifying for taxation as a REIT. Under such circumstances, it is possible that certain purchasers, acquirors or other holders of our common shares may have some of their common shares transferred to a charitable trust even if they owned less than 9.8% of our outstanding common shares.

Our Manager's sale of some or all of its ownership stake in us, acquisition of additional common shares and speculation about any such possible transactions may adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

Our Manager is not prohibited from selling some or all of its common shares. In addition, from and after the earlier of January 1, 2021 and the termination of our management agreement, our Manager will have the contractual right to demand that we file a registration statement with the SEC for the resale of our common shares owned by our Manager, or include such common shares in a registration statement we are filing for another purpose. Speculation by the press, stock analysts, our shareholders or others regarding our Manager's intention with respect to its investment in us could adversely affect the market price of our common shares. If our Manager has or sells a significant ownership in us, the market price of our common shares may be adversely impacted.

Our Manager does not guaranty our performance; moreover, we could experience poor performance or losses for which our Manager would not be liable. Our Manager's liability is limited under our management agreement, and we have agreed to indemnify our Manager against certain liabilities.

Our Manager maintains a contractual as opposed to a fiduciary relationship with us. Our Manager does not guaranty our performance. Pursuant to our management agreement, our Manager does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for thereunder in good faith and is not responsible for any action of our Board of Trustees in following or declining to follow its advice or recommendations. We could experience poor performance or losses for which our Manager would not be liable. Under the terms of our management agreement, our Manager and its affiliates, including RMR LLC, and their respective directors, trustees, officers, shareholders, owners, members, managers, employees and personnel will not be liable to us or any of our Trustees, shareholders or subsidiaries for any acts or omissions related to the provision of services to us under our management agreement, except by reason of acts or omissions that are proved to constitute bad faith, fraud, intentional misconduct, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties of our Manager under our management agreement. In addition, under the terms of our management agreement, we agree to indemnify, hold harmless and advance expenses to our Manager and its affiliates, including RMR LLC, and their respective directors, trustees, officers, shareholders, owners, members, managers, employees and personnel from and against all expenses, losses, damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims of any nature whatsoever, including all reasonable attorneys', accountants', and experts' fees and expenses, arising from acts or omissions related to the provision of services to us or the performance of any matter pursuant to an instruction by our Board of Trustees, except to the extent it is proved that such acts or omissions constituted bad faith, fraud, intentional misconduct, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties of our Manager under our management agreement. Such persons will also not be liable for trade errors that may result from ordinary negligence, including errors in the investment decision making or trade process.

Our Manager may change its processes for identifying, evaluating and managing investments and the personnel performing those functions for us without our or our shareholders' consent at any time.

Our Manager may change its personnel and processes for identifying, evaluating and managing investments for us without our or our shareholders' consent at any time. In addition, there can be no assurance that our Manager will follow its processes. Changes in our Manager's personnel and

processes may result in fewer investment opportunities for us, inferior diligence and underwriting standards or adversely affect the collection of payments on, and the preservation of our rights with respect to, our investments, any of which may adversely affect our operating results.

Our declaration of trust and management agreement permit our Trustees and officers, our Manager, its affiliates and their respective directors, trustees, officers, agents and employees to retain business opportunities for their own benefit and to compete with us.

In recognition of the fact that our Trustees and officers and our Manager, its affiliates and their respective directors, trustees, officers, agents and employees may engage in other activities or lines of business similar to those in which we engage, our declaration of trust and management agreement provide that if such a person acquires knowledge of a potential business opportunity, we renounce, on our behalf and on behalf of our subsidiaries, any potential interest or expectation in, or right to be offered or to participate in, such business opportunity to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law (a) no such person is required to present, communicate or offer any business opportunity to us or any subsidiaries and (b) such persons, on their own behalf and on behalf of our Manager, any affiliate of such person or our Manager and any other person to which such person, RMR LLC or any of their subsidiaries provide management services, will have the right to hold and exploit any business opportunity, or to direct, recommend, offer, sell, assign or otherwise transfer such business opportunity to any person other than us. Consequently, our declaration of trust and management agreement permit our Trustees and officers and our Manager and its affiliates, including RMR LLC, to engage in activities that compete with us.

Disputes with our Manager may be referred to binding arbitration, which follow different procedures than in-court litigation and may be more restrictive to shareholders asserting claims than in-court litigation.

Our management agreement with our Manager provides that any dispute arising thereunder will be referred to mandatory, binding and final arbitration proceedings if we, or any other party to such dispute, unilaterally so demands. As a result, we and our shareholders would not be able to pursue litigation in state or federal court against our Manager, if we or any other parties against whom the claim is made unilaterally demands the matter be resolved by arbitration. In addition, the ability to collect attorneys' fees or other damages may be limited in the arbitration proceedings, which may discourage attorneys from agreeing to represent parties wishing to bring such litigation.

Our Manager is subject to extensive regulation as an investment adviser, which could adversely affect its ability to manage our business.

Our Manager is subject to regulation as an investment adviser by various regulatory authorities that are charged with protecting the interests of its clients, including us. Our Manager could be subject to civil liability, criminal liability, or sanction, including revocation of its registration as an investment adviser, censures, fines, or temporary suspension or permanent bar from conducting business, if it is found to have violated any of the laws or regulations governing investment advisers. Any such liability or sanction could adversely affect our Manager's ability to manage our business. Our Manager must continually address conflicts between its interests and those of its clients, including us. In addition, the SEC and other regulators have increased their scrutiny of conflicts of interest. Our Manager has procedures and controls that are reasonably designed to address these issues. However, appropriately dealing with conflicts of interest is complex and difficult and if our Manager fails, or appears to fail, to deal appropriately with conflicts of interest, it could face litigation or regulatory proceedings or penalties, any of which could adversely affect its ability to manage our business.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR SECURITIES

We may not be able to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders at any time in the future.

We are generally required to distribute annually to our shareholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (which may be different than our income calculated according to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, for us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC. Our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders will be adversely affected if any of the risks described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K occur. Further, our making of distributions is subject to compliance with restrictions contained in our Master Repurchase Agreement and may be subject to restrictions in future debt obligations we may incur.

The timing, amount and form of future distributions will be determined at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend upon various factors that our Board of Trustees deems relevant, including our historical and projected income, our Core Earnings (Loss), the then-current and expected needs and availability of cash to pay our obligations and fund our investments, distributions which may be required to be paid by us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT, limitations on distributions contained in our financing arrangements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Trustees in its discretion. Therefore, we cannot be sure that we will continue to pay distributions in the future or that the amount of any distributions we do pay will not decrease.

We believe that a change in any one of the following factors could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and impair our ability to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders:

- the profitability of the investments we make;
- our ability to obtain debt leverage at a cost less than the returns we realize on our investments made with the proceeds of such debt leverage;
- our ability to make profitable additional investments in the future;
- defaults by our borrowers and decreases in the value of and income from our collateral properties; and
- our operating expenses exceeding the amounts we anticipate we will incur.

Our current quarterly distribution is \$0.22 per common share (\$0.88 per common share per year). Although our Core Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2019 exceeded the amount of distributions we made during the same period, we currently expect that our current distribution rate will exceed our Core Earnings in future periods. Accordingly if we are unable to increase our Core Earnings, we may have to fund distributions from other sources (such as from selling certain of our assets) or reduce, or eliminate, our distributions. We cannot be sure that we will be able to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders at any time in the future, that the level of any distributions we do make to our shareholders will achieve a market yield relative to the value of our common shares, or that we will be able to increase or even maintain our distribution level over time.

We may use future debt leverage to pay distributions to our shareholders.

If our earnings are at any time insufficient to fund distributions to our shareholders at the level which may in the future be established by our Board of Trustees, we may pay distributions to our shareholders with the proceeds of borrowings or other leverage or from sales of our assets. The use of borrowings or sale proceeds for distributions may dilute our shareholders' ownership interests in us. In addition, funding distributions to our shareholders from our future borrowings or asset sales may constitute a return of capital to our investors, which would have the effect of reducing our shareholders' bases in our common shares.

Changes in market conditions could adversely affect the value of our securities.

As with other publicly traded equity securities and REIT securities, the value of our common shares and other securities depends on various market conditions that are subject to change from time to time, including:

- the extent of investor interest in our securities;
- the general reputation of REITs and externally managed companies and the attractiveness of our equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate based companies or by other issuers less sensitive to rises in interest rates;
- our underlying asset value;
- investor confidence in the stock and bond markets, generally;
- market interest rates;
- national economic conditions;
- changes in tax laws;
- changes in our credit ratings; and
- general market conditions.

We believe that one of the factors that investors consider important in deciding whether to buy or sell equity securities of a REIT is the distribution rate, considered as a percentage of the price of the equity securities, relative to market interest rates. Interest rates have been at historically low levels for an extended period of time. There is a general market perception that REIT shares outperform in low interest rate environments and underperform in rising interest rate environments when compared to the broader market. The U.S. Federal Reserve steadily increased the targeted federal funds rate over the last several years, but recently took action to decrease its federal funds rate and may continue to make adjustments in the near future. If the U.S. Federal Reserve increases interest rates or if there is a market expectation of such increases, prospective purchasers of REIT equity securities may want to achieve a higher distribution rate. Thus, higher market interest rates, or the expectation of higher interest rates, could cause the value of our securities to decline.

Investing in our common shares involves a high degree of risk.

The investments that we make in accordance with our investment objectives may result in a high amount of risk when compared to alternative investment options. Our investments may be highly speculative and aggressive, and therefore an investment in our common shares may not be suitable for someone with low risk tolerance.

Further issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to current shareholders.

The interests of our existing shareholders could be diluted if we issue additional equity securities to finance future loan originations or acquisitions or to repay indebtedness. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, which may include secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, which may include common and preferred shares.

Our future offerings of debt or equity securities that rank senior to our common shares may adversely affect our shareholders.

If we decide in the future to issue debt or equity securities that rank senior to our common shares, it is likely that they will be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants restricting our operating flexibility. Also, any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue in the

future may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common shares and may result in further dilution to our shareholders. We and, indirectly, our shareholders, will bear the cost of issuing and servicing such securities. Because our decision to issue debt or equity securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or even estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future capital offerings. Thus our shareholders will bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common shares and diluting the value of their common shares.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE

Ownership limitations and certain provisions in our declaration of trust and bylaws, as well as certain provisions of Maryland law, may deter, delay or prevent a change in our control or unsolicited acquisition proposals.

Our declaration of trust prohibits any shareholder other than our Manager, RMR LLC and their subsidiaries and certain persons who have been exempted by our Board of Trustees from owning (directly and by attribution) more than 9.8% in value or number, whichever is more restrictive, of any class or series of our shares of beneficial interest, including our common shares. Our Board of Trustees may from time to time reduce this ownership limit below 9.8%, subject to limitations contained in our declaration of trust. These provisions of our declaration of trust are intended to, among other purposes, assist with our REIT compliance under the IRC and otherwise to promote our orderly governance. However, these provisions may also inhibit acquisitions of a significant stake in us and may prevent a change in our control or unsolicited acquisition proposals that a shareholder may consider favorable. Additionally, provisions contained in our declaration of trust and bylaws or under Maryland law may have a similar impact, including, for example, provisions relating to:

- the division of our Trustees into three classes, with the term of one class expiring each year, which could delay a change in our control;
- the authority of our Board of Trustees, and not our shareholders, to adopt, amend or repeal our bylaws and to fill vacancies on our Board of Trustees;
- shareholder voting rights and standards for the election of Trustees and approval of other business;
- the fact that only our Board of Trustees, or, if there are no Trustees, our officers, may call shareholder meetings and that shareholders are not entitled to act without a meeting;
- required qualifications for individuals to serve as Trustees including requirements that certain of our Trustees be “Managing Trustees” and other Trustees be “Independent Trustees”;
- limitations on the ability of our shareholders to propose nominees for election as Trustees and propose other business to be considered at a meeting of our shareholders, which provisions require, among other things, that one or more shareholders desiring to propose nominees for election as Trustees or other business must have continuously owned, in aggregate, for at least three years (or, if prior to the three-year anniversary of the third business day following the closing of our IPO, since the third business day following the closing of our IPO) at least 1% of our shares of beneficial interest;
- limitations on the ability of our shareholders to remove our Trustees, which provide that a Trustee may only be removed for cause by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than 2/3 of the outstanding shares of each class or series of our shares entitled to be cast in the election of such Trustee at a properly called shareholders meeting;

- the authority of our Board of Trustees to create and issue new classes or series of shares (including shares with voting rights and other rights and privileges that may deter a change in control) and issue additional common shares;
- restrictions on business combinations between us and an interested shareholder that have not first been approved by our Board of Trustees (including a majority of Trustees not related to the interested shareholder); and
- the authority of our Board of Trustees, without shareholder approval, to implement certain takeover defenses.

Our declaration of trust contains provisions that limit the liability of our Trustees and officers to us and our shareholders. We are required to indemnify our Trustees and officers in connection with certain proceedings.

Our declaration of trust limits the liability of our Trustees and officers to us and our shareholders for money damages to the maximum extent permitted under Maryland law. Under current Maryland law, our Trustees and officers will not have any liability to us and our shareholders for money damages other than liability resulting from actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or active and deliberate dishonesty by the Trustee or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Our declaration of trust requires us to indemnify, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, any present or former Trustee or officer who is made or threatened to be made a party to or witness in a proceeding by reason of his or her service in those or certain other capacities, from and against any claim or liability to which such person may become subject or which such person may incur by reason of his or her status as a present or former Trustee or officer of us or serving in such other capacities. However, except with respect to proceedings to enforce rights to indemnification, we are required to indemnify any such person in connection with a proceeding initiated by such person against us only if such proceeding was authorized by our Board of Trustees. In addition, we may be obligated to pay or reimburse the expenses incurred by our present and former Trustees and officers without requiring a preliminary determination of their ultimate entitlement to indemnification. We are parties to indemnification agreements with our Trustees and officers providing for procedures for indemnification by us and advancements by us of certain expenses and costs relating to claims, suits or proceedings arising from their service to us, in each case, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law.

As a result, we and our shareholders have more limited rights to recover money damages from our Trustees and officers than might otherwise exist absent these provisions in our declaration of trust or that might exist with other companies, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions that are not in our best interests.

Our bylaws designate the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland as the sole and exclusive forum for certain actions and proceedings that may be initiated by our shareholders, which could limit our shareholders' ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our Trustees, officers, manager, agents or employees.

Our bylaws currently provide that, unless the dispute has been referred to binding arbitration, the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland will be the sole and exclusive forum for: (1) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf; (2) any action asserting a claim for breach of a duty owed by any Trustee, officer, manager, agent or employee of ours to us or our shareholders; (3) any action asserting a claim against us or any Trustee, officer, manager, agent or employee of ours arising pursuant to Maryland law, our declaration of trust or bylaws brought by or on behalf of a shareholder, either on his, her or its own behalf, on our behalf or on behalf of any series or class of our shareholders or shareholders against us or any Trustee, officer, manager, agent or employee of ours,

including any claims relating to the meaning, interpretation, effect, validity, performance or enforcement of our declaration of trust or bylaws; or (4) any action asserting a claim against us or any Trustee, officer, manager, agent or employee of ours that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine. The exclusive forum provision of our bylaws does not apply to any action for which the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland does not have jurisdiction. The exclusive forum provision of our bylaws does not establish exclusive jurisdiction in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland for claims that arise under the Securities Act, the Exchange Act or other federal securities laws if there is exclusive or concurrent jurisdiction in the federal courts. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring or holding any interest in our shares of beneficial interest shall be deemed to have notice of and to have consented to these provisions of our bylaws, as they may be amended from time to time. The exclusive forum provision of our bylaws may limit a shareholder's ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that the shareholder believes is favorable for disputes with us or our Trustees, officers, manager, agents or employees, which may discourage lawsuits against us and our Trustees, officers, manager, agents or employees.

Our intention to remain exempt from registration under the Investment Company Act imposes limits on our operations.

We conduct our operations so that neither we nor any of our subsidiaries is required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. We believe we will not be considered an investment company under Section 3(a)(1)(A) of the Investment Company Act because we will not engage primarily, or hold ourselves out as being engaged primarily, in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities. We may conduct our business, in whole or in part, through wholly or majority owned subsidiaries. Under Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act, the securities issued by these subsidiaries that are excepted from the definition of "investment company" under Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act, together with any other investment securities we may own, may not have a combined value in excess of 40% of the value of our total assets (exclusive of U.S. Government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis. This requirement limits the types of businesses in which we may engage through subsidiaries. In addition, the assets we may originate or acquire are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act, which may adversely affect our business.

If the value of securities issued by our subsidiaries that are excepted from the definition of "investment company" by Section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act, together with any other investment securities we own, exceeds 40% of our total assets (exclusive of U.S. Government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis, or if one or more of such subsidiaries fails to maintain an exception or exemption from the Investment Company Act, we could, among other things, be required to either (a) substantially change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company or (b) register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, either of which could have an adverse effect on us and the market price of our common shares. If we or any of our subsidiaries were required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, the registered entity would become subject to substantial regulation with respect to capital structure (including the ability to use leverage), management, operations, transactions with affiliated persons (as defined in the Investment Company Act), portfolio composition, including restrictions with respect to diversification and industry concentration, compliance with reporting, record keeping, voting, proxy disclosure and other rules and regulations that would significantly change our operations.

Failure to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act also would require us to significantly restructure our investment strategy. For example, because affiliate transactions are generally prohibited under the Investment Company Act, we would not be able to

enter into transactions with any of our affiliates if we were required to register as an investment company, and we might be required to terminate our management agreement and any other agreements with affiliates, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to operate our business and pay distributions. If we were required to register as an investment company but failed to do so, we would be prohibited from engaging in our business, and criminal and civil actions could be brought against us. In addition, our contracts might be unenforceable unless a court required enforcement, and a court could appoint a receiver to take control of us and liquidate our business.

We expect that we and certain subsidiaries that we may form in the future will rely upon the exemption from registration as an investment company under the Investment Company Act pursuant to Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act, which is available for entities “primarily engaged” in the business of “purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate”. This exemption generally requires that at least 55% of our or our applicable subsidiaries’ assets must be comprised of qualifying real estate assets and at least 80% of our or each of our applicable subsidiaries’ portfolios must be comprised of qualifying real estate assets and real estate related assets under the Investment Company Act. To the extent that we or any of our subsidiaries rely on Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act, we expect to rely on guidance published by the SEC staff or on our analyses of such guidance to determine which assets are qualifying real estate assets and real estate related assets. However, the SEC’s guidance is more than 25 years old and was issued in accordance with factual situations that may be different from ours. No assurance can be given that the SEC staff will concur with our classification of our assets. In addition, the SEC staff may, in the future, issue further guidance that may require us to re-classify our assets for purposes of qualifying for an exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act. If we are required to re-classify our assets, we may no longer be in compliance with the exclusion from the definition of an “investment company” provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act. To the extent that the SEC staff publishes new or different guidance with respect to any assets we have determined to be qualifying real estate assets, we may be required to adjust our strategy accordingly. In addition, we may be limited in our ability to make certain investments, and these limitations could result in a subsidiary holding assets we might wish to sell or selling assets we might wish to hold.

The SEC has not published guidance with respect to the treatment of CMBS for purposes of the Section 3(c)(5)(C) exemption. Unless we receive further guidance from the SEC or its staff with respect to CMBS, we intend to treat CMBS as a real estate related asset.

We or certain of our subsidiaries may also rely on the exemption provided by Section 3(c)(6) of the Investment Company Act. The SEC staff has issued little interpretive guidance with respect to Section 3(c)(6) of the Investment Company Act and any guidance published by the staff may require us to adjust our strategy accordingly. We intend to structure and conduct our business in a manner that does not require our or our subsidiaries’ registration under the Investment Company Act and, in so structuring and conducting our business, we may rely on any available exemption from registration, or exclusion from the definition of “investment company,” under the Investment Company Act.

We determine whether an entity is one of our majority owned subsidiaries. The Investment Company Act defines a majority owned subsidiary of a person as a company 50% or more of the outstanding voting securities of which are owned by such person, or by another company which is a majority owned subsidiary of such person. The Investment Company Act further defines voting securities as any security presently entitling the owner or holder thereof to vote for the election of directors of a company. We treat companies in which we own a majority of the outstanding voting securities as majority owned subsidiaries for purposes of the 40% test described above. We have not requested the SEC to approve our treatment of any company as a majority owned subsidiary and the SEC has not done so. If the SEC were to disagree with our treatment of one or more companies as majority owned subsidiaries, we might need to adjust our strategy and our assets in order to continue to pass the 40% test. Any such adjustment in our strategy could have a material adverse effect on us.

There can be no assurance that the laws and regulations governing the Investment Company Act status of REITs, including the SEC or its staff providing more specific or different guidance regarding these exemptions, will not change in a manner that adversely affects our operations. If we or our subsidiaries fail to maintain an exception or exemption from the Investment Company Act, we could, among other things, be required either to (a) change the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being required to register as an investment company, (b) sell our assets in a manner that, or at a time when, we would not otherwise choose to do so or (c) register as an investment company, any of which could negatively affect the value of our common shares, the sustainability of our business, and our ability to make distributions, which could have an adverse effect on our business and the market price for our common shares.

Rapid and steep declines in the values of our qualifying real estate or real estate related investments may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT or exemption from the Investment Company Act.

If the market value or income potential of our qualifying real estate or real estate related investments declines as a result of increased interest rates or other factors, we may need to increase our qualifying real estate or real estate related investments and income and/or liquidate our nonqualifying assets in order to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT or our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act. If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of any nonqualifying assets that we may own. We may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent the REIT and Investment Company Act considerations, and those decisions may cause us to experience losses and reduce the market trading price of our common shares.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR TAXATION

Our failure to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC could have significant adverse consequences.

As a REIT, we generally do not pay federal or most state income taxes as long as we distribute all of our REIT taxable income and meet other qualifications set forth in the IRC. However, actual qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC depends on our satisfying complex statutory requirements, for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. We believe that we have been organized and have operated, and will continue to be organized and to operate, in a manner that qualified and will continue to qualify us to be taxed as a REIT under the IRC. However, we cannot be sure that the IRS, upon review or audit, will agree with this conclusion. Furthermore, we cannot be sure that the federal government, or any state or other taxation authority, will continue to afford favorable income tax treatment to REITs and their shareholders.

Maintaining our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC will require us to continue to satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the nature of our assets, the sources of our income and the amounts we distribute to our shareholders. In order to meet these requirements, it may be necessary for us to sell or forgo attractive investments.

If we cease to qualify for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, then our ability to raise capital might be adversely affected, we may be subject to material amounts of federal and state income taxes, our cash available for distribution to our shareholders could be reduced, and the market price of our common shares could decline. In addition, if we lose or revoke our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC for a taxable year, we will generally be prevented from requalifying for taxation as a REIT for the next four taxable years.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect us and our shareholders.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, subject to specified adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, federal corporate income tax will not apply to the earnings that we distribute, but if we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, then we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. We intend to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the IRC. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our shareholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under federal tax laws.

We may experience timing and other differences, for example on account of income and expense accrual principles under U.S. federal income tax laws, or on account of repaying outstanding indebtedness, whereby our available cash is less than, or does not otherwise correspond to, our taxable income. In addition, the IRC requires that income be taken into account no later than when it is taken into account on applicable financial statements, even if financial statements take such income into account before it would accrue under the original issue discount rules, market discount rules or other rules in the IRC. As a result, from time to time we may not have sufficient cash to meet our REIT distribution requirements. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, among other things, we may borrow funds on unfavorable terms, sell investments at disadvantageous prices or distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions in order to make distributions sufficient to enable us to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year. These alternatives could increase our costs or reduce our shareholders' equity. Thus, compliance with the REIT distribution requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

We may be required to report taxable income from particular investments in excess of the economic income we ultimately realize from them.

We may acquire debt instruments in the secondary market for less than their face amount. Though the discount at which such debt instruments are acquired may reflect doubts about their ultimate collectability rather than current market interest rates, the amount of such discount will nevertheless generally be treated as "market discount" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accrued market discount is generally reported as income when, and to the extent that, any payment of principal of the debt instrument is made. Payments on commercial mortgage loans are ordinarily made monthly, and consequently accrued market discount may have to be included in income each month as if the debt instrument were assured of ultimately being collected in full. If we collect less on the debt instrument than our purchase price plus the market discount we had previously reported as income, we may not be able to benefit from any offsetting loss deductions.

Moreover, some of the CMBS that we might acquire may have been issued with original issue discount. We will be required to report such original issue discount based on a constant yield method and will be taxed based on the assumption that all future projected payments due on such CMBS will be made. If such CMBS turns out not to be fully collectible, an offsetting loss deduction will become available only in the later year that uncollectibility is provable.

Finally, in the event that any debt instruments or CMBS acquired by us are delinquent as to mandatory principal and interest payments, or in the event payments with respect to a particular debt instrument are not made when due, we may nonetheless be required to continue to recognize the unpaid interest as taxable income as it accrues, despite doubt as to its ultimate collectability. Similarly, we may be required to accrue interest income with respect to subordinate CMBS at its stated rate

regardless of whether corresponding cash payments are received or are ultimately collectible. In each case, while we would in general ultimately have an offsetting loss deduction available to us when such interest was determined to be uncollectible, the utility of that deduction could depend on our having taxable income in that later year or thereafter.

We may in the future choose to pay dividends in our own shares, in which case you may be required to pay income taxes in excess of the cash dividends that you receive.

We may in the future distribute taxable dividends that are payable in part in our shares. Taxable shareholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes. As a result, shareholders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to these dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a shareholder sells the shares that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our shares at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to some non-U.S. shareholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax with respect to these dividends, including in respect of all or a part of the dividend that is payable in our shares. In addition, if a significant number of our shareholders determine to sell our shares in order to pay taxes owed on dividends paid in shares, then that may put downward pressure on the trading price of our shares.

Distributions to shareholders generally will not qualify for reduced tax rates applicable to “qualified dividends.”

Dividends payable by U.S. corporations to noncorporate shareholders, such as individuals, trusts and estates, are generally eligible for reduced federal income tax rates applicable to “qualified dividends.” Distributions paid by REITs generally are not treated as “qualified dividends” under the IRC and the reduced rates applicable to such dividends do not generally apply. However, for tax years beginning before 2026, REIT dividends paid to noncorporate shareholders are generally taxed at an effective tax rate lower than applicable ordinary income tax rates due to the availability of a deduction under the IRC for specified forms of income from passthrough entities. More favorable rates will nevertheless continue to apply to regular corporate “qualified” dividends, which may cause some investors to perceive that an investment in a REIT is less attractive than an investment in a non-REIT entity that pays dividends, thereby reducing the demand and market price of our common shares.

Even if we remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC, we may be subject to federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, excise taxes, state or local income, property and transfer taxes, such as mortgage recording taxes, and other taxes. Also, some jurisdictions may in the future limit or eliminate favorable income tax deductions, including the dividends paid deduction, which could increase our income tax expense. In addition, in order to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the IRC, prevent the recognition of particular types of non-cash income, or avert the imposition of a 100% tax that applies to specified gains derived by a REIT from dealer property or inventory, we may hold or dispose of some of our assets and conduct some of our operations through our TRSs or other subsidiary corporations that will be subject to corporate level income tax at regular rates. In addition, while we intend that our transactions with our TRSs will be conducted on arm’s length bases, we may be subject to a 100% excise tax on a transaction that the IRS or a court determines was not conducted at arm’s length. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

The failure of a mezzanine loan to qualify as a real estate asset could adversely affect our ability to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC.

We may originate or acquire mezzanine loans, for which the IRS has provided a safe harbor but not rules of substantive law. Pursuant to the safe harbor, if a mezzanine loan meets specified requirements, it will be treated by the IRS as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and interest derived from the mezzanine loan will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the 75% gross income test applicable to REITs. We may originate or acquire mezzanine loans that do not meet all of the requirements of this safe harbor. In the event we own a mezzanine loan that does not meet the safe harbor requirements and the IRS successfully challenges the loan's treatment as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests, then we could fail to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC.

We may fail to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC if the IRS successfully challenges the treatment of our mezzanine loans as debt for federal income tax purposes or successfully challenges the treatment of our preferred equity investments as equity for federal income tax purposes.

There is limited case law or administrative guidance addressing the treatment of mezzanine loans and preferred equity investments as debt or equity for federal income tax purposes. We expect that mezzanine loans that we may originate or acquire generally will be treated as debt for federal income tax purposes, and preferred equity investments that we may make generally will be treated as equity for federal income tax purposes, but we do not anticipate obtaining private letter rulings from the IRS or opinions of counsel on the characterization of those investments for federal income tax purposes. If a mezzanine loan is treated as equity for federal income tax purposes, we will be treated as owning the assets held by the partnership or limited liability company that issued the mezzanine loan and we will be treated as receiving our proportionate share of the income of that entity. If that partnership or limited liability company owns nonqualifying assets or earns nonqualifying income, we may not be able to satisfy all of the REIT gross income and asset tests. Alternatively, if the IRS successfully asserts a preferred equity investment is debt for federal income tax purposes, then that investment may be treated as a nonqualifying asset for purposes of the 75% asset test and as producing nonqualifying income for the 75% gross income test. In addition, such an investment may be subject to the 10% value test and the 5% asset test, and it is possible that a preferred equity investment that is treated as debt for federal income tax purposes could cause us to fail one or more of the foregoing tests. Accordingly, we could fail to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC if the IRS does not respect our classification of our mezzanine loans or preferred equity for federal income tax purposes.

The failure of assets subject to our repurchase agreement to qualify as real estate assets could adversely affect our ability to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT under the IRC.

We have entered into a financing arrangement that is structured as a sale and repurchase agreement pursuant to which we will nominally sell assets to the counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase these assets at a later date in exchange for a purchase price. Economically, this agreement is a financing that is secured by the assets sold pursuant to the agreement. We believe that we will be treated for REIT asset and income test purposes as the owner of the assets that are the subject of this sale and repurchase agreement notwithstanding that we may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS may assert that we did not own the assets during the term of the sale and repurchase agreement, in which case our qualification for taxation as a REIT may be jeopardized.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities.

The REIT provisions of the IRC substantially limit our ability to hedge our assets and liabilities. Any income from a hedging transaction that we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets does not constitute “gross income” for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests that we must satisfy in order to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC. As a result, a qualifying hedge transaction will neither assist nor hinder our compliance with the 75% and 95% gross income tests. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as nonqualifying income for purposes of both of these gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement some hedges through a TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because our TRS would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in the hedged items than we might otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in our TRS will generally not provide any tax benefit, except for being carried forward against future taxable income in the TRS.

If we own assets or conduct operations that generate “excess inclusion income” outside of a TRS, our doing so could adversely affect our shareholders’ taxation and could cause our shares to become ineligible for inclusion in leading market indexes.

Some leading market indexes exclude companies whose dividends to shareholders constitute UBTI. For purposes of the IRC, shareholder dividends attributable to a REIT’s “excess inclusion income” are treated as UBTI to specified investors, and thus REITs that generate excess inclusion income are generally not eligible for inclusion in these market indexes. Furthermore, REIT dividends attributable to excess inclusion income cause both the REIT and its shareholders to experience a range of disruptive and adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences, including the recognition of UBTI by specified tax-exempt shareholders, the unavailability of treaty benefits to non-U.S. shareholders and the unavailability of net operating losses to offset such income with respect to U.S. taxable shareholders. We do not intend to acquire assets or enter into financing or other arrangements that will produce excess inclusion income for our shareholders. As a result, we may forgo investment or financing opportunities that we would otherwise have considered attractive or implement these arrangements through a TRS, which would increase the cost of these activities because TRSs are subject to federal income tax. Furthermore, our analysis regarding our investments’ or activities’ potential for generating excess inclusion income could be subject to challenge or we could affirmatively modify our position regarding the generation of excess inclusion income in the future. In either case, our shareholders could suffer adverse tax consequences through the recognition of UBTI or the other adverse consequences that flow from excess inclusion income. Furthermore, in such an event, our shares could become ineligible for inclusion in those market indexes that exclude UBTI-generating stock, which could adversely affect demand for our shares and their market price.

The tax on prohibited transactions limits our ability to engage in transactions, including some methods of securitizing mortgage loans that would be treated as sales for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

A REIT’s net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions at a gain of property, other than foreclosure property but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. If we were to dispose of or securitize loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans for U.S. federal income tax purposes, those sales could be viewed as sales to customers in the ordinary course of business and to that extent subject to the 100% tax. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose not to engage in particular sales of loans, or may limit the structures

we utilize for our dispositions or securitization transactions, even though the sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could materially and adversely affect us and our shareholders.

The rules dealing with U.S. federal, state, and local taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS, the U.S. Department of the Treasury, and other taxation authorities. Changes to the tax laws, with or without retroactive application, could materially and adversely affect us and our shareholders. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws might affect us or our shareholders. New legislation, Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to remain qualified for taxation as a REIT or the tax consequences of such qualification to us and our shareholders.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We lease our principal executive and administrative offices which are located at Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Newton, MA 02458-1634. We do not own any real property.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation matters incidental to the ordinary course of our business. Although we are unable to predict with certainty the eventual outcome of any litigation, we are currently not a party to any litigation which we expect to have a material adverse effect on our business.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common shares are traded on Nasdaq (symbol: TRMT).

As of February 14, 2020, there were 49 shareholders of record of our common shares, although there is a larger number of beneficial owners.

Our current cash distribution rate to common shareholders is \$0.22 per share per quarter, or \$0.88 per share per year. However, the timing, amount and form of future distributions will be determined at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend upon various factors that our Board of Trustees deems relevant, including our historical and projected income, our Core Earnings (Loss), the then-current and expected needs and availability of cash to pay our obligations and fund our investments, distributions which may be required to be paid by us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT, limitations on distributions contained in our financing arrangements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Trustees in its discretion. Therefore, we cannot be sure that we will continue to pay distributions in the future or that the amount of any distributions we do pay will not decrease.

Issuer purchases of equity securities. The table below provides information about our purchases of our equity securities during the quarter ended December 31, 2019.

Calendar Month	Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
November 2019	447	\$4.94	—	\$—
Total	447	\$4.94	—	\$—

(1) These common share withholdings and purchases were made to satisfy tax withholding and payment obligations of an officer of RMR LLC in connection with the vesting of awards of our common shares. We withheld and purchased these shares at their fair market value based upon the trading price of our common shares at the close of trading on Nasdaq on the purchase date.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not applicable.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

OVERVIEW (dollars in thousands, except share data)

We are a REIT that was organized under Maryland law in 2017. Our business strategy is focused on originating and investing in first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional CRE. We define middle market CRE as commercial properties that have values up to \$75,000 and

transitional CRE as commercial properties subject to redevelopment or repositioning activities that are expected to increase the value of the properties. These assets are classified as loans held for investment in our consolidated balance sheets. Loans held for investment are reported at cost, net of any unamortized loan fees and origination costs as applicable, unless the assets are deemed impaired.

Our Manager is registered with the SEC as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. We believe that our Manager provides us with significant experience and expertise in investing in middle market and transitional CRE.

We operate our business in a manner consistent with our qualification for taxation as a REIT under the IRC. As such, we generally are not subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that we meet certain distribution and other requirements. We also operate our business in a manner that permits us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act.

Book Value per Common Share

The table below calculates our book value per common share (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

	<u>December 31, 2019</u>	<u>December 31, 2018</u>
Shareholders' equity	\$86,221	\$59,668
Total outstanding common shares	<u>8,240</u>	<u>3,179</u>
Book value per common share	<u>\$ 10.46</u>	<u>\$ 18.77</u>

Our Loan Portfolio

As of December 31, 2019, our loan portfolio consisted of 12 first mortgage whole loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$242,078. Based on our internal risk rating policy, 11 of these loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$217,616 were assigned a “3” acceptable risk rating and one of these loans with a carrying value of \$24,462 was assigned a “2” average risk rating. We did not have any impaired loans, non-accrual loans or loans in maturity default as of December 31, 2019; thus, we did not record a reserve for loan loss. For further information regarding the risk rating system that we use in evaluating our loans held for investment, see Notes 2 and 4 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The table below details overall statistics for our loan portfolio as of:

	December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
Number of loans	12	7
Total loan commitment	\$260,167	\$154,802
Unfunded loan commitments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,268	\$ 17,673
Principal balance	\$242,899	\$137,129
Unamortized net deferred origination fees	\$ (821)	\$ (1,285)
Carrying value	\$242,078	\$135,844
Weighted average coupon rate	5.76%	6.14%
Weighted average all in yield ⁽²⁾	6.41%	6.82%
Weighted average maximum maturity (years) ⁽³⁾	3.6	4.7
Weighted average LTV	70%	70%

- (1) Unfunded commitments will primarily be funded to finance property and building improvements and leasing capital. These commitments will generally be funded over the term of each loan.
- (2) All in yield includes the amortization of deferred fees over the initial term of the loan.
- (3) Maximum maturity assumes all extension options are exercised, which options are subject to the borrower meeting certain conditions.

Loan Portfolio Details

The table below provides details of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2019:

Location	Property Type	Origination Date	Committed Principal Amount	Principal Balance	Coupon Rate	All in Yield⁽¹⁾	Maximum Maturity⁽²⁾ (date)	LTV⁽³⁾	Risk Rating
First mortgage whole loans									
Coppell, TX	Retail	2/5/2019	\$ 22,915	\$ 21,954	L + 3.50%	L + 4.25%	2/5/2021	73%	3
Houston, TX	Multifamily	5/10/2019	28,000	27,475	L + 3.50%	L + 4.37%	11/10/2022	56%	3
Paradise Valley, AZ	Retail	11/30/2018	12,790	9,022	L + 4.25%	L + 5.81%	11/30/2022	48%	3
Metairie, LA	Office	4/11/2018	18,102	17,030	L + 5.00%	L + 5.65%	4/11/2023	79%	3
Barrington, NJ	Industrial	5/6/2019	37,600	34,900	L + 3.50%	L + 4.05%	5/6/2023	79%	3
Houston, TX	Office	6/26/2018	15,200	13,719	L + 4.00%	L + 4.61%	6/26/2023	69%	3
St. Louis, MO	Office	12/19/2018	29,500	26,971	L + 3.25%	L + 3.75%	12/19/2023	72%	3
Atlanta, GA	Hotel	12/21/2018	24,000	23,218	L + 3.25%	L + 3.73%	12/21/2023	62%	3
Rochester, NY	Multifamily	1/22/2019	24,550	24,550	L + 3.25%	L + 3.86%	1/22/2024	74%	2
Omaha, NE	Retail	6/14/2019	14,500	13,015	L + 3.65%	L + 4.05%	6/14/2024	77%	3
Yardley, PA	Office	12/19/2019	14,900	14,008	L + 3.75%	L + 4.48%	12/19/2024	75%	3
Orono, ME	Multifamily	12/20/2019	18,110	17,037	L + 3.25%	L + 3.89%	12/20/2024	72%	3
Total/weighted average			<u>\$260,167</u>	<u>\$ 242,899</u>	<u>L + 3.59%</u>	<u>L + 4.24%</u>		<u>70%</u>	<u>3</u>

The table below provides details of loans closed subsequent to December 31, 2019:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Property Type</u>	<u>Origination Date</u>	<u>Committed Principal Amount</u>	<u>Principal Balance</u>	<u>Coupon Rate</u>	<u>All in Yield⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Maximum Maturity⁽²⁾ (date)</u>	<u>LTV⁽³⁾</u>
First mortgage whole loans								
Allentown, PA	Industrial	01/24/2020	\$14,000	\$14,000	L + 3.50%	L + 4.02%	01/24/2025	67%
Dublin, OH	Office	02/18/2020	22,820	12,126	L + 3.75%	L + 5.67%	02/18/2023	33%
			<u>\$36,820</u>	<u>\$26,126</u>	<u>L + 3.62%</u>	<u>L + 4.79%</u>		<u>51%</u>

⁽¹⁾ All in yield includes the amortization of deferred fees.

⁽²⁾ Maximum maturity assumes all extension options are exercised, which options are subject to the borrower meeting certain conditions.

⁽³⁾ LTV represents the initial loan amount divided by the underwritten in-place value at closing.

Financing Activities

On May 21, 2019, we issued and sold 5,000,000 of our common shares at a price of \$5.65 per share in the Offering for total net proceeds of \$26,074, after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses. Our Manager purchased 1,000,000 of our common shares in the Offering at the public offering price, without the payment of any underwriting discounts. We used the net proceeds of the Offering to repay the approximate \$14,220 balance then outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement and to reduce amounts outstanding under our Master Repurchase Facility by approximately \$11,900. After repayment of the outstanding balance under the RMR Credit Agreement, the RMR Credit Agreement was terminated.

During the year ended December 31, 2019, we repaid the \$31,690 outstanding principal balance, plus accrued interest under the TCB note payable and \$22,412 of outstanding principal balances under our Master Repurchase Facility with the proceeds from the repayment of two of our loans held for investment by the borrowers during the year, and the TCB note payable was terminated in accordance with its terms.

The table below is an overview of our debt agreements that provided financing for our loans held for investment as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018:

	<u>Initial Maturity Date</u>	<u>Principal Balance</u>	<u>Unused Capacity</u>	<u>Maximum Facility Size</u>	<u>Collateral Principal Balance</u>
December 31, 2019:					
Master repurchase facility	11/06/2021	\$165,536	\$47,946	\$213,482	\$242,899
December 31, 2018:					
Master repurchase facility	11/06/2021	\$ 72,582	\$62,418	\$135,000	\$ 97,516
Note payable	07/19/2021	\$ 31,690	\$ 600	\$ 32,290	\$ 39,613

The table below details our debt activities for the year ended December 31, 2019:

	<u>Master Repurchase Agreement</u>	<u>Note Payable</u>	<u>RMR Credit Agreement</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance at December 31, 2018	\$ 71,691	\$ 31,485	\$ —	\$103,176
Borrowings	127,266	—	14,220	141,486
Repayments	(34,312)	(31,690)	(14,220)	(80,222)
Deferred Fees	(354)	(5)	—	(359)
Amortization of Deferred Fees	403	210	—	613
Balance at December 31, 2019	<u>\$164,694</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$164,694</u>

As of December 31, 2019, outstanding borrowings under our Master Repurchase Facility had a weighted average interest rate of LIBOR plus 199 basis points per annum, excluding associated fees and expenses. For further information regarding our Master Repurchase Agreement, see Note 5 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We could experience a loss on repurchase transactions under our Master Repurchase Facility if a counterparty to these transactions defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying assets back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying assets has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under the applicable agreement governing any such arrangement.

As of February 18, 2020, we closed two additional loans and we have fully invested the capital available to us. Our ability to obtain additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility is contingent upon our making additional advances to our existing borrowers or our ability to effectively reinvest any additional capital, including any loan repayment proceeds, that we may obtain or receive. However, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain additional capital or additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility. It may take an extended period for us to reinvest any additional capital we may receive, and any reinvestments we may be able to make may not provide us with similar returns or comparable risks as those of our current investments.

Results of Operations (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Year Ended December 31, 2019, Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2018:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2019	2018	Change	% Change
Income from Investments:				
Interest income from investments	\$15,475	\$ 3,891	\$11,584	N/M
Less: interest and related expenses	(7,047)	(1,491)	(5,556)	N/M
Income from investments, net	8,428	2,400	6,028	N/M
Other Expenses:				
Management fees ⁽¹⁾	—	447	(447)	(100.0)%
General and administrative expenses	2,130	2,101	29	1.0%
Reimbursement of shared services expenses	1,457	1,460	(3)	(0.2)%
Total expenses	3,587	4,008	(421)	(11.0)%
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,841	\$(1,608)	\$ 6,449	N/M
Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted				
	6,234	3,124	3,110	100.0%
Net income (loss) per common share—basic and diluted	\$ 0.77	\$ (0.51)	\$ 1.29	N/M

⁽¹⁾ In June 2018, our Manager agreed to waive any base management fees otherwise due and payable pursuant to our management agreement for the period beginning July 1, 2018 until June 30, 2020. If our Manager had not agreed to waive these base management fees, we would have recognized base management fees of \$1,131 for the year ended December 31, 2019 and \$445 for the period from July 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018 which would have resulted in our recognizing \$892 of total base management fees for the year ended December 31, 2018 (including the \$447 of management fees actually recognized by us during such period).

References to changes in the income and expense categories below relate to the comparison of consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2019, compared to the year ended December 31, 2018. For a comparison of consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017, see Part II, Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

Interest income from investments. The interest income from investments of \$15,475 for the 2019 period reflects interest earned on the 12 loans included in our loan portfolio. Also contributing to the increase in interest income from investments for the 2019 period was \$877 of deferred fees that we recognized on the two loans that were repaid by borrowers during the 2019 period as well as a prepayment premium earned on one of these repaid loans. Interest income from investments of \$3,891 for the 2018 period primarily consists of interest earned on the seven loans that were included in our loan portfolio during the 2018 period and on the proceeds of our IPO and concurrent private placement.

Interest and related expenses. The increase in interest and related expenses is a result of higher outstanding borrowings under our Master Repurchase Facility and the TCB note payable during the 2019 period as compared to the 2018 period. Also contributing to the increase in interest expense for the 2019 period were \$157 of deferred fees that we recognized with respect to the prepayment and termination of the TCB note payable as a result of the early repayment of the JFK loan by the borrower.

Management fees. There were no management fees recognized in 2019 or the period from July 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018 as our Manager agreed to waive any base management fee otherwise due and payable pursuant to our management agreement for the period beginning July 1, 2018 until June 30, 2020 and agreed that no incentive fee would be paid or payable by us for the 2018 or 2019 calendar years.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses primarily include legal and audit fees, insurance, dues and subscriptions, Trustee fees, internal audit costs, share based compensation expense and other professional fees.

Reimbursement of shared services expenses. Reimbursement of shared services expenses represents reimbursements for the costs our Manager incurs on our behalf from RMR LLC.

Net income (loss). The realization of net income for the 2019 period as compared to net loss for the 2018 period is due to the changes noted above.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We present Core Earnings (Loss), which is considered a “non-GAAP financial measure” within the meaning of the applicable SEC rules. Core Earnings (Loss) does not represent net income (loss) or cash generated from operating activities and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP or an indication of our cash flows from operations determined in accordance with GAAP, a measure of our liquidity or operating performance or an indication of funds available for our cash needs. In addition, our methodology for calculating Core Earnings (Loss) may differ from the methodologies employed by other companies to calculate the same or similar supplemental performance measures; therefore, our reported Core Earnings (Loss) may not be comparable to the core earnings (loss) as reported by other companies.

We believe that Core Earnings (Loss) provides meaningful information to consider in addition to net income and cash flows from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP. This measure helps us to evaluate our performance excluding the effects of certain transactions and GAAP adjustments that we believe are not necessarily indicative of our current loan portfolio and operations. In addition, Core Earnings (Loss) is used in determining the amount of base management and incentive fees payable by us to our Manager under our management agreement.

Core Earnings (Loss)

We calculate Core Earnings (Loss) as net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, including realized losses not otherwise included in net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP, and excluding: (a) the incentive fees earned by our Manager (if any); (b) depreciation and amortization (if any); (c) non-cash equity compensation expense; (d) unrealized gains, losses and other similar non-cash items that are included in net income (loss) for the period of the calculation (regardless of whether such items are included in or deducted from net income (loss) or in other

comprehensive income (loss) under GAAP) (if any); and (e) one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain non-cash items (if any).

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2019	2018
Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Core Earnings (Loss):		
Net income (loss)	\$4,841	\$(1,608)
Non-cash equity compensation expense	344	430
Core earnings (loss)	<u>\$5,185</u>	<u>\$(1,178)</u>
Core earnings (loss) per share—basic and diluted	<u>\$ 0.83</u>	<u>\$ (0.38)</u>

Factors Affecting Operating Results

Our results of our operations are impacted by a number of factors and primarily depend on the interest income from our investments and the financing and other costs associated with our business. Our operating results are also impacted by general CRE market conditions and unanticipated defaults by our borrowers.

Credit Risk. We are subject to the credit risk of our borrowers in connection with our investments. We seek to mitigate this risk by utilizing a comprehensive underwriting, diligence and investment selection process and by ongoing monitoring of our investments. Nevertheless, unanticipated credit losses could occur that could adversely impact our operating results.

Changes in Fair Value of our Assets. We generally hold our investments for their contractual terms, unless repaid earlier by the borrower. We evaluate our investments for impairment quarterly. Impairments occur when it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the applicable contractual terms. If we determine that a loan is impaired, we will record an allowance to reduce the carrying value of the loan to an amount that takes into account both the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s contractual effective interest rate and the fair value of any available collateral, net of any costs we expect to incur to realize that value.

Although we generally hold our investments for their contractual terms, we may occasionally classify some of our investments as held for sale. Investments held for sale will be carried at the lower of their amortized cost or fair value within loans held for sale on our consolidated balance sheets, with changes in fair value recorded through earnings. Fees received from our borrowers on any loans held for sale will be recognized as part of the gain or loss on sale. We do not currently expect to hold any of our investments for trading purposes.

Availability of Leverage and Equity. We use leverage to make additional investments that may increase our returns. We may not be able to obtain the expected amount of leverage we desire or its cost may exceed our expectation and, consequently, the returns generated from our investments may be reduced. In order to grow our loan portfolio, we will need to obtain additional capital. However, our access to additional capital depends on many factors including the price at which our common shares trade relative to their book value. We have experienced and may continue to experience challenges raising equity capital in the future.

Market Conditions. There continues to be strong demand for alternative sources of CRE debt capital. Alternative lenders, like us, have gained considerable market share and according to Real Capital Analytics, now comprise approximately 12.6% of the overall CRE debt market (compared to 10.3% in 2015). Alternative lenders operate with fewer regulatory constraints than traditional CRE debt providers. This flexibility has allowed alternative CRE lenders to create customized loan structures

tailored to borrowers' specific business plans for the underlying collateral properties. For example, the reduction of the credit spreads charged in addition to LIBOR seen in 2019 as a result of increased competition among alternative CRE lenders may have resulted in some lenders to consider construction lending and higher loan to value ratio, or LTV, loans in order to satisfy investor's return expectations. Credit spread compression in 2019 has also allowed alternative CRE lenders to seize on the opportunity to provide capital for the refinancing of higher priced bridge loans where business plans are near or at completion and borrowers seek lower overall cost of capital while maintaining the flexibility not offered by traditional commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, or permanent financing sources.

The decrease in traditional CRE debt providers as a result of added regulation in response to the most recent financial crisis experienced in the United States was the primary catalyst for the initial growth of the alternative CRE lender segment; however, we believe that the willingness of the traditional debt capital providers to finance alternative CRE lenders will allow the alternative CRE lending segment to continue to grow. Rather than compete directly with alternative CRE lenders on transactions, more banks and insurance companies have shifted focus to providing credit to alternative CRE lenders in the form of warehouse lines of credit, senior participations and repurchase facilities. In addition, the continued growth and maturation of the CRE collateralized loan obligation, or CLO, market has provided even more liquidity to the sector which has allowed alternative CRE lenders to offer solutions for a wider array of circumstances.

Capital for private CRE debt funds continues to be raised and there continues to be new entrants to the market; however, the pace of new entrants has declined. The largest, most established alternative CRE debt providers continue to compete for loans greater than \$50,000. In addition to reduced credit spreads, the competition to lend to the highest quality borrowers in top tier markets has at times resulted in more borrower-friendly loan covenants and overall deal terms. Like the debt capital markets, there continues to be a great deal of competition amongst equity investors for the acquisition of larger CRE assets valued at \$75,000 or greater, as the amount of capital for private equity real estate funds continues to grow. We believe that in order to achieve targeted returns for investors, these private equity funds will continue to take advantage of higher returns found in middle market real estate assets. In fact according to Real Capital Analysis, approximately 80% of all sales transactions are for property values between \$15,000 and \$75,000. We believe that we are well positioned to lend to these private equity sponsors of CRE transactions seeking loans in the \$10,000 to \$50,000 range.

Changes in Market Interest Rates. With respect to our business operations, increases in interest rates, in general, may cause: (a) the interest expense associated with our variable rate borrowings, if any, to increase; (b) the value of our fixed rate investments, if any, to decline; (c) coupons on our variable rate investments, if any, to reset, perhaps on a delayed basis, to higher interest rates; and (d) refinancing by our borrowers to become more difficult and costly, negatively impacting refinancing as a source of repayment for our investments.

Conversely, decreases in interest rates, in general, may cause: (a) the interest expense associated with our variable rate borrowings, if any, to decrease; (b) the value of our fixed rate investments, if any, to increase; (c) coupons on our variable rate investments, if any, to reset, perhaps on a delayed basis, to lower interest rates; and (d) our borrowers' ability to refinance to become easier and more affordable, positively impacting our borrowers' ability to repay our investments.

The interest income on our loans and interest expense on our borrowings float with one month LIBOR. Because we generally lever approximately 75% of our investments, as LIBOR increases our income from investments, net of interest and related expenses, will increase. LIBOR decreases are mitigated by interest rate floor provisions in our loan agreements with borrowers; therefore, changes to income from investments, net, may not move proportionately with the decrease in LIBOR.

LIBOR is currently expected to be phased out in 2021. We do not know what standard, if any, will replace LIBOR if it is phased out. We currently expect that, as a result of any phase out of LIBOR, the interest rates under our loan agreements with borrowers would be revised as provided under the agreements or amended as necessary to provide for an interest rate that approximates the existing interest rate as calculated in accordance with LIBOR. In addition, we currently expect that the interest rates we pay under our Master Repurchase Facility and any other then existing debt financing arrangements would be similarly revised as provided under the agreement or amended as necessary for that same purpose.

Size of Loan Portfolio. The size of our loan portfolio, as measured both by the aggregate principal balance and the number of our CRE loans and our other investments, is also an important factor in determining our operating results. Generally, if the size of our loan portfolio grows, the amount of interest income we receive would increase and we may achieve certain economies of scale and diversify risk within our loan portfolio. A larger portfolio, however, may result in increased expenses; for example, we may incur additional interest expense or other costs to finance our investments. Also, if the aggregate principal balance of our loan portfolio grows but the number of our loans or the number of our borrowers does not grow, we could face increased risk by reason of the concentration of our investments. At this time, we are focused on managing our current loan portfolio. We believe our growth is limited by our ability to access additional capital.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to meet potential cash requirements, including ongoing commitments to repay or meet margin calls resulting from our borrowings, fund and maintain our assets and operations, make distributions to our shareholders and fund other business operating requirements. We require a significant amount of cash to originate, purchase and invest in our target investments, make additional unfunded loan commitment payments, repay principal and interest on our borrowings, make distributions to our shareholders and fund other business operating requirements. Our sources of cash flows may include payments of principal, interest and fees we receive on our investments, other cash we may generate from our operating results and unused borrowing capacity, including under our Master Repurchase Facility or other repurchase agreements or financing arrangements, and may also include bank loans or public or private issuances of debt or equity securities. We believe that these sources of funds will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital expenses and pay debt service obligations and make distributions to our shareholders for the next 12 months and for the foreseeable future.

Pursuant to our Master Repurchase Agreement, we may sell to, and later repurchase from, Citibank floating rate mortgage loans and other related assets, or purchased assets. The initial purchase price paid by Citibank for each purchased asset is up to 75% of the lesser of the market value of the purchased asset or the unpaid principal balance of such purchased asset, subject to Citibank's approval. Upon the repurchase of a purchased asset, we are required to pay Citibank the outstanding purchase price of the purchased asset, accrued interest and all accrued and unpaid expenses of Citibank relating to such purchased asset. The price differential (or interest rate) relating to a purchased asset is equal to one month LIBOR plus a premium of 200 to 250 basis points, determined by the yield of the purchased asset and the property type of the purchased asset's real estate collateral. As of December 31, 2019, the maximum amount available for advancement under our Master Repurchase Facility was \$213,482 and the weighted average interest rate for borrowings under our Master Repurchased Facility was 4.26%. Our Master Repurchase Facility is scheduled to expire on November 6, 2021. For more information regarding our Master Repurchase Facility, see Note 5 to our financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities. During the year ended December 31, 2019, our cash provided by operating activities of \$3,727 was primarily due to our net income for the year,

partially offset by unfavorable changes in working capital primarily due to non-cash amortization of deferred fees, interest income accrued and not yet received and expenses paid during the year but accrued in previous years.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, our cash used in operating activities of \$1,649 was primarily due to our net loss for the year and unfavorable changes in working capital, partially offset by share based compensation and amortization of our deferred financing fees.

Cash Used in Investing Activities. During the year ended December 31, 2019, our cash used in investing activities consisted of \$149,802 of loan originations, net of deferred fees, and \$8,268 of additional fundings on our loans held for investment, partially offset by loan principal repayments of \$53,610 related to the prepayment of two of our loans held for investment.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, our cash used in investing activities consisted of \$135,548 of loan originations, net of deferred fees received on our loans held for investment.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities. During the year ended December 31, 2019, our cash provided by financing activities consisted primarily of \$127,266 of advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility, \$14,220 of borrowings under the RMR Credit Agreement and \$26,074 of net proceeds from the issuance and sale of our common shares in the Offering. We used the Offering proceeds to repay the approximate \$14,220 outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement and to reduce amounts outstanding under our Master Repurchase Facility by approximately \$11,900. Proceeds from the prepayment of two of our loans held for investment were used to repay approximately \$31,690 of borrowings outstanding under the TCB note payable and \$22,412 of borrowings outstanding under the Master Repurchase Facility.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, our cash provided by financing activities consisted primarily of \$72,582 of advancements under our Master Repurchase Agreement and \$31,690 of borrowings under our note payable, partially offset by \$1,378 of deferred financing cost payments.

As of February 18, 2020, we closed two additional loans and we have fully invested the capital available to us. Our ability to obtain additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility is contingent upon our making additional advances to our existing borrowers or our ability to effectively reinvest any additional capital, including any loan repayment proceeds, that we may obtain or receive. However, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain additional capital or additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility. It may take an extended period for us to reinvest any additional capital we may receive, and any reinvestments we may be able to make may not provide us with similar returns or comparable risks as those of our current investments.

Distributions

During the year ended December 31, 2019, we paid regular quarterly distributions to our shareholders aggregating \$4,667, or \$0.77 per share, using cash on hand. For further information regarding the distributions we paid during 2019, see Note 7 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On January 16, 2020 we declared a regular quarterly distribution to common shareholders of record on January 27, 2020 of \$0.22 per common share, or approximately \$1,813. We expect to pay this distribution on or about February 20, 2020.

The timing, amount and form of future distributions will be determined at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend upon various factors that our Board of Trustees deems relevant, including our historical and projected income, our Core Earnings (Loss), the then-current and expected needs and availability of cash to pay our obligations and fund our investments, distributions which may be required to be paid by us to maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT, limitations on

distributions contained in our financing arrangements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Trustees in its discretion. Therefore, we cannot be sure that we will continue to pay distributions in the future or that the amount of any distributions we do pay will not decrease.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

As of December 31, 2019, our contractual obligations and commitments were as follows:

	Payment Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	More than 5 years
Unfunded loan commitments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,268	\$ 4,293	\$ 12,975	\$—	\$—
Principal payments on Master Repurchase Agreement ⁽²⁾	165,536	26,395	139,141	—	—
Interest expense ⁽³⁾	10,144	6,205	3,939	—	—
Total	\$192,948	\$36,893	\$156,055	\$—	\$—

- ⁽¹⁾ The allocation of our unfunded loan commitments is based on the current loan maturity date to which the commitments relate.
- ⁽²⁾ The allocation of outstanding borrowings under our Master Repurchase Agreement is based on the current maturity date of each loan investment with respect to which the individual borrowing relates.
- ⁽³⁾ Projected interest expense is attributable to only our debt obligations at existing rates as of December 31, 2019 and is not intended to estimate future interest costs which may result from debt prepayments, additional borrowings, new debt issuances or changes in interest rates.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2019, we had no off balance sheet arrangements that have had or that we expect would be reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Debt Covenants

Our principal debt obligations at December 31, 2019 were the outstanding balances under our Master Repurchase Facility. Our Master Repurchase Agreement provides for acceleration of the date of repurchase of any then purchased assets and Citibank’s liquidation of the purchased assets upon the occurrence and continuation of certain events of default, including a change of control of us, which includes our Manager ceasing to act as our sole manager or to be a wholly owned subsidiary of RMR LLC. Our Master Repurchase Facility also provides that upon the repurchase of any then purchased asset, we are required to pay Citibank the outstanding purchase price of such purchased asset and accrued interest and any and all accrued and unpaid expenses of Citibank relating to such purchased asset.

In connection with our Master Repurchase Agreement, we entered into a guaranty which requires us to fully guarantee our subsidiary’s prompt and complete payment of the purchase price, purchase price differential and any costs and expenses of Citibank related to our Master Repurchase Agreement, or collectively the Guaranteed Obligations. This guaranty also requires us to comply with customary financial covenants, which include the maintenance of a minimum tangible net worth, minimum cash liquidity, a total indebtedness to tangible net worth ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. In December 2019, we entered into a second amendment to the guaranty which reduced the maximum aggregate liability of our obligation under the guaranty and Master Repurchase Agreement from 100% to 25% of any unpaid Guaranteed Obligations.

As of December 31, 2019, we believe we were in compliance with the terms and conditions of the covenants of our Master Repurchase Agreement and the related guarantee.

Related Person Transactions

We have relationships and historical and continuing transactions with our Manager, RMR LLC, RMR Inc. and others related to them. For example: we have no employees and the personnel and various services we require to operate our business are provided to us by our Manager pursuant to our management agreement with our Manager; our Manager is a subsidiary of RMR LLC and certain of the services provided to us by our Manager are provided by RMR LLC pursuant to a shared services agreement between our Manager and RMR LLC; our Manager is our largest shareholder and, at December 31, 2019, owned approximately 19.4% of our outstanding common shares; RMR Inc. is the managing member of RMR LLC; Adam Portnoy, one of our Managing Trustees, is the sole trustee, an officer and the controlling shareholder of ABP Trust, which is the controlling shareholder of RMR Inc., and he is also a director of our Manager, a managing director and the president and chief executive officer of RMR Inc., and an officer and employee of RMR LLC; David M. Blackman, our other Managing Trustee and our President and Chief Executive Officer, also serves as the president, chief executive officer and a director of our Manager and is an officer and employee of RMR LLC; and each of our other officers is also an officer and/or employee of our Manager or RMR LLC. In addition, other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services have trustees, directors and officers some of whom are also trustees, directors or officers of us, our Manager, RMR LLC or RMR Inc.

For further information about these and other such relationships and related person transactions, see Notes 8 and 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which are incorporated herein by reference and our other filings with the SEC, including our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or our definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019. For further information about the risks that may arise as a result of these and other related person transactions and relationships, see elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including “Warning Concerning Forward-Looking Statements,” Part I, Item 1, “Business” and Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors.” Our filings with the SEC and copies of certain of our agreements with these related persons, including our management agreement with our Manager, are available as exhibits to our public filings with the SEC and accessible at the SEC’s website, www.sec.gov. We may engage in additional transactions with related persons, including businesses to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires the use of estimates and assumptions that involve the exercise of judgment regarding future events and other uncertainties. In accordance with SEC guidance, the following discussion addresses the accounting policies that apply to our operations. Our most critical accounting policies involve decisions and assessments that could affect our reported assets and liabilities, as well as our reported revenues and expenses. We believe that our decisions and assessments upon which our consolidated financial statements are based are reasonable, based upon information available to us. Our critical accounting policies and accounting estimates may be changed over time as our strategies change or as we expand our business. Those accounting policies and estimates that are most critical to an investor’s understanding of our financial results and condition and require complex management judgment are discussed below.

Revenue Recognition. Interest income related to our CRE mortgage loans is generally accrued based on the coupon rates applied to the outstanding principal balance of such loans. Fees, premiums

and discounts, if any, are amortized or accreted into interest income over the remaining lives of the loans using the effective interest method, as adjusted for any prepayments.

If a loan's interest or principal payments are not paid when due and there is uncertainty that such payments will be collected, the loan may be categorized as non-accrual and no interest will be recorded unless it is collected. When all overdue payments are collected and, in our judgment, a loan is likely to remain current, it may be re-categorized as accrual.

For loans purchased at a discount, GAAP limits the yield that may be accreted (accretable yield) to the excess of the investor's estimate of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows (cash flows expected at acquisition to be collected) over the investor's initial investment in the loan. GAAP also requires that the excess of contractual cash flows over cash flows expected to be collected (non-accretable difference) not be recognized as an adjustment of yield, loss accrual or valuation allowance. Subsequent increases in cash flows expected to be collected from such loans generally will be recognized prospectively through adjustment of the loan's yield over its remaining life. Decreases in cash flows expected to be collected will be recorded as impairment.

Loans Held For Investment. Generally, our loans are classified as held for investment based upon our intent and ability to hold them until maturity. Loans that are held for investment are carried at cost, net of unamortized loan origination and accreted exit fees that are required to be recognized in the carrying value of the loans in accordance with GAAP, unless the loans are deemed to be impaired. Loans that we have a plan to sell or liquidate are held at the lower of cost or fair value less cost to sell.

We evaluate each of our loans for impairment at least quarterly by assessing a variety of risk factors in relation to each loan and assigning a risk rating to each loan based on those factors. Factors considered in these evaluations include, but are not limited to, property type, geographic and local market dynamics, physical condition, leasing and tenant profile, projected cash flow, risk of loss, current LTV, debt yield, collateral performance, structure, exit plan and sponsorship. Loans are rated "1" (less risk) through "5" (greater risk) as defined below:

"1" lower risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a strong financial condition and low credit risk and our evaluation of management's experience; collateral performance exceeding performance metrics included in the business plan or credit underwriting; and the property demonstrating stabilized occupancy and/or market rates, resulting in strong current cash flow and net operating income and/or having a very low LTV.

"2" average risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a stable financial condition and our evaluation of management's experience; collateral performance meeting or exceeding substantially all performance metrics included in the business plan or credit underwriting; and the property demonstrating improved occupancy at market rents, resulting in sufficient current cash flow and/or having a low LTV.

"3" acceptable risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a history of repaying loans at maturity and meeting its credit obligations and our evaluation of management's experience; collateral performance expected to meet performance metrics included in the business plan or credit underwriting; and the property having a moderate LTV. New loans and loans with a limited history will typically be assigned this rating and will be adjusted to other levels from time to time as appropriate.

"4" higher risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a history of unresolved missed or late payments, maturity extensions and difficulty timely fulfilling its credit obligations and our evaluation of management's experience; collateral performance failing to meet the business plan or credit underwriting; the existence of a risk of default possibly leading to a loss and/or potential weaknesses that deserve management's attention; and the property having a high LTV.

“5” impaired/loss likely—Criteria reflects a very high risk of realizing a principal loss or having incurred a principal loss; a sponsor having a history of default payments, trouble fulfilling its credit obligations, deeds in lieu of foreclosures, and/or bankruptcies; collateral performance is significantly worse than performance metrics included in the business plan; loan covenants or performance milestones having been breached or not attained; timely exit via sale or refinancing being uncertain; and the property having a very high LTV.

Impairment occurs when it is deemed probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due under a loan according to its contractual terms. Impairment will then be measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s contractual effective rate and the fair value of any available collateral, net of any costs we expect to incur to realize that value. The determination of whether loans are impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on objective and subjective factors. Consideration will be given to various factors, such as business plans, property occupancies, tenant profiles, rental rates, operating expenses and borrowers’ repayment plans, among others, and will require significant judgments, including assumptions regarding the values of loans, the values of underlying collateral and other circumstances, such as guarantees, if any. Upon measurement of an impairment, we will record an allowance to reduce the carrying value of the loan accordingly, and record a corresponding charge to net income in our consolidated statements of operations.

We are an “emerging growth company” as defined in the JOBS Act. Pursuant to the JOBS Act, we have elected to delay the adoption of new or revised financial accounting standards, and, as a result, we may not comply with new or revised accounting standards on relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for publicly owned companies that are not emerging growth companies.

Impact of Inflation

During the past several years there has been low inflation in the U.S. economy. If inflation accelerates, we believe it may have both positive and negative impacts upon our business. A positive impact of inflation on our business may be to increase the value of collateral for any existing loans, making the refinancing and repayment of principal easier for borrowers and reducing our risk of borrower defaults. A negative impact of inflation on our business may be to cause interest rates to rise, reducing the market value of any fixed rate loans we hold. A rise in interest rates may also make it more difficult for our borrowers to refinance loans in order to pay their obligations to us. Because all of our investments require interest at floating rates and because we do not currently anticipate that there will be excessive inflation in the U.S. economy, we do not expect inflation to have a material impact upon our business for the reasonably foreseeable future.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (*dollar amounts in thousands*)

We believe that our business is exposed to two principal market risks: (a) changes in the level of economic activity in the U.S. economy generally or in geographic areas where the properties that are the subject of our real estate investments are located; and (b) changes in market interest rates.

Changes in the general economy may impact the ability and willingness of our borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of our loans. A U.S. recession or a slowing of economic activity in areas where the collateral for our loans are located may cause our borrowers to default or may cause the value of our loan collateral to be reduced below the amounts we are owed. To mitigate these market risks, we perform thorough diligence on the value of our collateral properties and of properties comparable to our collateral properties in the areas where our collateral properties are located and on the historical business practices of our borrowers and their affiliates. We compare our borrowers’ business plans to our expectations for the economy where our collateral properties are located and regarding the future income potential of the specific collateral properties. We also monitor the performance of our borrowers and collateral properties. Nonetheless, no amount of diligence, no

matter how extensive, detailed and well informed it may be, can provide complete assurance against borrower defaults or against the deterioration of collateral values in declining market conditions.

Floating Rate Investments

As of December 31, 2019, our loans held for investment had an aggregate principal balance of \$242,899 and the weighted average maximum maturity of our loan portfolio was 3.6 years, assuming full term extensions of all loans. All of our loans held for investment were made in U.S. dollars and earn interest at LIBOR plus a premium. Accordingly, we are exposed to interest rate risk for changes in U.S. dollar based short term rates, specifically LIBOR. As LIBOR decreases, our risk is partially mitigated by interest rate floor provisions in our loan agreements with borrowers. In addition, upon repayment from our borrowers we are vulnerable to decreases in interest rate premiums due to market conditions at the time any such repayment proceeds are reinvested.

Floating Rate Debt

At December 31, 2019, our floating rate debt obligations consisted of \$165,536 in outstanding borrowings under our Master Repurchase Facility. Our Master Repurchase Facility matures in November 2021, subject to early termination as provided for in our Master Repurchase Agreement.

All of our floating rate debt was borrowed in U.S. dollars and requires interest to be paid at a rate of LIBOR plus a premium. Accordingly, we are exposed to interest rate risk for changes in U.S. dollar based short term rates, specifically LIBOR. In addition, upon selling additional mortgage loans and other assets under our Master Repurchase Facility, we are vulnerable to increases in interest rate premiums due to market conditions or perceived credit characteristics of our borrowers.

The table below details the impact, based on our loan portfolio and liabilities as of December 31, 2019, on our interest income and interest expense of an immediate increase or decrease of 100 basis points in LIBOR, the applicable interest rate benchmark:

	<u>Principal balance as of December 31, 2019</u>	<u>Interest Rate Per Year⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>100 Basis Point Increase⁽²⁾</u>	<u>100 Basis Point Decrease⁽²⁾</u>
Assets (Liabilities) Subject to Interest Rate Sensitivity:				
Loans held for investment	\$ 242,899	5.76%	\$ 1,312	\$ (49)
Master repurchase facility	(165,536)	3.73%	(1,655)	1,655
Total change in net income from investments			<u>\$ (343)</u>	<u>\$1,606</u>
Annual earnings per share impact ⁽³⁾			<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ 0.26</u>

⁽¹⁾ Weighted based on interest rates and principal balances as of December 31, 2019.

⁽²⁾ Our loan agreements with borrowers include interest rate floor provisions which set a minimum LIBOR for each loan. We do not have a similar interest rate floor provision in our Master Repurchase Agreement. As a result, if LIBOR decreases below the floor established for any of our investments, our income from investments will decrease less than our borrowing costs and the net amount may result in an increase in our net investment income. The above table illustrates the incremental impact on our annual income from investments, net, due to increases and decreases in LIBOR of 100 basis points taking into consideration our borrowers' interest rate floors as of December 31, 2019. The results are based on our current loan portfolio and debt outstanding at December 31, 2019. Any changes to the mix of our investments of debt outstanding could impact this interest rate sensitivity analysis and this illustration is not meant to forecast future results.

⁽³⁾ Based on weighted average number of shares outstanding (diluted) for the year ended December 31, 2019.

To mitigate the impact of future changes in market interest rates on our business, we require borrowers to pay floating interest rates to us rather than fixed interest rates on our loans held for investment and, to the extent that we use leverage to make investments, we will continue to “match index” certain investments with our debt or leverage obligations so that they create similar movements in interest rates based upon similar indexes and other terms. Furthermore, depending upon our beliefs regarding future market conditions affecting interest rates, we may purchase interest rate hedge instruments that allow us to change the character of interest receipts and obligations from fixed to floating rates or the reverse.

LIBOR Phase Out

LIBOR is currently expected to be phased out in 2021. All of the agreements governing our loans held for investment require our borrowers, and under our Master Repurchase Facility we are required, to pay interest at floating rates based on LIBOR. Future agreements governing loans that we may make and debt that we may incur may also require interest to be paid at floating rates based on LIBOR. We currently expect that the determination of interest under such agreements would be revised as provided under such agreements or amended as necessary to provide for an interest rate that approximates the existing interest rate as calculated in accordance with LIBOR. Despite our current expectations, we cannot be sure that, if LIBOR is phased out or transitioned, the changes to the determination of interest under such agreements would approximate the current calculation in accordance with LIBOR. We do not know what standard, if any, will replace LIBOR if it is phased out or transitioned.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this Item is included in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2019 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management Report on Assessment of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Trustees regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013 Framework). Based on our assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2019, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report from our registered public accounting firm on our internal control over financial reporting due to the exemption for emerging growth companies created by the JOBS Act.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

We have a Code of Conduct that applies to all our officers and Trustees, our Manager, RMR LLC, RMR Inc., senior level officers of our Manager or RMR LLC, senior level officers and directors of RMR Inc. and certain other officers and employees of our Manager or RMR LLC. Our Code of Conduct is posted on our website, www.trmtreit.com. A printed copy of our Code of Conduct is also available free of charge to any person who requests a copy by writing to our Secretary, Tremont Mortgage Trust, Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Suite 300, Newton, MA 02458-1634. We intend to satisfy the requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding disclosure of amendments to, or waivers from, provisions of our Code of Conduct that apply to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, on our website.

The remainder of the information required by Item 10 is incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Equity Compensation Plan Information.

We may grant common shares to our officers and other employees of our Manager and of RMR LLC under our 2017 Equity Compensation Plan, or the 2017 Plan. In addition, each of our Trustees receives common shares as part of his annual compensation for serving as a Trustee and such shares are awarded under the 2017 Plan. The terms of awards made under the 2017 Plan are determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Trustees at the time of the awards. The table below is as of December 31, 2019:

<u>Plan category</u>	<u>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</u>	<u>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</u>	<u>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders—2017 plan	None	None	92,990 ⁽¹⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>None</u>
Total	None	None	92,990 ⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Consists of common shares available for issuance pursuant to the terms of the 2017 Plan. Share awards that are repurchased or forfeited will be added to the common shares available for issuance under the 2017 Plan.

Payments by us to RMR LLC and RMR LLC employees are described in Notes 7 and 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The remainder of the information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

The following consolidated financial statements of Tremont Mortgage Trust are included on the pages indicated:

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2019 and 2018	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2019 and for the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017	F-3
Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2019 and for the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017	F-4
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2019 and for the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the SEC are not required under the related instructions, or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

(b) Exhibits

Exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019 have been included only with the version of that Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019, including the list of exhibits, is available free of charge upon written request to: Investor Relations, Tremont Mortgage Trust, Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Suite 300, Newton, MA 02458-1634, telephone 617-796-8317.

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

None.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Tremont Mortgage Trust

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Tremont Mortgage Trust (the Company) as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2019 and for the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017, and the related notes and the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a) (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years ended in the period December 31, 2019 and for the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2017.

Boston, Massachusetts
February 19, 2020

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
<i>ASSETS</i>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,732	\$ 27,024
Restricted cash	143	311
Loans held for investment, net	242,078	135,844
Accrued interest receivable	755	344
Prepaid expenses and other assets	221	390
Total assets	\$251,929	\$163,913
<i>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</i>		
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and deposits	\$ 1,011	\$ 935
Master repurchase facility, net	164,694	71,691
Note payable, net	—	31,485
Due to related persons	3	134
Total liabilities	165,708	104,245
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 8,239,610 and 3,178,817 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	82	32
Additional paid in capital	88,869	62,540
Cumulative net income (loss)	1,937	(2,904)
Cumulative distributions	(4,667)	—
Total shareholders' equity	86,221	59,668
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$251,929	\$163,913

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017
	2019	2018	2017
INCOME FROM INVESTMENTS:			
Interest income from investments	\$15,475	\$ 3,891	\$ 222
Less: interest and related expenses	(7,047)	(1,491)	—
Income from investments, net	<u>8,428</u>	<u>2,400</u>	<u>222</u>
OTHER EXPENSES:			
Management fees	—	447	260
General and administrative expenses	2,130	2,101	830
Reimbursement of shared services expenses	1,457	1,460	428
Total expenses	<u>3,587</u>	<u>4,008</u>	<u>1,518</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 4,841</u>	<u>\$(1,608)</u>	<u>\$(1,296)</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic and diluted	<u>6,234</u>	<u>3,124</u>	<u>1,524</u>
Net income (loss) per common share—basic and diluted	<u>\$ 0.77</u>	<u>\$ (0.51)</u>	<u>\$ (0.85)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(amounts in thousands)

	Number of Common Shares	Common Shares	Additional Paid In Capital	Cumulative Net Income (Loss)	Cumulative Distributions	Total
Balance at June 1, 2017 (inception) .	—	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Issuance of shares	3,100	31	61,971	—	—	62,002
Share grants	27	—	182	—	—	182
Share repurchases	(1)	—	(18)	—	—	(18)
Net loss	—	—	—	(1,296)	—	(1,296)
Balance at December 31, 2017	3,126	31	62,135	(1,296)	—	60,870
Share grants	63	1	397	—	—	398
Share repurchases	(2)	—	(28)	—	—	(28)
Share grant forfeitures	(8)	—	15	—	—	15
Adoption of ASU 2018-07	—	—	21	—	—	21
Net loss	—	—	—	(1,608)	—	(1,608)
Balance at December 31, 2018	3,179	32	62,540	(2,904)	—	59,668
Issuance of shares	5,000	50	26,024	—	—	26,074
Share grants	68	—	344	—	—	344
Share repurchases	(7)	—	(39)	—	—	(39)
Net income	—	—	—	4,841	—	4,841
Distributions	—	—	—	—	(4,667)	(4,667)
Balance at December 31, 2019	<u>8,240</u>	<u>\$82</u>	<u>\$88,869</u>	<u>\$ 1,937</u>	<u>\$(4,667)</u>	<u>\$86,221</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		June 1, 2017
	2019	2018	(inception) through December 31, 2017
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,841	\$ (1,608)	\$ (1,296)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Share based compensation	344	430	182
Amortization of deferred financing costs	613	282	—
Amortization of loan origination and exit fees	(1,697)	(296)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accrued interest receivable	(488)	(344)	—
Prepaid expenses and other assets	169	(131)	(259)
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and deposits	76	638	301
Due to related persons	(131)	(620)	754
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>3,727</u>	<u>(1,649)</u>	<u>(318)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Origination of loans held for investment	(149,802)	(135,548)	—
Additional funding of loans held for investment	(8,268)	—	—
Repayments of loans held for investment	53,610	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(104,460)</u>	<u>(135,548)</u>	<u>—</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from master repurchase facility	127,266	72,582	—
Repayments under master repurchase facility	(34,312)	—	—
Proceeds from RMR credit agreement	14,220	—	—
Repayment of RMR credit agreement	(14,220)	—	—
Proceeds from note payable	—	31,690	—
Repayment of note payable	(31,690)	—	—
Payments of deferred financing costs	(359)	(1,378)	—
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net	26,074	—	62,002
Repurchase of common shares	(39)	(28)	(18)
Distributions	(4,667)	—	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>82,273</u>	<u>102,866</u>	<u>61,984</u>
(Decrease) increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(18,460)	(34,331)	61,666
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period	<u>27,335</u>	<u>61,666</u>	<u>—</u>
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period	<u>\$ 8,875</u>	<u>\$ 27,335</u>	<u>\$61,666</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:			
Interest paid	<u>\$ 6,269</u>	<u>\$ 1,024</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands)

Supplemental disclosure of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash:

The table below provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash reported within the consolidated balance sheets to the amount shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows:

	<u>As of December 31,</u>		
	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$8,732	\$27,024	\$61,666
Restricted cash	<u>143</u>	<u>311</u>	<u>—</u>
Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows	<u>\$8,875</u>	<u>\$27,335</u>	<u>\$61,666</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 1. Organization

We were organized as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under Maryland law on June 1, 2017. On September 18, 2017, we issued and sold 2,500,000 of our common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.01 per share, or our common shares, at a price of \$20.00 per share in our initial public offering, or our IPO. Concurrently with our IPO, we issued and sold an additional 600,000 of our common shares to Tremont Realty Advisors LLC, or our Manager, at the public offering price in a private placement. The aggregate proceeds from these sales were \$62,000.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates in the consolidated financial statements include the fair value of financial instruments.

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of us and our subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned directly by us. All intercompany transactions and balances with or among our consolidated subsidiaries have been eliminated.

Consolidation. For each investment we make, we evaluate whether consolidation of the borrower's financial statements is required under GAAP. GAAP addresses the application of consolidation principles to an investor with a controlling financial interest. Variable interest entities, or VIEs, are subject to consolidation under GAAP if their equity investors either do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, not able to direct the entity's most significant activities or are not exposed to the entity's losses or entitled to its residual returns. VIEs are required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries, which are the entities with the power to direct the activities which are most significant to the economic performance of the VIE. These determinations often involve complex and subjective analyses. As of December 31, 2019, we concluded that our investments were not VIEs.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash. We consider highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Restricted cash primarily consists of deposit proceeds from potential borrowers when originating loans, which may be returned to the applicable borrower upon the closing of the loan, after deducting any transaction costs paid by us for the benefit of such borrower.

Repurchase Agreements. Loans financed through repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions, unless they meet sales treatment under GAAP. Pursuant to GAAP treatment of collateralized financing transactions, loans financed through repurchase agreements remain on our consolidated balance sheet as assets, and cash received from the purchasers is recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as liabilities. Interest paid in accordance with repurchase agreements is recorded as interest expense.

Loans Held For Investment. Generally, our loans are classified as held for investment based upon our intent and ability to hold them until maturity. Loans that are held for investment are carried at cost, net of unamortized loan origination and accreted exit fees that are required to be recognized in the carrying value of the loans in accordance with GAAP, unless the loans are deemed to be impaired.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Loans that we have a plan to sell or liquidate are held at the lower of cost or fair value less cost to sell.

We evaluate each of our loans for impairment at least quarterly by assessing a variety of risk factors in relation to each loan and assigning a risk rating to each loan based on those factors. Factors considered in these evaluations include, but are not limited to, property type, geographic and local market dynamics, physical condition, leasing and tenant profile, projected cash flow, risk of loss, current loan to value ratio, or LTV, debt yield, collateral performance, structure, exit plan and sponsorship. Loans are rated “1” (less risk) through “5” (greater risk) as defined below:

“1” lower risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a strong financial condition and low credit risk and our evaluation of management’s experience; collateral performance exceeding performance metrics included in the business plan or credit underwriting; and the property demonstrating stabilized occupancy and/or market rates, resulting in strong current cash flow and net operating income and/or having a very low LTV.

“2” average risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a stable financial condition and our evaluation of management’s experience; collateral performance meeting or exceeding substantially all performance metrics included in the business plan or credit underwriting; and the property demonstrating improved occupancy at market rents, resulting in sufficient current cash flow and/or having a low LTV.

“3” acceptable risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a history of repaying loans at maturity and meeting its credit obligations and our evaluation of management’s experience; collateral performance expected to meet performance metrics included in the business plan or credit underwriting; and the property having a moderate LTV. New loans and loans with a limited history will typically be assigned this rating and will be adjusted to other levels from time to time as appropriate.

“4” higher risk—Criteria reflects a sponsor having a history of unresolved missed or late payments, maturity extensions and difficulty timely fulfilling its credit obligations and our evaluation of management’s experience; collateral performance failing to meet the business plan or credit underwriting; the existence of a risk of default possibly leading to a loss and/or potential weaknesses that deserve management’s attention; and/or the property having a high LTV.

“5” impaired/loss likely—Criteria reflects a very high risk of realizing a principal loss or having incurred a principal loss; a sponsor having a history of default payments, trouble fulfilling its credit obligations, deeds in lieu of foreclosures, and/or bankruptcies; collateral performance is significantly worse than performance metrics included in the business plan; loan covenants or performance milestones having been breached or not attained; timely exit via sale or refinancing being uncertain; and/or the property having a very high LTV.

See Note 4 for further information regarding our current loan portfolio’s assessment under our internal risk rating policy.

Impairment occurs when it is deemed probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due under a loan according to its contractual terms. Impairment will then be measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s contractual effective rate and the fair value of any available collateral, net of any costs we expect to incur to realize that value. The determination of whether loans are impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on objective

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

and subjective factors. Consideration will be given to various factors, such as business plans, property occupancies, tenant profiles, rental rates, operating expenses and borrowers' repayment plans, among others, and will require significant judgments, including assumptions regarding the values of loans, the values of underlying collateral and other circumstances, such as guarantees, if any. Upon measurement of an impairment, we will record an allowance to reduce the carrying value of the loan accordingly, and record a corresponding charge to net income in our consolidated statements of operations.

As of December 31, 2019, we have not recorded any allowance for losses as we believe it is probable that we will collect all amounts due pursuant to the contractual terms of our loan agreements with borrowers.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, *Accounting Standards Codification*TM, or ASC, Topic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP and expands the required disclosure regarding fair value measurements. ASC Topic 820-10 defines fair value as the price that would be received for a financial instrument in a current sale, which assumes an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. We determine the estimated fair value of financial assets and liabilities using the three tier fair value hierarchy established by GAAP, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. GAAP establishes market based or observable inputs as the preferred source of values followed by valuation models using management assumptions in the absence of market inputs. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level I—Inputs include quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access.

Level II—Inputs include quoted prices in markets that are less active or inactive or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level III—Inputs include unobservable prices and are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the overall fair value measurement.

Loan Deferred Fees. Loan origination and exit fees are reflected in loans held for investment, net, in our consolidated balance sheets and include fees charged to borrowers. These fees are amortized and accreted, respectively, into interest income over the life of the related loans held for investment.

Deferred Financing Costs. Costs incurred in connection with financings are capitalized and recorded as an offset to the related liability and amortized over the respective financing terms and are recorded in our consolidated statements of operations as a component of interest and related expenses. At December 31, 2019, we had approximately \$842 of capitalized financing costs, net of amortization.

Net Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share. We calculate basic earnings (losses) per common share, or EPS, by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. We calculate diluted net earnings (loss) per share using the more dilutive of the two class method or the treasury stock method.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Revenue Recognition. Interest income related to our first mortgage whole loans secured by commercial real estate, or CRE, will generally be accrued based on the coupon rates applied to the outstanding principal balance of such loans. Fees, premiums and discounts, if any, will be amortized or accreted into interest income over the remaining lives of the loans using the effective interest method, as adjusted for any prepayments.

If a loan's interest or principal payments are not paid when due and there is uncertainty that such payments will be collected, the loan may be categorized as non-accrual and no interest will be recorded unless it is collected. When all overdue payments are collected and, in our judgment, a loan is likely to remain current, it may be re-categorized as accrual.

For loans purchased at a discount, GAAP limits the yield that may be accreted (accretable yield) to the excess of the investor's estimate of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows (cash flows expected at acquisition to be collected) over the investor's initial investment in the loan. GAAP also requires that the excess of contractual cash flows over cash flows expected to be collected (non-accretable difference) not be recognized as an adjustment of yield, loss accrual or valuation allowance. Subsequent increases in cash flows expected to be collected from such loans generally will be recognized prospectively through adjustment of the loan's yield over its remaining life. Decreases in cash flows expected to be collected will be recorded as impairment.

Note 3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2016, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update, or ASU, No. 2016-13, *Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*, which requires that entities use a new forward-looking "expected loss" model that generally will result in the earlier recognition of allowance for credit losses. The measurement of expected credit losses is based upon historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts that affect the collectability of the reported amount. ASU No. 2016-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. As an emerging growth company that has opted to take advantage of the extended transition period, we expect to adopt ASU No. 2016-13 on January 1, 2023. We are currently assessing the potential impact the adoption of ASU No. 2016-13 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 4. Loans Held For Investment

We originate first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional CRE which are generally to be held as long term investments. To fund our loan originations to date, we used cash on hand, advancements under our master repurchase facility with Citibank, N.A., or Citibank, or our Master Repurchase Facility, and borrowings under our former term loan facility, in the form of a note payable, with Texas Capital Bank, National Association, or Texas Capital Bank, or the TCB note payable. See Note 5 for further information regarding our debt agreements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 4. Loans Held For Investment (Continued)

The table below details overall statistics for our loan portfolio:

	<u>Balance at December 31, 2019</u>	<u>Balance at December 31, 2018</u>
Number of loans	12	7
Total loan commitments	\$260,167	\$154,802
Unfunded loan commitments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,268	\$ 17,673
Principal balance	\$242,899	\$137,129
Unamortized net deferred origination fees	\$ (821)	\$ (1,285)
Carrying value	\$242,078	\$135,844
Weighted average coupon rate	5.76%	6.14%
Weighted average all in yield ⁽²⁾	6.41%	6.82%
Weighted average maximum maturity (years) ⁽³⁾	3.6	4.7
Weighted average LTV	70%	70%

⁽¹⁾ Unfunded commitments will primarily be funded to finance property and building improvements and leasing capital. These commitments will generally be funded over the term of each loan.

⁽²⁾ All in yield includes the amortization of deferred fees over the initial term of the loan.

⁽³⁾ Maximum maturity assumes all extension options are exercised, which options are subject to the borrower meeting certain conditions.

The table below represents our loan activities:

	<u>Principal Balance</u>	<u>Deferred Fees</u>	<u>Carrying Value</u>
Balance at December 31, 2017	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Loan funding	137,129	(1,581)	135,548
Net amortization of deferred fees	—	296	296
Balance at December 31, 2018	137,129	(1,285)	135,844
Additional funding	8,345	—	8,345
Originations	151,035	(1,233)	149,802
Repayments	(53,610)	449	(53,161)
Net amortization of deferred fees	—	1,248	1,248
Balance at December 31, 2019	<u>\$242,899</u>	<u>\$ (821)</u>	<u>\$242,078</u>

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 4. Loans Held For Investment (Continued)

The tables below detail the property type and geographic distribution of the properties securing the loans in our portfolio:

December 31, 2019			
<u>Property Type</u>	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Percentage of Value</u>
Office	4	\$ 71,446	30%
Hotel	1	23,101	10%
Retail	3	43,782	18%
Multifamily	3	68,911	28%
Industrial	1	34,838	14%
	<u>12</u>	<u>\$242,078</u>	<u>100%</u>
<u>Geographic Location</u>	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Percentage of Value</u>
East	4	\$ 90,047	37%
South	5	103,295	43%
West	1	9,014	4%
Midwest	2	39,722	16%
	<u>12</u>	<u>\$242,078</u>	<u>100%</u>
December 31, 2018			
<u>Property Type</u>	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Percentage of Value</u>
Office	4	\$ 69,144	51%
Hotel	2	60,938	45%
Retail	1	5,762	4%
	<u>7</u>	<u>\$135,844</u>	<u>100%</u>
<u>Geographic Location</u>	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Carrying Value</u>	<u>Percentage of Value</u>
East	2	\$ 52,975	39%
South	3	50,966	38%
Midwest	1	26,141	19%
West	1	5,762	4%
	<u>7</u>	<u>\$135,844</u>	<u>100%</u>

Loan Risk Ratings

We evaluate each of our loans for impairment at least quarterly by assessing a variety of risk factors in relation to each loan and assigning a risk rating to each loan based on those factors. Factors considered in these evaluations include, but are not limited to, property type, geographic and local

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 4. Loans Held For Investment (Continued)

market dynamics, physical condition, leasing and tenant profile, projected cash flow, risk of loss, current LTV, debt yield, collateral performance, structure, exit plan and sponsorship.

At December 31, 2019, our loan portfolio consisted of twelve first mortgage whole loans with an aggregate net carrying value of \$242,078. Based on our internal risk rating policy, 11 of these loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$217,616 were assigned a “3” acceptable risk rating and one of these loans with a carrying value of \$24,462 was assigned a “2” average risk rating. We did not have any impaired loans, non-accrual loans or loans in default as of December 31, 2019; thus, we did not record an allowance for losses. See Note 2 for further information regarding the risk rating system that we use in evaluating our loan portfolio.

Note 5. Debt Agreements

	Debt Obligation						
	Maximum Facility Size	Principal Balance	Carrying Value	Weighted Average		Collateral	
				Coupon Rate	Remaining Maturity ⁽¹⁾ (years)	Principal Balance	Fair Value ⁽²⁾
December 31, 2019:							
Master repurchase facility . . .	\$213,482	\$165,536	\$164,694	L + 1.99%	1.6	\$242,899	\$242,763
December 31, 2018:							
Master repurchase facility . . .	\$135,000	\$ 72,582	\$ 71,691	L + 2.08%	2.6	\$ 97,516	\$ 98,232
Note payable	32,290	31,690	31,485	L + 2.15%	2.6	39,613	39,640

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average remaining maturity is determined using the current maturity date of the corresponding loans, excluding extension options.

⁽²⁾ See Note 6 for further discussion of our financial assets and liabilities not carried at fair value.

At December 31, 2019, our debt agreements included our Master Repurchase Facility.

Until May 23, 2019, we were a party to a credit agreement with our Manager as lender, or the RMR Credit Agreement. Following our repayment of the approximate \$14,220 balance then outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement, the RMR Credit Agreement was terminated. See below in this Note 5 for further information regarding the RMR Credit Agreement.

Until August 9, 2019, we were a party to the TCB note payable. Following our repayment of the \$31,790 outstanding principal and accrued interest under the TCB note payable, the TCB note payable terminated in accordance with its terms. See below in this Note 5 for further information regarding the TCB note payable.

For the year ended December 31, 2019, we recorded interest expense of \$5,495, \$891 and \$39 in connection with our Master Repurchase Facility, the TCB note payable and the RMR Credit Agreement, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recorded interest expense of \$603 and \$606 in connection with our Master Repurchase Facility and the TCB note payable, respectively.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 5. Debt Agreements (Continued)

At December 31, 2019, our outstanding borrowings had the following remaining maturities:

Year	Principal payments on Master Repurchase Facility ⁽¹⁾
2020	\$ 26,395
2021	139,141
2022	—
2023	—
2024	—
	\$165,536

⁽¹⁾ The allocation of our outstanding borrowings under our Master Repurchase Facility is based on the current maturity date of each loan investment with respect to which the individual borrowing relates.

Master Repurchase Facility

On February 9, 2018, one of our wholly owned subsidiaries entered into certain agreements to govern our Master Repurchase Facility, or collectively, as such agreements have been amended, our Master Repurchase Agreement, pursuant to which we may sell to, and later repurchase from, Citibank, floating rate mortgage loans and other related assets, or purchased assets. At that time, our Master Repurchase Facility provided up to \$100,000 for advancement. On November 6, 2018, we and Citibank amended our Master Repurchase Agreement to increase the maximum amount available for advancement under the facility from \$100,000 to \$135,000 and to change its stated expiration date from February 9, 2021 to November 6, 2021, subject to earlier termination as provided for in our Master Repurchase Agreement.

On February 4, 2019, we and Citibank increased the maximum amount available for advancement under our Master Repurchase Facility from \$135,000 to \$210,000 and on May 1, 2019, in connection with an increase in commitment under the RMR Credit Agreement, we and Citibank further increased the maximum amount available for advancement under our Master Repurchase Facility from \$210,000 to \$250,000, in each case with the additional amounts becoming available for advancement under our Master Repurchase Facility if and as we borrowed under the RMR Credit Agreement or if and as we received proceeds from any public offering of our common shares or preferred equity, as further provided in our Master Repurchase Agreement. In connection with the February 2019 increase, certain other provisions of our Master Repurchase Agreement were amended to accommodate the RMR Credit Agreement. In May 2019, we completed an underwritten public offering, or the Offering, as further described in Note 7, we repaid the outstanding borrowings under the RMR Credit Agreement and the RMR Credit Agreement was terminated and the maximum amount available for advancement under our Master Repurchase Facility was reduced to \$213,482.

Under our Master Repurchase Agreement, the initial purchase price paid by Citibank for each purchased asset is up to 75% of the lesser of the market value of the purchased asset or the unpaid principal balance of such purchased asset, subject to Citibank's approval. Upon the repurchase of a

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 5. Debt Agreements (Continued)

purchased asset, we are required to pay Citibank the outstanding purchase price of the purchased asset, accrued interest and all accrued and unpaid expenses of Citibank relating to such purchased asset. The price differential (or interest rate) relating to a purchased asset is equal to LIBOR plus a premium of 200 to 250 basis points, determined by the yield of the purchased asset and the property type of the purchased asset's real estate collateral. Citibank has the discretion under our Master Repurchase Agreement to advance at higher margins than 75% and at premiums of less than 200 basis points. The weighted average interest rate for borrowings under our Master Purchase Facility was 4.26% and 4.45% for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

In connection with our Master Repurchase Agreement, we entered into a guaranty which requires us to fully guarantee our subsidiary's prompt and complete payment of the purchase price, purchase price differential and any costs and expenses of Citibank related to our Master Repurchase Agreement, or collectively, the Guaranteed Obligations. In December 2019, we entered into a second amendment to the guaranty which reduced our maximum aggregate liability under the guaranty and Master Repurchase Agreement from 100% to 25% of any unpaid Guaranteed Obligations. This guaranty also requires us to comply with customary financial covenants, which include the maintenance of a minimum tangible net worth, minimum cash liquidity, a total indebtedness to tangible net worth ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. These maintenance provisions provide Citibank with the right, in certain circumstances related to a credit event, as defined in our Master Repurchase Agreement, to re-determine the value of purchased assets. Where a decline in the value of purchased assets has resulted in a margin deficit, Citibank may require us to eliminate such margin deficit through a combination of purchased asset repurchases and cash transfers to Citibank, subject to Citibank's approval.

Our Master Repurchase Agreement also provides for acceleration of the date of repurchase of the purchased assets and Citibank's liquidation of the purchased assets upon the occurrence and continuation of certain events of default, including a change of control of us, which includes our Manager ceasing to act as our sole manager or to be a wholly owned subsidiary of The RMR Group LLC, or RMR LLC. As of December 31, 2019, we believe we were in compliance with the terms and conditions of the covenants of our Master Repurchase Agreement and the related guaranty.

On August 23, 2019, we received \$14,635 of repayment proceeds from the borrower on its loan held for investment that was used to refinance an office building located in Scarsdale, NY, which included the \$13,997 of principal outstanding under the loan, as well as the accrued interest, an exit fee, prepayment premium and our associated legal expenses. We were required to use \$10,422 of these repayment proceeds to repay the outstanding balance and accrued interest under our Master Repurchase Facility associated with this loan, and we used the remaining proceeds to pay down additional outstanding balances under our Master Repurchase Facility. Further, on August 13, 2019, we used \$8,000 of proceeds from the repayment of the JFK loan (defined below) by the borrower, as described below, to pay down additional outstanding balances under our Master Repurchase Facility.

As of February 18, 2020, we closed two additional loans and we have fully invested the capital available to us. Our ability to obtain additional financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility is contingent upon our making additional advances to our existing borrowers or our ability to effectively reinvest any additional capital, including any loan repayment proceeds, that we may obtain or receive. However, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain additional capital or additional

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 5. Debt Agreements (Continued)

financing advancements under our Master Repurchase Facility. It may take an extended period for us to reinvest any additional capital we may receive, and any reinvestments we may be able to make may not provide us with similar returns or comparable risks as those of our current investments.

Note Payable

In July 2018, we closed a \$40,363 loan, of which \$39,613 was funded by us at closing to finance the acquisition of the Hampton Inn JFK, a 216 key, 13 story hotel located adjacent to the John F. Kennedy International Airport in Queens, NY, or the JFK loan. In August 2019, the borrower repaid the \$39,613 principal amount outstanding under the JFK loan, together with accrued interest, an exit fee and our associated legal expenses, for a total payoff amount of \$39,922.

In connection with the JFK loan, one of our wholly owned subsidiaries entered into the TCB note payable, which advanced up to 80% of the JFK loan amount from time to time and was scheduled to mature in July 2021. Upon repayment of the JFK loan by the borrower in August 2019, we were required to repay the \$31,690 principal amount outstanding under the TCB note payable, together with accrued interest, for a total payoff amount of \$31,790. Following such repayment, the TCB note payable terminated in accordance with its terms. Interest payable on amounts advanced under the TCB note payable was calculated at a floating rate based on LIBOR plus a premium of 215 basis points. In connection with the TCB note payable, we entered into a guaranty with Texas Capital Bank pursuant to which we guaranteed 25% of the TCB note payable amount plus all related interest and costs, which guaranty was released upon repayment of the TCB note payable.

The remaining proceeds from the repayment of the JFK loan by the borrower, after our repayment of the TCB note payable, were used to pay down outstanding balances under our Master Repurchase Facility.

RMR Credit Agreement

On February 4, 2019, we entered into the RMR Credit Agreement, pursuant to which, from time to time until August 4, 2019, the scheduled expiration date of the RMR Credit Agreement, we were able to borrow up to \$25,000 and, beginning May 3, 2019, \$50,000 in subordinated unsecured loans at a rate of 6.50% per annum. In May 2019, we borrowed \$14,220 under the RMR Credit Agreement to fund additional investments in first mortgage whole loans. Also in May 2019, we completed the Offering. Subsequently, in May 2019, we repaid the approximate \$14,220 balance then outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement with a portion of the Offering proceeds and the RMR Credit Agreement was terminated. In connection with this repayment and termination, we paid our Manager approximately \$39 of interest and \$7 of fees. See Note 7 for further information regarding the Offering. We have historical and continuing relationships with our Manager. See Notes 8 and 9 for further information regarding these relationships and related person transactions.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 6. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements*, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level I), and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level III). A financial asset's or financial liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and accounts payable approximated their fair values due to the short term nature of these financial instruments. At December 31, 2019 and 2018, the outstanding principal balances under our Master Repurchase Facility, and at December 31, 2018, the outstanding principal balance under the TCB note payable approximated their fair values, as interest was based on floating rates based on LIBOR plus a spread, and the spread was consistent with those demanded by the market.

We estimate the fair values of our loans held for investment by using Level III inputs, including discounted cash flow analyses and currently prevailing market terms as of the measurement date, determined by significant unobservable market inputs, which include holding periods, discount rates based on LTV, property types and loan pricing expectations which are corroborated by a comparison with other market participants to determine the appropriate market spread to add to the one month LIBOR (Level III inputs as defined in the fair value hierarchy under GAAP).

The table below provides information regarding financial assets and liabilities not carried at fair value on a recurring basis in our consolidated balance sheets:

	December 31, 2019		December 31, 2018	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Financial assets				
Loans held for investment	\$242,078	\$242,763	\$135,844	\$137,872
Financial liabilities				
Master Repurchase Facility	164,694	165,536	71,691	72,582
Note payable	—	—	31,485	31,690

There were no transfers of financial assets or liabilities within the fair value hierarchy during the year ended December 31, 2019.

Note 7. Shareholders' Equity

Common Share Awards

We have common shares available for issuance under the terms of our 2017 Equity Compensation Plan, or the 2017 Plan. As described in Note 9, we granted common share awards to our officers and certain other employees of our Manager and of RMR LLC in 2019, 2018 and 2017. We also granted each of our five Trustees 3,000 of our common shares with an aggregate market value of \$155 (\$31 per trustee), 3,000 of our common shares with an aggregate market value of \$189 (\$38 per trustee) and 1,500 of our common shares with an aggregate market value of \$119 (\$24 per trustee) in 2019, 2018

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 7. Shareholders' Equity (Continued)

and 2017, respectively, as part of their annual compensation. During 2018, we granted an additional 1,500 shares with an aggregate market value of \$20 to one of our Managing Trustees, who was elected as a Managing Trustee in 2018. The values of the share grants were based upon the closing price of our common shares on The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, or Nasdaq, on the date of grant. The common shares granted to our Trustees vested immediately. The common shares granted to our officers and other employees of our Manager and of RMR LLC vest in five equal annual installments beginning on the date of grant. We include the value of granted shares in general and administrative expenses ratably over the vesting period.

A summary of shares granted, forfeited, vested and unvested under the terms of the 2017 Plan for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, is as follows:

	2019		2018		2017	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested shares, beginning of year	43,040	\$12.37	16,000	\$15.87	—	—
Shares granted	68,300	\$ 5.83	62,800	\$12.03	27,500	\$15.87
Shared forfeited	—	—	(8,000)	\$15.87	—	—
Shares vested	(41,120)	\$ 6.91	(27,760)	\$12.61	(11,500)	\$15.87
Unvested shares, end of year	<u>70,220</u>	\$ 7.62	<u>43,040</u>	\$12.37	<u>16,000</u>	\$15.87

The 70,220 unvested shares as of December 31, 2019 are scheduled to vest as follows: 20,520 shares in 2020, 20,520 shares in 2021, 18,520 shares in 2022, and 10,660 shares in 2023. As of December 31, 2019, the estimated future compensation expense for the unvested shares was \$477. The weighted average period over which the compensation expense will be recorded is approximately 27 months. The total fair value of shares vested was \$280, \$342 and \$183 for the year end December 31, 2019, 2018 and the period from June 1, 2017 (inception) to December 31, 2017, respectively.

At December 31, 2019, 92,990 of our common shares remained available for issuance under the 2017 Plan.

Sale of Common Shares

On May 21, 2019, we completed the Offering. In the Offering, we issued and sold 5,000,000 of our common shares at a price of \$5.65 per share for total net proceeds of \$26,074, after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and other expenses. Our Manager purchased 1,000,000 of our common shares in the Offering at the public offering price, without the payment of any underwriting discounts. We used the net proceeds of the Offering to repay the approximate \$14,220 balance then outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement and to reduce amounts outstanding under our Master Repurchase Facility by approximately \$11,900. After repayment of the outstanding balance under the RMR Credit Agreement, the RMR Credit Agreement was terminated. See Note 5 for further information regarding the RMR Credit Agreement.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 7. Shareholders' Equity (Continued)

Common Share Repurchases

These shares were repurchased from our current and former officers and employees of RMR LLC in satisfaction of tax withholding and payment obligations in connection with the vesting of awards of our common shares, valued at the closing price of our common shares on Nasdaq on the purchase date. See common share repurchases for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 in the tables below:

2019		
Date purchased	Number of shares	Price per share
April 5, 2019	644	\$9.39
July 3, 2019	704	\$4.03
September 25, 2019	5,712	\$4.82
November 13, 2019	447	\$4.94
2018		
Date purchased	Number of shares	Price per share
September 24, 2018	2,121	\$11.89
November 20, 2018	301	\$10.53

2018 Common Share Forfeitures

On August 20, 2018, 8,000 of our common shares were forfeited in connection with the resignation of certain of our executive officers. The shares were valued at \$12.50 per common share, the closing price of our common shares on Nasdaq on that day. We recognize forfeitures in general and administrative expenses as they occur.

Distributions

During the year ended December 31, 2019, we declared and paid regular quarterly distributions to common shareholders as follows:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Paid Date	Distribution Per Share	Total Distributions
January 18, 2019	January 28, 2019	February 21, 2019	\$0.11	\$ 350
April 18, 2019	April 29, 2019	May 16, 2019	0.22	702
July 18, 2019	July 29, 2019	August 15, 2019	0.22	1,802
October 17, 2019	October 28, 2019	November 14, 2019	0.22	1,813
			\$0.77	\$4,667

Distributions per share paid or payable by us to our common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2019, were \$0.77. The characterization of our distributions for 2019 was 100% ordinary income.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 7. Shareholders' Equity (Continued)

On January 16, 2020, we declared a regular quarterly distribution to common shareholders of record on January 27, 2020 of \$0.22 per common share, or approximately \$1,813. We expect to pay this distribution on or about February 20, 2020.

Note 8. Management Agreement with our Manager

We have no employees. The personnel and various services we require to operate our business are provided to us by our Manager pursuant to a management agreement, which provides for the day to day management of our operations by our Manager, subject to the oversight and direction of our Board of Trustees.

Fees and Expense Reimbursements. Under our management agreement, we are responsible to pay our Manager the following:

- *Base Management Fee.* We are required to pay our Manager an annual base management fee equal to 1.5% of our "Equity", payable in cash quarterly (0.375% per quarter) in arrears. Under our management agreement, "Equity" means (a) the sum of (i) the proceeds received by us from our IPO and the concurrent private placement of our common shares to our Manager, plus (ii) the net proceeds received by us from any future sale or issuance of shares of beneficial interest, plus (iii) our cumulative Core Earnings for the period commencing on the completion of our IPO to the end of the most recent calendar quarter, less (b) (i) any distributions previously paid to holders of our common shares, (ii) any incentive fee previously paid to our Manager and (iii) any amount that we may have paid to repurchase our common shares. All items in the foregoing sentence (other than clause (a)(iii)) are calculated on a daily weighted average basis.
- *Incentive Fee.* We are required to pay our Manager quarterly an incentive fee in arrears in cash equal to the difference between: (a) the product of (i) 20% and (ii) the difference between (A) our Core Earnings for the most recent 12 month period (or such lesser number of completed calendar quarters, if applicable), including the calendar quarter (or part thereof) for which the calculation of the incentive fee is being made, and (B) the product of (1) our Equity in the most recent 12 month period (or such lesser number of completed calendar quarters, if applicable), including the calendar quarter (or part thereof) for which the calculation of the incentive fee is being made, and (2) 7% per year and (b) the sum of any incentive fees paid to our Manager with respect to the first three calendar quarters of the most recent 12 month period (or such lesser number of completed calendar quarters preceding the applicable period, if applicable). No incentive fee shall be payable with respect to any calendar quarter unless Core Earnings for the 12 most recently completed calendar quarters (or such lesser number of completed calendar quarters from the date of the completion of our IPO) in the aggregate is greater than zero. The incentive fee may not be less than zero.

For purposes of the calculation of base management fees and incentive fees payable to our Manager, "Core Earnings" is defined as net income (or loss) attributable to our common shareholders, computed in accordance with GAAP, including realized losses not otherwise included in GAAP net income (loss), and excluding: (a) the incentive fees earned by our Manager; (b) depreciation and amortization (if any); (c) non-cash equity compensation expense (if any); (d) unrealized gains, losses

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 8. Management Agreement with our Manager (Continued)

and other similar non-cash items that are included in net income for the period of the calculation (regardless of whether such items are included in or deducted from net income or in other comprehensive income or loss under GAAP); and (e) one-time events pursuant to changes in GAAP and certain material non-cash income or expense items, in each case after discussion between our Manager and our Independent Trustees and approved by a majority of our Independent Trustees. Pursuant to the terms of our management agreement, the exclusion of depreciation and amortization from the calculation of Core Earnings shall only apply to owned real estate. Our shares of beneficial interest that are entitled to a specific periodic distribution or have other debt characteristics will not be included in “Equity” for the purpose of calculating incentive fees payable to our Manager. Instead, the aggregate distribution amount that accrues to such shares during the calendar quarter of such calculation will be subtracted from Core Earnings for purposes of calculating incentive fees, unless such distribution is otherwise already excluded from Core Earnings. Equity and Core Earnings as defined in our management agreement are non-GAAP financial measures and may be different than our shareholders’ equity and our net income calculated according to GAAP.

In June 2018, our Manager agreed to waive any base management fees otherwise due and payable pursuant to our management agreement for the period beginning July 1, 2018 until June 30, 2020. In addition, our Manager also agreed that no incentive fee would be paid or payable by us for the 2018 or 2019 calendar years.

We recognized base management fees of \$0 and \$447 for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively, and \$260 for the period beginning on September 18, 2017, the date on which we entered into the agreement, through December 31, 2017. If our Manager had not agreed to waive its base management fees beginning July 1, 2018, we would have recognized \$1,131 for the year ended December 31, 2019. For the period from July 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018, we would have recognized \$445 of base management fees which would have resulted in us recognizing \$892 of total base management fees for the year ended December 31, 2018 (including the \$447 of base management fees we actually recognized). We did not recognize any incentive fees for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

Term and Termination. The initial term of our management agreement ends on December 31, 2020. Our management agreement automatically renews for successive one year terms beginning January 1, 2021 and each January 1 thereafter, unless it is sooner terminated upon written notice delivered no later than 180 days prior to a renewal date by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of our Independent Trustees based upon a determination that (a) our Manager’s performance is unsatisfactory and materially detrimental to us or (b) the base management fee and incentive fee, taken as a whole, payable to our Manager are not fair to us (provided that in the instance of (b), our Manager will be afforded the opportunity to renegotiate the base management fee and incentive fee prior to termination). Our management agreement may be terminated by our Manager before each annual renewal upon written notice delivered to our Board of Trustees no later than 180 days prior to an annual renewal date. We may also terminate our management agreement at any time, including during the initial term, without the payment of any termination fee, with at least 30 days’ prior written notice from us upon the occurrence of a “cause event,” as defined in the management agreement. Our Manager may terminate our management agreement in certain other circumstances, including if we become required to register as an investment company under the

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 8. Management Agreement with our Manager (Continued)

Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, for our uncured “material breach,” as defined in our management agreement, we materially reduce our Manager’s duties and responsibilities or scope of its authority under our management agreement or we cease or take steps to cease to conduct the business of originating or investing in CRE loans.

Termination Fee. In the event our management agreement is terminated by us without a cause event or by our Manager for a material breach, we will be required to pay our Manager a termination fee equal to (a) three times the sum of (i) the average annual base management fee and (ii) the average annual incentive fee, in each case paid or payable to our Manager during the 24 month period immediately preceding the most recently completed calendar quarter prior to the date of termination, plus (b) an amount equal to the initial organizational costs related to our formation and the costs of our IPO and the concurrent private placement paid by our Manager. No termination fee will be payable if our management agreement is terminated by us for a cause event or by our Manager without our material breach.

Expense Reimbursement. Our Manager, and not us, is responsible for the costs of its employees who provide services to us, including the cost of our Manager’s personnel who originate our loans, unless any such payment or reimbursement is specifically approved by a majority of our Independent Trustees, is a shared services cost or relates to awards made under any equity compensation plan adopted by us. Generally, it is the practice of our Manager and RMR LLC to treat individuals who spend 50% or more of their business time providing services to our Manager as employees of our Manager. We are required to pay or to reimburse our Manager and its affiliates for all other costs and expenses of our operations, including but not limited to, the cost of rent, utilities, office furniture, equipment, machinery and other overhead type expenses, the costs of legal, accounting, auditing, tax planning and tax return preparation, consulting services, diligence costs related to our investments, investor relations expenses and other professional services, and other costs and expenses not specifically required under our management agreement to be borne by our Manager. Some of these overhead, professional and other services are provided by RMR LLC pursuant to a shared services agreement between our Manager and RMR LLC. We reimburse our Manager for shared services costs our Manager pays to RMR LLC and its affiliates, and these reimbursements include an allocation of the cost of applicable personnel employed by RMR LLC and our share of RMR LLC’s costs of providing our internal audit function, with such shared services costs subject to approval by a majority of our Independent Trustees at least annually. Our Audit Committee appoints our Director of Internal Audit and our Compensation Committee approves the costs of our internal audit function. We incurred shared services costs of \$1,599 and \$1,578 for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively, and \$463 for the period beginning on September 18, 2017 through December 31, 2017, payable to our Manager as reimbursement for shared services costs it paid to RMR LLC. We include these amounts in reimbursement of shared services expenses or general and administrative expenses, as applicable, in our statement of operations for these periods.

Business Opportunities. Under our management agreement, we and our Manager have agreed that for so long as our Manager is managing us, neither our Manager nor any of its affiliates, including RMR LLC, will sponsor or manage any other publicly traded REIT that invests primarily in first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market and transitional CRE located in the United States, unless such activity is approved by our Independent Trustees. However, our management agreement

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 8. Management Agreement with our Manager (Continued)

does not prohibit our Manager or its affiliates (including RMR LLC) or their respective directors, trustees, officers, employees or agents from competing or providing services to other persons, funds and investment vehicles, including Centre Street Finance LLC, a private fund focused on originating and investing in mortgage loans, or Centre Street, private REITs or other entities that may compete with us, including, among other things, with respect to the origination, acquisition, making, arranging or managing of first mortgage whole loans secured by middle market or transitional CRE or other investments like those we intend to make.

Because our Manager and RMR LLC will not be prohibited from competing with us in all circumstances, and RMR LLC provides management services to other companies, conflicts of interest exist with regard to the allocation of investment opportunities and for the time and attention of our Manager, RMR LLC and their personnel. Our management agreement acknowledges these conflicts of interest and, in that agreement, we agree that our Manager, RMR LLC and their subsidiaries may resolve such conflicts in good faith in their fair and reasonable discretion. In the case of such a conflict, our Manager, RMR LLC and their subsidiaries will endeavor to allocate such investment opportunities in a fair and reasonable manner, taking into account such factors as they deem appropriate.

Our management agreement also provides that if our Manager, its affiliates (including RMR LLC) or any of their respective directors, trustees, officers, employees or agents acquires knowledge of a potential business opportunity, we renounce any potential interest or expectation in, or right to be offered or to participate in, such business opportunity to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law.

Liability and Indemnification. Our Manager maintains a contractual as opposed to a fiduciary relationship with us. Pursuant to our management agreement, our Manager does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for thereunder in good faith and is not responsible for any action of our Board of Trustees in following or declining to follow its advice or recommendations. Under the terms of our management agreement, our Manager and its affiliates, including RMR LLC, and their respective directors, trustees, officers, shareholders, owners, members, managers, employees and personnel will not be liable to us or any of our Trustees, shareholders or subsidiaries, or any of the trustees, directors or shareholders of any of our subsidiaries, for any acts or omissions related to the provision of services to us under our management agreement, except by reason of acts or omissions that have been determined in a final, non-appealable adjudication to have constituted bad faith, fraud, intentional misconduct, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties of our Manager under our management agreement. In addition, under the terms of our management agreement, we agree to indemnify, hold harmless and advance expenses to our Manager and its affiliates, including RMR LLC, and their respective directors, trustees, officers, shareholders, owners, members, managers, employees and personnel from and against any and all expenses, losses, damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims of any nature whatsoever, including all reasonable attorneys', accountants' and experts' fees and expenses, arising from any acts or omissions related to the provision of services to us or the performance of any matters pursuant to an instruction by our Board of Trustees, except to the extent there is a final, non-appealable adjudication that such acts or omissions constituted bad faith, fraud, intentional misconduct, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties of our Manager under our management agreement. Such persons will also not be liable for trade errors

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 8. Management Agreement with our Manager (Continued)

that may result from ordinary negligence, including errors in the investment decision making or trade process.

Other. In addition to the fees and expense reimbursements payable to our Manager under our management agreement, our Manager and its affiliates may benefit from other fees paid to them in respect of our investments. For example, if we seek to securitize some of our CRE loans, our Manager or its affiliates may act as collateral manager. In any of these or other capacities, our Manager and its affiliates may receive fees for their services if approved by a majority of our Independent Trustees.

Note 9. Related Person Transactions

We have relationships and historical and continuing transactions with our Manager, RMR LLC, The RMR Group Inc., or RMR Inc., and others related to them, including other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services and some of which have trustees, directors or officers who are also our Trustees or officers. Our Manager is a subsidiary of RMR LLC, which is a majority owned subsidiary of RMR Inc. and RMR Inc. is the managing member of RMR LLC. RMR LLC provides certain shared services to our Manager which are applicable to us, and we reimburse our Manager for the amounts it pays for those services. One of our Managing Trustees, Adam Portnoy, as the sole trustee of ABP Trust, is the controlling shareholder of RMR Inc. and is a managing director, president and chief executive officer of RMR Inc., a director of our Manager and an officer and employee of RMR LLC. David M. Blackman, our other Managing Trustee and our President and Chief Executive Officer, also serves as the president and chief executive officer of our Manager and is an officer and employee of RMR LLC, and each of our other officers is also an officer and/or employee of our Manager or RMR LLC.

Our Independent Trustees also serve as independent directors or independent trustees of the RMR Real Estate Income Fund, or RIF, and of other public companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services. Adam Portnoy serves as the chair of the boards of trustees and boards of directors of several of these public companies and as a managing director or managing trustee of all of these companies and other officers of RMR LLC serve as managing trustees or managing directors of certain of these companies. In addition, officers of our Manager, RMR LLC and RMR Inc. serve as our officers and officers of other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services.

Our Manager, Tremont Realty Advisors LLC. Our Manager provides management services to us pursuant to our management agreement. Our Manager also provides management services to Centre Street. See Note 8 for further information regarding our management agreement.

We were formed as a 100% owned subsidiary of our Manager. Our Manager is our largest shareholder and, as of December 31, 2019, owned 1,600,100 of our common shares, or approximately 19.4% of our outstanding common shares. Our Manager paid the initial organizational costs related to our formation and other costs of our IPO, including the underwriting discounts and commissions, which costs were \$6,823 in aggregate.

Under the private placement purchase agreement we entered with our Manager in 2017, we granted to our Manager certain demand and piggyback registration rights, subject to certain limitations,

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 9. Related Person Transactions (Continued)

covering our common shares owned by our Manager. In May 2019, our Manager purchased 1,000,000 of our common shares in the Offering at the public offering price of \$5.65 per share, without the payment of any underwriting discounts. Each of our Managing Trustees and executive officers is also a director or officer of our Manager and of RMR LLC.

RMR Credit Agreement. On February 4, 2019, we entered into the RMR Credit Agreement with our Manager, pursuant to which from time to time until August 4, 2019, the scheduled expiration date of the RMR Credit Agreement, we were able to borrow up to \$25,000 and, beginning May 3, 2019, up to \$50,000 in subordinated unsecured loans at a rate of 6.50% per annum. In May 2019, we used the net proceeds of the Offering to repay the approximately \$14,220 balance then outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement and to reduce amounts outstanding under our Master Repurchase Facility by approximately \$11,900. After repayment of the balance then outstanding under the RMR Credit Agreement, the RMR Credit Agreement was terminated. In connection with this repayment, we paid our Manager approximately \$39 of interest and \$7 of fees related to the RMR Credit Agreement. See Note 5 for further information regarding the RMR Credit Agreement.

RMR Inc. and RMR LLC. RMR LLC provides certain shared services to our Manager which are applicable to us, and we reimburse our Manager for the amount it pays for those services. See Note 8 for further information regarding this shared services arrangement.

Share Awards to Employees of our Manager and RMR LLC. During the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018 and 2017, we awarded to our officers and other employees of our Manager and/or RMR LLC share awards of 53,300, 46,300 and 20,000 of our common shares, respectively, which were valued at \$243, \$546 and \$317, in aggregate, respectively, based upon the closing price of our common shares on Nasdaq on the respective date of the awards. One fifth of these awards vested on the award date and one fifth vests on each of the next four anniversaries of the award date. These awards to employees of our Manager and RMR LLC are in addition to the share awards to our Managing Trustees, as Trustee compensation, and the fees we paid to our Manager. We purchased common shares awarded to certain of our officers and employees of our Manager and/or RMR LLC in satisfaction of tax withholding and payment obligations in connection with the vesting of awards of our common shares. See Note 7 for further information regarding these purchases.

Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance. We, RMR Inc., RMR LLC and certain other companies to which RMR LLC or its subsidiaries provide management services participate in a combined directors' and officers' liability insurance policy. The current combined policy expires in September 2020. We paid aggregate premiums of \$140, \$156 and \$224 for this policy in 2019, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Note 10. Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the IRC. Accordingly, we generally are not, and will not be, subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that we meet certain distribution and other requirements. We are subject to certain state and local taxes, certain of which amounts are or will be reported as income taxes in our consolidated statements of operations.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 11. Weighted Average Common Shares

We calculate Basic EPS, by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the relevant period. We calculate diluted EPS using the more dilutive of the two class method or the treasury stock method. Unvested share awards and other potentially dilutive common share issuances and the related impact on earnings (loss), are considered when calculating diluted earnings (loss) per share. For the year ended December 31, 2019, 18,587 unvested common shares were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been antidilutive. Due to net losses incurred during the year ended December 31, 2018 and the period June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017 basic weighted average shares is equal to diluted weighted average shares. As a result, 2,929 and 3,581 restricted unvested common shares were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS for the year ended December 31, 2018 and the period June 1, 2017 (inception) through December 31, 2017, respectively.

Note 12. Commitments and Contingencies

Unfunded Commitments

As of December 31, 2019, we had unfunded commitments of \$17,268 related to our loans held for investment. These commitments are not reflected in our consolidated balance sheets. Loans held for investments related to these unfunded commitments have a weighted average initial maturity date of 1.9 years. See Note 4 for further information related to loans held for investment.

Secured Borrowings

As of December 31, 2019, we had an aggregate of \$165,536 in principal amount outstanding under our Master Repurchase Facility with a weighted average life to maturity of 1.6 years. See Note 5 for further information regarding our secured debt agreements.

TREMONT MORTGAGE TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Note 13. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of our unaudited quarterly results of operations for 2019 and 2018:

	<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
2019				
Income from investments, net	\$1,451	\$1,882	\$2,967	\$2,128
Net income	\$ 578	\$ 894	\$2,056	\$1,313
Weighted average common shares—basic	3,136	5,401	8,156	8,167
Weighted average common shares—diluted	3,142	5,401	8,156	8,167
Per share data:				
Net income per common share—basic and diluted	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.16
2018				
Income from investments, net	\$ 196	\$ 429	\$ 822	\$ 953
Net (loss) income	\$ (949)	\$ (781)	\$ (63)	\$ 185
Weighted average common shares—basic	3,111	3,123	3,129	3,135
Weighted average common shares—diluted	3,111	3,123	3,129	3,138
Per share data:				
Net (loss) income per common share—basic and diluted	\$(0.31)	\$(0.25)	\$(0.02)	\$ 0.06

Note 14. Subsequent Events

The table below provides details of loans closed subsequent to December 31, 2019:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Property Type</u>	<u>Origination Date</u>	<u>Committed Principal Amount</u>	<u>Principal Balance</u>	<u>Coupon Rate</u>	<u>All in Yield⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Maximum Maturity⁽²⁾ (date)</u>	<u>LTV⁽³⁾</u>
First mortgage whole loans								
Allentown, PA . . .	Industrial	01/24/2020	\$14,000	\$14,000	L + 3.50%	L + 4.02%	01/24/2025	67%
Dublin, OH	Office	02/18/2020	22,820	12,126	L + 3.75%	L + 5.67%	02/18/2023	33%
			<u>\$36,820</u>	<u>\$26,126</u>	<u>L + 3.62%</u>	<u>L + 4.79%</u>		<u>51%</u>

⁽¹⁾ All in yield includes the amortization of deferred fees.

⁽²⁾ Maximum maturity assumes all extension options are exercised, which options are subject to the borrower meeting certain conditions.

⁽³⁾ LTV represents the initial loan amount divided by the underwritten in-place value at closing.

CORPORATE INFORMATION

EXECUTIVE OFFICES

Tremont Mortgage Trust
Two Newton Place
255 Washington Street, Suite 300
Newton, Massachusetts 02458-1634
(617) 796-8317
www.trmtreit.com

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

David M. Blackman
President and Chief Executive Officer
G. Douglas Lanois
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Jennifer B. Clark
Secretary

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

John L. Harrington*
Independent Trustee;
Chairman of the Yawkey Foundation
Dedham, Massachusetts
Joseph L. Morea*
Independent Trustee;
Private Investor
Cold Spring Harbor, New York
Jeffrey P. Somers*
Independent Trustee;
Of Counsel to Morse, Barnes-Brown &
Pendleton, P.C.
Waltham, Massachusetts
Adam D. Portnoy
Managing Trustee;
President and Chief Executive Officer of
The RMR Group LLC
Newton, Massachusetts
David M. Blackman
Managing Trustee;
President and Chief Executive Officer of
Tremont Mortgage Trust
Newton, Massachusetts

INTERNAL AUDIT

Vern D. Larkin
Director of Internal Audit

INVESTOR RELATIONS

Joselyn Fine
Manager, Investor Relations

MANAGER

Tremont Realty Advisors LLC
Two Newton Place
255 Washington Street, Suite 300
Newton, Massachusetts 02458-1634

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Ernst & Young LLP
200 Clarendon Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

COUNSEL

Sullivan & Worcester LLP
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

STOCK TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Equiniti Trust Company
EQ Shareowner Services
1110 Centre Pointe Curve, Suite 101
Mendota Heights, Minnesota 55120-4100
(800) 468-9716
www.shareowneronline.com

ANNUAL MEETING

Our annual meeting of stockholders will be held on May 13, 2020 at 9:30 a.m. at Two Newton Place, 255 Washington Street, Newton, Massachusetts.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

A copy of our 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the financial statements and schedule (excluding exhibits), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, can be obtained without charge through our website at www.trmtreit.com or by writing to our Manager, Investor Relations at our executive offices address.

* Member of Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Governance Committees

Tremont Mortgage Trust

Two Newton Place

255 Washington Street, Suite 300

Newton, Massachusetts 02458-1634

(617) 796-8317

www.trmtreit.com