

PANCONTINENTAL GOLD CORPORATION
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

April 25, 2017

INTRODUCTION

This management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") has been prepared by Pancontinental Gold Corporation's ("Pancontinental" or the "Company") management and provides an analysis of the Company's operating and financial performance for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016, as well as a view of future prospects. The MD&A should be read in conjunction with Pancontinental's: audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015; and, MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2015. Additional information related to the Company is filed electronically on the System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) and is available online at www.sedar.com.

Basis of presentation

Pancontinental's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). All dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted.

Note: During 2016 the Company changed its accounting policy for its mineral property exploration and evaluation expenditures. The comparative financial results have been restated to reflect these expenditures as being expensed, rather than being deferred/capitalized, at the time they were incurred. Exploration and evaluation expenditures are now included as an operating activity. The restatement impacted the comparative amounts for discontinued operations.

This MD&A may contain forward-looking statements, which are described in the "Cautionary Statements" section of the MD&A. The "Risks and Uncertainties" section of this MD&A further describes other factors that could cause results or events to differ from expectations.

CORPORATE PROFILE

Pancontinental is an exploration-stage company involved in the business of acquiring, exploring and developing gold properties. With the encouraging outlook for the gold sector the Company transitioned from a rare earth element (REE) and uranium explorer into a gold explorer through the acquisition of the Jefferson Gold Project, as described below, and concurrently changed its name to reflect this new direction. With the acquisition of the Jefferson Gold Project and subsequent financing the Company returned to the TSX Venture Exchange (TSX-V), on October 24, 2016, as a Tier 2 mining issuer trading under the symbol PUC.

Previously, the Company had participated in REE and uranium exploration activities in Australia, through a joint venture with Crossland Strategic Metals Limited. On November 26, 2015, the Company completed the sale of its interest in the joint venture and, as a result, the Company ceased to meet the continued listing requirements of a TSX Venture Exchange (TSX-V) Tier 2 company, and effective December 14, 2015, Pancontinental was transferred to the NEX Board of the TSX-V. The Company retains a 1% gross overriding royalty on sales of production from 100% of the joint venture properties held at closing.

Executive leadership changes

On April 7, 2017, Thomas Layton Croft was appointed as President and Chief Executive Officer of Pancontinental Gold Corporation (CEO), replacing Rick Mark. Mr. Mark will continue to act as a Director of the Company. On March 13, 2017, Mr. Croft was appointed to the Company's Board of Directors. Previously, on February 8, 2017, Mr. Croft was appointed President and CEO of Palmetto Mining Corporation, the Company's wholly owned South Carolina subsidiary. As well, on January 26, 2017, Mr. Croft was appointed Pancontinental's Vice President of Corporate Development. Mr. Croft began consulting for the Company in November 2016.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

HIGHLIGHTS

To reflect the Company's transition to a gold explorer, on July 25, 2016 the Company changed its name to Pancontinental Gold Corporation.

In tandem with becoming a gold explorer, on August 17, 2016 the Company completed the asset purchase agreement (the "APA") with Firebird Resources Inc., Pageland Minerals Ltd. and Appalachian Resources LLC, to acquire a 100% interest in the Jefferson Gold Project located in South Carolina, United States. The APA was entered into on May 20, 2016.

The Company closed a \$1,001,300 private placement on September 21, 2016 and graduated to Tier 2 of the TSX Venture Exchange on October 24, 2016.

On November 14, 2016, the Company commenced its inaugural approximate 5,000 foot (1,524 metres) drill program at the Jefferson Gold Project. Results from the initial six holes were released on April 4, 2017.

JEFFERSON GOLD PROJECT ("JEFFERSON" or the "JEFFERSON PROJECT")

Property description

The Jefferson Gold Project is an exploration-stage gold project located in Chesterfield County, South Carolina, within one of the most historically significant gold trends in the United States. This trend hosts OceanaGold Corporation's open pit Haile Gold Mine, which commenced production in 2017 and is located less than 10 kilometres from Jefferson. The region also includes: the former Ridgeway Gold Mine, approximately 55 kilometres from Jefferson, a former 15,000 tons per day open pit mine, which produced over 1.6 million ounces of gold from 1988 to 1999; and, the former open pit Brewer Gold Mine, which forms the western border of the Jefferson Project and was mined for over 12 million tons of ore from 1987 to 1995.

Jefferson is comprised of approximately 1,508 acres under 14 leases from private landowners, who own the surface and sub-surface mineral rights. Jefferson is along a northeast-striking structural trend of hydrothermal alteration and gold mineralization extending from the Haile and Brewer Mines. The Jefferson Project contains multiple drill targets within a mineralized trend based on historic exploration.

In 2011, Firebird Resources Inc. ("Firebird") and Pageland Minerals Ltd. ("Pageland") drilled a total of four holes at Jefferson with very positive results, providing evidence of potential for a significant gold resource. All four holes encountered gold mineralization, with the best hole averaging 1.27 grams per tonne over 164.3 metres (539 feet - true width unknown). Please refer to Pancontinental's press release of May 25, 2016 for assay details on all four drill holes.

Acquisition

On August 17, 2016, the Company completed the acquisition of the Jefferson Project pursuant to an asset purchase agreement (the "APA"), which was entered into on May 20, 2016 with Firebird Resources Inc. ("Firebird"), Pageland Minerals Ltd. ("Pageland") and Appalachian Resources LLC ("Appalachian"). As consideration for acquiring 100% ownership in the Jefferson Project, along with all exploration and property information, Pancontinental paid and issued to:

- Firebird and Pageland, in the aggregate: cash totalling \$100,000, of which \$30,000 was paid on execution of the APA with the balance of \$70,000 paid on closing; and, 1,000,000 common shares issued on closing.
- Appalachian, 1,000,000 common shares issued on closing.

To bring the acquired property leases into good standing the Company expects to pay approximately USD \$182,000 (approximately USD \$178,000 paid to date) to settle lease arrears existing as at the acquisition date. Of the fourteen property leases acquired, only one lease, representing approximately 52 acres remains to be confirmed. The

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

Company is diligently working on the confirmation process. Pre-acquisition and current arrears for this one property amount to approximately USD \$8,000.

A production royalty of 3.5% (the "Royalty") is payable to the landowner that owns the property from which minerals are produced. Advanced royalty payments are non-refundable and will be credited towards the Royalties payable from production.

Exploration plans and strategy

The implementation of the Company's exploration strategy at Jefferson producing an initial NI43-101 technical report (which has been filed on SEDAR) and compiling and reviewing historic exploration data to lead into a staged drilling program, which commenced on November 14, 2016. To strengthen the Company's ability to implement a successful exploration strategy, a technical advisory committee was established in November 2016. The committee is led by Dr. Laurie Curtis, PhD, a senior advisor to the Company and also includes Mr. David Mosher, a Company director. In addition, Dr. Dennis LaPoint, PhD, a consultant to the Company, was appointed the Company's Vice-President of Exploration in November 2016.

Dr. LaPoint has personally explored and examined regions that include the Haile and Ridgeway mine areas since the 1980s and has made several gold discoveries, often under sand cover, like Jefferson. Dr. LaPoint notes that the age, deformation and lithologies identified at the Jefferson Project are comparable to host rocks at the Haile and Brewer mines, as well as at the Ridgeway mine. Dr. LaPoint was actively exploring near Ridgeway when it was discovered and developed in the 1980s. The Ridgeway mine was discovered in a region with minimal historic prospects that lies near the edge of sand cover, like at Haile and at Jefferson (LaPoint, D.J., 1995, SEG Guidebook, pp. 21-52).

Drill program

On November 2016, the initial stage of a diamond drill program began, and a total 912 metres over six (6) holes has been drilled to date. The drill program has focused on an area referred to as Anomaly A, stepping out from the 2011 drill hole fence in both directions along the east-west gold trend. Dr. Margaret Venable, PhD, an exploration geologist with more than 30 years of experience managing and directing projects around the world, supervised the initial stage of the drill program.

Anomaly A highlights and drill results:

- 5 out of 6 holes intersected anomalous gold grades indicative of a focused gold system;
- JEF-108 intersected 22.9 m averaging 1.3 g/t, including 8.5 m of 1.8 g/t;
- JEF-109 intersected 12.2 m of 1.55 g/t; and
- Drilling verified a northwest trending mineralized zone previously unrecognized.

DH	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	grade (g/t)
JEF-104	30.8	34.4	3.7	0.96
JEF-104	56.7	57.9	1.2	1.55
JEF-104	68.9	72.5	3.7	1.96
JEF-104	178.6	180.1	1.5	1.31
JEF-106	28.4	36.6	8.2	0.93
JEF-106	49.7	60.4	10.7	0.81
JEF-106	99.8	100.6	0.8	1.09
JEF-107	31	40.3	9.3	1.3
JEF-108	100.6	123.4	22.9	1.3
including				
JEF-108	113.1	121.6	8.5	1.8
JEF-109	51.2	54.9	3.7	0.9
JEF-109	79.2	91.4	12.2	1.55

All intercept widths reported are core intervals and not true widths, which are unknown.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

Samples were shipped to ALS Chemex in Arizona by truck for preparation and then to ALS Chemex in Vancouver for fire assay gold analysis and four acid digestion 33 element ICP-AES analysis. Standard QA/QC procedures are followed including, blanks, duplicates and standards.

Pancontinental believes this drill program has been quite positive for grades and thickness of gold, especially in the fifth and sixth holes (JEF-108 and JEF-109), which were drilled in a new orientation. Drilling was focused on defining ore controls and understanding the trend of mineralization in a poorly exposed gold system partially covered by sand. These results provide useful data and knowledge further to the 2011 drilling at Anomaly A by a Firebird and Pageland.

Gold mineralization at the Jefferson Project is controlled by intersecting trends of structure and folding. The core from Jefferson is hosted in felsic volcanic rock, which in the mineralized areas is commonly described as fragmental tuff or breccia near sedimentary contacts as seen in holes 104 and 105. Typically, better gold values are in more deformed or sheared altered host rock. This resembles the Haile gold mine, located less than 10 kilometres to the southwest of the Jefferson Project, where "the deposits are primarily volcanic-rock hosted, massive sulfide, and disseminated gold-sulfide deposits, which formed along the stratigraphic boundary between the metavolcanics and overlying metasedimentary rocks (Mobley and others, 2014, *Economic Geology*, v. 109, pp. 1863)."

A strong and distinctive chloritic alteration is commonly associated with the strongest mineralization. Silicification and a higher percentage of pyrite correlate with gold. Mineralization often follows a steep west-southwest foliation as well zones of shearing, which may follow a more northwest orientation. Higher grades are often associated with breccia zones that are deformed.

Within one small area of drilling at the Jefferson Project there are multiple mineralization styles and orientations. For instance, although hole JEF-105 intersected little gold, it is very important geologically and structurally, as it suggests untested potential. The initial part of hole JEF-105 was in banded pyritic sediments, similar to those encountered at the Haile and Ridgeway gold mines.

Pancontinental believes that the multiple styles of mineralization greatly enhances the value potential of the Jefferson Project. Drilling at Jefferson will resume following review data, geophysical surveying and upon funding being obtained. The potential to expand its land holdings is also under consideration.

Qualified person

Dr. Dennis LaPoint, PhD, the Company's Vice-President of Exploration, manages the exploration programs at Jefferson. Dr. LaPoint, who is President of and controls Appalachian, originally assembled the Jefferson property package and oversaw Firebird's and Pageland's 2011 drill program and was their identified Qualified Person when the assay results were originally released in Firebird's March 1, 2012 press release. Dr. LaPoint is a qualified person under National Instrument 43-101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects". Dr. LaPoint has verified all the technical data presented in this MD&A as it relates to Jefferson. In addition, Dr. LaPoint has over 40 years of experience in project generation and was instrumental in the discovery of Newmont's multi-million ounce Merian Gold deposit in Suriname.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations are comprised of amounts related to: the divesture of the Company's interest in the Australian Joint Venture, noted below, and the Company's equity accounted investee, Crosscontinental Uranium Corporation, which was dissolved in 2015. Substantially all amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations relate to the Australian Joint Venture. During the current year, the Company changed its accounting policy for exploration and evaluation expenditures from "capitalizing" to "expensing as incurred" (please refer to note 3 in Pancontinental's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016). As a result, the comparative period amounts for discontinued operations were restated to eliminate

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

deferred/capitalized mineral property expenditures, related impairment charges and foreign exchange translation adjustments.

Australian Joint Venture

On February 8, 2007, pursuant to a letter of agreement dated February 14, 2007, Pancontinental formed a joint venture (the "Joint Venture") with Crossland Strategic Metals Limited ("Crossland") and subsequently earned an initial 50% interest in a number of Australian properties prospective for rare earth elements (REE) and uranium, which were held by Crossland. The Company's interest was subsequently reduced to 43.72% and was held by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Panconoz Pty. Ltd., which was wound up and deregistered in 2016.

On November 26, 2015 ("Closing") the Company completed the sale of its entire interest to Essential Mining Resources Pty Ltd. ("EMR"), a private Australian-domiciled company, wholly-owned by EMMCO Mining Sdn Bhd, a private Malaysian company. Net proceeds received from the sale were \$1,181,111 and the Company retained a gross overriding royalty of one percent (1%), as further described below. In accordance with the terms of the purchase and sale agreement, the Company paid Crossland AUD \$164,109 in full settlement of accrued payables, resulting in a gain on debt settlement of \$144,714.

Properties held by Joint Venture at Closing (the "JV Properties")

- Charley Creek - nineteen (19) tenements; and,
- Charley Creek - an 80% interest in a joint venture with Western Desert Resources, which consists of one (1) granted tenement.
- Chilling – two (2) tenements
- Bloodwood/Highland Rocks – four (4) tenements under application.
- Mount Stafford – two (2) tenements, one of which was under application

Gross Overriding Royalty (the "Australian JV GOR")

As part of the consideration received from the sale of its interest to EMR, the Company received a gross overriding royalty of one percent (1%) on sales of production from 100% of the JV Properties. The gross overriding royalty provides for an annual non-refundable advance royalty payment of AUD \$50,000, payable by EMR and due annually, beginning November 26, 2016. In April 2017, the Company received payment of the advanced royalty. Advance royalty payments are subject to adjustment for reductions in the size of the JV Properties and such payments are to be deducted from gross overriding royalties on future production. The Company has not been advised of when production is expected to begin. In addition, the gross overriding royalty is registered against title of the JV Properties.

Charley Creek – Northern Territory

The Charley Creek project is located in central Australia, approximately 100 kilometres northwest of Alice Springs and is situated on the southern margin of the North Australian Craton, in a geological setting that is the core of an ancient mountain chain. The tenements potentially contain elements necessary for the formation of sediment-hosted uranium deposits, as well as additional potential for nickel-platinum and REE deposits.

Exploration activity conducted in 2011 and 2012 demonstrated the existence of very extensive deposits of REE-bearing alluvium. With the discovery at Charley Creek of REE-bearing alluvium, the emphasis shifted from uranium exploration to the assessment of the REE deposits and their advancement through resource development to possible mining. The economic potential of the REE deposits was instrumental in EMR's decision to acquire Pancontinental's interest.

Charley Creek NI 43-101 technical report

The NI 43-101 technical report, released by Pancontinental on January 8, 2014, was prepared by Behre Dolbear Australia Pty Ltd ("BDA"). The Company believes the report is an excellent summary of the Charley Creek project.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

BDA emphasised that the project is at an early stage and there are many uncertainties in relation to the assumptions on which the financial model is based. The analysis demonstrates the sensitivity of the project to the various assumptions and in particular reinforced the exploration focus to identify and prove up areas of continuous higher grade starter pits to provide a higher grade feed for processing in the initial years of production.

INVESTMENT IN TORTUGA RESOURCES INC.

Pursuant to an equity offering by Tortuga Resources Inc. ("Tortuga"), the Company acquired 666,667 common shares for USD \$200,000 (CAD \$220,760). Tortuga is a private company engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas properties. The investment was made to gain exposure to a gas exploration opportunity in the United States. The Company is not involved in the management or directorship of Tortuga.

In 2015, due to the continued weakness in the natural gas sector the Company lowered its outlook for recovering its investment in Tortuga and wrote down its investment to a nominal value, resulting in an impairment charge of \$220,759.

SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

Results of Operations	Year ended, December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Interest income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,512
Royalty revenue	50,000	-	-
Gain on debt settlement	38,520	111,245	-
Expenses	(1,716,006)	(504,152)	(372,056)
Income (loss) on continued operations	(1,627,486)	(392,907)	(368,544)
Income (loss) on discontinued operations	-	1,370,311	(123,097)
Net income (loss)	(1,627,486)	977,404	(491,641)
Net income (loss) per share – basic and diluted			
Continued operations	(0.018)	(0.005)	(0.004)
Discontinued operations	0.000	0.017	(0.001)
Financial Position	As at December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Working capital - Continued operations	\$ 424,366	\$ 292,247	\$ (447,686)
Working capital - Discontinued operations	-	4,501	(453,729)
Total assets - Continued operations	705,985	506,191	255,128
Total assets - Discontinued operations	-	4,501	83
Total long-term financial liabilities	-	-	-

The increase in the 2016 continued operations loss, as compared to 2015, was primarily influenced by: the acquisition of the Jefferson Project, which significantly impacted: exploration and expenditure costs; and, corporate and administrative expenses, such as professional fees (legal), salaries and benefits, consulting fees and travel costs. In addition, share-based payments expense was significantly higher due to the grant of 5,500,000 stock options.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

The increase in the 2015 continued operations loss, as compared to 2014, was primarily influenced by the impairment of the Company's investment in Tortuga, which was written down to a nominal value. In addition, the Company benefited from a gain on debt settlement, as certain trade creditors agreed to a reduction in the amounts owed. Discontinued operations income for 2015, as compared to 2014 loss, is the result of the gain on the disposition of the Company's interest in the Joint Venture in Australia.

For 2016, the Company's working capital was funded by a \$1,001,300 private placement and the exercise of stock options. For 2015, the Company's working capital deficiency for continued and discontinued operations was eliminated due to the sale of its interest in the Joint Venture in Australia.

The movement in total assets for 2016 and 2015 revolved around fluctuations in current assets (cash, sales tax receivables and prepaid expenses); and, the investment in Tortuga and subsequent impairment.

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

	Royalty revenue	Discontinued operations	Net income (loss)	Net income (loss) per share
	\$	\$	\$	\$
<u>Fiscal 2016</u>				
Q4 December 31	50,000	-	(461,860)	(0.005)
Q3 September 30	-	-	(984,806)	(0.011)
Q2 June 30	-	-	(174,742)	(0.002)
Q1 March 31	-	-	(6,078)	0.000
<u>Fiscal 2015</u>				
Q4 December 31	-	821,666	612,543	0.007
Q3 September 30	-	556,614	496,319	0.006
Q2 June 30	-	778	(70,138)	(0.001)
Q1 March 31	-	(8,747)	(61,320)	(0.001)

For 2016, continued operations quarterly variances were influenced by the amount of: (1) royalty revenue recognized from the inaugural non-refundable advance royalty payment pertaining to the Australian JV GOR; (2) corporate and administrative expenses, which were impacted by - costs associated with the Jefferson Project in Q3 and Q4 - the accrual of annual audit and tax services fees in Q4 - satisfying TSX-V Tier 2 listing requirements in Q3 - the Company's annual shareholder meeting in Q2 - the evaluation of business opportunities in Q1 and Q2; (3) exploration and evaluation expenditures related to the Jefferson Project in Q2, Q3 and Q4; (4) share-based payments attributable to the grant of stock options in Q3 and Q4; (5) and, a gain on debt settlement through the issuance of shares in Q1.

For 2015, continued operations quarterly variances were influenced by the amount of: (1) corporate and administrative expenses, which were impacted by - the sale of the Company's interest in the Australian Joint Venture - shareholder meetings held in Q2 and Q4 - changes in management compensation in Q4 - the accrual of annual audit and tax services fees in Q4 - and, the evaluation of a potential business development opportunity in Q3; (2) the impairment of the Company's investment in Tortuga in Q4; (3) a gain on debt settlement in Q4, as certain trade creditors agreed to a reduction in the amounts owed. Discontinued operations were impacted by - the sale of the Company's interest in the Australian Joint Venture, as proceeds from the sale were realized in Q3 and Q4 - a gain from the settlement of debt with Crossland in Q3 - a reduction in the Australian Joint Venture stamp duty provision in Q3 - and, foreign exchange gains or losses related to quarterly fluctuations in the Australian dollar.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For further information, please refer to the Consolidated Statement of Operations and accompanying notes in Pancontinental's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

The net loss for fiscal 2016 was \$1,627,486 versus net income of \$977,404 for fiscal 2015. The entire loss for the current year is attributable to continued operations, while net income for the comparable year included income of \$1,370,311 from discontinued operations. The increase in the loss from continued operations was primarily attributable to the incurrence of costs related to the Jefferson Project and the grant of stock options, which impact was partially mitigated by royalty revenue and a gain on debt settlement.

Royalty revenue (2016 - \$50,000 vs 2015 - \$nil) represents the inaugural non-refundable advance royalty payment pertaining to the Australian JV GOR.

Corporate and administrative expenditures experienced an increase of \$76,674 (2016 - \$358,934 vs 2015 - \$282,260) through increases in:

- consulting fees (2016 - \$17,372 vs 2015 - \$nil) for administrative and corporate development services to support activities in the Carolinas;
- filing and transfer agent fees (2016 - \$25,690 vs 2015 - \$22,907), due to the change in the company's name, share issuances and the graduation to the TSX-V as a Tier 2 mining issuer;
- management fees (2016 - \$120,676 vs 2015 - \$112,000), resulting from the inclusion of the Company's President and CEO, at a reduced monthly fee, and the addition of a Vice-President of Exploration;
- office costs (2016 - \$20,139 vs 2015 - \$15,597) attributable to supporting Jefferson Project activity;
- professional fees (2016 - \$115,369 vs 2015 - \$55,590), which were impacted by higher: legal costs, related to the Jefferson Project and increased corporate activity; and, accounting costs, as audit and tax services costs increased;
- rent (2016 - \$8,773 vs 2015 - \$6,000) due to the Company maintaining an office in Chapel Hill, North Carolina;
- shareholder relations and promotion costs (2016 - \$21,483 vs 2015 - \$18,135), attributable to an increase in shareholder and investor communications; and,
- travel costs (2016 - \$20,557 vs 2015 - \$107), due to Jefferson Project meetings and activity, as well as the evaluation of a business opportunity.

These increases in corporate and administrative expenses were partially mitigated by a reduction in salaries and benefits (2016 - \$8,875 vs 2015 - \$51,924), as compensation for the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer was changed to a management fee basis. In addition, personnel was added in 2016 to support administrative activity.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures (2016 - \$769,273 vs 2015 - \$nil) are attributable to the Jefferson Project and consists of acquisition costs, property costs comprised of current and prior year lease payments to landowners and exploration costs in support of the Company's drill program.

Share-based payments (2016 - \$585,075 vs 2015 - \$nil) are non-cash and represent the fair value assigned to 5,500,000 stock options granted to directors, officers and consultants.

Gain on debt settlement of \$38,520 was realized, as 856,000 shares were issued to settle trade payables of \$42,800. In 2015, a gain on debt settlement of \$111,245 was realized as the Company paid cash to settle trade payables.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash flows – Continued operations

Operating activity cash flows for the year were impacted by the accrual of the Australian JV GOR receivable and Jefferson Project activity, which caused the growth in royalty revenue receivables, prepaid expenses and accounts

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

payable and accrued liabilities. These cash flows were also impacted by the issuance of common shares (non-cash): to settle debt; and, acquire the Jefferson Project.

Financing activity cash flows for the year reflects share issuance activities due to: the exercise of stock options; and, closing of a \$1,001,300 private placement, net of share issuance costs. These cash flows also reflect the partial repayment of a cash advance provided by a Company director.

Cash flows – Discontinued operations

Operating activity cash flows for the year represents the return of funds held by the Company's Australian legal counsel.

Working capital

As at December 31, 2016, Pancontinental had working capital of \$424,366. During 2016, the Company settled \$42,800 of 2015 payables through the issuance of 856,000 common shares. To facilitate the closing of the acquisition of the Jefferson Project, directors and officers exercised 1,600,000 options on August 11, 2016 for proceeds of \$80,000. To enhance the Company's cash position, as well as providing funding for the initial Jefferson Project drill program, the Company completed a \$1,001,300 private placement. Pancontinental's cash is primarily held on deposit at Canadian and United States banking institutions. Sales tax receivables represents amounts to be refunded by the Canadian government and the royalty revenue receivable was collected from Essential Mining Resources Pty Ltd. in April 2017.

As of the date of the MD&A, one Jefferson Project property lease remains to be confirmed and has pre-acquisition and current arrears amounting to USD \$8,000. These arrears have not been accrued. In addition to these arrears, the annual aggregate lease payments for 2017 amount to approximately USD \$135,000.

The Company's current working capital is insufficient to fund its current level of activity and the Company will need to obtain financing to fund 2017 operating expenditures and exploration activities. A significant portion of expenditures for the Jefferson Project will be denominated in United States dollars, giving rise to market risk from changes in foreign exchange rates, which may negatively impact the Company's working capital.

The Company prefers to obtain funds through private placements, though it may consider raising funds through the divestiture of non-core assets. The Company's ability to continue is highly dependent on its working capital and its ability to obtain additional funds in the capital/equity markets to: finance its activities; and, meet its obligations and pay its liabilities. There is a risk that the Company will be unable to raise sufficient funds, thus jeopardizing the Company's ability to achieve its objectives and continue as a going-concern.

Given the nature of the Company's operations, which consist of exploration, development, evaluation and acquisition of resource properties or projects, the Company believes that the most meaningful financial information relates primarily to current liquidity and solvency.

SHARE CAPITAL

As of the date of this MD&A, Pancontinental has the following securities outstanding:

Security	Number
Common shares	99,510,354
Warrants	12,726,250
Options	8,250,000

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

Subsequent to December 31, 2016, the Company granted 500,000 stock options and 300,000 options expired. Of the granted options, 100,000 were issued to a consultant and 400,000 were issued to a director/officer of the Company. All of these options vested immediately and each of these options has an exercise price of \$0.12 and a five year term.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Management fees of \$44,176 (2015 - \$24,000) for the current quarter and \$120,676 (2015 - \$112,000) for the year were paid or became payable to companies controlled by or associated with Company officers.

Salaries and benefits of \$nil (2015 - \$5,000) for the current quarter and \$nil (2015 - \$50,000) for the year were paid or became payable to the Company's President and CEO. Effective November 1, 2015, the President/CEO's compensation was changed to a management fee basis and reduced to a monthly rate of \$1,500.

During 2016, paid \$27,664 to the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer to complete an agreement made in 2015 to settle \$83,000 of prior year accrued salaries, which resulted in a gain on debt settlement of \$55,336.

On August 11, 2016, Company directors and officers exercised 1,400,000 stock options for proceeds of \$70,000. These options were originally granted on June 11, 2014.

Company directors and officers subscribed for \$37,000 (462,500 units) of the \$1,001,300 (12,516,250 unit) private placement closed on September 21, 2016.

During 2016, the Company granted 4,300,000 stock options to officers and directors resulting in share-based payment expense of \$462,697. These options vested immediately and each option has an exercise price of \$0.12 and a five year term.

Loans from related parties of \$100,000 (December 31, 2015 - \$105,000) represents cash advances provided by directors. These loans are unsecured, payable on demand and non-interest bearing.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities includes \$12,397 (December 31, 2015 - \$30,784) payable to directors/officers or companies controlled by or associated with directors/officers.

FOURTH QUARTER

The net loss for the quarter ended December 31, 2016 was \$461,860 versus net income of \$612,543 for the comparable quarter of 2015. The entire loss for the current quarter is attributable to continued operations, while net income for the comparable quarter included discontinued operations income of \$821,666. The increase in the loss from continued operations was primarily attributable to the incurrence of costs related to the Jefferson Project and the grant of stock options, which impact was partially mitigated by royalty revenue.

Royalty revenue (2016 - \$50,000 vs 2015 - \$nil) represents the inaugural non-refundable advance royalty payment pertaining to the Australian JV GOR.

Corporate and administrative expenditures experienced an increase of \$35,222 (2016 - \$133,935 vs 2015 - \$98,713). To support the acquisition of the Jefferson Project, administrative and corporate development activities were expanded, which caused increases in: consulting fees, office costs, salaries and benefits and rent costs. In addition, the acquisition impacted: professional fees, as the need for additional legal services and costs for audit and tax services increased; and, travel costs, as the frequency for site visits and meetings grew. The absence of costs attributable to the sale of the Company's interest in the Australian Joint Venture in 2015, resulted in lowering: filing and transfer agent fees, management fees and, shareholder relations and promotion costs.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

Corporate and administrative	Three months ended	
	2016	December 31
		2015
Consulting	\$ 11,526	\$ -
Filing and transfer agent fees	2,751	5,812
Management fees (notes 13,14)	44,176	49,000
Office	7,391	3,904
Professional fees	42,681	26,925
Rent	2,701	1,500
Salaries and benefits	8,875	4,598
Shareholder relations and promotion	1,899	6,867
Travel	11,935	107
	<u>\$ 133,935</u>	<u>\$ 98,713</u>

Share-based payments (2016 - \$118,457 vs 2015 - \$nil) are non-cash and represent the fair value assigned to the 1,200,000 stock options granted during the quarter.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures (2016 - \$257,316 vs 2015 - \$nil) are attributable to the Jefferson Project and were primarily impacted by: property lease payments; and costs associated with the drill program conducted during the quarter.

Exploration and evaluation	Three months ended	
	2016	December 31
		2015
Property costs	\$ 74,709	\$ -
Assaying	23,022	-
Consulting/Contracting	32,684	-
Drilling	72,292	-
Equipment and supplies	18,004	-
Site costs	4,216	-
Travel/Transportation	11,786	-
Wages and benefits	20,603	-
	<u>\$ 257,316</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Cash flows

Cash flows used in operating activities were \$170,195 (2015 - \$199,124). Cash flows consumed by operations before changes in non-cash working capital were \$223,403 (2015 - \$99,609). Changes in non-cash working capital items were \$53,928 provided (2015 - \$99,515 used). The Q4-2016 amounts are net of adjustments for \$124,280 of non-cash items relating to the issuance of shares for debt and acquisition of the Jefferson Project that were erroneously reported as financing activities in prior quarters. Operating cash flows were impacted by the accrual of the Australian JV GOR receivable and Jefferson Project activity, which caused the growth in prepaid expenses and accounts payable and accrued liabilities during the quarter.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

Other than the adjustment for the non-cash items noted above, there were no financing activities (2015 - \$71,674 used) or investing activities (2015 - \$nil) during the quarter.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Disclosure on the Company's financial instruments and related risks may be found in Notes 20 of Pancontinental's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

The Company's exposure to liquidity risk has increased significantly with the acquisition of the Jefferson Gold Projected, as the Company needs to obtain financing to fund related property payments, operating expenditures and future exploration activities. In addition, a significant portion of expenditures will be denominated in United States dollars, giving rise to market risk from changes in foreign exchange rates.

The Company does not have a risk management committee or written risk management policies. The Company has not entered into any specialized financial agreements to minimize its credit or foreign currency risks. There are no off-balance sheet arrangements.

CAUTIONARY NOTES

This MD&A may contain forward-looking statements relating to, but not limited to, Pancontinental's assumptions, estimates, expectations and statements that describe Pancontinental's future plans, intentions, beliefs, objectives or goals, that are subject to a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or anticipated by such forward-looking statements. Statements that address activities, events or developments that the Company expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements or forward-looking information, including, but not limited to, statements preceded by, followed by or that include words such as "may", "will", "would", "could", "should", "believes", "estimates", "projects", "potential", "expects", "plans", "intends", "anticipates", "targeted", "continues", "forecasts", "designed", "goal", or the negative of those words or other similar or comparable words. Examples of such forward-looking statements, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, include:

- acquiring mineral properties or projects;
- outlook for gold and/or mining sector;
- potential of exploration properties;
- establishing economic deposits or resources;
- potential for future benefits from its Joint Venture gross overriding royalty or investment in Tortuga;
- possibility to dispose non-core assets;
- ability to continue as a going concern;
- capital requirements and ability to obtain funding;
- condition of financial or capital markets.

Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements and forward-looking information. Such factors include, but are not limited to:

- condition of underlying commodity markets and prices;
- ability to raise necessary capital;
- fluctuations in foreign exchange or interest rates and stock market volatility;
- receipt or retention of necessary permits or approvals;
- access to properties and contests over title to properties;
- timing of commencement of exploration or development activities;
- geological and exploration results and conditions;
- geological, technical, drilling and operating difficulties;
- establishment of sufficient and economic resources or reserves;

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

- obtaining environmental and mining approvals;
- availability and cost of contractors, equipment, supplies, labour and insurance;
- the speculative nature of exploration and development and investor sentiment;
- competition for, among other things, capital, acquisitions of resources and/or reserves, undeveloped lands and skilled personnel;
- changes in national and local government legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic developments in which the Company conducts or may conduct business;
- business opportunities that may be presented to or pursued by the Company;
- our ability to correctly value and successfully complete acquisitions;
- effectiveness of corporate relations;

Although Pancontinental believes that the assumptions, estimates and expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, results may vary, and we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements due to the inherent uncertainty. Pancontinental disclaims any intent or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or other such factors which affect this information, except as required by law.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Pancontinental is in the business of exploring for minerals and, if successful, ultimately mining them. The mining sector is by its nature, cyclical, competitive and risky. Many of these risks are beyond the Company's control. Investment in the mining sector in general and the exploration sector, in particular, involves a great deal of risk and uncertainty and Pancontinental's common shares should be considered as a highly speculative investment. Current and potential investors should give special consideration to the risk factors involved.

Acquisition risk

Pancontinental uses its best judgment in the acquisition of mineral properties or an alternative business venture and, in pursuit of such opportunities, the Company may fail to select appropriate acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable agreements, including agreements to finance the acquisition and development of the mineral properties or business venture. The Company cannot provide assurance that it can complete any acquisition that it pursues, on favourable terms, or that any acquisition will ultimately benefit the Company.

Competition risk

Pancontinental must compete with a number of other companies that possess greater financial and technical resources. Competition in the mining and business sectors could adversely affect the Company's ability to acquire mineral properties or projects.

Conflicts of interest

Certain directors and officers of Pancontinental, in their personal capacities or as directors or officers of other companies, are engaged or have interests in mineral exploration and development activities outside of the Company. Accordingly, exploration opportunities or prospects of which they become aware of may not necessarily be made available to the Company.

Dependence on management and consultants/contractors

Pancontinental is very dependent upon the efforts and commitment of its directors, management and consultants/contractors to the extent that if the services of the directors, management or consultants/contractors were not available, a disruption in the Company's operations may occur.

Pancontinental Gold Corporation
(formerly, Pancontinental Uranium Corporation)

Management's Discussion & Analysis
(for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016)

Counterparty risk

Counterparty risk is the risk that each party to a contract will not fulfill its contractual obligations. The Company's Jefferson Gold Project's property leases and the Australian Joint Venture gross overriding royalty are exposed to this risk.

Third party performance risk

For the Company to realize benefits from its Australian Joint Venture gross overriding royalty or its investment in Tortuga, the Company is dependent upon the evolution and success of the underlying project's exploration and development, which could be impacted by: the management of the particular project and execution of planned objectives; the participants ability to raise necessary capital and remain solvent; environmental approvals and regulations; government and mining policies and regulations; establishment of sufficient and economic resources or reserves; condition of underlying commodity markets and prices; access to properties; receipt or retention of necessary permits or approvals; timing of commencement of exploration or development activities; geological and exploration results; exploration and development conditions; and, the disclosure of technical reports and other information. As the Company is not involved in the management of either entity or participates in the oversight of either entity's projects, there is a risk that significant or material information may not be disclosed to the Company, on a timely basis, or at all.

Environmental risk

The exploration and development activities conducted on Pancontinental's mineral properties are subject to the environmental laws and regulations of the country in which the activities take place. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties, more stringent environmental assessments and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Although the Company undertakes to comply with current environmental laws and regulations, there is no assurance that future changes in environmental laws or regulations will not adversely affect the Company's operations.

Exploration risk

There is no assurance that the activities of Pancontinental will be successful and result in economic deposits being discovered and in fact, most companies are unsuccessful due to the low probability of discovering an economic deposit. Once mineralization is discovered, it may take several years until production is possible during which time the economics of a project may change. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling. Pancontinental's ability to establish a profitable mining operation is subject to a host of variables, such as technical and economic factors and regulatory issues. Exploration activities involve risks which even a combination of experience, knowledge and prudence may not be able to overcome. Exploration activities are subject to hazards which could result in injury or death, property damage, adverse environmental conditions and legal liability.

Financing and liquidity risk

Pancontinental's future profitability and ability to finance its exploration and development activities and make acquisitions is highly dependent upon its ability to raise capital in the financial markets, which is strongly related to: the market price of the primary minerals identified in its mineral properties; market price of the Company's equities; and, general commodity and investor sentiment. The Company does not have production income or a regular source of cash flow to fund its operating activities. Pancontinental will require significant capital to finance its overall objectives and there is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise the capital required and continue as a going concern. In addition, Pancontinental's financial success is dependent on the extent to which it can discover mineralization in economic quantities and the economic viability of developing its properties or projects.

Property title risk

Although Pancontinental takes reasonable measures to ensure proper title to its properties, there is no guarantee that title to any of its properties will not be challenged, impugned or renounced.